

#### Q1 What is your background?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Environmental Professional	24.44%	11
Licensed Environmental Professional	37.78%	17
Laboratory Professional	0.00%	0
Legal Professional	4.44%	2
Industry Professional	2.22%	1
Federal Employee	0.00%	0
State Regulator	20.00%	9
State Government	8.89%	4
Municipal Government	0.00%	0

Environmental Advocate	0.00%	0
Private Citizen	2.22%	1
Total		45

#### Q2 Participating in the Remediation Roundtable is a productive use of my time.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	33.33%	51.28%	7.69%	7.69%		
	13	20	3	3	39	1.9

### Q3 I am satisfied with the two-hour length of the Roundtable.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisifed	Very unsatisifed	Total	Weighted Average		
Answer	<b>58.97%</b>	<b>25.64%</b>	<b>12.82%</b>	2.56%	39		1.5	
	23	10	5	1	39		1.5	
#	If unsatisfied, should they be shorter or longer?							
1	Not longer, but more frequent	Not longer, but more frequent i.e., bi-monthly						
2	shorter	4/6/2015 9:53 AM						
3	Longer if needed for important	4/6/2015 8:41 AM						
4	90 mins							
5	they should be shorter, 1 hour	4/2/2015 3:35 PM						

# Q4 I am satisfied with the length of time devoted to each subject (15 to 20 minutes).

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average		
Answer	41.03%	46.15%	10.26%	2.56%				
	16	18	4	1	39		1.7	
#	If unsatisfied, should they be	e shorter or longe	r?			Date		
1	some shorter, some longer	4/8/2015 11:40 PM						
2	shorter	4/6/2015 9:53 AM						

# Q5 I am satisfied with the frequency of Roundtable meetings (4 times per year).

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	53.85%	20.51%	17.95%	7.69%		
	21	8	7	3	39	1.8

#	If unsatisfied, should there be fewer or more?	Date
1	bi-monthly	4/8/2015 11:40 PM
2	fewer	4/8/2015 4:44 PM
3	Miminum should be every 2 months. Maybe higher frequency might motivate DEEP to move a little faster to show progress with their proposed changes. They are taking far too long with this process.	4/6/2015 8:41 AM
4	More less formal events focused on Q&A would be productive	4/3/2015 8:45 AM
5	More	4/3/2015 4:09 AM
6	if not much new, just cancel	4/2/2015 4:13 PM
7	They could be more frequent based on all of the proposed regulatory changes on the table.	4/2/2015 3:58 PM

### Q6 Roundtable presentation topics are useful and informative.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	37.84%	45.95%	13.51%	2.70%		
	14	17	5	1	37	1.8

#	List three topics you found most useful.	Date
1	RSR changes RSRs anything related PCB requirements	4/6/2015 9:53 AM
2	Transformation topics	4/6/2015 8:36 AM
3	RSR updates, policy announcements and new guidance explanations	4/5/2015 8:11 AM
4	Updates on RSR Transformation process Focused project workgroup progress e.g. background, urban soils, soil reuse	4/3/2015 3:39 PM
5	Updates on the major programmatic changes. Explanations of Alternative and Additional Polluting Substances. Discussions of DEEP 'takes' on gray areas such as legacy pesticide contamination.	4/3/2015 10:27 AM
6	all	4/2/2015 4:42 PM
7	TI guidance Doc 80% rule, Alt PMC compliance Audit statistics	4/2/2015 3:44 PM
8	wave 2 updates, brownfields program, other regulation change topics	4/2/2015 3:35 PM
9	RSR updates Legislative initiative Guidance document discussions	4/2/2015 3:28 PM

### Q7 What improvements can you suggest to increase the value of these meetings?

#	Responses	Date
1	Provide a clearer picture of transformation priorities and schedule. Very disappointing that a discussion forum re risk characterization has not been provided to date as it likely will be the critical component of the transformation process.	4/8/2015 11:40 PM
2	I think they are fine as they are.	4/7/2015 8:24 AM
3	I suggest you solicit more input from the public sector and have the meetings as an interactive dialog.	4/6/2015 2:10 PM
4	Half hour devoted to reading and answering anonymous questions.	4/6/2015 12:44 PM
5	either limit the number of presentations or reduce the length of each	4/6/2015 9:53 AM
6	When DEEP publically announces that they will complete something by a specific time, then they need to meet that deadline. Otherwise, there is no value to what DEEP talks about because there is very little trust that DEEP will actually get things done.	4/6/2015 8:41 AM
7	Provide a better forum for encouraging open debate. The current format is heavy on DEEP presenting its side of issues, often with a "done deal" feeling. It would be refreshing if DEEP would start out with a brief idea or direction of what its thinking about on a specific topic/issue, and then just asking everyone "what do you think? does this work? what are the pitfalls? what should we do to improve this?" Then step back and let the debate evolve without injecting how DEEP wants to have the issue resolved. There is a general feeling among Roundtable participants that DEEP already has made a determination on how they want things to be, and therefore is not really listening to some vey bright people who have some very good ideas.	4/3/2015 3:39 PM
8	Avoid presentations that go through well-known history/background of RSR components. Have an outside attorney or an LEP present a case study once in a while that illustrates the practical side of a complex RSR/Property Transfer issue.	4/3/2015 10:27 AM
9	Provide the slides or a more detailed summary of each topic prior to the meeting and set up a forum where people can email questions before the meeting. This could help prepare the presenters for the questions or see where to add more detail in the presentation.	4/3/2015 8:45 AM
10	Clearer talk	4/2/2015 4:42 PM
11	Continuing education credit	4/2/2015 3:55 PM
12	Better sound system to pick up questions from audience.	4/2/2015 3:48 PM
13	Sometimes it is frustrating to attend b/c it seems like nothing happens between meetings ( eg clean soil/polluted fill). Our volunteer time to help the Department goes nowhere	4/2/2015 3:23 PM

# Q8 I find the answers to the questions asked at the Roundtable useful and applicable.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	29.41%	52.94%	14.71%	2.94%		
	10	18	5	1	34	1.9

## Q9 I feel that I have sufficient opportunity to ask questions during the Roundtable.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	50.00%	35.29%	5.88%	8.82%		
	17	12	2	3	34	1.7

### Q10 Do you see the need for increased audience interaction?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	<b>41.18%</b> 1	4
No	<b>58.82%</b> 2	.0
Total	3	4

#	If yes, what suggestions do you have to increase audience interaction?	Date
1	Questions should be allowed after each topic. Waiting until the end, when people feel time pressure, tends to limit questions.	4/8/2015 11:46 PM
2	Maybe some type of poll the audience on some questions	4/6/2015 2:11 PM
3	anonymous question session, with answers provided at the time or at the next session. Opportunity for answers to be submitted anonymously and read/posted by the DEEP and for meaningful, public, posted, discussion of differing views.	4/6/2015 12:59 PM
4	Discussion of specific questions is useful but sometimes cut short.	4/6/2015 9:35 AM
5	The room seems pretty neutral on participation with no pressure to participate or not	4/5/2015 8:15 AM
6	see prior comment	4/3/2015 3:39 PM
7	Provide remote access	4/2/2015 4:11 PM
8	real time surveys	4/2/2015 3:57 PM
9	Hand-held microphone brought around to participants.	4/2/2015 3:49 PM

#### Q11 I would like to see more break-out sessions (i.e., topic-specific, short discussions that take place during the twohour Roundtable).

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	26.47%	32.35%	23.53%	17.65%		
	9	11	8	6	34	2.3

## Q12 I would be willing to participate in future break-out sessions.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	67.65%	23
No	32.35%	11
Total		34

# Q13 If you think break-out sessions are productive, what topics would you like to discuss?

#	Responses	Date
1	Key components of the transformation process and how they will be seamlessly integrated. To this end, work groups would be more productive than Roundtable breakouts.	4/8/2015 11:46 PM
2	I don't have any specific suggestions.	4/7/2015 8:28 AM
3	Break-out sessions can only be productive if DEEP actually uses the work from those sections to implement actions in a timely fashion. To date, DEEP has wasted many hours of LEP time with break-out sessions, etc. that are fruitless due to inaction.	4/6/2015 8:45 AM
4	I don't have any specific topics to suggest.	4/3/2015 10:29 AM
5	site cleanup options and new technology, soil management planning, brownfield assessment and cleanup options using DECD and EPA funding	4/3/2015 8:52 AM
6	Ecological Risk Sediments	4/2/2015 4:45 PM
7	Background concentration	4/2/2015 4:44 PM
8	Background: sediments	4/2/2015 4:11 PM
9	#4 regarding break out sessions is hard to answer based on a 4 point scale	4/2/2015 4:10 PM
10	Status of risk based exits	4/2/2015 3:46 PM
11	Urban soils, It would be productive for whatever topic to publicize in advance and ask for data/information to be brought to the session.	4/2/2015 3:38 PM
12	NO only because nothing happens with our work	4/2/2015 3:24 PM

#### Q14 I would be willing to participate in future Roundtable workgroups (past examples include the Background Workgroup, the Urban Soils Workgroup, etc. that meet outside of the two-hour Roundtable).

Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	<b>70.59%</b> 24
No	<b>29.41%</b> 10
Total	34

### Q15 The PowerPoint presentation slides are useful resources.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	58.82%	35.29%	5.88%	0.00%		
	20	12	2	0	34	1.5

## Q16 The Q&A Newsletter is a useful resource.

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Unsatisfied	Very unsatisfied	Total	Weighted Average
Answer	58.82%	35.29%	5.88%	0.00%		
	20	12	2	0	34	1.5