



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

August 10, 2016

James R. Morrissey
Attorney
UIL Holdings Corporation
157 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06506

RE: **DOCKET NO. 465** – The United Illuminating Company application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a 115/13.8-kilovolt (kV) replacement substation facility located on an approximately 1.5 acre portion of two adjoining UI-owned parcels directly adjacent to UI's existing Baird Substation, 1770 Stratford Avenue, Stratford, Connecticut, and related transmission structure and interconnection improvements.

Dear Attorney Morrissey:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than August 19, 2016. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as send a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Copies of your responses shall be provided to all parties and intervenors listed on the service list, which can be found on the Council's pending proceedings website.

Yours very truly,

Melanie Bachman
Acting Executive Director

MB/MP

c: Parties and Intervenors
Council Members

Docket No. 465
Development & Management Plan Questions

1. Summarize the methods, materials and procedures that The United Illuminating Company (UI) would use to fill the on-site wetland.
2. Would the entire existing 14-foot fence for the existing Baird Substation be removed and replaced with an eight-foot chain link fence? Would the eight-foot fence be two inch mesh without privacy slats? Has UI considered a smaller mesh size as anti-climbing measure? Why is the fence at the existing Baird Substation being replaced with a new and shorter fence, e.g. due to age and less stringent security requirements for a de-energized substation?
3. Would the proposed fence for the replacement substation have a two-inch mesh in order to accommodate the privacy slats?
4. For the four new structures not previously identified in Petition No. 1176 (i.e. 825 BNN, 825 BNS, 825 BS, and 826S), provide the approximate heights of each structure. Would all new structures be galvanized steel consistent with Petition No. 1176?