March 20, 2015

Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification
99 Knowlton Hill Rd., Ashford, CT 06278
N 41° 50' 26.77"
W 72° 12' 27.07"
T-Mobile Site #: CT11519D_L700

Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of T-Mobile, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 99 Knowlton Hill Rd., Ashford, CT 06278.

The 99 Knowlton Hill Rd., Ashford, CT facility consists of a 150' Monopole Tower owned and operated by SBA Towers V, LLC. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of T-Mobile's L700 project, T-Mobile desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in T-Mobile's operations at the site along with the required fee of $625.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.

2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.

3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of T-Mobile, respectfully submits that he proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804 with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

T-Mobile
Equipment Modification

99 Knowlton Hill Rd., Ashford, CT 06278
Site number CT11519D_L700

Tower Owner: SBA Towers V, LLC

Equipment Configuration: Monopole

Current and/or approved:

- (9) EMS RR90-18-02DP
- (6) TMAs
- (18) 1-5/8" feed lines

Planned Modifications:

- (6) RFS APXV18-209014-02
- (3) Commscope Antenna (96.4" x 11.9" x 7.1")
- (6) TMAs
- (3) Kathrein 782 11056
- (18) 1-5/8" feed lines

Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are 4.59% of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 43.86% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

Site Composite MPE%	
Carrier	MPE%
T-Mobile	4.59
AT&T	18.92 %
Verizon Wireless	20.35 %
Site Total MPE %:	43.86 %

March 20, 2015

Mr. Ralph Fletcher
First Selectman
Town of Ashford
Ashford Municipal Offices
5 Town Hall Road
Ashford, CT 06278

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 99 Knowlton Hill Rd., Ashford, CT 06278

Dear Mr. Fletcher,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review T-Mobile's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes T-Mobile's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Company
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

March 20, 2015

Thomas E. Knowlton
317 Squaw Hollow Road
Ashford, CT 06178

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 99 Knowlton Hill Rd., Ashford, CT 06278

Dear Mr. Knowlton:

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review T-Mobile's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes T-Mobile's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Company
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

EBI Consulting
environmental | engineering | due diligence

RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT
EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

T-Mobile Existing Facility

Site ID: CT11519D

TVI Ashford- Prime
99 Knowlton Hill Road
Ashford, CT 06278

March 18, 2015

EBI Project Number: 6215001638

Site Compliance Summary	
Compliance Status:	COMPLIANT
Site total MPE% of FCC general public allowable limit:	43.86 %

21 B Street · Burlington, MA 01803 · Tel: (781) 273.2500 · Fax: (781) 273.3311

EBI Consulting
environmental | engineering | due diligence

March 18, 2015

T-Mobile USA
Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager
35 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

Emissions Analysis for Site: **CT11519D – TVI Ashford- Prime**

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed T-Mobile facility located at **99 Knowlton Hill Road, Ashford, CT**, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed T-Mobile Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μW/cm2). The number of $\mu W/cm^2$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) – (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter ($\mu W/cm^2$). The general population exposure limit for the 700 MHz Band is 467 $\mu W/cm^2$, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS and AWS bands is 1000 $\mu W/cm^2$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed T-Mobile Wireless antenna facility located at **99 Knowlton Hill Road, Ashford, CT**, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since T-Mobile is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, all calculations were performed assuming a lobe representing the maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB, was focused at the base of the tower. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

1) 2 GSM channels (PCS Band - 1900 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel

2) 2 UMTS channels (AWS Band – 2100 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel.

3) 2 LTE channels (AWS Band – 2100 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 60 Watts per Channel.

4) 1 LTE channel (700 MHz Band) was considered for each sector of the proposed installation. This channel has a transmit power of 30 Watts.

5) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.

6) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications minus 10 dB was used in this direction. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.

7) The antennas used in this modeling are the **RFS APXV18-209014** for 1900 MHz (PCS) and 2100 MHz (AWS) channels and the **Commscope LNX-6515DS-VTM** for 700 MHz channels. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. The **RFS APXV18-209014** has a maximum gain of **14.4 dBd** at its main lobe. The **Commscope LNX-6515DS-VTM** has a maximum gain of **14.6 dBd** at its main lobe. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB, was used for all calculations. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.

8) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is **147 feet** above ground level (AGL).

9) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits.

EBI Consulting

environmental | engineering | due diligence

T-Mobile Site Inventory and Power Data

Sector:	A	Sector:	B	Sector:	C
Antenna #:	**1**	Antenna #:	**1**	Antenna #:	**1**
Make / Model:	RFS APXV18-209014	Make / Model:	RFS APXV18-209014	Make / Model:	RFS APXV18-209014
Gain:	14.4 dBd	Gain:	14.4 dBd	Gain:	14.4 dBd
Height (AGL):	147	Height (AGL):	147	Height (AGL):	147
Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)	Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)	Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)
Channel Count	2	Channel Count	2	# PCS Channels:	2
Total TX Power:	120	Total TX Power:	120	# AWS Channels:	120
ERP (W):	3,305.07	ERP (W):	3,305.07	ERP (W):	3,305.07
Antenna A1 MPE%	0.60	Antenna B1 MPE%	0.60	Antenna C1 MPE%	0.60
Antenna #:	**2**	Antenna #:	**2**	Antenna #:	**2**
Make / Model:	RFS APXV18-209014	Make / Model:	RFS APXV18-209014	Make / Model:	RFS APXV18-209014
Gain:	14.4 dBd	Gain:	14.4 dBd	Gain:	14.4 dBd
Height (AGL):	147	Height (AGL):	147	Height (AGL):	147
Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)	Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)	Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)
Channel Count	4	Channel Count	4	Channel Count	4
Total TX Power:	120	Total TX Power:	120	Total TX Power:	120
ERP (W):	3,305.07	ERP (W):	3,305.07	ERP (W):	3,305.07
Antenna A2 MPE%	0.60	Antenna B2 MPE%	0.60	Antenna C2 MPE%	0.60
Antenna #:	**3**	Antenna #:	**3**	Antenna #:	**3**
Make / Model:	Commscope LNX-6515DS-VTM	Make / Model:	Commscope LNX-6515DS-VTM	Make / Model:	Commscope LNX-6515DS-VTM
Gain:	14.6 dBd	Gain:	14.6 dBd	Gain:	14.6 dBd
Height (AGL):	147	Height (AGL):	147	Height (AGL):	147
Frequency Bands	700 MHz	Frequency Bands	700 MHz	Frequency Bands	700 MHz
Channel Count	1	Channel Count	1	Channel Count	1
Total TX Power:	30	Total TX Power:	30	Total TX Power:	30
ERP (W):	865.21	ERP (W):	865.21	ERP (W):	865.21
Antenna A3 MPE%	0.34	Antenna B3 MPE%	0.34	Antenna C3 MPE%	0.34

Site Composite MPE%	
Carrier	**MPE%**
T-Mobile	**4.59**
AT&T	18.92 %
Verizon Wireless	20.35 %
Site Total MPE %:	**43.86 %**

T-Mobile Sector 1 Total:	1.53 %
T-Mobile Sector 2 Total:	1.53 %
T-Mobile Sector 3 Total:	1.53 %
Site Total:	43.86 %

EBI Consulting
environmental | engineering | due diligence

Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were **within** the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated maximum composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility as well as the site composite emissions value with regards to compliance with FCC's allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions are shown here:

T-Mobile Sector	Power Density Value (%)
Sector 1:	1.53 %
Sector 2:	1.53 %
Sector 3 :	1.53 %
T-Mobile Total:	4.59 %
Site Total:	43.86 %
Site Compliance Status:	**COMPLIANT**

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **43.86%** of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.

Scott Heffernan
RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting
21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803

FDH Engineering, Inc., 6521 Meridien Dr. Raleigh, NC 27616, Ph. 919.755.1012, Fax 919.755.1031

Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc.

150' Monopole Tower

SBA Site Name: Knowlton
SBA Site ID: CT13614-A-02
T-Mobile Site ID: CT11519D

FDH Project Number 15BFFV1400

Analysis Results

Tower Components	50.7 %	Sufficient
Foundation	50.3%	Sufficient

Prepared By:

Zachary Shepherd, EI
Project Engineer

Reviewed By:

Dennis D. Abel, PE
Director – Structural Engineering
CT PE License No. 23247

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meridien Dr.
Raleigh, NC 27616
(919) 755-1012
info@fdh-inc.com



March 4, 2015

Prepared pursuant to TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures & the 2005 Connecticut Building Code

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Ashford, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the *Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F and the 2005 Connecticut Building Code*. Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, soil parameters, foundation dimensions, current tower geometry, and member sizes was obtained from:

- JGI Eastern, Inc. (Project No. 05360G) Geotechnical Evaluation dated June 28, 2005
- Sabre Communications Corp. (Job No. 06-06307) Stamped Permit Drawings dated June 29, 2005
- Hudson Design Group (for CT1250) Mapping Report dated January 16, 2013
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The *basic design wind speed* per the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and the *2005 Connecticut Building Code* is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 1" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from T-Mobile in place at 147 ft., the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and the *2005 Connecticut Building Code* provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was designed and constructed to support the original design reactions (see Sabre Job No. 06-06307), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support the existing and proposed loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards *and the 2005 Connecticut Building Code* are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

1. The coax should be installed inside the pole's shaft.
2. The existing/proposed TMAs should be installed directly behind the proposed panel antennas.

APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in **Table 1**. *If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.*

Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

Existing Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines[1]	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
147	(9) EMS RR90-18-02DP (6) TMAs	(18) 1-5/8"	T-Mobile	147	(1) 12' Low Profile Platform
137.5	(6) Powerwave 7770 (3) KMW AM-X-CD-17-65-00T (6) Powerwave LGP21401 (6) Powerwave LGP21903 (6) Ericsson RRUS11 (1) Raycap DC2-48-60-18-8F	(12) 1-5/8" (2) 3/4" DC (1) 7/16" Fiber	AT&T	137.5	(1) 14' Low Profile Platform
127	(6) Antel LPA-80080/4CF (6) Antel LPA 185080-8CF	(12) 1-5/8"	Verizon	127	(1) 10' Low Profile Platform

1. Coax installed inside the pole's shaft, unless otherwise noted.

Proposed Carrier Final Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
147	(6) RFS APXV18-209014-02 (3) Commscope Antenna (96.4" x 11.9" x 7.1") (6) TMAs (3) Kathrein 782 11056	(18) 1-5/8"	T-Mobile	147	(1) 12' Low Profile Platform

RESULTS

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:

Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type	Yield Strength
Tower Shaft Sections	65 ksi
Base Plate	60 ksi
Anchor Bolts	75 ksi

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. *Note: Capacities up to 100% are considered acceptable.* **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the **Appendix** for detailed modeling information

Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	% Capacity*	Pass Fail
L1	150 - 96.75	Pole	TP38.7x25.7x0.375	32.3	Pass
L2	96.75 - 48	Pole	TP49.86x36.7904x0.4375	42.9	Pass
L3	48 - 0	Pole	TP60.46x47.7025x0.4375	50.7	Pass
		Anchor Bolts	(20) 2.25"Ø x 68" BC	47.0	Pass
		Base Plate	68" Sq. x 3" tk. PL	39.7	Pass

*Capacities include 1/3 allowable stress increase for wind per TIA/EIA-222-F standards.

Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

Base Reactions	Current Analysis *(TIA/EIA-222-F)*	Original Design *(TIA/EIA-222-F)*
Axial	43 k	56 k
Shear	25 k	40 k
Moment	2,658 k-ft	5,289 k-ft

* Per our experience with foundations of a similar type, the horizontal and axial loading should not control the foundation analysis.

GENERAL COMMENTS

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

APPENDIX

Section	Length (ft)	Number of Sides	Thickness (in)	Socket Length (ft)	Top Dia (in)	Bot Dia (in)	Grade	Weight (K)
1	53.25	18	0.3750	4.75	25.7000	38.7000		6.9
2	53.50	18	0.4375	6.25	36.7904	49.8600	A572-65	10.8
3	53.25	18	0.4375		47.4582	60.4600		13.5
								31.2





DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod	149	KMW AM-X-CD-17-65-00T w/ Mount Pipe	137.5
Commscope	147	(2) LGP21401 TMA	137.5
Commscope	147	(2) LGP21401 TMA	137.5
Commscope	147	(2) LGP21401 TMA	137.5
(2) TMA	147	(2) LGP21903 Diplexer	137.5
(2) TMA	147	(2) LGP21903 Diplexer	137.5
(2) TMA	147	(2) LGP21903 Diplexer	137.5
(2) APXV18-209014-02 w/Mount Pipe	147	(2) Ericsson RRUS-11	137.5
(2) APXV18-209014-02 w/Mount Pipe	147	(2) Ericsson RRUS-11	137.5
(2) APXV18-209014-02 w/Mount Pipe	147	(2) Ericsson RRUS-11	137.5
782 11056	147	Raycap DC2-48-60-18-8F	137.5
782 11056	147	14' Low Profile Platform	137.5
782 11056	147	Empty Mount Pipe	137.5
Empty Mount Pipe	147	Empty Mount Pipe	137.5
Empty Mount Pipe	147	Empty Mount Pipe	137.5
Empty Mount Pipe	147	10' Low Profile Platform	127
12' Low Profile Platform	147	LPA-185080/8CF w/ Mount Pipe	127
(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe	137.5	LPA-185080/8CF w/ Mount Pipe	127
(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe	137.5	LPA-185080/8CF w/ Mount Pipe	127
(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe	137.5	(2) LPA-80080/4CF W/Mount Pipe	127
KMW AM-X-CD-17-65-00T w/ Mount Pipe	137.5	(2) LPA-80080/4CF W/Mount Pipe	127
KMW AM-X-CD-17-65-00T w/ Mount Pipe	137.5	(2) LPA-80080/4CF W/Mount Pipe	127

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in Windham County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 1.00 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 50.7%

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meridien Drive, Suite 107
Raleigh, North Carolina 27616
Phone: 9197551012
FAX: 9197551031

Tower Analysis

Job:	Knowlton, CT13614-A-02
Project:	15BFFV1400
Client:	SBA Network Services, Inc.
Drawn by:	ZShepherd
App'd:	
Code:	TIA/EIA-222-F
Date:	03/04/15
Scale:	NTS
Path:	
Dwg No.	E-1



COMPOUND PLAN 1 A-1
22x34 SCALE: 3/16"=1'-0"
11x17 SCALE: 3/32"=1'-0"

STRUCTURAL NOTES:
1. ADDITIONAL TOWER MAPPING AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS ARE REQUIRED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. DRAWINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING OUTCOME OF STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.
2. MOUNT ALL ANTENNAS, COAX, ETC. IN ACCORDANCE WITH STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.

ANTENNA MOUNT STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT: ENGINEER OF RECORD HAS MADE A VISUAL ASSESSMENT ONLY AND DETERMINED THAT THE EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT IS ADEQUATE TO ACCOMMODATE ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT LOADS. STRUCTURAL DESIGNS AND DETAILS AS SHOWN HEREIN FOR STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS OF THE EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT ARE PRELIMINARY ONLY AND FINAL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING THE COMPLETION OF AN ANTENNA MOUNT STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT.



SOURCE: HDG 01-23-2015

PARTIAL ELEVATION PHOTO DETAIL 2 A-1
SCALE: N.T.S



SOURCE: HDG 01-23-2015

EQUIPMENT PHOTO DETAIL 3 A-1
SCALE: N.T.S

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

SBA
SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320 TEL: (508) 251-0720
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752 FAX: (508) 251-1755

Hudson Design Groupllc
1600 OSGOOD STREET
BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 TEL: (978) 557-5553
N. ANDOVER, MA 01845 FAX: (978) 336-5586

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DANIEL P. HAMM
No. 24178
LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

Daniel P. Hamm

CHECKED BY: KB

APPROVED BY: DPH

SUBMITTALS

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
1	03/11/15	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	KMS
0	02/25/15	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	KMS

SITE NUMBER:
CT11519D
SITE NAME:
CT519/TM ASHFORD-PRIME
SITE ADDRESS:
99 KNOWLTON HILL ROAD
ASHFORD, CT 06278
WINDHAM COUNTY

SHEET TITLE
COMPOUND PLAN & ELEVATION

SHEET NUMBER
A-1



EXISTING ANTENNA PLAN (1 / A–2)
SCALE: N.T.S



PROPOSED ANTENNA PLAN (2 / A–2)
SCALE: N.T.S

STRUCTURAL NOTES:
1. ADDITIONAL TOWER MAPPING AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS ARE REQUIRED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. DRAWINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING OUTCOME OF STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.
2. MOUNT ALL ANTENNAS, COAX, ETC. IN ACCORDANCE WITH STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.

ANTENNA MOUNT STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT:
ENGINEER OF RECORD HAS MADE A VISUAL ASSESSMENT ONLY AND DETERMINED THAT THE EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT IS ADEQUATE TO ACCOMMODATE ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT LOADS. STRUCTURAL DESIGNS AND DETAILS AS SHOWN HEREIN FOR STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS OF THE EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT ARE PRELIMINARY ONLY AND FINAL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING THE COMPLETION OF AN ANTENNA MOUNT STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT.

SPECIAL WORK NOTE:
VERTICALLY CENTER ON EXISTING MOUNTING RAIL, THE PIPE MAST AND ANTENNA

1 / A–3 PROPOSED T–MOBILE ANTENNA ON PROPOSED PIPE (TYP. OF 1 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 3)

5 / A–3 PROPOSED T–MOBILE SMART BIAS TEE (TYP. OF 1 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 3)

EXISTING RFS DUAL POLE ANTENNA TO REMAIN (TYP. OF 2 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 6)



SOURCE: HDG 01–23–2015

PROPOSED ANTENNA PHOTO DETAIL (3 / A–2)
SCALE: N.T.S

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

SBA
SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320 TEL: (508) 251-0720
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752 FAX: (508) 251-1755

Hudson Design Groupllc
1600 OSGOOD STREET
BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 TEL: (978) 557-5553
N. ANDOVER, MA 01845 FAX: (978) 336-5586

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DANIEL P. HAMM
No. 24178
LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

Daniel P. Hamm

CHECKED BY: KB

APPROVED BY: DPH

SUBMITTALS

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
1	03/11/15	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	KMS
0	02/25/15	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	KMS

SITE NUMBER:
CT11519D
SITE NAME:
CT519/TM ASHFORD–PRIME
SITE ADDRESS:
99 KNOWLTON HILL ROAD
ASHFORD, CT 06278
WINDHAM COUNTY

SHEET TITLE
EXISTING & PROPOSED ANTENNA PLANS

SHEET NUMBER
A-2



LNX ANTENNA DETAIL 1 / A-3
SCALE: N.T.S



PROPOSED ANTENNA MOUNTING DETAIL 2 / A-3
SCALE: N.T.S

ANTENNA MOUNT STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENT:
ENGINEER OF RECORD HAS MADE A VISUAL ASSESSMENT ONLY AND DETERMINED THAT THE EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT IS ADEQUATE TO ACCOMMODATE ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT LOADS. STRUCTURAL DESIGNS AND DETAILS AS SHOWN HEREIN FOR STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS OF THE EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT ARE PRELIMINARY ONLY AND FINAL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING THE COMPLETION OF AN ANTENNA MOUNT STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT.

STRUCTURAL NOTES:
1. ADDITIONAL TOWER MAPPING AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS ARE REQUIRED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. DRAWINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING OUTCOME OF STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.
2. MOUNT ALL ANTENNAS, COAX, ETC. IN ACCORDANCE WITH STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS.

SPECIAL WORK NOTE:
VERTICALLY CENTER ON EXISTING MOUNTING RAIL, THE PIPE MAST AND ANTENNA



ANTENNA MOUNTING BRACKET 3 / A-3
SCALE: N.T.S

BBU DIMENSIONS	
MODEL #	DVBBM-2ALM
MANUF.	MSF DATA SERVICES
WIDTH	28.45"
DEPTH	28.45"
HEIGHT	29.67"
WEIGHT	1,264 LBS
MOUNT BASE WITH (4) 1/2" DROP IN ANCHOR'S WITH 2" MINIMUM EMBEDMENT (INSTALL PER MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION GUIDELINES)	



BATTERY CABINET (BBU) 4 / A-3
SCALE: N.T.S



SMART BIAS TEE (SBT) 5 / A-3
SCALE: N.T.S

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

SBA
SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320 TEL: (508) 251-0720
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752 FAX: (508) 251-1755

Hudson Design Groupllc
1600 OSGOOD STREET
BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 TEL: (978) 557-5553
N. ANDOVER, MA 01845 FAX: (978) 336-5586

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DANIEL P. HAMM
No. 24178
LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

Daniel P. Hamm

CHECKED BY: KB

APPROVED BY: DPH

SUBMITTALS

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
1	03/11/15	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	KMS
0	02/25/15	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	KMS

SITE NUMBER:
CT11519D
SITE NAME:
CT519/TM ASHFORD-PRIME
SITE ADDRESS:
99 KNOWLTON HILL ROAD
ASHFORD, CT 06278
WINDHAM COUNTY

SHEET TITLE
DETAILS

SHEET NUMBER
A-3