



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

December 15, 2014

Jesse A. Langer, Esq.
Updike, Kelly & Spellacy, P.C.
265 Church Street
New Haven, CT 06510

RE: **DOCKET NO. 454** – Tower Holdings, LLC application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at Farmington Tax Assessor Parcel ID Maps 25 and 26, Lots 3A and 3B, 199 Brickyard Road, Farmington, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Langer:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than January 5, 2015. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as send a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Copies of your responses shall be provided to all parties and intervenors listed on the service list, which is posted on the Council's pending proceedings webpage under Docket No. 454.

Yours very truly,

Melanie Bachman
Acting Executive Director

MB/rdm

c: Parties and Intervenors

**Docket 454:
Tower Holdings LLC
Farmington, Connecticut
Pre-Hearing Interrogatories, Set One**

1. Were return receipts received for each abutting landowner identified in the application? If not, list the abutters that did not receive notice and describe any additional effort to serve notice. When was the abutter list compiled?
2. Pursuant to CGS §16-50o, please submit an unredacted copy of the lease for the proposed site. A Motion for Protective Order may accompany this request.
3. Would blasting be required to develop the site?
4. What security measures would be employed to prevent vandalism and unwanted intrusion into the facility?
5. Describe the locations of existing equipment used by WBMW, Dunning and Marcus to provide their existing wireless communications/services. By locating on the proposed site, would these three entities remove their existing equipment from their present locations?
6. Is there a backup power source for WBMW, Dunning and/or Marcus?
7. Is there a tower training structure presently at the 199 Brickyard Road parcel? If so, describe its form and height.
8. Is it possible to construct two separate structures, one for telecommunications use and one for tower training use, on the 199 Brickyard Road parcel?
9. Regarding the Site Search Summary, how did Tower Holdings determine that the 103 Brickyard Road parcel “does not offer any appreciable difference in potential visual impact when compared to the proposed facility? What specific locations were examined on the 103 Brickyard Road parcel and what analyses were performed to determine no appreciable difference in visibility?
10. Regarding the Site Search Summary, what is the height of the smokestack at 168 Brickyard Road?
11. Estimate the number of residential dwellings within a 1,000-foot radius of the proposed site.
12. Provide the street address of the residences shown in the Visibility Analysis Photo locations 18, 19, 20, & 21.