



6. Is it not true that construction of the Project may be delayed because certain unknown factors in the composition of soil such as ledge, bedrock and other impediments not yet foreseen by Eversource in their preliminary review?
7. Is it not true that no full studies have yet been undertaken to assess the full impact of the construction because Eversource cannot determine the extent of the variations in the composition of the soil in the various areas?
8. What type of covering on excavations will be used to insure the protection and safety of the public? How big will it be?
9. Has Eversource conducted any traffic studies of the areas in which construction, excavation and or demolition will occur and have those studies been disclosed?
10. How will traffic be redirected around the proposed substation and how long will that take?
11. Has Eversource conducted studies of the impact its construction, excavation and demolition, if any on each potential site and why haven't those detailed studies not been disclosed?
12. Will any of the transmission/distribution lines be laid be active (live) during the Project? Explain. If any of the lines are active or alive what security precautions are to be taken to protect the public?
13. Has a study (studies) been conducted that show the impact of how Greenwich town services will be impacted should there been emergencies such as a fire at the potential substations?
14. Out of any current or potential substation, which lot is the largest of all? By what percentage in comparison with all other sites?
15. Will the current operating substation still be in operation after the Project?
16. What is the amount of proposed impervious surface in relationship to open space for each potential substation location?
17. What is the current percentage of commercial users and the amount of service use by commercial users as opposed to residential?

- a. Who are the top ten users of such electrical service and their percentage use as opposed to residents in Greenwich?
  - b. Who will be the specific beneficiaries of the new substation and lines other than businesses and residents of Greenwich? Who else will the new system benefit?
  - c. Is not the actual need for more load capacity and distribution for the benefit of customers outside of Greenwich? By how much?
  - d. Is this for electricity outside the area or only for use in Greenwich, and how would we monitor that, or know that to be true?
18. Could not a new substation be located in the Stamford area and not the Greenwich area which could re-distribute electrical transmission more efficiently and reduce any need for additional service for Greenwich?
19. Why couldn't the Substation located at 330 Railroad be brought current to address the potential needs of customers and use the vacant land owned by it for supplemental use?
20. Why was the market study for evaluating potential sites for substations not submitted as evidence for the record?
21. Is not the current plan too speculative at this time and highly dependent on obtaining permissions from other agencies to be submitted at this time?
22. Who are the commercial users in Stamford and how much do they use? Is this the reason for putting the sub-station in Greenwich and expanding Cos Cob?
23. Has Eversource conducted any studies on how the market value of real estate will be effected during the construction period?
24. What injurious impact the substations can have on public health? Have you submitted any studies? If so, please indicate to which report that the public may refer to?
25. How much will this Project cost to the ratepayers?
26. Have all studies been submitted on the use of chemicals used to break on rocks that Eversource intends to use on the Project been disclosed to the Public? Please

indicate which report/study has been submitted? Does this study disclose the nature of the chemicals it will use and its toxicity or caustic nature in relationship to the environment it will be using it in and the potential danger caused by seepage or leakage to surrounding areas.

27. Has Eversource conducted an independent study - to find out whether that putting in another substation would not be injurious to everyone's health?
28. How is the Project going to affect the residents in Rye.
29. In what manner is Eversource going to drill through wetland areas?
30. Is Eversource going to designate the Pole Site for a future-designated substation?
31. What are the alternatives to another substation? Could not Eversource supply low-cost loans for people to use solar power or more modern self contained generation systems? Is it possible to use windmill power?
32. What will the actual cost be - not estimated cost at \$140 million? Could the actual costs be much greater than the estimates and under what conditions would the costs increase? Who is going to pay for that?
33. Has Eversource ever embarked on a project of this size without a substantial cost overrun? What makes this Project different from all other that overruns will not occur?
34. Who are the biggest users in Stamford on the same system as Greenwich and their load usage?
35. What is the time sequence - from start to finish – should the Siting Council initially give its approval. Does Eversource not have to obtain further studies and permits?
36. How will the building of the new substation impact the Assessor's office in Greenwich in evaluating the properties that are in close proximity to this monolithic structure?
37. Were not the actual load values been reduced in 2014 and are actually going down because of more efficient ways of reducing load levels rather than by putting in a new substation?

38. What information was determined in evaluating other potential or alterate substation sites? Were any of the studies conducted by sources other than Eversource? Who conducted them and when were they conducted?
39. There is an existing substation, prospect station, across the street, 100 yards away. If you were going to enhance the power availability why could Eversource not do it in that location?
40. Have the closing of title occurred at 330 Railroad?
41. Is there any final design of the substation building and has it met with approval of the Town of Greenwich?
42. Do you need any consents from the Department of Transportation. A letter indicates that utilization of I-95 Corridor or the New Haven Line Corridor would not be endorsed by DOT, is that a final decision?
43. What is the preferred route with Eversource intends to use in installing its lines?
44. What is the anticipated rate increase as the result of the installation and what is their anticipated additional use to counteract the proposed rate increase?
45. Isn't the area of the existing substation and the potential new one within a 100 year floodplain? Isn't the proposed substation at 290 only several feet away from the existing site? When was the last flood that occurred?
46. When has the last blackout taken place in Greenwich?
47. It was mentioned about lightning strikes that hit the Cos Cob Substation 2 days in a row.
  - a. What is the likelihood of that?
  - b. When was the last time there's been a lightning strike?
  - c. In over a period of 10-years how many lightning strikes were there?
  - d. How many have been at the current substation on Railroad St. Site?
48. Is system proposed at the Railroad St. site a generation system or distribution system?
49. Is this for electricity outside the area or only for use in Greenwich, and how would we monitor that, or know that to be true?

50. Eversource says there's a need to do it to handle the increased demand in Greenwich. Where is the increased demand coming from? What's the size of Greenwich now?- What was it 10 years ago? – 5 years ago?
51. If you look at Page 3 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> what does it mean when they say that "the Cos Cob Substation Transformer overloads under certain contingency events starting in 2017"? What events are they referring to?
52. In 2 on Page 3 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> what does it mean when they say; "the distribution feeder overloads supplying power to Prospect substation under certain contingency conditions"? What conditions are they referring to?
53. In 3 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> what do it mean by "Prospect substation transformer overloads in normal operating conditions under peak load starting 2021"? Is this caused by population increase, what is it caused by?
54. Eversource claims both Cos Cob and Greenwich with the new substation would have a total of 269 NVA creating a major of 133 .2 which would be sufficient for reliable service for 30 years". Why would margin be so high?
55. On Page 5 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> there is projected Greenwich customer demand in 2017 under certain contingency events. Once again, isn't this all based on what might happen, not what is in fact happening?
56. Page 6 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> , Eversource states that the project would achieve reduced dependents on heavily loaded Cos Cob which they forecast to exceed it permissible load reading.
  - a. Why would it exceed it?
  - b. What's the forecast based on?
  - c. They also say this project will reduce dependence on the heavily loaded 9 transmission Prospect substation.
  - d. What's the basis for that?
57. Eversource also claims that the project would provide increased reliability for Greenwich customers by providing an independent separate source for distribution fees. That in turn , they claim significantly reduces the risk that one system interruption would create additional outages. Is Eversource guaranteeing there won't be outages if this goes in?
58. Eversource further states it's a more reliable and efficient electric delivery system for Greenwich by providing additional capacity to serve customers near the

center greatest customer demand. What benefit do they get and how does this increase their earning capacity and by how much?

59. Page 7 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> Eversource discusses about this new substation will accommodate the current forecast for growing customer demand as well as future demand. What do they base that statement on?
60. Their lease, namely that of CL&P, has an option, it's a purchase option to buy the property after Feb 28, 2021 provided notices go to landlords not less than 6 months prior. How can anyone be certain as of today that they will purchase the property and have the continued right to utilize it? CL&P has not guaranteed the siting council or anyone else that they will in fact take title to the property. We know that they have to purchase or else hundreds of millions of dollars will be wasted but if that's the case why aren't they doing it now?
61. Why didn't Eversource just utilize 330 Railroad and put it there? Their claim is there's an 80 year old culvert that goes down the middle of the property that's in the flood plain. However, we can agree the flood has never occurred.
62. What is the rate increase going to be, what if it costs \$140 M , \$150 M, \$200, \$250M? Is this project going to be financed? How is it going to be paid for?
63. There is letter of April 1 from Eversource to Planning & Zoning says that the new substation would assume the work of the Byram, (We assume that means Cos Cob, and Prospect substations. But then Eversource goes on to say that Prospect would remain a critical distribution switching station and Byram will be utilized for voltage regulation. Explain the usage of Prospect in Byram in accordance with that letter.

Mark L. Bergamo, Esq.  
The Marcus Law Firm  
(Attorneys)  
275 Branford Road  
North Branford, CT 06471  
Telephone # (203) 481-3330  
[mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com)

Edward L. Marcus, Esq.  
The Marcus Law Firm  
(Attorneys)  
275 Branford Road  
North Branford, CT 06471  
Telephone # (203) 481-3330  
[emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com)

s/ Mark L. Bergamo

Mark L. Bergamo, Esq.

The Marcus Law Firm

(Attorneys)

275 Branford Road

North Branford, CT 06471

Telephone # (203) 481-3330

[mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com)

[emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com)





5. As Eversource has indicated, it has not yet engaged any contractors for the proposed improvements, how can it predict how long it will take to complete the project?
6. Is it not true that construction of the Project may be delayed because certain unknown factors in the composition of soil such as ledge, bedrock and other impediments not yet foreseen by Eversource in their preliminary review?
7. Is it not true that no full studies have yet been undertaken to assess the full impact of the construction because Eversource cannot determine the extent of the variations in the composition of the soil in the various areas?
8. What type of covering on excavations will be used to insure the protection and safety of the public? How big will it be?
9. Has Eversource conducted any traffic studies of the areas in which construction, excavation and or demolition will occur and have those studies been disclosed?
10. How will traffic be redirected around the proposed substation and how long will that take?
11. Has Eversource conducted studies of the impact its construction, excavation and demolition, if any on each potential site and why haven't those detailed studies not been disclosed?
12. Will any of the transmission/distribution lines be laid be active (live) during the Project? Explain. If any of the lines are active or alive what security precautions are to be taken to protect the public?
13. Has a study (studies) been conducted that show the impact of how Greenwich town services will be impacted should there been emergencies such as a fire at the potential substations?
14. Out of any current or potential substation, which lot is the largest of all? By what percentage in comparison with all other sites?
15. Will the current operating substation still be in operation after the Project?
16. What is the amount of proposed impervious surface in relationship to open space for each potential substation location?

17. What is the current percentage of commercial users and the amount of service use by commercial users as opposed to residential?
  - a. Who are the top ten users of such electrical service and their percentage use as opposed to residents in Greenwich?
  - b. Who will be the specific beneficiaries of the new substation and lines other than businesses and residents of Greenwich? Who else will the new system benefit?
  - c. Is not the actual need for more load capacity and distribution for the benefit of customers outside of Greenwich? By how much?
  - d. Is this for electricity outside the area or only for use in Greenwich, and how would we monitor that, or know that to be true?
18. Could not a new substation be located in the Stamford area and not the Greenwich area which could re-distribute electrical transmission more efficiently and reduce any need for additional service for Greenwich?
19. Why couldn't the Substation located at 330 Railroad be brought current to address the potential needs of customers and use the vacant land owned by it for supplemental use?
20. Why was the market study for evaluating potential sites for substations not submitted as evidence for the record?
21. Is not the current plan too speculative at this time and highly dependent on obtaining permissions from other agencies to be submitted at this time?
22. Who are the commercial users in Stamford and how much do they use? Is this the reason for putting the sub-station in Greenwich and expanding Cos Cob?
23. Has Eversource conducted any studies on how the market value of real estate will be effected during the construction period?
24. What injurious impact the substations can have on public health? Have you submitted any studies? If so, please indicate to which report that the public may refer to?

25. How much will this Project cost to the ratepayers?
26. Have all studies been submitted on the use of chemicals used to break on rocks that Eversource intends to use on the Project been disclosed to the Public? Please indicate which report/study has been submitted? Does this study disclose the nature of the chemicals it will use and its toxicity or caustic nature in relationship to the environment it will be using it in and the potential danger caused by seepage or leakage to surrounding areas.
27. Has Eversource conducted an independent study - to find out whether that putting in another substation would not be injurious to everyone's health?
28. How is the Project going to affect the residents in Rye.
29. In what manner is Eversource going to drill through wetland areas?
30. Is Eversource going to designate the Pole Site for a future-designated substation?
31. What are the alternatives to another substation? Could not Eversource supply low-cost loans for people to use solar power or more modern self contained generation systems? Is it possible to use windmill power?
32. What will the actual cost be - not estimated cost at \$140 million? Could the actual costs be much greater than the estimates and under what conditions would the costs increase? Who is going to pay for that?
33. Has Eversource ever embarked on a project of this size without a substantial cost overrun? What makes this Project different from all other that overruns will not occur?
34. Who are the biggest users in Stamford on the same system as Greenwich and their load usage?
35. What is the time sequence - from start to finish – should the Siting Council initially give its approval. Does Eversource not have to obtain further studies and permits?
36. How will the building of the new substation impact the Assessor's office in Greenwich in evaluating the properties that are in close proximity to this monolithic structure?
37. Were not the actual load values been reduced in 2014 and are actually going down because of more efficient ways of reducing load levels rather than by putting in a new substation?

38. What information was determined in evaluating other potential or alterate substation sites? Were any of the studies conducted by sources other than Eversource? Who conducted them and when were they conducted?
39. There is an existing substation, prospect station, across the street, 100 yards away. If you were going to enhance the power availability why could Eversource not do it in that location?
40. Have the closing of title occurred at 330 Railroad?
41. Is there any final design of the substation building and has it met with approval of the Town of Greenwich?
42. Do you need any consents from the Department of Transportation. A letter indicates that utilization of I-95 Corridor or the New Haven Line Corridor would not be endorsed by DOT, is that a final decision?
43. What is the preferred route with Eversource intends to use in installing its lines?
44. What is the anticipated rate increase as the result of the installation and what is their anticipated additional use to counteract the proposed rate increase?
45. Isn't the area of the existing substation and the potential new one within a 100 year floodplain? Isn't the proposed substation at 290 only several feet away from the existing site? When was the last flood that occurred?
46. When has the last blackout taken place in Greenwich?
47. It was mentioned about lightning strikes that hit the Cos Cob Substation 2 days in a row.
  - a. What is the likelihood of that?
  - b. When was the last time there's been a lightning strike?
  - c. In over a period of 10-years how many lightning strikes were there?
  - d. How many have been at the current substation on Railroad St. Site?
48. Is system proposed at the Railroad St. site a generation system or distribution system?

49. Is this for electricity outside the area or only for use in Greenwich, and how would we monitor that, or know that to be true?
50. Eversource says there's a need to do it to handle the increased demand in Greenwich. Where is the increased demand coming from? What's the size of Greenwich now?- What was it 10 years ago? – 5 years ago?
51. If you look at Page 3 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> what does it mean when they say that "the Cos Cob Substation Transformer overloads under certain contingency events starting in 2017"? What events are they referring to?
52. In 2 on Page 3 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> what does it mean when they say; "the distribution feeder overloads supplying power to Prospect substation under certain contingency conditions"? What conditions are they referring to?
53. In 3 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> what do it mean by "Prospect substation transformer overloads in normal operating conditions under peak load starting 2021"? Is this caused by population increase, what is it caused by?
54. Eversource claims both Cos Cob and Greenwich with the new substation would have a total of 269 NVA creating a major of 133 .2 which would be sufficient for reliable service for 30 years". Why would margin be so high?
55. On Page 5 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> there is projected Greenwich customer demand in 2017 under certain contingency events. Once again, isn't this all based on what might happen, not what is in fact happening?
56. Page 6 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> , Eversource states that the project would achieve reduced dependents on heavily loaded Cos Cob which they forecast to exceed it permissible load reading.
  - a. Why would it exceed it?
  - b. What's the forecast based on?
  - c. They also say this project will reduce dependence on the heavily loaded 9 transmission Prospect substation.
  - d. What's the basis for that?
57. Eversource also claims that the project would provide increased reliability for Greenwich customers by providing an independent separate source for distribution fees. That in turn , they claim significantly reduces the risk that one system interruption would create additional outages. Is Eversource guaranteeing there won't be outages if this goes in?



58. Eversource further states it's a more reliable and efficient electric delivery system for Greenwich by providing additional capacity to serve customers near the center greatest customer demand. What benefit do they get and how does this increase their earning capacity and by how much?
59. Page 7 of Eversource's letter of March 19<sup>th</sup> Eversource discusses about this new substation will accommodate the current forecast for growing customer demand as well as future demand. What do they base that statement on?
60. Their lease, namely that of CL&P, has an option, it's a purchase option to buy the property after Feb 28, 2021 provided notices go to landlords not less than 6 months prior. How can anyone be certain as of today that they will purchase the property and have the continued right to utilize it? CL&P has not guaranteed the siting council or anyone else that they will in fact take title to the property. We know that they have to purchase or else hundreds of millions of dollars will be wasted but if that's the case why aren't they doing it now?
61. Why didn't Eversource just utilize 330 Railroad and put it there? Their claim is there's an 80 year old culvert that goes down the middle of the property that's in the flood plain. However, we can agree the flood has never occurred.
62. What is the rate increase going to be, what if it costs \$140 M , \$150 M, \$200, \$250M? Is this project going to be financed? How is it going to be paid for?
63. There is letter of April 1 from Eversource to Planning & Zoning says that the new substation would assume the work of the Byram, (We assume that means Cos Cob, and Prospect substations. But then Eversource goes on to say that Prospect would remain a critical distribution switching station and Byram will be utilized for voltage regulation. Explain the usage of Prospect in Byram in accordance with that letter.

PET PANTRY SUPER DISCOUNT STORES LLC Intervenor

  
s/ Mark L. Bergamo

Mark L. Bergamo, Esq.

The Marcus Law Firm

(Attorneys)

275 Branford Road

North Branford, CT 06471

Telephone # (203) 481-3330

[mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com)

[emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com)

STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

IN RE: Eversource Energy Application for a : Docket # 461  
Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and :  
Public Need for the construction, maintenance, :  
and operation of a 115-kilovolt (kV) bulk substation :  
located at 290 Railroad Avenue, Greenwich, :  
Connecticut, and two 115-kV underground transmission :  
circuits extending approximately 2.3 miles between the :  
proposed substation and the existing Cos Cob Substation, :  
Greenwich, Connecticut, and related substation :  
improvements. : September 22, 2015  
Applicant

**CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that on September 22, 2015, a copy of the foregoing Interrogatories was filed electronically and the original and 15 copies was served to all known parties, applicant and intervenors to: by U.S. Mail first class postage prepaid and by electronic mailing to:

The Connecticut Siting Council  
Ten Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051  
[Sitingcouncil@po.state.ct.us](mailto:Sitingcouncil@po.state.ct.us)

See Attached Service List

Pet Pantry Super Discount Stores LLC Intervenor

By s/Mark L. Bergamo  
Mark L. Bergamo  
The Marcus Law Firm  
Its Attorneys  
275 Branford Road  
North Branford, CT 06471  
Tel. 203-481-3330  
[mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com](mailto:mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com)  
CT Bar Number 035870



**LIST OF PARTIES AND INTERVENORS**  
**SERVICE LIST**

Status Granted	Document Service	Status Holder (name, address & phone number)	Representative (name, address & phone number)
<b>Applicant</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Eversource Energy	<p>Jacqueline Gardell Project Manager Eversource Energy 56 Prospect Street Hartford, CT 06103 <a href="mailto:jacqueline.gardell@eversource.com">jacqueline.gardell@eversource.com</a></p> <p>John Morissette Project Manager-Transmission Siting-CT Eversource Energy 56 Prospect Street Hartford, CT 06103 <a href="mailto:john.morissette@eversource.com">john.morissette@eversource.com</a></p> <p>Jeffery Cochran, Esq. Senior Counsel, Legal Department Eversource Energy 107 Selden Street Berlin, CT 06037 <a href="mailto:jeffery.cochran@eversource.com">jeffery.cochran@eversource.com</a></p> <p>Marianne Barbino Dubuque Carmody Torrance Sandak &amp; Hennessey LLP 50 Leavenworth Street Waterbury, CT 06702 <a href="mailto:mdubuque@carmodylaw.com">mdubuque@carmodylaw.com</a></p>
<b>Party Approved on July 23, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Office of Consumer Counsel	<p>Lauren Henault Bidra, Esq. Staff Attorney Office of Consumer Counsel Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051 <a href="mailto:Lauren.bidra@ct.gov">Lauren.bidra@ct.gov</a></p> <p>Joseph A. Rosenthal, Esq. Principal Attorney Office of Consumer Counsel Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051 <a href="mailto:Joseph.rosenthal@ct.gov">Joseph.rosenthal@ct.gov</a></p>

			Margaret Bain Associate Rate Specialist Office of Consumer Counsel Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051 <a href="mailto:Margaret.bain@ct.gov">Margaret.bain@ct.gov</a>
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Parker Stacy 1 Kinsman Lane Greenwich, CT 06830 <a href="mailto:pstacy@optonline.net">pstacy@optonline.net</a>	
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Pet Pantry Super Discount Stores LLC	Mark L. Bergamo, Esq. Edward L. Marcus, Esq. The Marcus Law Firm 275 Branford Road North Branford, CT 06471 <a href="mailto:mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com">mbergamo@marcuslawfirm.com</a> <a href="mailto:emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com">emarcus@marcuslawfirm.com</a>
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Field Point Estate Townhouses, Inc.	Carissa Depetris Dwight Ueda Field Point Estate Townhouses 172 Field Point Road, #10 Greenwich, CT 06830 <a href="mailto:carissa.depetris@gmail.com">carissa.depetris@gmail.com</a> <a href="mailto:d_ueda@yahoo.com">d_ueda@yahoo.com</a>
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Christine Edwards 111 Bible Street Cos Cob, CT 06807 <a href="mailto:SeeEdwards@aol.com">SeeEdwards@aol.com</a>	
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Richard Granoff, AIA, LEED AP Granoff Architects 30 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830 <a href="mailto:rg@granoffarchitects.com">rg@granoffarchitects.com</a>	

<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Anthony Crudele Bella Nonna Restaurant & Pizzeria 280 Railroad Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830 <a href="mailto:bellanonnagreenwich@gmail.com">bellanonnagreenwich@gmail.com</a>	
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Cecilia H. Morgan 3 Kinsman Lane Greenwich, CT 06830 <a href="mailto:cecimorgan@aol.com">cecimorgan@aol.com</a>	
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 1, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Dr. Danielle Luzzo Greenwich Chiropractic & Nutrition 282 Railroad Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830 <a href="mailto:drdanielleluzzo@gmail.com">drdanielleluzzo@gmail.com</a>	
<b>Intervenor Approved on September 17, 2015</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Mail	Joel Paul Berger 4208 Bell Boulevard Flushing, NY 11361 <a href="mailto:communityrealty@msn.com">communityrealty@msn.com</a>	