The state of the s

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov www.ct.gov/csc

August 28, 2012

Daniel M. Laub, Esq. Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. Cuddy & Feder LLP 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 428** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at one of two sites: Roxbury Tax Assessor Parcel ID #32-008 off of Route 67, Roxbury, Connecticut, or 126 Transylvania Road, Roxbury, Connecticut.

Dear Attorneys Laub and Fisher:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than September 11, 2012. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Should an additional balloon float be necessary for the photo-simulations requested in questions 6, 7, and 10, those items may be submitted after the hearing to utilize the balloon float scheduled for the date of the hearing as the basis for photo-simulations.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office and a PDF version to be filed electronically. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/MP

c: Council Members Parties and Intervenors



Docket No. 428 Pre-Hearing Questions Set Two

General Questions for both Sites

- 1. Would the electrical installation at the site be consistent with the National Electrical Code?
- 2. Provide a Natural Diversity Database map (similar to Tab D of the Application) with both tower site locations depicted on the map.
- 3. In its response to question three of the Council's first set of interrogatories, AT&T states that the original search area (i.e. search ring) was approximately two miles in diameter. Did the search ring remain two miles in diameter or was it later increased to find a suitable site? Explain.

Site A: Tax Assessor Parcel ID #32-008, off Route 67 Questions

- 4. What would be the consequences of reducing the height of tower by ten feet in terms of handoff and/or coverage?
- 5. On page 14 of the Application, it states that, "An onsite wetland is approximately 295' from the proposed compound." Provide the correct distance.
- 6. Provide photo-simulations of the proposed tower as viewed from the cul-de-sac at Bronson Mountain Road, in the vicinity of Lots 1 and 2. (For reference, photo-simulation no. 9 shows Lot 3.)
- 7. Provide a photo-simulation of the proposed tower as viewed from Transylvania Pond.

Site B: 126 Transylvania Road Questions

- 8. What would be the consequences of reducing the height of tower by ten feet in terms of handoff and/or coverage?
- 9. How many trees with a diameter at breast height of six inches or greater would be removed to construct the facility and access road at this site?
- 10. Provide a photo-simulation of the proposed tower as viewed from Highmeadow Lane.