Appendix B: Agency Correspondence

Appendix B.1: State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) B.1.1: SHPO Project Review Form B.1.2: SHPO Concurrence Letter B.2: Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection Natural

Diversity Database (NDDB)

B.2.1: NDDB Preliminary Assessment

B.2.2: NDDB Areas

Appendix B.1: State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)

B.1.1: SHPO Project Review Form





State Historic Preservation Office

One Constitution Plaza | Hartford, CT 06103 | 860.256.2800 | Cultureandtourism.org

PROJECT REVIEW COVER FORM

1. This information relates to a previously submitted project.

Х

You do not need to complete the rest of the form if you have been previously issued a SHPO Project Number. Please attach information to this form and submit.

SHPO Project Number ____

(Not all previously submitted projects will have project numbers)

Project Address							
(Street Address and City or Town)							
	70						

2. This is a new Project.

If you have checked this box, it is necessary to complete $\,ALL$ entries on this form $\,.$

Project Name	
Project Location	
-	Include street number, street name, and or Route Number. If no street address exists give closest intersection.
City or Town	
•	In addition to the village or hamlet name (if appropriate), the municipality must be included here.
County	
•	If the undertaking includes multiple addresses, please attach a list to this form.

Date of Construction (for existing structures)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY (include full description in attachment):

The United Illuminating Company (UI) is proposing to re-locate and upgrade the Pequonnock Substation as part of its effort to provide flood protection for electrical substations located in coastal areas. The proposed site for the new substation consists of several parcels of land currently owned by PSEG Power Connecticut LLC (PSEG) at the Bridgeport Harbor Station power generating facility. The parcels are located on the northern, developed portion of the PSEG property along Ferry Access Road and adjacent to the Amtrak/Metro-North rail line.

TYPE OF REVIEW REQUESTED

a. Does this undertaking involve funding or permit approval from a State or Federal Agency?

Yes No		State	Federal
Agency Name/Contact	Type of Permit/Approval		
	ONN Dodd Center files to determine the presence al resources within or adjacent to the project area?	Yes	No
If yes: Was the project site wholly or partially lo	cated within an identified archeologically sensitive area?		
Does the project site involve or is it subst listing in the CT State or National Register	antially contiguous to a property listed or recommended for ers of Historic Places?		x
Does the project involve the rehabilitation	n, renovation, relocation, demolition or addition to any		

building or structure that is 50 years old or older?





State Historic Preservation Office

One Constitution Plaza | Hartford, CT 06103 | 860.256.2800 | Cultureandtourism.org

PROJECT REVIEW COVER FORM

The Historic Preservation Review Process in Connecticut Cultural Resource Review under the National Historic Preservation Act – Section 106 <u>http://www.achp.gov/106summary.html</u> involves providing technical guidance and professional advice on the potential impact of publicly funded, assisted, licensed or permitted projects on the state's historic, architectural and archaeological resources. This responsibility of the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is discharged in two steps: (1) identification of significant historic, architectural and archaeological resources; and (2) advisory assistance to promote compatibility between new development and preservation of the state's cultural heritage.

Project review is conducted in two stages. First, the SHPO assesses affected properties to determine whether or not they are listed or eligible for listing in the Connecticut State or National Registers of Historic Places. If so, it is deemed "historic" and worthy of protection and the second stage of review is undertaken. The project is reviewed to evaluate its impact on the properties significant materials and character. Where adverse effects are identified, alternatives are explored to avoid, or reduce project impacts; where this is unsuccessful, mitigation measures are developed and formal agreement documents are prepared stipulating these measures. For more information and guidance, please see our website at: http://www.cultureandtourism.org/cct/cwp/view.asp?a=3933&q=293820

ALL PROJECTS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW MUST INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING MATERIALS*:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION Please attach a full description of the work that will be undertaken as a result of this project. Portions of environmental statements or project applications may be included. The project boundary of the project should be clearly defined**

PROJECT MAP This should include the precise location of the project – preferably a clear color image showing the nearest streets or roadways as well as all portions of the project. Tax maps, Sanborn maps and USGS quadrangle maps are all acceptable, but Bing and Google Earth are also accepted if the information provided is clear and well labeled. The project boundary should be clearly defined on the map and affected legal parcels should be identified.

PHOTOGRAPHS Clear, current images of the property should be submitted. Black and white photocopies will not be accepted. Include images of the areas where the proposed work will take place. May require: exterior elevations, detailed photos of elements to be repaired/replaced (windows, doors, porches, etc.) All photos should be clearly labeled.

For Existing Structures	Yes	N/A	Com	ments	
Property Card					
For New Construction	Yes	N/A	Com	ments	
Project plans or limits of construction (if available)					
If project is located in a Historic District include renderings or elevation drawings					
of the proposed structure					
Soils Maps http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm					
Historic Maps http://magic.lib.uconn.edu/					
For non-building-related projects (dams, culverts, bridge repair, etc)	Yes	N/S	Com	ments	
Property Card					
Soils Map (see above)	X				
Historic Maps (see above)					
STAFF REVIEW AREA	Above	Date		Below	Date
Indicate date of Review and Initials of Reviewer					
PROJECT CONTACT					

Name		Title		
Firm/Agency				
Address				
City		State	Zip	
Phone	Cell	F	'ax	
Email				

*Note that he SHPO's ability to complete a timely project review depends largely on the quality of the materials submitted.

** Please be sure to include the project name and location on each page of your submission.





ີນີ້

State Historic Preservation Office

One Constitution Plaza | Hartford, CT 06103 | 860.256.2800 | Cultureandtourism.org

PROJECT REVIEW COVER FORM

SHPO USE ONLY

Based that:	on our review of the information provided to the State Historic Preservation Office, it is our opinion
	No historic properties will be affected by this project. No further review is requested.
	This project will cause no adverse effects to the following historic properties. No further review is requested:
	This project will cause no adverse effects to the following historic properties, <u>conditional</u> upon the stipulations included in the attached letter:
	Additional information is required to complete our review of this project. Please see the attached letter with our requests and recommendations.
	This project will adversely affect historic properties as it is currently designed or proposed. Please see the attached letter for further details and guidance.
	Daniel T. Forrest Date Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

B.1.2: SHPO Concurrence Letter



Department of Economic and Community Development



submit

You do not need to complete the rest of the form if you have been previously issued a SHPO Project Number. Please attach information to this form and

State Historic Preservation Office

One Constitution Plaza | Hartford, CT 06103 | 860.256.2800 | Cultureandtourism.org

PROJECT REVIEW COVER FORM

1.	This i	nformation	relates to a	previously	submitted	project

х

SHPO Project Number ______(Not all previously submitted projects will have project numbers)

Project Address (Street Address and City or Town)

2. This is a new Project.

If you have checked this box, it is necessary to complete ALL entries on this form .

Project Name Pequonnock Substation Improvement Project

Project Location	1 Atlantic Street, Bridgeport, Connecticut
	Include street number, street name, and or Route Number. If no street address exists give closest intersection.
City or Town	Bridgeport, Connecticut
	In addition to the village or hamlet name (if appropriate), the municipality must be included here.
County	Fairfield
	If the undertaking includes multiple addresses, please attach a list to this form.

Date of Construction (for existing structures)_

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY (include full description in attachment):

The United Illuminating Company (UI) is proposing to re-locate and upgrade the Pequonnock Substation as part of its effort to provide flood protection for electrical substations located in coastal areas. The proposed site for the new substation consists of several parcels of land currently owned by PSEG Power Connecticut LLC (PSEG) at the Bridgeport Harbor Station power generating facility. The parcels are located on the northern, developed portion of the PSEG property along Ferry Access Road and adjacent to the Amtrak/Metro-North rail line.

TYPE OF REVIEW REQUESTED

a.	Does this undertaking involve	funding or permit approval	from a State or Federal Agency?
----	-------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------

Yes No Agency Name/Contact · Type of Permit/Approval	State	Federal
b. Have you consulted the SHPO and UCONN Dodd Center files to determine the presence or absence of previously identified cultural resources within or adjacent to the project area?	Yes	No
If yes: Was the project site wholly or partially located within an identified archeologically sensitive area?		x
Does the project site involve or is it substantially contiguous to a property listed or recommended for listing in the CT State or National Registers of Historic Places?		x
Does the project involve the rehabilitation, renovation, relocation, demolition or addition to any building or structure that is 50 years old or older?		x



Department of Economic and Community Development



State Historic Preservation Office

One Constitution Plaza | Hartford, CT 06103 | 860.256.2800 | Cultureandtourism.org

PROJECT REVIEW COVER FORM

The Historic Preservation Review Process in Connecticut Cultural Resource Review under the National Historic Preservation Act – Section 106 <u>http://www.achp.gov/106summary.html</u> involves providing technical guidance and professional advice on the potential impact of publicly funded, assisted, licensed or permitted projects on the state's historic, architectural and archaeological resources. This responsibility of the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is discharged in two steps: (1) identification of significant historic, architectural and archaeological resources; and (2) advisory assistance to promote compatibility between new development and preservation of the state's cultural heritage.

Project review is conducted in two stages. First, the SHPO assesses affected properties to determine whether or not they are listed or eligible for listing in the Connecticut State or National Registers of Historic Places. If so, it is deemed "historic" and worthy of protection and the second stage of review is undertaken. The project is reviewed to evaluate its impact on the properties significant materials and character. Where adverse effects are identified, alternatives are explored to avoid, or reduce project impacts; where this is unsuccessful, mitigation measures are developed and formal agreement documents are prepared stipulating these measures. For more information and guidance, please see our website at: http://www.cultureandtourism.org/cct/cwp/view.asp?a=3933&q=293820

ALL PROJECTS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW MUST INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING MATERIALS*:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION Please attach a full description of the work that will be undertaken as a result of this project. Portions of environmental statements or project applications may be included. The project boundary of the project should be clearly <u>defined</u>**

x PROJECT MAP This should include the precise location of the project – preferably a clear color image showing the nearest streets or roadways as well as all portions of the project. Tax maps, Sanborn maps and USGS quadrangle maps are all acceptable, but Bing and Google Earth are also accepted if the information provided is clear and well labeled. The project boundary should be clearly <u>defined</u> on the map and affected legal parcels should be identified.

PHOTOGRAPHS Clear, current images of the property should be submitted. Black and white photocopies will not be accepted. Include images of the areas where the proposed work will take place. May require: exterior elevations, detailed photos of elements to be repaired/replaced (windows, doors, porches, etc.) All photos should be clearly labeled.

For Existing Structures	Yes	N/A	Cor	nments	
Property Card					
For New Construction	Yes	N/A	Cor	nments	
Project plans or limits of construction (if available)					-
If project is located in a Historic District include renderings or elevation drawings					
of the proposed structure					
Soils Maps http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm					
Historic Maps http://magic.lib.uconn.edu/				() ()	
For non-building-related projects (dams, culverts, bridge repair, etc)	Yes	N/S	Cor	nments	
Property Card					
Soils Map (see above)	X				
Historic Maps (see above)	X				
STAFF REVIEW AREA	Above	D	ate	Below	Date
Indicate date of Review and Initials of Reviewer					
BDA IEAT AANT LAT					

PROJECT CONTACT

Name Mr. Joshua Wilson			Title		Senior Ecologist				
Firm/Ager	ICYFuss and O'Nei	1							
Address	146 Hartfor	d Road							
City	Manchester			State	СТ	Zip	06040		
Phone	860.646.2649	Cell			Fax				
Fmail	Wilson@fando.com								

*Note that he SHPO's ability to complete a timely project review depends largely on the quality of the materials submitted.

** Please be sure to include the project name and location on each page of your submission.



11

ι



Connecticut still revolutionary **State Historic Preservation Office**

One Constitution Plaza | Hartford, CT 06103 | 860.256.2800 | Cultureandtourism.org

PROJECT REVIEW COVER FORM

SHPO USE ONLY

Based on our review of the information provided to the State Historic Preservation Office, it is our opinion that:
No historic properties will be affected by this project. No further review is requested.
This project will cause no adverse effects to the following historic properties. No further review is requested:
This project will cause no adverse effects to the following historic properties, <u>conditional</u> upon the stipulations included in the attached letter:
Additional information is required to complete our review of this project. Please see the attached letter with our requests and recommendations.
This project will adversely affect historic properties as it is currently designed or proposed. Please see the attached letter for further details and guidance.
Canton -125/17
Catherine Labadia Date Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
Pequonnock Substation Improvement Project - Bridgeport

B.2: Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection Natural Diversity Database (NDDB)

B.2.1: NDDB Preliminary Assessment



79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

March 30, 2017

Josh Wilson Fuss & O'Neill, Inc 146 Hartford Road Manchester, CT 06040 jwilson@fando.com

Project: Preliminary Assessment for The United Illuminating Company Pequonnock Substation Relocation at 1 Atlantic Street in Bridgeport NDDB Preliminary Assessment No.: 201702628

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I have reviewed Natural Diversity Database maps and files regarding the area delineated on the map provided for a preliminary assessment of 1 Atlantic Street in Bridgport, Connecticut.

According to our records there are known extant populations of State Threatened Peregrine falcons (*Falco peregrinus*) that occur within or close to the boundaries of this project. Please be advised that this is a preliminary review and not a final determination. A more detailed review will be necessary to move forward with any environmental permit applications submitted to DEEP for the proposed project. **This preliminary assessment letter cannot be used or submitted with permit applications at DEEP**. This letter is valid for one year.

To prevent impacts to State-listed species, field surveys of the site should be performed by a qualified biologist with the appropriate scientific collecting permits at a time when these target species are identifiable. A report summarizing the results of such surveys should include:

- 1. Survey date(s) and duration
- 2. Site descriptions and photographs
- 3. List of component vascular plant and animal species within the survey area (including scientific binomials)
- 4. Data regarding population numbers and/or area occupied by State-listed species
- 5. Detailed maps of the area surveyed including the survey route and locations of State listed species
- 6. Statement/résumé indicating the biologist's qualifications

The site surveys report should be sent to our CT DEEP-NDDB Program (deep.nddbrequest@ct.gov) for further review by our program biologists along with an updated request for another NDDB review. Incomplete reports may not be accepted.

If you do not intend to do site surveys to determine the presence or absence of state-listed species, then you should presume species are present and let us know how you will protect the state-listed species from being impacted by this project. You may submit these best management practices or protection plans with your new request for an NDDB review. After reviewing your new NDDB request form and the

documents describing how you will protect this species from project impacts we will make a final determination and provide you with a letter from our program to use with DEEP-Permits.

Natural Diversity Database information includes all information regarding critical biological resources available to us at the time of the request. This information is a compilation of data collected over the years by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection's Natural History Survey, cooperating units of DEEP, landowners, private conservation groups and the scientific community. This information is not necessarily the result of comprehensive or site-specific field investigations. Consultations with the NDDB should not be substitutes for onsite surveys necessary for a thorough environmental impact assessment. The result of this review does not preclude the possibility that listed species may be encountered on site and that additional action may be necessary to remain in compliance with certain state permits.

Please contact me if you have further questions at (860) 424-3378, or karen.zyko@ct.gov. Thank you for consulting the Natural Diversity Data Base.

Sincerely,

Kaun Zh

Karen Zyko Environmental Analyst

B.2.2: NDDB Areas

(111)Exit 50 Exit 52 Natural Diversity Data Base Exit 49N Exit 49S Trumbull Areas Exit 7 Exit 9 Ox Hill Easton BRIDGEPORT, CT Exit 47 Exit 8 59 December 2017 Plattsville Exit⁷ State and Federal Listed Species & Significant Natural Communities Town Boundary 108 NOTE: This map shows general locations 127 of State and Federal Listed Species and 25 Significant Natural Communities. Information on listed species is collected and compiled by the Natural Diversity Data Base (NDDB) 15 Stratfield Toilsome Hill from a number of data sources . Exact Exit 5 locations of species have been buffered to produce the general locations. Exact locations of species and communities occur somewhere Stratford in the shaded areas, not necessarily in the center. A new mapping format is being employed that more accurately models important riparian Bridgeport Mill Hill and aquatic areas and eliminates the need for Exit 32 Melville Village the upstream/downstream searches required in previous versions. Exit 3 58 East Bridgeport This map is intended for use as a (113) preliminary screening tool for conducting a Exit 31 Natural Diversity Data Base Review Request. To use the map, locate the project boundaries Fairfield Exit 3 and any additional affected areas. If the Newfield project is within a shaded area there may be **Funxis** Hill Exit 29 Exit 28 Exit 2 a potential conflict with a listed species. For Exit 30 more information, complete a Request for Exit 30 Exit₁ Natural Diversity Data Base State Listed Species Review form (DEP-APP-007), and Exit 2 Bridgeport submit it to the NDDB along with the Exit 25 required maps and information. More Exit 25 Exit 26 1 detailed instructions are provided with the request form on our website. Bridgeport Exit 24 Bridgeport Black Rock www.ct.gov/deep/nddbrequest (135) 113 Use the CTECO Interactive Map Viewers at www.cteco.uconn.edu to more precisely Exit search for and locate a site and to view aerial imagery with NDDB Areas. Exit 22 Mill Plain QUESTIONS: Department of Energy and wover Hill Environmental Protection (DEEP) Exit 21 79 Elm St., Hartford CT 06106 Phone (860) 424-3011 Connecticut Department of Energy & Environmental Protection Bureau of Natural Resources Wildlife Division 0.5