INLAND WETLANDS DELINEATION REPORT

Prepared for

North Atlantic Towers

For

Proposed Wireless Communication Facility Route 198 Town of Woodstock Windham County, Connecticut, 06282

Connecticut Siting Council Docket No. 423

Infinigy File No.: 226-064



INFINIGY ENGINEERING PLLC LATHAM, NEW YORK

ENGINEERS • SCIENTISTS February 2012

PREPARED BY:

Mark Kiburz Professional Wetland Scientist Certified Professional in Erosion & Sediment Controls

Inland Wetlands Delineation Report

Proposed Wireless Communication Facility Route 198 Town of Woodstock Windham County, Connecticut

Table of Contents

Pro	ject Description	1
File	Reviews	1
2.1	DEEP Review	1
2.1.1	1 Connecticut Hydric Soils	1
2.1.2	2 Connecticut DEEP Natural Diversity Database Mapping	1
2.2	Woodstock Online Wetland Review	2
2.3	FWS National Wetland Inventory Maps	2
2.4	Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species County Review	2
2.5	Online USDA Soils Mapping	2
2.5.2	1 Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils (3)	3
Inla	nd Wetlands	3
3.1	Wetland 1 (Flags W1-1 through W1-9)	3
3.2	Wetland 2 (Flags W2-1 through W2-4)	4
3.3	Proposed Wetland Crossings	4
Wet	tland Assessment	5
4.1	Wetland Functions and Values	5
4.1.1	1 Wetland 1	5
4.1.2	2 Wetland 2	6
Am	phibian Surveys	8
Sun	nmary	8
	Pro File 2.1 2.1. 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.5. Inla 3.1 3.2 3.3 We 4.1 4.1. Am Sun	Project Description File Reviews

Attachments

- 1 Location Map
- 2 Connecticut Mapped Hydric Soils
- 3 Connecticut Listed Threatened and Endangered Species
- 4 Woodstock Inland Wetland and Watercourse Map "13"
- 5 United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetland Inventory Map
- 6 Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species
- 7 USDA Web Soil Survey
- 8 ACOE Wetland Delineation Data Form
- 9 Zoning Drawing (Revised 2/14/12)

1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Infinigy Engineering, PLLC (*Infinigy*) has prepared this *Inland Wetland Delineation Report* for submission to the Connecticut Siting Council (CSC) in connection with North Atlantic Towers' proposed wireless communication facility and associated access route, which is located on a parcel referred to herein as the Farley Property (Attachment 1-Site Location Map).

The proposed project entails the construction of an approximately 2,600-foot long, gravel access road along an existing logging road, and the installation of a 150-foot tall, monopole style wireless communication tower and associated ground-level equipment within a 75-foot by 75-foot fenced equipment compound.

2 FILE REVIEWS

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) online database reviews were conducted for the following sources of information: Connecticut Mapped Hydric Soils (Attachment 2); Connecticut Listed Threatened and Endangered Species (Attachment 3); Woodstock Inland Wetlands and Watercourse Map/"Map 13" (Attachment 4); United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetland Inventory Maps (Attachment 5); Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species county review (Attachment 6); and USDA Web Soil Survey (Attachment 7).

2.1 DEEP Review

2.1.1 **Connecticut Hydric Soils**

Review of the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) online data indicated there are Connecticut mapped hydric soils (Attachment 2) located along the Farley Property access route. According to the Connecticut Inland Wetlands and Watercourse Act, CGS 22a-36, soils surveyed as poorly drained, very poorly drained, alluvial, or floodplain, as well as watercourses such as streams, brooks, rivers, lakes, ponds and marshes, swamps, bogs and vernal pools are classified as regulated wetlands by DEEP.

2.1.2 Connecticut DEEP Natural Diversity Database Mapping

Based on review of the DEEP Natural Diversity Data Base (NDDB) mapping, the Farley Property access route intersects a wetland (identified during *Infinigy's* field delineation activities) which is within one-half of one mile upstream of an area containing a known NDDB recorded species (Attachment 3). The NDDB map states, "*This map is intended for use as a preliminary screening tool for conducting a Natural Diversity Data Base Review Request. To use the map, locate the project boundaries and any additional affected areas. If the project is within a shaded area; or overlapping a lake, pond or wetland that has shading; or upstream or downstream (by less than 1/2 mile) from a shaded area, the project may have*

a potential conflict with a listed species. For more information, complete a Request for Natural Diversity Data Base State Listed Species Review form (DEP-APP-007), and submit it to the NDDB along with the required maps and information. More detailed instructions are provided with the request form on the DEP website." Based on this criteria and review of the NDDB Map dated December 10, 2010, **Infinigy** submitted a NDDB review request on January 6, 2012 (Attachment 3). A response was given by DEEP data February 14, 2012, which state "our records for this site indicate that there are no extant populations of Federal or State Endangered, Threatened or Special Concern Species that occur on this property.

2.2 Woodstock Online Wetland Review

According to the Woodstock Inland Wetlands and Watercourse Map (dated August 12, 2002), the proposed access road crosses one soil type that is classified as wetland (Attachment 4). Refer to Section 2.5.1 Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman Soils for additional information.

2.3 FWS National Wetland Inventory Maps

Review of National Wetland Inventory mapping does not show NWI mapped wetlands within the proposed project access road or lease area (Attachment 5).

2.4 Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species County Review

According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), New England Field Office webpage (<u>http://www.fws.gov/newengland/Endangered Spec-Consultation_Project_Review.htm</u>), there are no known federally listed threatened or endangered species in Windham County (Attachment 6).

2.5 Online USDA Soils Mapping

According to a review of the United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service USDA web soil survey, there are five (5) soil series in the vicinity of the access road and tower location: Ridgebury, Leicester and Whitman soils (3); Sutton fine sandy loam (52C); Canton and Charlton soils (62C); Charlton-Chatfield complex (73C); and Paxton and Montak fine sandy loams (85C).

One (1) soil (Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman) was identified within the proposed access road which is classified as poorly drained. Poorly drained soils are considered to be regulated wetlands by the Connecticut Inlands Wetlands and Watercourse Act. Two (2) locations along the Farley Property access route cross the Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils. These crossings will be discussed in Section 3 (Attachment 6).

Non-hydric upland soils were identified as:

- Sutton fine sandy loam (52C)- Moderately Well Drained
- Canton and Charlton soils (62C)- Moderately Well Drained
- Charlton-Chatfield complex (73C)- Well Drained
- Paxton and Montak fine sandy loams (85C)- Well Drained

2.5.1 **Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils (3)**

Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils series consist of poorly drained, fine sandy loam soils, located in depressions or drainage ways with a depth to restrictive features approximately 30 inches. The parent material of Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils is coarse-loamy lodgment till, derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss. The water table is typically at the surface to a depth of 18 inches, and the soils are typically at a slope between 0 and 5 percent.

3 INLAND WETLANDS.

Wetland 1 and Wetland 2 were identified on the DEEP mapping (Attachment 2), Woodstock Inland Wetlands and Water Course Map (Attachments 4) and the USDA Online Soils Map (Attachment 7), in accordance with the Connecticut Inlands Wetlands and Watercourse Act.

The Farley Property access route wetland delineations were conducted on January 21, 2012 by an *Infinigy* representative, Mark Kiburz, Certified Professional Wetland Scientist. Upon inspection of the existing access road, it was determined that previous logging activities created disturbed soil conditions. The soil disturbance is considered to be an atypical situation with respect to determination of wetland boundaries. As such, *Infinigy* utilized the ACOE 1987 "*Wetland Delineation Manual*" and "*ACOE Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual*: Northcentral and Northeast Region" (2009) to determine the wetland boundary using the methodology for atypical situations. Typically, the ACOE wetland delineation technique uses three criteria (hydric soils, hydrology, and dominant hydric vegetation) to determine the wetland boundaries. In the case of atypical situations, only one of three criteria is needed to identify the wetland boundary. In the case of Wetland 1 and Wetland 2, hydrology and topography were used to identify the wetland boundaries.

3.1 Wetland 1 (Flags W1-1 through W1-9)

Wetland 1 is located at coordinates 41° 56' 11.88" North, -72° 04' 49.53" West, approximately 0.24 miles west of Route 171. A small natural seep flows into the existing logging road. Flowing water approximately 3 inches deep at the deepest point flows down the skidder ruts to a drainage swale, which drains the water from the logging road.

Infinigy utilized the ACOE atypical delineation techniques to delineate Wetland 1. Hydric vegetation was not observed within the proposed access route due to winter conditions and existing disturbance. Wetland data sheets are included in Attachment 8.

Disturbed soils and frozen soil conditions precluded soil sampling. Normally, soils to a depth of 24 inches are inspected to determine soil layering and features. Soil layers would be identified and soil features such as mottling, color, and physical properties would be catalogued. Wetland 1 flows easterly into Bungee Brook.

3.2 Wetland 2 (Flags W2-1 through W2-4)

Wetland 2 is located at coordinates 41° 56' 9.78" North, -72° 04' 38.15" West, approximately 0.07 miles west of Route 171. Wetland 2 is identified on the Woodstock Inland Wetlands and Water Course Map and on the USDA soils map as an area containing wetlands. Wetland 2 is identified on the soils map as Ridgeburg, Leicester and Whitman Soil series. Ridgeburg, Leicester and Whitman Soil series has a drainage classification as poorly drained.

Wetland 2 is classified as a palustrine, needle-leaved forest wetland (Cowardin, et al., 1979). Wetland 2 contains a scrub shrub/emergent understory with various vegetation densities. Vegetation within Wetland 2 was identified as eastern hemlock (*Thuja canadensis*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), soft rush (*Juncus effuses*), moss species (*Sphagnum spp.*) and purple willow-herb (*Epilobium ciliatum*). Winter plant identification techniques were utilized to identify plant species.

Hydrology was identified as standing water and water stained leaves. Topographic rises on each side of the wetland definitively identify the extents of the wetland boundaries.

Disturbed soils and frozen soil conditions precluded soil sampling. Normally soils to a depth of 24 inches are observed to determine soil layering and features. Soil layers would be identified and soil features such as mottling, color, and physical properties would be catalogued. Wetland 1 flows northerly into Wetland 1 and eventually into Bungee Brook.

3.3 Proposed Wetland Crossings

Proposed impacts to Wetland 1 and Wetland 2 are associated with the discharge of fill within the existing disturbed logging road. As proposed, the approximate Wetland 1 and Wetland 2 crossings would consist of the installation of road base and elliptical culverts, totaling approximately 3,100 square feet of wetland disturbance. *Infinigy* is proposing to install corrugated plastic pipe 15% below the surficial grade to facilitate adequate wildlife movement (see Wetland Disturbance Map in Attachment 9 - Zoning Drawings, revision date 2-14-12).

Wetland crossing impacts are being designed to minimize wetland discharges to the maximum extent practicable, while designing for CT DOT Highway Design Manual and Connecticut

Guidelines for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control standards. Standard road installation material consists of compacted sub-grade material free of topsoil and organics.

Wetland 1 will be crossed with an 18-inch elliptical culvert, installed within the existing drainage swale and road base material. At the second crossing, *Infinigy* is proposing to place a 36-inch elliptical culvert pipe. Each culvert will be installed such that the bottom of the ellipical culvert pipe will be 15% below grade, as generally requested by the ACOE, at its lowest elevation to provide a corridor for wildlife movement.

4 WETLAND ASSESSMENT

4.1 Wetland Functions and Values

Wetlands serve a variety of ecological and societal functions depending on the wetland's characteristics. Wetland 1 and Wetland 2 were evaluated to determine the specific functions and values utilizing the Army Corps of Engineers, "*The Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement, Wetland Functions and Values*" (1999) manual.

4.1.1 Wetland 1

Wetland 1 is a groundwater-fed linear wetland, which flows from the adjoining property northeasterly toward the Farley Property. Based on the assessment conducted, Functions and Values provided by Wetland 1 are as follows:

- Groundwater Recharge/Discharge: Groundwater was observed to flow into Wetland 1.
- **Flood Flow Alteration:** Wetland 1 is fed by groundwater; base on its elevated topographic positioning and narrow width, Wetland 1 is unlikely to provide flood storage.
- **Fish and Shellfish Habitat:** Wetland 1 is unable to sustain a fish population based on its shallow depth.
- Sediment/Toxicant Retention: The slopes associated with Wetland 1 do not allow sediment settling.
- Nutrient Removal: Wetland 1groundwater inflow and steep slopes will not allow substantial nutrient removal.
- **Production Export:** Wetland 1 does not produce food for humans. Other species may utilize Wetland 1 and associated vegetation for consumption.
- Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization: Wetland 1 is not associated with a shoreline or stream.
- Wildlife Habitat: Wetland 1 is a narrow linear wetland. Although the site was reviewed in the winter, *Infinigy* estimates various wildlife species could utilize Wetland 1 based on the existing habitat. Wetland 1 is expected to provide mammals, amphibians, birds, and various invertebrates a habitat benefit throughout yearly lifecycles.
- **Recreation:** The property is private land with no known recreational activities other than hunting.

- Educational/Scientific Value: Wetland 1 is not a unique or heritage wetland.
- **Visual Quality/Aesthetics:** The distance from public view excludes Wetland 1 from Visual Quality or Aesthetics benefits.
- **Endangered Species Habitat**: According to DEEP, no known occurrence of endangered species inhabit Wetland 1.

	Wetland Functions and Values	Occurrence Yes or No
Ţ	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	Yes
	Flood Flow Alteration	No
	Fish and Shellfish Habitat	No
Ť	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	No
	Nutrient Removal	No
•	Production Export	Yes
w.	Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	No
2	Wildlife Habitat	Yes
A	Recreation	No
H	Educational/Scientific Value	No
*	Uniqueness/Heritage	No
$\langle \langle \rangle$	Visual Quality/Aesthetics	No
ES	Endangered Species Habitat	No

4.1.2 Wetland 2

Wetland 2 is located at the bottom of a topographic valley that collects both surface and ground water which has produced a forested wetland.

• **Groundwater Recharge/ Discharge:** Groundwater was observed to flow through Wetland 2.

- **Flood Flow Alteration:** Wetland 2 is fed by groundwater and captures surficial flows. The nearly level slope and soil type provide minimal flood storage capabilities. Wetland 2 is a headwater extension to Bungee Brook.
- **Fish and Shellfish Habitat:** Wetland 2 is unable to sustain a fish population based on its shallow depth.
- **Sediment/Toxicant Retention:** The minimal slopes associated with Wetland 2 may allow for sediment retention.
- **Nutrient Removal:** Wetland 2 groundwater inflow and minimal slopes will allow for substantial nutrient removal.
- **Production Export:** Wetland 2 does not produce food for humans. Other species may utilize Wetland 2 and associated vegetation for consumption.
- Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization: Wetland 2 is not associated with a shoreline or stream.

	Wetland Functions and Values	Occurrence Yes or No
	Groundwater Recharge/Discharge	Yes
	Flood Flow Alteration	Yes
	Fish and Shellfish Habitat	No
Ť	Sediment/Toxicant Retention	Yes
	Nutrient Removal	Yes
+	Production Export	Yes
mage 1	Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	No
2	Wildlife Habitat	Yes
A	Recreation	No
-	Educational/Scientific Value	No
\star	Uniqueness/Heritage	No
$\langle \langle \rangle$	Visual Quality/Aesthetics	No
ES	Endangered Species Habitat	No

Table 2

- Wildlife Habitat: Wetland 2 is a narrow linear wetland. Although the site was reviewed in the winter, *Infinigy* estimates various wildlife species could utilize Wetland 2 based on the existing habitat. Wetland 2 is expected to provide mammals, amphibians, birds, and various invertebrates a habitat benefit throughout yearly lifecycles.
- **Recreation:** The property is private land with no known recreational activities other than hunting.
- Educational/Scientific Value: Wetland 2 is not a unique or heritage wetland.
- **Visual Quality/Aesthetics:** The distance from public view excludes Wetland 2 from Visual Quality or Aesthetics benefits.
- Endangered Species Habitat: According to DEEP, no known occurrences of endangered species inhabit Wetland 1.

5 AMPHIBIAN SURVEYS

Amphibian surveys were not conducted due to the time of year. The proposed access route and areas within 20 feet of the proposed access route were observed for the presence of depressions or ponded water. Wetland 2 was identified as an area that has the potential for amphibians to breed. An area located west of Wetland 2 contained ponded water several inches deep. The remaining portion of the review area was not topographical conducive to retain waters necessary for vernal pools.

6 SUMMARY

In summary, two wetlands totaling approximately 3,100 sq.ft. will be impacted by the proposed access road installation. Based on current information, is *Infinigy's* opinion that the project falls within the State of Connecticut and ACOE Category 1 Programmatic General Permit as long as the following conditions are met:

- Less than 5,000 SF of Inland Waters, Waterway and/or Wetland Fill and Secondary Impacts. Fill impacts include all temporary and permanent fill and excavation discharges resulting from a single and complete project, see #5 of General Requirements. Secondary impacts include but are not limited include to impacts to inland waters, waterways or wetlands drained, dredged, flooded, cleared or degraded resulting from a single and complete project. (See 40 CFR 230.11 (g) and (h)).
- The tributary watershed to the culvert is < 1.0 Sq. Mile (640 Acres).
- The culvert gradient (slope) is no steeper that the streambed gradient immediately upstream or downstream of the culvert.
- For the crossing constructed using a single box or pipe arch culvert, the inverts are set > 12 inches below the stream bed elevation.
- For the crossing constructed using multiple box or pipe arch culvert, the inverts of one of the boxes or pipe arch culverts are set > 12 inches below grade.
- For a crossing constructed using a culvert pipe, the inverts are set such that > 25% of the

pipe or 12 inches, which is less, is set below the streambed elevation.

- The culvert is backfilled with natural substrate material matching upstream and downstream streambed substrate.
- The structure does not otherwise impede the passage of fish and other aquatic organisms.
- The structure allows for continuous flow of the 50-year frequency storm flows.
- Not within a FEMA floodplain
- No Threatened/Endangered Species impacts
- No Wild and Scenic Rivers within 0.25 miles
- No vernal pool impacts
- No cultural resource impacts

The existing logging road disturbances have a higher potential for ecological damage (ie., erosion, water quality) than a road installed in accordance with the CT DOT Highway Design Manual such as the proposed access drive. In addition, the proposed access drive and facility will be constructed in accordance with the Connecticut Guidelines for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control to reduce the potential for adverse ecological or environmental impacts. Therefore, the proposed access drive and wetlands crossings are not expected to result in any significant adverse impacts.

SITE LOCATION MAP



CONNECTICUT MAPPED HYDRIC SOILS



CONNECTICUT LISTED THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES



Request for Natural Diversity Data Base (NDDB) State Listed Species Review

All requesters must completely fill out Parts I - VII of this form and submit Attachments A and B, or the request will be rejected as incomplete. **There are no fees associated with NDDB Reviews.**

DEP USE ONLY				
Request No.				
Hardcopy	Electronic files			

Part I: Preliminary Screening

Before submitting this request, you must review the Natural Diversity Data Base "State and Federal Listed Species and Significant Natural Communities Maps" found on the <u>DEP website</u>. Follow the instructions on the map or in this form's instruction document. These maps are updated twice a year, usually in June and December.

Does your site, including all affected areas, meet the screening criteria according to the instructions:

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

Enter the date of the map reviewed for pre-screening: December 2010 Map#ND169

Part II: Requester Information

*If the requester is a corporation, limited liability company, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, or a statutory trust, it must be registered with the Secretary of State. If applicable, the company name shall be stated **exactly** as it is registered with the Secretary of State.

If the requester is an individual, provide the legal name (include suffix) in the following format: First Name; Middle Initial; Last Name; Suffix (Jr, Sr., II, III, etc.).

1.	Requester Company Name*: Infinigy Engineering				
	Name: Mark Kiburz				
	Address: 11 Herbert	Drive			
	City/Town: Latham			State: NY	Zip Code: 12110
	Business Phone: 51	86900790		ext.	Fax: 5186900793
	Requester can best b	e described as:	—		
	Business Entity	☐ Federal Agen	icy 📋 Municipal g	ovt. 📋 State a	agency 📋 Individual
	Tribe	Other (specif	fy):		
	Acting as (Affiliation),	pick one:			
	Property owner	🛛 Consultant	🛛 Engineer	Facility owne	r 🗌 Applicant
	Biologist	Pesticide App	olicator 🗌 Other r	epresentative (s	pecify):
2.	List Primary Contact different from reque Company:	t to receive Natur ster.	al Diversity Data B	ase correspond	lence and inquiries, if
	Contact Person:			Title:	
	Mailing Address:				
	City/Town:			State:	Zip Code:
	Business Phone:			ext.	Fax:
	Email:				

Part II: Requester Information (continued)

	Affiliation of primary contact, check one: Property owner Consultant Engineer				
	🗌 Facility owner 🔲 Applicant 🛛 Biologist 🔲 Pesticide Applicator				
	Other representative (specify):				
3.	3. Project Type:				
	Choose Project Type: Cellular/Communications tower installation/maint. , If other describe:				

Part III: Site Information

I

This request can only be completed for one site. A separate request must be filed for each additional site.

1.	Site Location			
	Site Name or Project Name: Woodstock			
	Town(s): Woodstock			
	Street Address or Location Description: West of the Route 198 and Route 171 int	tersection		
	Size in acres, or site dimensions: 100'x100'	compound ~5,000 linea	r ft of looging road	
	Latitude and longitude of the center of the s	ite in decimal degrees (e.g	., 41.23456 -71.68574):	
	Latitude: 41°56' 22.09"	Longitude: 72° 4	' 54.20"	
	Method of coordinate determination (check	one):		
	GPS Photo interpolation using	CTECO map viewer	Other (specify): Google Earth	
2a.	Describe the current land use and land cove	er of the site.		
	Mature Decideous Forest			
b.	b. Check all that apply and enter the size in acres or % of area in the space after each checked category.			
	Industrial/Commercial	Residential	Forest	
	☐ Wetland	Field/grassland	Agricultural	
	□ Water	Utility Right-of-way		
	Transportation Right-of-way	Other (specify):	_	
D			a state and a state of the stat	

Part IV: Project Information

1. Is the subject activity limited to the maintenance, repair, or improvement of an existing structure within the existing footprint?

Part IV: Project Information (continued)

 Give a detailed description of the activity which is the subject of this request and describe the methods and equipment that will be used.

Installation of a 150' self supporting tower with a 2,500sq ft utility compound. Access will be gained via an existing logging road. An excavator will be utilized to excavate for concrete footings. A bottomless culvert installation will have an approximately discharge of 4,686 square foot.

3. Provide a contact for questions about the project details if different from Part II primary contact.

Name: Mark Kiburz

Phone: 518-339-8765

Email: mkiburz@infinigy.com

Part V: Request Type and Associated Application Type

Check one box from either Group 1 or Group 2, indicating the appropriate category for this request.

Group 1. If you check one of these boxes, fill out Parts I – VII of this form and submit the required attachments A and B.			
Preliminary screening was negative but an NDDB review is still requested			
Request regards a municipally regulated or unregulated activity (no state permit/certificate needed)			
Request regards a preliminary site assessment or project feasibility study			
Request relates to land acquisition or protection			
Request is associated with a <i>renewal</i> of an existing permit, with no modifications			
Group 2. If you check one of these boxes, fill out Parts I – VII of this form and submit required attachments A, B, and C.			
Request is associated with a <i>new</i> state or federal permit application			
Request is associated with modification of an existing permit			
Request is associated with a permit enforcement action			
Request regards site management or planning, requiring detailed species recommendations			
Request regards a state funded project, state agency activity, or CEPA request			
If you are filing this request as part of a state or federal permit application enter the application information below.			
Permitting Agency and Application Name:			
State DEP Application Number, if known:			
State DEP Enforcement Action Number, if known:			
State DEP Permit Analyst/Engineer, if known:			
Is this request related to a previously submitted NDDB request? Yes No			
Enter the previous NDDB Request Number(s), if known:			

Part VI: Supporting Documents

Please check each attachment submitted as verification that *all* applicable attachments have been supplied with this request form. Label each attachment as indicated in this part (e.g., Attachment A, etc.) and be sure to include the requester's name, site name and the date. **Please note that Attachments A and B are required for all requesters.** Attachment C (DEP-APP-007C) is supplied at the end of this form.

Attachment A:	Overview Map: an 8 1/2" X 11" print/copy of the relevant portion of a USGS Topographic Quadrangle Map clearly indicating the exact location of the site.		
Attachment B:	Detailed Site Map: fine scaled map showing site boundary details on aerial imagery with relevant landmarks labeled. (Site boundaries in GIS [ESRI ArcView shapefile, in NAD83, State Plane, feet] format can be substituted for detailed maps, see instruction document)		
Attachment C:	Supplemental Information, Group 2 requirement (attached, DEP-APP-007C) Section i: Supplemental Site Information and supporting documents Section ii: Supplemental Project Information and supporting documents		

Part VII: Requester Certification

The requester *and* the individual(s) responsible for actually preparing the request must sign this part. A request will be considered incomplete unless all required signatures are provided.

"I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto, and I certify that based on reasonable investigation, including my inquiry of the individuals responsible for obtaining the information, the submitted information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

Signature of Requester

Mark Kiburz

Name of Requester (print or type)

Signature of Preparer (if different than above)

Date

1/6/12 Title (if applicable)

Date

Name of Preparer (print or type)

Title (if applicable)

Note: Please submit the completed Request Form and all Supporting Documents to:

CENTRAL PERMIT PROCESSING UNIT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 79 ELM STREET HARTFORD, CT 06106-5127

Or email request to: dep.nddbrequest@ct.gov

Attachment C: Supplemental Information, Group 2 requirement

Section i: Supplemental Site Information

1.	Existing Conditions			
	Describe all natural and man-made features including wetlands, watercourses, fish and wildlife habitat, floodplains and any existing structures potentially affected by the subject activity. Such features should be depicted and labeled on the site plan that must be submitted. Photographs of current site conditions may be helpful to reviewers.			
	Site Photographs (optional) attached			
	Site Plan/sketch of existing conditions attached			
2.	Biological Surveys			
	Has a biologist visited the site and conducted a biological survey to determine the presence of any endangered, threatened or special concern species			
	If yes, complete the following questions and submit any reports of biological surveys, documentation of the biologist's qualifications, and any NDDB survey forms.			
	Biologist(s) name:			
	Habitat and/or species targeted by survey:			
	Dates when surveys were conducted:			
	Reports of biological surveys attached			
	Documentation of biologist's qualifications attached			
	□ <u>NDDB Survey forms</u> for any listed species observations attached			
Sec	tion ii: Supplemental Project Information			
1.	Provide a schedule for all phases of the project including the year, the month and/or season that the proposed activity will be initiated and the duration of the activity.			
2.	Describe and quantify the proposed changes to existing conditions and describe any on-site or off-site impacts. In addition, provide an annotated site plan detailing the areas of impact and proposed changes to existing conditions.			

Annotated Site Plan attached









Connecticut Department of

ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Bureau of Natural Resources Wildlife Division Natural History Survey – Natural Diversity Data Base

February 14, 2012

Mr. Mark Kiburz Infinigy Engineering 11 Herbert Drive Latham, NY 12110

Regarding: Cellular/communications tower installation, Woodstock, CT Natural Diversity Data Base 201200102

Dear Mr. Kiburz:

In response to your request for a Natural Diversity Data Base Review of State Listed Species for a cellular/communications tower installation in Woodstock, CT, our records for this site indicate that there are no extant populations of Federal or State Endangered, Threatened or Special Concern Species that occur on this property.

The Natural Diversity Data Base includes all information regarding critical biological resources available to us at the time of the request. This information is a compilation of data collected over the years by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection's Natural History Survey and cooperating units of DEEP, private conservation groups and the scientific community. This information is not necessarily the result of comprehensive or site-specific field investigations. Consultations with the Data Base should not be substituted for on-site surveys required for environmental assessments. Current research projects and new contributors continue to identify additional populations of species and locations of habitats of concern, as well as, enhance existing data. Such new information is incorporated into the Data Base as it becomes available. If the project is not implemented within 12 months, then another Natural Diversity Data Base review should be requested for up-to-date information.

Please be advised a more detailed review may be conducted as part of any subsequent environmental permit applications submitted to the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection for the proposed site.

Thank you for consulting the Natural Diversity Data Base. If you have further questions, I can be reached by email at <u>Elaine.hinsch@ct.gov</u> or by phone at (860) 424-3011.

Sincerely, Cine Henso Elaine Hinsch

Program Specialist II Wildlife Division

79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106-5127 www.ct.gov/deep Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

WOODSTOCK INLAND WETLANDS AND WATERCOURSE MAP; "MAP 13"



UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY MAPS



FEDERALLY LISTED THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES COUNTY REVIEW

FEDERALLY LISTED ENDANGERED AND THREATENED SPECIES IN CONNECTICUT

COUNTY	SPECIES	FEDERAL STATUS	GENERAL LOCATION/HABITAT	TOWNS
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Westport, Bridgeport and Stratford
Fairfield	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches, Islands and the Atlantic Ocean	Westport and Stratford
	Bog Turtle	Threatened	Wetlands	Ridgefield and Danbury.
Hartford	Dwarf wedgemussel	Endangered	Farmington and Podunk Rivers	South Windsor, East Granby, Simsbury, Avon and Bloomfield.
Litchfield	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Sharon.
	Bog Turtle	Threatened	Wetlands	Sharon and Salisbury.
Middlesex	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches, islands and the Atlantic Ocean	Westbrook
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Clinton, Westbrook, Old Saybrook.
	Puritan Tiger Beetle	Threatened	Sandy beaches along the Connecticut River	Cromwell, Portland
	Bog Turtle	Threatened	Wetlands	Southbury
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Milford, Madison and West Haven
New Haven	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches, Islands and the Atlantic Ocean	Branford, Guilford and Madison
	Indiana Bat	Endangered	Mines, Caves	
	Piping Plover	Threatened	Coastal Beaches	Old Lyme, Waterford, Groton and Stonington.
New London	Roseate Tern	Endangered	Coastal beaches, Islands and the Atlantic Ocean	East Lyme, New London and Waterford.
	Small whorled Pogonia	Threatened	Forests with somewhat poorly drained soils and/or a seasonally high water table	Waterford
Tolland	None			

-Eastern cougar, gray wolf, Indiana bat, Seabeach amaranth and American burying beetle are considered extirpated in Connecticut.

-There is no federally-designated Critical Habitat in Connecticut.

7/31/2008

USDA WEB SOIL SURVEY



Soil Map—State of Connecticut

Natural Resources Conservation Service



State of Connecticut (CT600)			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
3	Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils, extremely stony	0.4	18.5%
52C	Sutton fine sandy loam, 2 to 15 percent slopes, extremely stony	0.2	7.1%
62C	Canton and Charlton soils, 3 to 15 percent slopes, extremely stony	0.4	19.8%
73C	Charlton-Chatfield complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes, very rocky	0.4	17.4%
85C	Paxton and Montauk fine sandy loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	0.8	37.2%
Totals for Area of Interest		2.2	100.0%

Map Unit Legend


State of Connecticut

3-Ridgebury, Leicester, and Whitman soils, extremely stony

Map Unit Setting

Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 37 to 56 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 45 to 55 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 140 to 185 days

Map Unit Composition

Ridgebury and similar soils: 40 percent *Leicester and similar soils:* 35 percent *Whitman and similar soils:* 15 percent *Minor components:* 10 percent

Description of Ridgebury

Setting

Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 5 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 30 inches to dense material
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 6 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very low (about 2.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7s

Typical profile

0 to 5 inches: Fine sandy loam 5 to 14 inches: Fine sandy loam 14 to 21 inches: Fine sandy loam 21 to 60 inches: Sandy loam

Description of Leicester

Setting

Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

USDA

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 5 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 18 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7s

Typical profile

0 to 1 inches: Moderately decomposed plant material 1 to 7 inches: Fine sandy loam 7 to 10 inches: Fine sandy loam 10 to 18 inches: Fine sandy loam 18 to 24 inches: Fine sandy loam 24 to 43 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 43 to 65 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam

Description of Whitman

Setting

Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 12 to 20 inches to dense material
Drainage class: Very poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 12 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: Occasional
Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7s

Typical profile

0 to 1 inches: Slightly decomposed plant material

- 1 to 9 inches: Fine sandy loam
- 9 to 16 inches: Fine sandy loam
- 16 to 22 inches: Fine sandy loam
- 22 to 60 inches: Fine sandy loam

USDA

Minor Components

Sutton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Woodbridge

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Unnamed, frequently flooded Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drainageways

Unnamed, steep slopes Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Unnamed, silt loam surface Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Unnamed, nonstony Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut Survey Area Data: Version 10, Mar 31, 2011

State of Connecticut

52C—Sutton fine sandy loam, 2 to 15 percent slopes, extremely stony

Map Unit Setting

Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 43 to 56 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 45 to 55 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 140 to 185 days

Map Unit Composition

Sutton and similar soils: 80 percent Minor components: 20 percent

Description of Sutton

Setting

Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 30 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7s

Typical profile

0 to 6 inches: Fine sandy loam 6 to 12 inches: Fine sandy loam 12 to 24 inches: Fine sandy loam 24 to 28 inches: Fine sandy loam 28 to 36 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 36 to 65 inches: Gravelly sandy loam

Minor Components

Charlton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Canton

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex

Paxton

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills, till plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex

Leicester

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave

Woodbridge

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Rainbow

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave

Narragansett

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Hills, till plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut Survey Area Data: Version 10, Mar 31, 2011

State of Connecticut

73C—Charlton-Chatfield complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes, very rocky

Map Unit Setting

Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 43 to 56 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 45 to 55 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 140 to 185 days

Map Unit Composition

Charlton and similar soils: 45 percent *Chatfield and similar soils:* 30 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent

Description of Charlton

Setting

Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water
(Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 6s

Typical profile

0 to 4 inches: Fine sandy loam 4 to 7 inches: Fine sandy loam 7 to 19 inches: Fine sandy loam 19 to 27 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 27 to 65 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam

Description of Chatfield

Setting

Landform: Hills, ridges Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to high (0.01 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 6s

Typical profile

0 to 1 inches: Highly decomposed plant material 1 to 6 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 6 to 15 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 15 to 29 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 29 to 80 inches: Unweathered bedrock

Minor Components

Rock outcrop Percent of map unit: 6 percent

Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave

Hollis

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex

Unnamed, red parent material

Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Unnamed, sandy subsoil Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut Survey Area Data: Version 10, Mar 31, 2011

State of Connecticut

85C—Paxton and Montauk fine sandy loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes, very stony

Map Unit Setting

Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 43 to 56 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 45 to 55 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 140 to 185 days

Map Unit Composition

Paxton and similar soils: 55 percent *Montauk and similar soils:* 30 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent

Description of Paxton

Setting

Landform: Drumlins, hills, till plains

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Convex

Parent material: Coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to dense material
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 30 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 6s

Typical profile

0 to 8 inches: Fine sandy loam 8 to 15 inches: Fine sandy loam 15 to 26 inches: Fine sandy loam 26 to 65 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam

Description of Montauk

Setting

Landform: Drumlins, hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from granite and/ or coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from gneiss and/or coarse-

USDA

loamy lodgment till derived from gneiss and/or coarse-loamy lodgment till derived from granite

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 38 inches to dense material
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 24 to 30 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 6s

Typical profile

0 to 4 inches: Fine sandy loam 4 to 14 inches: Fine sandy loam 14 to 25 inches: Sandy loam 25 to 39 inches: Gravelly loamy coarse sand 39 to 60 inches: Gravelly sandy loam

Minor Components

Woodbridge

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Ridgebury

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave

Charlton

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Canton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex

Stockbridge

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Unnamed, nonstony surface Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Unnamed, red parent material Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut Survey Area Data: Version 10, Mar 31, 2011

State of Connecticut

62C—Canton and Charlton soils, 3 to 15 percent slopes, extremely stony

Map Unit Setting

Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 43 to 54 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 45 to 55 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 140 to 185 days

Map Unit Composition

Canton and similar soils: 45 percent *Charlton and similar soils:* 35 percent *Minor components:* 20 percent

Description of Canton

Setting

Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy over sandy and gravelly melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7s

Typical profile

0 to 1 inches: Moderately decomposed plant material 1 to 3 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 3 to 15 inches: Gravelly loam 15 to 24 inches: Gravelly loam 24 to 30 inches: Gravelly loam 30 to 60 inches: Very gravelly loamy sand

Description of Charlton

Setting

Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/ or schist and/or gneiss

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water
(Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability (nonirrigated): 7s

Typical profile

0 to 4 inches: Fine sandy loam 4 to 7 inches: Fine sandy loam 7 to 19 inches: Fine sandy loam 19 to 27 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam 27 to 65 inches: Gravelly fine sandy loam

Minor Components

Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear

Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave

Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear

Hollis

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Landform:* Hills, ridges *Down-slope shape:* Convex *Across-slope shape:* Convex

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut Survey Area Data: Version 10, Mar 31, 2011

USDA

ATTACHMENT 8

ACOE WETLAND DELINEATION DATA SHEETS

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site:
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No within a Wetland? Yes X No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No If ves. optional Wetland Site ID:
Headwater streamt Forested wetland to Bungle Brook and eventually to the Still River to Natchaug River Classic Red Maple wetland,
HYDROLOGY
Wetrand Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)
Field Observations:
Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: US FWS Wothand Mappen / Woodstack In land Wetlandr + Water courses Remarks: Channel yed Flow 24" wide <12" Deep wetland surround Head water Stream. Stream channelization Starts at Property Line. appears to Be Grown water Fed
Cobble in channel to silted in flow channel

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

	Absolute	Dominan	l Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Red Wlanks	10/0	_¥	Facu	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. White Bine	< 5%	_N	DPI	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Percent of Dominant Species
5			<u> </u>	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		= Total Co	over	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				FACW species $6 x^2 = 12$
1. Red Maple	15%	\checkmark	Face	FAC species x 3 =
2. ash Green	10%	Y	Fac	FACU species x 4 =
3 american Holling	5%	Y	E. w	UPL species $x 5 = 5$
A COLORIDA I COLORI			100.00	Column Totals: (A) (B)
5			1	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.33$
^{5.}				
6				Reprid Tool for Hydrophytic Vegetation
7	·			Kapid Test for Hydrophylic Vegetation
		= Total Co	over	\checkmark Prevalence Index is <3 0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plpt size:)	- 21			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. SKUNK Cabbage	30%	<u> </u>	001	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Cinnamon Fern	10%	<u> </u>	tacu	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Jewelweed	10%	ý	Facu	1
4. Sometive Fran	10%	Y	Facw	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Sedge Species	5%	Y		Definitions of Vegetation Strates
6. Viola Species	5%	Ý		Demnitions of Vegetation Strata.
7 TUSSOCK Sedan	25%	1sy	061	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
8				at breast height (DD1), regardless of height.
0				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3 28 ft (1 m) tall
5	·		-	
10				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
11		·		
12				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
		= Total Co	over	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	- 01	N.		
1. Fox Gape	56		. <u> </u>	
2			• .	
3		a		Hydrophytic
4		0 		Vegetation Present?
		= Total Co	ver	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	sheet.)			
Robust + Ha Oth Vant	atin		Vegel	ation density
nearn veger	ano		0	0
Change as elevation	mcr	ease	2.	
5				

SOIL

Samp	oling	Point:	
------	-------	--------	--

nches)	Matrix		Redox	K Features				
	Color (moist)		Color (moist)		Type ¹	_Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
2-10	IOYR 2/1	(00			_		S, It/Deat High	organi C depo
0-12+	10YR 4/2	65%	10yR 4/6	36%	cs	M	S, H& Course Sa	nd.
							High G	2verts
	a se di de se as							5
				<u> </u>				•
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u></u>		
		·:						<u>1</u> ,
		<u> </u>				······································		
	<u></u>	·	1-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-			<u> </u>	······································	
							·	
								
	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	2.	
ype: C=Co dric Soil !	oncentration, D=Depl Indicators:	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, CS	=Covered c	or Coale	d Sand Gra	ins. ² Location: PL=Poi Indicators for Problema	e Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (S	58) (LRF	RR,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LR	R K, L, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B)	,	<i>,</i> ,		Coast Prairie Redox	(A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (LR	R R, MI	_RA 149B)	5 cm Mucky Peat or I	Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed I	Matrix (F2)		, L)	Polyvalue Below Sur	rr r, L) face (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Thin Dark Surface (S	9) (LRR K, L)
_ Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Su	face (F6)	、		Iron-Manganese Mas	ses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
_ Sandy M Sandy G	Gleved Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark 3	ions (F8))		Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 149B) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy R	Redox (S5)						Red Parent Material	(TF2)
_ Stripped	Matrix (S6)		`				Very Shallow Dark Si	urface (TF12)
_ Dark Sul	пасе (57) (LRR R, М	LRA 149B)				Other (Explain in Rer	narks)
ndicators of	f hydrophytic vegetati	on and we	lland hydrology mus	t be present	t, unless	disturbed	or problematic.	
estrictive I	Layer (if observed):							
							Hudria Call Dragont2	
Туре:	2						Hydric Soli Present?	es No
Type: Depth (inc	ches):							
Type: Depth (ind emarks:	ches):			. +1.	0.0			1.15
Type: Depth (inc emarks:	slijeperi	y to	touch	with	ora	janie	S. Definer	1 line
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So il Det	Slippen	y to	touch layer.	with	ora	zanie	5. Definer	1 line
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So 1 Det	Slippen	y to	touch layer.	with	ore	janie	5. Definer	1 line
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So 1 Det So m	Slippen Ween A	to to	touch layer.	with	ora	yani	5. Definer	d line
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So 1) Dett So 1	Slippen Ween A Idy Soils	of B Bel	touch layer. lout tog	with a laye	ore	janie Very	S. Defined Course Sc	1 line nd.
Type: Depth (inc smarks: So il Det So r So r Co c	Slippen Slippen Ween A Idy Soils Idy Soils	to to be	touch layer. lout tog under under	with layeth org	ora	janie Very cs,	S. Defined	d line nd.
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So 1) Det So 1 So 1 Co c	Slippen Ween A Indy Soils Inted + S	oto to Bel	touch layer. lout tog under und	with a laye	ora (yanie Very cs,	S. Definer Course Sc	1 line nd.
Type: Depth (inv emarks: So 1 Det So v Co c	Slippen Ween A Idy Soils Idy A	to to Bel	touch layer. lout tog under with	with layeth org	ore (janie Very cs,	S. Definer Course Sc	1 line nd.
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So i Det So c	Slippen Slippen Ween A Idy Soils Idy Soils	y to to Bel	touch layer. lout tog under und	with laye th org	ore (yanie Very cs,	S. Defined Course Sc	d line nd.
Type: Depth (inv emarks: So 1 Det So 1 Co c	Slippen Slippen Ween A Idy Soils Idy Soils	oto to Bel	touch layer. lout tog undel w	with a laye th org	ore (yanie Very cs,	S. Defined Course Sc	d line nd.
Type: Depth (inv emarks: So i Det So v Co c	Slippen Ween A Idy Soils	to to Bel	touch layer. lout tog undel un	with laye th org	ore	yanie Very cs,	S. Definer Course Sc	1 line nd.
Type: Depth (inc emarks: So i l Det So i Co c	Slippen Slippen Ween A Idy Soils	sto de Bel	touch layer. lout tog under with	with a laye th org	ore (janie Very CS,	S. Defined Course Sc	d line nd.
Type: Depth (inv emarks: So 1 Det So v Co c	Slippen Slippen Ween A Idy Soils Idy Soils	oto to Bel	touch layer. lout tog under with	with a laye th org	or (janie Very cs,	S. Definer	1 line nd.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region Project/Site: Wood Stark City/County: Wind ham Sampling Date: GVS 5 2010 Applicant/Owner: FtP-Florida State: < Sampling Point Investigator(s); Mark Kiburn Wood Stock Section, Township, Range: Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): H, IIs Local relief (concave, convex, none): ____ 40.13 72 Slope (%): 4-15 Lat: 4 04 Long: Datum: chat field Soil Map Unit Name: Charton NWI classification: Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _ __ (If no, explain in Remarks.) No Are Vegetation ____, Soil ____, or Hydrology N_____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes Are Vegetation N, Soil N, or Hydrology N naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Is the Sampled Area Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No within a Wetland? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? No Yes X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) No Flow. Drift drainage feat wich at lines observed. Visibly channelized Before on after Road and Prainage Feature (ephemeral stream) Not Upland HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Y Drainage Patterns (B10) Surface Water (A1) ____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16) Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) X Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) ____ Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Other (Explain in Remarks) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) FAC-Neutral Test (D5) Sparsely Vegetaled Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No ____ Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes _____ No _____ Depth (inches): Yes ____ No ____ Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No __ Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: ion Lines considered water Marks. Drainage Pattern by defined water Course.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: _____

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Indicator Species? Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species
2.			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
3			Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	<u> </u>		Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6		<u> </u>	Prevalence Index worksheet:
7			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
		= Total Cover	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			FACW species x 2 =
1			FAC species x 3 =
2	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FACU species x 4 =
2	-		UPL species x 5 =
	-	·	Column Totals: (A) (B)
5			Prevalence Index = B/A =
6		<u>\</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
			Dominance Test is >50%
			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
2	-		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3.			
4.			Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
5	_		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6	<u>.</u>	·	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
7			at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
9			Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10		<u> </u>	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
12		= Total Cover	height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1			
2			
3 None			Hydrophytic
	1		Vegetation
4			Present? Yes No
Pomarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	shoet)	= Total Cover	
Remarks. (include photo numbers here of on a separate	sneet.)		
Sphagnum on Ro	cks	only.	
	-6-44		

001

Som	nlina	Doint.
Sam	ping	FOIL.

SUIL							Sampling Point:	
Profile Descr	ription: (Describe to	o the depth n	eeded to document	t the indicator o	or confirm	the absence o	of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redox Fe	atures			•	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	% Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
			S. R. S. C.			ð		
	+							
NO	it San	malor						
		pres	and the second sec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<u> </u>		·						
		· <u>····································</u>			<u> </u>			<u></u>
					1			
	L	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
						<u>.</u>		
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 , ,	<u> </u>		
							\	
			·····		······································		<u> </u>	
							\backslash	
							and the second sec	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · ·		
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=C	overed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins. ² Loca	ation: PL=Pore Lining, M=N	latrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:					Indicators f	or Problematic Hydric So	ils ³ :
Histosol ((A1)		Polyvalue Below Su	urface (S8) (LRR	R,	2 cm Mi	uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA	A 149B)
Histic Epi	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)	. ,.		Coast P	rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K	. L. R)
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, ML	RA 149B)	5 cm Mi	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LR	R K, L, R)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky Mine	ral (F1) (LRR K,	L)	Dark Su	urface (S7) (LRR K, L)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Gleyed Mate	rix (F2)		Polyvalu	ue Below Surface (S8) (LRI	R K, L)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Matrix (F3	3)		Thin Da	rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Dark Surface	e (F6)		Iron-Ma	nganese Masses (F12) (LR	RK, L, R)
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Dark Surf	ace (F7)		Piedmo	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (N	ILRA 149B)
Sandy GI	leyed Matrix (S4)	2	Redox Depressions	s (F8)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A,	145, 149B)
Sandy Re	edox (S5)					Red Par	rent Material (TF2)	
Stripped	Matrix (S6)					Very Sh	allow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Dark Sur	face (S7) (LRR R, M	LRA 149B)				Other (E	Explain in Remarks)	
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetati	on and wetlar	d hydrology must be	present, unless	disturbed of	or problematic.		
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Type.								
			- 1			Undata Call I	Descent? Vec	Ne
Depth (inc	hes):		_			Hyuric Soli F	resent? res	NO
Remarks:								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Northcentral and Northeast Region Project/Site: Wood Stock - Farley City/County: Windham Sampling Date: 1/20/12 Applicant/Owner: Florida towen Partness State: CT Sampling Point: 3 Investigator(s): Mark Kibu (Infining) Section, Township, Range: Wood Stock Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): H, Pls Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave State: 1/20/12 State: CT Sampling Point: 3 Jon K Kibu (Infining) Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Concave Soll Not Las: Hi 56 09.66" Long: 72° 04 38.54" Datum: Corogle Sate Soil Map Unit Name: Ridge, Durg, Lecicoto to whit to soil (S No X (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are vegetation 1, soil 1, or Hydrology 1, significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No N Are Vegetation 1, soil 2, or Hydrology 1, aturally problematic? Are Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No N Are Vegetation 2, s
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>Unkk</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>No</u> <u>Unkk</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No</u> <u>If yes, optional Wetland?</u> Yes <u>No</u> <u>If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:</u> Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Lack of Snow access via disturbed access Rood. 12-14 ¹¹ Ruts Visible Soils vere trogen and Uncided to be sampled, Flous to Bungee Brook HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Image: No Image: No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Image: No Image: No Image: No Depth (inches): Image: No Depth (inches): Image: No Image: No Depth (inches): Image: No Imag

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: _____

Tree Stralum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Indicator Species? Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Eastern denlork	_/0 00001		Number of Dominant Species
2. Red Maple			
3-55 Geen ash			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: (B)
4			Percent of Dominant Species
5			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6			Provolonce Index worksheets
7			Total % Cover of Multiply by
		= Total Cover	OBL species x1 =
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			FACW species x 2 =
1. Red Maple			FAC species x 3 =
2			FACU species x 4 =
3			UPL species x 5 =
4			Column Totals: (A) (B)
5			Prevalence Index = B/A =
6			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		= Total Cover	Dominance Test is >50%
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
1. Soft Rush			Morphological Adaptations' (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2. Somaitive Fern			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3. Sphanum			
4. Pupple willow herb.			'Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5			Definitions of Vegetation Strata
6			
7			at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
8			Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
9			and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10			Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11	·		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
12			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
	<u> </u>	= Total Cover	neight.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			
1			
2	. <u></u>		
3	~		Hydrophytic
4		<u> </u>	Vegetation Present? Ves No
		= Total Cover	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s Winter Plant I dent, F,	sheel.) 'Cal	im toch	niques used.

SOIL

Sampling Point:

UOIE			Sampling Point:
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth need	led to document the i	ndicator or confirm f	the absence of indicators.)
Depth Matrix	Redox Features	Type ¹ Loc ²	Texture Remarks
(inches) Color (moist) % Color Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Color (mo	ed Matrix, CS=Covered	Loc ²	Texture Remarks
Histosol (A1) Po Histic Epipedon (A2) Th Black Histic (A3) Th Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Lo Stratified Layers (A5) Lo Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) De Thick Dark Surface (A12) Re Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) De Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Re Sandy Redox (S5) Stripped Matrix (S6) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	Ilyvalue Below Surface (MLRA 149B) in Dark Surface (S9) (L amy Mucky Mineral (F1 amy Gleyed Matrix (F2) pleted Matrix (F3) edox Dark Surface (F6) pleted Dark Surface (F6) edox Depressions (F8)	(S8) (LRR R, RR R, MLRA 149B)) (LRR K, L) 7)	 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland h	ydrology must be prese	nt, unless disturbed o	r problematic.
Туре:			
Depth (inches):			Hydric Soil Present? Yes No
Remarks: Sols disturbe	el + Fr	o Jen-	Not Singled.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site: Woodstock- Farley City/County: Windham Sampling Date: 1/20/12
Applicant/Owner: + lor da tower Partners State: CT Sampling Point: 4
Investigator(s): Mark Kibun Section, Township, Range: Wood Stack
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): It It's
Slope (%): 0-6 Lat: 41° 56 11.45" Long: 72° 04' 48, 51" Datum (5000 9-71)
Soil Man Unit Name: Rides Dury Loicastant tubitan Saila munitis
Are alimentia (hudialarian litic and the state state and the state state and the state sta
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation γ , Soil γ , or Hydrology γ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No N
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site man showing sampling point locations, transacto, important factures, etc.
Sommart of Theorem and the map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No Is the Sampled Area Hydric Soil Present? Yes No within a Wetland? Yes No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Lack of Snow
access via Pisturbed Logging Rand
Flows to Bungee Brook
0-2-37.001
HYDROLOGY
Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
X Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Surface Water Present? Yes X No Depth (inches)
Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe) 74
Remarks:
Spring Fed, flows into Logging Road then Down the Logging
Road to an aRea of channel." yestion .
• •

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point:

1		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: (B)
4		Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6 7		Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Strätter (Plot size:)	_ = Total Cover	OBL species x 1 = FACW species x 2 = FAC species x 2 =
1 2		FAC species x 3 - FACU species x 4 = UPL species x 5 =
3		Column Totals: (A) (B)
5 6		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
Herb Stratum (Blot aire:	_ = Tolal Cover	Dominance Test is >50% Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
1)		Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
3		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
5		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
7		Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sanling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
9		and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in beint
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	_ = Total Cover	
1 2		
3	= Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.) No Vegetation in SK; dl	en Road	

SOIL

Dopui	Motrix			v Footure	e		i the absence of in	50 / Law (1997) -
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u> </u>	Type ¹	_Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
				·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	NI		-0			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	Not -	JG W	pley					
/	/ • •		f			/		
						/).	
			aire					
					/		<u> </u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
				/				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		/	/				
					·		. <u></u>	
					. <u></u>			
	and the second second second		/	-				
1.Turney 0=0.000							. 2	
Hydric Soil Ind	licators:	uon, KM=F	teouceo Matrix, Ca	s=Covere	u or Coate	a Sand Gr	ains. Location	: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol (A	1)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface	(S8) (LRE	R	2 cm Muck	(A10) (I RR K MI RA 149B)
Histic Epipe	edon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B)	(00)(210	,	Coast Prairi	e Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black Histic	c (A3)	(2 <u>11)</u>	_ Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (I	RR R, MI	LRA 149B)) 5 cm Mucky	Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen S	Sulfide (A4)	-	Loamy Mucky M	Mineral (F	1) (LRR K	, L)	Dark Surfac	e (S7) (LRR K, L)
Stratified La	ayers (A5)	(411) -	_ Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2	?)		Polyvalue B	elow Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Bo	Surface (A12)	(ATT) _	_ Depleted Matrix Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Iron-Mangar	UFIACE (59) (LKK K, L) Dese Masses (F12) (LRR K L R)
Sandy Muc	ky Mineral (S1)	_	_ Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Piedmont Fl	oodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E
Sandy Gley	ed Matrix (S4)	_	_ Redox Depress	ions (F8)	,		Mesic Spod	ic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B
Sandy Red	ox (S5)						Red Parent	Material (TF2)
	atrix (S6)	-					Very Shallov	w Dark Surface (TF12)
Stripped Ma	A (ST) (I DD D MI	-RA 149B					Other (Expla	ain in Remarks)
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML							
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML /drophytic vegetatio	n and well	and hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	disturbed	or problematic.	
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed):	n and well	and hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	or problematic.	
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type:	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed):	on and well	and hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	disturbed	or problematic.	
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): es):	on and well	and hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	disturbed	or problematic.	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks:	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): es):	on and well	and hydrology mus	st be prese	ent, unless	disturbed	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks:	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): es):	on and weth	and hydrology mus 	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surface ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frosse	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): as): $\sum_{\partial_1} \int_{\partial_2} \int_{\partial_1} d_1$		- - - - - -	st be prese	ent, unless	s disturbed	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surface ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Fross	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML vdrophytic vegetatic ver (if observed): es): $\sum_{0,j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{$	Ford	tions	St be prese) ak	e to	Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surface ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inchest Remarks: Frose Mixed	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): es): es): es $S_{0,1}$ (Ford	tions	U r	ent, unless	e to	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frose Mixed	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss): ss): ss): ss): ss: ss): ss): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss):	Cond	tions	U r	alu (e to	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Stripped Ma Dark Surface alindicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frose Mixed	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): as): as): as	Cond J, st	tions,	Ur S	able	2 to	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Stripped Ma Dark Surface ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): es): ss)	Cond l,st	tions,	Ur S	able	le to	e to	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML (drophytic vegetatic (er (if observed): $ss): _$ $ss): _$ ss	Cond d, st	tions,	Ur S	able	le to	er problematic. Hydric Soil Pres Samplez 2 to bo	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frose Mixed	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss): ss(ss): ss): ss(ss):	Cond d, st	tions,	Ur S	abl	le to	er problematic. Hydric Soil Pres Sa mplez to bo	ent? Yes No l. gen operation
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): as): as): as	Cond d, st	tions	Ur S) ab(le to	e to b	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): es): ss)	Cond d, st	tions,	Ur S	able	le to	er problematic. Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes <u>No</u>
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): ss): $ss):ss):ss):ss):$	Concl	tions,	Ur S	able	le to	er problematic. Hydric Soil Pres Samplez 2 to bo	ent? Yes No
L Stripped Ma Dark Surfac ³ Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: FroS	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): So(1) = So(1) = S	Cond d, st	tions,	Ur Ur	abl	le to	or problematic. Hydric Soil Pres Samplez 2 to bo	ent? Yes No l. ggen operation
Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): as):	Cond d, st	tions	Ur S	ab(le to	er problematic. Hydric Soil Pres Samples	ent? Yes No
Stripped Ma Dark Surfac Indicators of hy Restrictive Lay Type: Depth (inche Remarks: Frost	ce (S7) (LRR R, ML ydrophytic vegetatic yer (if observed): ss): ss): ss ss ss ss ss ss ss ss	Cond Sond	tions	Ur S	able	le to	eto b	ent? Yes No

ATTACHMENT 9

ZONING DRAWINGS (REVISED 2/14/12)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC UTILITY/PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITY CONSISTING OF A MONOPOLE TOWER, INITIALLY (1) EQUIPMENT SHELTER, AND A UTILITY BACKBOARD WITHIN A FENCED COMPOUND. NO WATER OR SEWER IS REQUIRED.

CODE COMPLIANCE:

ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE CONSTRUED TO PERMIT WORK NOT CONFORMING TO THE LATEST EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. CT BUILDING CODE
- 5. ANSI/TIA/EIA-222-G 6. UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE
- 2. UNIFORM BUILDING CODE
 - ADMINISTRATORS (BOCA)
- 4. UNIFORM MECHANICAL CODE 9. CITY/COUNTY ORDINANCES
- 3. BUILDING OFFICIALS AND CODE 7. NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE
 - 8. LOCAL BUILDING CODE



WOODSTOCK **SITE ID: CT1182** ROUTE 198 WOODSTOCK, CT 06282







LOCATION MAP N.T.S.

infinigy engineering 11 HERBERT DRIVE LATHAM, NY 12110 OFFICE #: (518) 690-0790

FAX #: (518) 690-0793 PROPOSED TOWER HEIGHT: ±150' AGL

LATITUDE:

LONGITUDE: ELEVATION:

DIG ALERT:

CALL FOR UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO DIGGING: 1-800-922-4455 EMERGENCY: CALL 911



±795' AGL

41° 56' 21.5982" N 72°4′54.609″W



PROJECT INFORMATION

SITE NAME:	WOODSTOCK
SITÉ ID:	CT1182
SITE ADDRESS:	ROUTE 198 WOODSTOCK, CT 06282
ZONING JURISDICTION:	TBD
ZONING CLASSIFICATION:	TBD
PARCEL I.D. (M/B/L/U):	LOT 24: 5789/37/24///
ACCOUNT NUMBER:	LOT 24: F0132200
PARCEL SIZE:	LOT 24: ±128.00 ACRES
CONSTRUCTION AREA:	± 92,500 SQFT (±2.12 ACRES)
LATITUDE: LONGITUDE:	41°56'21.5982"N 72°04'54.609"W

PROJECT DIRECTORY

PROPERTY OWNER:

WOODSTOCK TOWER PARTNERS, LLC (860) 963-2133

- NORTH ATLANTIC TOWERS 1001 3RD AVE WEST, SUITE 420 BRADENTON, FL 34205 APPLICANT: JOHN STEVENS (941) 757-5010
- INFINIGY ENGINEERING PLLC 11 HERBERT DRIVE ENGINEER: LATHAM, NY 12110 KEN CURLEY (518) 690-0790
- CUDDY & FEDER LLP 445 HAMILTON STREET, 14TH FLOOR WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601 ATTORNEY: LUCIA CHIOCCHIO, ESQ (914) 761-1300

POWER	COMPANY:
1 0 11 211	0011111111

TELCO COMPANY:

TBD

TBD

DRAWING INDEX

DRWG. #	TITLE	REV.#	DATE
T1	TITLE SHEET	7	2/14/2012
Z1	(REMOVED FROM DRAWING SET)	7	2/14/2012
Z2	OVERALL SITE PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z3	ENLARGED SITE PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z4	GRADING PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z4A	ACCESS ROAD GRADING PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z4B	ACCESS ROAD GRADING PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z4C	ACCESS ROAD GRADING PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z4D	ACCESS ROAD GRADING PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z4E	ACCESS ROAD GRADING PLAN	7	2/14/2012
Z5	GRADING NOTES & DETAILS	7	2/14/2012
Z6	ELEVATION VIEW	7	2/14/2012
Z7	DETAILS	7	2/14/2012
Z8	E&SC DETAILS	7	2/14/2012
Z9	SWM DETAILS	7	2/14/2012



THE PAGE	
	WOODSTOCK CT1182
	ROUTE 198 WOODSTOCK, CT 06281
	NORTH ATLANTIC NORTH ATLANTIC TOWERS TOWERS TOWERS COPRIST USE DESIGN PROPERTY AND COPPRIST USE DESIGN PROPERTY AND COPPRIST USE DESIGN PROPERTY AND COPPRIST OF DESIGN PROPERTY AND COPPRIST OF DESIGN PROPERTY AND COPPRIST COPPRIST OF DESIGN PROPERTY AND COPPRIST OF DESIGN PROPERTY AND
	Drawing Scale: AS NOTED Date: 9/27/11
<u>GRAPHIC SCALE</u> 200' 100' 0 100' 200'	Drawing Title OVERALL SITE PLAN
SCALE (11x17): 1" = 200'-0" SCALE (22x34): 1" = 100'-0"	Drawing Number





GENERAL NOTES: 1. LOCATION OF PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD BASED ON FIELD VISIT BY INFINIGY ENGINEERING ON 1/19/2012 EXISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM LIMITED TOPOGRAPHIC FIELD SURVEY COMPLETED BY INFINIGY SURVEYING ON 1/31/2012 WETLAND DELINEATION COMPLETED BY INFINIGY ENGINEERING ON 1/21/2012 DURING WINTER CONDITIONS

 \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} σ C σ C NILLIN NALLIN FCONNE ENS ONAL States and LICABLE STATE AND NEW ACCESS ROUTE ALD 2/14/ REVISED PER COMMENTS AND 10/11 REVISED PER COMMENTS EKM 9/27/ REVISED PER COMMENTS EKM 9/23, ADDED EASC and SWAI JAK B/18 AD 5/23 REVISED PER COMMENTS REVISED PER COMMENTS MER 3/11/ ISSUED FOR REVIEW BPW 1/19/ **Bulanital / Redalor** _ Date: __3/11/1 ad: AJD Date: 3/11/11 cked: AD Date: 3/11/ 226-064 iect Title WOODSTOCK CT1182 ROUTE 198 WOODSTOCK, CT 06281 TOWF NORTH Drawing Scale: AS NOTED Date: 9/27/11 wing Title GRADING PLAN ing Number **Z4**







	\rightarrow
PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD BASED ON FIELD VISIT BY INFINIGY ON 1/19/2012 DITIONS INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM LIMITED TOPOGRAPHIC	ත8
INEATION COMPLETED BY INFINIGY ENGINEERING ON 1/21/2012 R CONDITIONS	
IN NOTES: MULCH ALL DISTRUBED AREAS	e r 121106 690-075 90-0793
RIP	n e Herber Dam, N) DE: (518) 6
HDPE CULVERT, RIP TLET PROTECTION, T IN LENGTH	
	E CONNOUL
INE BERM - 5 ER - BMP Z9	NO CENSED
NA. HDPE CULVERT, RIP OUTLET PROTECTION, TET IN LENGTH	Synthetic Supervised TO THIS SEARCH IS A VICATION OF APPLICABLE STATE AND (OR LOCAL LAWS) 7 NEW ACCESS ROUTE 8 REVISED PER COMMENTS 9 REVISED PER COMMENTS 10 REVISED PER COMMENTS 11 REVISED PER COMMENTS 12 REVISED PER COMMENTS 13 ADDED EASC and SWM 14 REVISED PER COMMENTS 15 REVISED PER COMMENTS 16 REVISED PER COMMENTS 17 REVISED PER COMMENTS 18 REVISED PER COMMENTS 10 REVISED PER COMMENTS 11 REVISED PER COMMENTS 12 REVISED PER COMMENTS 14 REVISED PER COMMENTS 16 REVISED PER COMMENTS 17 REVISED PER COMMENTS 18 J/1/11 18 REVISEN 10 REVISEN 17/16/11
ROPOSED 15" DIA. HDPE CULVERT, RIP IP INLET AND OUTLET PROTECTION, N 20 LINEAR FEET IN LENGTH	Na. Extential / Revision Apprél Dela Drawm: BPM
	Project Number
	WOODSTOCK
SED 20' WDE ACCESS TILITY EASEMENT	ROUTE 198
	WOODSTOCK, CT 06281
OF DISTURBANCE	4TIC 4TIC PROPERTY AND PROPERTY AND DEPTILS WITHOUT TUB.
ATLANTIC TOWERS 12' MIDE RIVE WITHIN A 20' ACCESS MENT (FINAL ROUTE PENDING), IVE LENGTH = ±2,550 LINEAR WG EXISTING WOODS TRAIL & THROUGH EXISTING WOODS)	NORTH ATLA NORTH ATLA TOWERS TOWERS IN THIS DESIGN TOWERS WIGHT OF NANIGY LISE COPPAGE WATCH OF NANIGY LISE COPPAGE WATCH OF NANIGY LISE
	Drawing Scale: <u>AS NOTED</u> Date:
	Drawing Tite ACCESS ROAD GRADING PLAN
	Drawing Number Z4C



GENERAL NOTES: 1. LOCATION OF PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD BASED ON FIELD VISIT BY INFINIGY ENGINEERING ON 1/19/2012 EXISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM LIMITED TOPOGRAPHIC FIELD SURVEY COMPLETED BY INFINIGY SURVEYING ON 1/31/2012 WETLAND DELINEATION COMPLETED BY INFINIGY ENGINEERING ON 1/21/2012 SITE CONSTRUCTION NOTES: GRASS AND MULCH ALL DISTRUBED AREAS HILLS * PROFILE -EXISTING STONE WALL (TYP.) – PROPOSED 15" DIA. HDPE CULVERT, RIP RAP INLET AND OUTLET PROTECTION, MIN 20 LINEAR FEET IN LENGTH – PROPOSED 15" DIA. HDPE CULVERT, RIP RAP INLET AND OUTLET PROTECTION, MIN 20 LINEAR FEET IN LENGTH MATCH LINE-Z4D_ MATCH LINE Z4C

	ଅ ମ
	- — c
	r i 1110 7933
	e e NY 12 (18) 690-0
	AX: (518 (518)
	E CONNECTION
	NS. SIEVE C
۶ľ	
	No. 24705
. k	CENSEO NE
ぶ	ONAL ENTITI
	UNAUTRANZED ALTERATION OR ADDITION TO THIS DOCUMENT IS A VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE STATE AND/OR LOCAL LAWS
	7 NEW ACCESS ROUTE AUD 2/14/12 6 REVISED PER COMMENTS AUD 10/11/11
þ	5 REVISED PER COMMENTS EKM 9/27/11 4 REVISED PER COMMENTS EKM 9/23/11 1 ADDED EMEC and SMM MC 9/23/11
ļ	ADDED EASS and SMM JHC #/19/11 REVISED PER COMMENTS AND 5/23/11 REVISED PER COMMENTS MER 3/11/11
ļ	0 ISSUED FOR REVIEW BPM 1/19/11 No. Bubmittal / Ravialon Apptd Date
	Drawn:BPMDate:/11/11
	Designed: <u>AD</u> Date: <u>3/11/11</u> Checked: <u>AD</u> Date: <u>3/11/11</u>
	Project Number
	Project Title
	WOODSTOCK
	CTTIOZ
	ROUTE 198 WOODSTOCK, CT 06281
	Prepared For ਉਦ ਦ ਵ
	ERTY AI TUTTION TUTTION CREATO
	ANTIC S S CONTREM CONO
	ATLA ATLA WERS WERSU STUUS STUUS
	TTO, TTO, TTO, TTO, TTO, TTO, TTO, TTO,
	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N
	THIS DK COPYI CLESA CLESA EXPRES
	Drawing Scale:
	AS NOTED Date:
	9/27/11 Drawing Title
	ACCESS
	GRADING
	PLAN
	Drawing Number
	Z4D



ENGINEERING ON 1/19/2012 EXISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM LIMITED TOPOGRAPHIC 2. EAISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM LIMITED TOPOGRAPHIC FIELD SURVEY COMPLETED BY INFINIGY SURVEYING ON 1/31/2012 3. WETLAND DELINEATION COMPLETED BY INFINIGY ENGINEERING ON 1/21/2012 DURING WINTER CONDITIONS

C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	11 Herbert Drive 11 Herbert Drive 11 Herbert Drive 11 Herbert Drive 11 Herbert Drive 11 Herbert Drive 11 Herbert Drive 12 C 20 13 C 20 14 Herbert Drive 14 Herbert Drive 15 C 20 15 C 20 15 C 20 15 C 20 16 C 20 17
CT1 ROU	182 TE 198
WOODSTOO Prenared For	ж, ст 06281
NORTH ATLANTIC	THIS DOCUMENT IS THE DESICH PROPERTY AND DOPTHAGET OF DEPINDENT STATE REMARKING THAL AND DRY THE EXCLUSION USED BY THE TTHA AND DRY THE EXCLUSION OF USE WITH THAT CLEART, AND THAT AND THAT CHARTON EXTERNS WARTENA CONSERVITY OF THE CHARTON
Drawing Scale: AS NOTED	
Date: 9/27/11	
	CESS DAD DING AN
Drawing Number	4E
GRADING & EXCAVATING NOTES:

- ALL EXCAVATIONS ON WHICH CONCRETE IS TO BE PLACED SHALL BE SUBSTANTIALLY HORIZONTAL ON UNDISTURBED AND UNFROZEN SOIL AND BE FREE FROM LOOSE MATERIAL AND EXCESS GROUNDWATER. DEWATERING FOR EXCESS GROUNDWATER SHALL BE PROVIDED IF REQUIRED.
- CONCRETE FOUNDATIONS SHALL NOT BE PLACED ON ORGANIC MATERIAL. IF SOUND SOIL IS NOT REACHED AT THE DESIGNATED EXCAVATION DEPTH, THE 2. UNSATISFACTORY SOIL SHALL BE EXCAVATED TO ITS FULL DEPTH AND EITHER BE REPLACED WITH MECHANICALLY COMPACTED GRANULAR MATERIAL OR THE EXCAVATION BE FILLED WITH CONCRETE OF THE SAME QUALITY SPECIFIED FOR THE FOUNDATION
- ANY EXCAVATION OVER THE REQUIRED DEPTH SHALL BE FILLED WITH EITHER -3 MECHANICALLY COMPACTED GRANULAR MATERIAL OR CONCRETE OF THE SAME QUALITY SPECIFIED FOR THE FOUNDATION. CRUSHED STONE MAY BE USED TO STABILIZE THE BOTTOM OF THE EXCAVATION. STONE, IF USED, SHALL NOT BE USED AS COMPILING CONCRETE THICKNESS.
- AFTER COMPLETION OF THE FOUNDATION AND OTHER CONSTRUCTION BELOW 4 GRADE, AND BEFORE BACKFILLING, ALL EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE CLEAN OF UNSUITABLE MATERIAL SUCH AS VEGETATION, TRASH, DEBRIS, AND SO FORTH.
- 5. USE APPROVED MATERIALS CONSISTING OF EARTH, LOAM, SANDY CLAY, SAND -BE FREE FROM CLODS OR STONES OVER 2-1/2" MAXIMUM DIMENSIONS -BE PLACED IN 6" LAYERS AND COMPACTED TO 95% STANDARD PROCTOR EXCEPT IN GRASSED/LANDSCAPED AREAS, WHERE 90% STANDARD PROCTOR
- REMOVE ALL VEGETATION, TOPSOIL, DEBRIS, WET AND UNSATISFACTORY SOIL 6. MATERIALS, OBSTRUCTIONS, AND DELETERIOUS MATERIALS FROM GROUND SURFACE PRIOR TO PLACING FILLS. PLOW, STRIP, OR BREAK UP SLOPED SURFACES STEEPER THAN THAN 1 VERTICAL TO 4 HORIZONTAL SO FILL MATERIAL WILL BOND WITH EXISTING SURFACE. WHEN SUBGRADE OR EXISTING GROUND SURFACE TO RECEIVE FILL HAS A DENSITY LESS THAN THAT REQUIRED FOR FILL, BREAK UP GROUND SURFACE TO DEPTH REQUIRED, PULVERIZE, MOISTURE-CONDITION OR AERATE SOIL AND RECOMPACT TO REQUIRED DENSITY.
- 7. PROTECT EXISTING GRAVEL SURFACING AND SUBGRADE IN AREAS WHERE EQUIPMENT LOADS WILL OPERATE. USE PLANKING OR OTHER SUITABLE MATERIALS DESIGNED TO SPREAD EQUIPMENT LOADS. REPAIR DAMAGE TO EXISTING GRAVEL SURFACING OR SUBGRADE WHERE SUCH DAMAGE IS DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR'S OPERATIONS. DAMAGED GRAVEL SURFACING SHALL BE RESTORED TO MATCH THE ADJACENT UNDAMAGED GRAVEL SURFACING AND SHALL BE OF THE SAME THICKNESS.
- REPLACE EXISTING GRAVEL SURFACING ON AREAS FROM WHICH GRAVEL SURFACING IS 8. REMOVED DURING CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS, GRAVEL SURFACING SHALL BE REPLACED TO MATCH EXISTING ADJACENT GRAVEL SURFACING AND SHALL BE OF THE SAME THICKNESS. SURFACES OF GRAVEL SURFACING SHALL BE FREE FROM CORRUGATIONS AND WAVES. EXISTING GRAVEL SURFACING MAY BE EXCAVATED SEPARATELY AND REUSED IF INJURIOUS AMOUNTS OF EARTH, ORGANIC MATTER, OR OTHER DELETERIOUS MATERIALS ARE REMOVED PRIOR TO REUSE. FURNISH ALL ADDITIONAL GRAVEL RESURFACING MATERIAL AS REQUIRED. BEFORE GRAVEL SURFACING IS REPLACED, SUBGRADE SHALL BE GRADED TO CONFORM TO REQUIRED SUBGRADE ELEVATIONS, AND LOOSE OR DISTURBED MATERIALS SHALL BE THOROUGHLY COMPACTED, DEPRESSIONS IN THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE FILLED AND COMPACTED WITH APPROVED SELECTED MATERIAL, GRAVEL SURFACING MATERIAL MAY BE USED FOR FILLING DEPRESSIONS IN THE SUBGRADE, SUBJECT TO ENGINEER'S APPROVAL
- DAMAGE TO EXISTING STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES RESULTING FROM CONTRACTOR'S NEGLIGENCE SHALL BE REPAIRED/REPLACED TO OWNER'S SATISFACTION AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE. 9.
- 10. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THE CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE WITH PROPERTY OWNER SO AS TO AVOID INTERRUPTIONS TO PROPERTY OWNER'S OPERATIONS.
- 11. ENSURE POSITIVE DRAINAGE DURING AND AFTER COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 12. ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES SHALL BE MAXIMUM 2 HORIZONTAL TO 1 VERTICAL.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MONITORING SITE VEHICLE 13. TRAFFIC AS TO NOT ALLOW VEHICLES LEAVING THE SITE TO TRACK MUD ONTO PUBLIC STREETS. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CLEANING PUBLIC STREETS DUE TO MUDDY VEHICLES LEAVING THE SITE.

	MULCH	APPLICATION	RATES	
MATERIAL	RATE / ACRE	DEPTH	COVERAGE	ANCHORING
HAY/STRAW	90-100 BALES	4" (WINTER)	90% SURFACE	+HYDROMULCH
WOOD CHIPS	10-20 TONS	2" TO 6"	90% SURFACE	NOT NEEDED
COMPOST	150-450 CYDS	2" TO 4"	100% SURFACE	NOT NEEDED
HYDROMULCH	2,000 LBS	1/4" TO 1/2"	100% SURFACE	**TACKIFIER

- NOTES:
- * HYDROMULCH ANCHORING HAY/STRAW MUST BE APPLIED AT 80 100 LBS PER ACRE ** ADD TACKIFIER PER MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDATIONS IF NOT INCLUDED IN HYDROMULCH

GENERAL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

- THE SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND DETAILS AS SHOWN HERIN AND STIPULATED WITHIN STATE STANDARDS SHALL BE FOLLOWED AND INSTALLED IN A MANNER SO AS TO MINIMIZE SEDIMENT LEAVING THE SITE.
- PRIOR TO COMMENCING LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITY, THE LIMITS OF LAND DISTURBANCE SHALL BE CLEARLY AND ACCURATELY DEMARCATED WITH STAKES, RIBBONS, OR OTHER APPROPRIATE MEANS.
- EROSION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED BEFORE GROUND DISTURBANCE OCCURS. THE LOCATION OF SOME OF THE EROSION CONTROL DEVICES MAY HAVE TO BE ALTERED FROM SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLANS IF DRAINAGE PATTERNS DURING CONSTRUCTION ARE DIFFERENT FROM THE FINAL PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCOMPLISH EROSION CONTROL FOR ALL DRAINAGE PATTERNS CREATED AT VARIOUS STAGES DURING CONSTRUCTION. ANY DIFFICULTY IN CONTROLLING EROSION DURING ANY PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY.
- THE LOCATION OF SOME OF THE EROSION CONTROL DEVICES MAY HAVE TO BE ALTERED FROM THAT SHOWN ON THE PLANS IF DRAINAGE PATTERNS DURING CONSTRUCTION ARE DIFFERENT FROM THE FINAL PROPOSED DRAINAGE PATTERNS. ANY DIFFICULTY IN CONTROLLING EROSION DURING ANY PHASE OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES UNTIL 5 PERMANENT VEGETATION HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEAN OUT ALL SEDIMENT PONDS WHEN REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEER OR THE LOCAL JURISDICTION INSPECTOR. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSPECT EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY TO ENSURE MEASURES ARE FUNCTIONING PROPERLY.
- 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE ACCUMULATED SILT WHEN THE SILT IS WITHIN 12" OF THE TOP OF THE SILT FENCE.
- FAILURE TO INSTALL, OPERATE OR MAINTAIN ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES WILL RESULT IN ALL CONSTRUCTION BEING STOPPED ON THE JOB SITE UNTIL SUCH MEASURES ARE CORRECTED.
- 8. SILT BARRIERS TO BE PLACED AT DOWNSTREAM TOE OF ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES.
- ALL CUT AND FILL SLOPES MUST BE SURFACED ROUGHENED AND 9. VEGETATED WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS OF THEIR CONSTRUCTION.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE ALL EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL 10 MEASURES AFTER COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF PERMANENT GROUND COVER.
- 11. THE ESCAPE OF SEDIMENT FROM THE SITE SHALL BE PREVENTED BY THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AND PRACTICES PRIOR TO, OR CONCURRENT WITH, LAND-DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

STONE BERM LEVEL SPREADER NOTES

LEVEL SPREADER DIMENSIONS:

LEVEL SPREADER TROUGH MINIMUM DIMENSIONS = 30' LENGTH, 4' WIDTH, 2' HEIGHT

LEVEL SPREADER SEQUENCING:

- 1. LEVEL SPREADERS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IMMEDIATEDLY AFTER CLEARING/GRUBBING FOR THE ROADWAY AND PRIOR TO INITIATION OF ANY LAND GRADING ACTIVITIES
- LEVEL SPREADERS TO FUNCTION AS SEDIMENT DEVICES PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF GRAVEL ROAD, AFTER WHICH THEY MUST BE CLEANED OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT 2. AND RESTORED TO THEIR ORIGINAL DESIGN VOLUMES THEN IMMEDIATELY STABILIZED

- OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS: 1. STORAGE AREA OF LEVEL SPREADERS TO BE INSPECTED ON AN BI-ANNUAL BASIS 2. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS TO BE REMOVED TO RESTORE ORIGINAL DESIGN DIMENSION DESIGN DIMENSION
- DISPOSAL OF SEDIMENTS MUST BE IN AN AREA AWAY FOR CONCENTRATED FLOWS
- DISTURBED SOILS MUST BE IMMEDIATELY STABILIZED WITH GRASS SEED AND MULCH

PROTECTED FORESTED BUFFER NOTES

- OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: 1. REMOVAL OF VEGETATION IN FORESTED BUFFERS BELOW LEVEL SPREADERS IS TO BE PROHIBITED FOR THE DURATION OF THE CURRENT AND FUTURE LEASE PERIODS
- BUFFER AREAS ARE TO BE INSPECTED ANNUALLY FOR EVIDENCE OF CHANNELIZATION OR SOIL EROSION BY RUNOFF ORIGINATING FROM THE LEVEL SPREADERS AND FOR REMOVAL OF VEGETATION IN THE DESIGNATED BUFFER AREAS ON THE SITE PLANS

				_			
VEGETATIVE SCHEDULE							
	SPECIES	SPECIES		RATE/1000 S.F.		DATE	
LL FESCUE GR	ASS		1.0)#	APRIL	1 - OCTOBER 15	
RICEA LESPEDEZA SEED BEARING HAY TH OVERSEEDING WEEPING LOVEGRASS		140	0# OCTOBE 2# MARC		BER 1 - MARCH 15 CH 15 - MAY 1		
FERTILIZER MIX							
PLICATION	N, #/ACRE	P2 05	, #/ACRE	K20, #/	ACRE	N, TOP DRESSING	
1st	60 - 90	120 - 180		120 - 180		50	
2nd	60	120		120		-	

VEGETATIVE SCHEDULE						
	SPECIES		RATE/10	00 S.F.		DATE
TALL FESCUE GR	ASS		1.0	D#	APRIL	1 - OCTOBER 15
SERICEA LESPEDEZA SEED BEARING HAY WITH OVERSEEDING WEEPING LOVEGRASS		HAY	140# 0.2#		OCTOBER 1 - MARCH 15 MARCH 15 - MAY 1	
FERTILIZER MIX						
APPLICATION	N, #/ACRE	P2 05	, #/ACRE	K20, #//	ACRE	N, TOP DRESSING
1st	60 - 90	12	0 - 180	120 -	80	50
2nd	60		120	120		_

NOTE:

- GRASS AND MULCH ALL DISTURBED AREAS WITHIN SEVEN(7) DAYS OF FINAL GRADING

HYDRAULIC SEEDING EQUIPMENT

WHEN HYDRAULIC SEEDING AND FERTILIZING EQUIPMENT IS USED, NO GRADING AND SHAPING OR SEEDBED PREPARATION WILL BE REQUIRED. THE FERTILIZER, SEED AND WOOD CELLULOSE FIBER SEEDBED PREPARATION WILL BE RECOINCED. THE FEMILIZER, SEED AND WOOD CELLOLOSE FIBER MULCH WILL BE MIXED WITH WATER AND APPLIED IN A SLURRY. ALL SLURRY INGREDIENTS MUST BE COMBINED TO FORM A HOMOGENEOUS MIXTURE, AND SPREAD UNIFORMLY OVER THE AREA WITHIN ONE HOUR AFTER MIXTURE IS MADE. STRAW OR HAY MULCH AND ASPHALT EMULSION WILL BE APPLIED WITH BLOWER-TYPE MULCH SPREADING EQUIPMENT WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER SEEDING. THE MULCH WILL BE SPREAD UNIFORMLY OVER THE AREA, LEAVING ABOUT 25 PERCENT OF THE GROUND SURFACE EXPOSED.

CONVENTIONAL SEEDING EQUIPMENT

GRADE, SHAPE AND SMOOTH WHERE NEEDED TO PROVIDE FOR SAFE EQUIPMENT OPERATION AT SEEDING TIME AND FOR MAINTENANCE PURPOSES. THE LIME AND FERTILIZER IN DRY FORM WILL BE SPREAD UNIFORMLY OVER THE AREA IMMEDIATELY BEFORE SEEDBED PREPARATION. A SEEDBED WILL BE PREPARED BY SCARIFYING TO A DEPTH OF 1 TO 4 INCHES AS DETERMINED ON SITE. THE SEEDBED MUST BE WELL PULVERIZED, SMOOTHED AND FIRMED. SEEDING WILL BE DONE WITH CULTIPACKER-SEEDER, DRILL, ROTARY SEEDER OR OTHER MECHANICAL OR HAND SEFDER. SEED WILL BE DISTRIBUTED UNIFORMLY OVER A FRESHLY PREPARED SEEDBED AND COVERED LIGHTLY. WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER SEEDING, STRAW OR HAY MULCH WILL BE SPREAD UNIFORMLY OVER THE AREA, LEADING ABOUT 25 PERCENT OF THE GROUND SURFACE EXPOSED. MULCH WILL BE SPREAD WITH BLOWER-TYPE MULCH EQUIPMENT OR BY HAND AND ANCHORED IMMEDIATELY AFTER IT IS SPREAD. A DISK HARROW WITH THE DISK SET STRAIGHT OR A SPECIAL PACKER DISK MAY BE USED TO PRESS THE MULCH INTO THE SOIL.

PROJECTS WITH CT CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE/EROSION CONTROL N

ALL PERIMETER CONTROLS SHALL BE INSTALLED ALL SWALES SHALL BE INSTALLED EARLY IN THI ALL DITCHES, LEVEL SPREADERS, AND SWALES ALL ROADS AND PARKING LOTS SHALL BE STAB

ALL CUT OR FILL SLOPES SHALL BE SEEDED/LC ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE IN

LIMITS ON SIZE OF ALLOWABLE DISTURBED AREA

THE SMALLEST PRACTICAL AREA SHALL BE DIST EXCEED 5 ACRES AT ANY ONE TIME BEFORE PI

DEFINITION OF STABLE:

- AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED STABLE IF ON · BASE COURSE OF GRAVEL HAS BEEN INST. ROADS.
- A MINIMUM OF 85 PERCENT VEGETATED GF A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF NON-EROSIV
- INSTALLED. · OR, ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS

TIME LIMIT_OF EXPOSED SOIL:

ALL AREAS IN THE PROPOSED PROJECT SHALL

STANDARD WINTER NOTES (WHEN APPLICABLE):

ALL PROPOSED VEGETATED AREAS THAT DO NO GROWTH BY OCTOBER 15, OR WHICH ARE DIST SEEDING AND INSTALLING EROSION CONTROL BL AND PLACING 3 TO 4 TONS OF MULCH PER A THE INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL BLAN ACCUMULATED SNOW OR ON FROZEN GROUND SPRING MELT EVENTS.

ALL DITCHES OR SWALES WHICH DO NOT EXHIB OCTOBER 15, OR WHICH ARE DISTURBED AFTER STONE OR EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS APPRO

AFTER NOVEMBER 15, INCOMPLETE ROADWAYS, SHALL BE PROTECTED WITH A MINIMUM OF 3 INCHES OF CRUSHED GRAVEL

	Project Numb
STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT COVERAGE	Project Title
PRIOR TO INITIATING EARTH MOVING OPERATIONS. E CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE (BEFORE ROUGH GRADING). SHALL BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO RECEIVING RUNOFF. ILIZED WITHIN 72 HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINISHED GRADE. OAMED WITHIN 24 HOURS OF ACHIEVING FINISHED GRADE. ISPECTED WEEKLY AND AFTER EVERY 0.5" OF RAINFALL.	WO
<u>A:</u>	WOOD
URBED DURING CONSTRUCTION, BUT IN NO CASE SHALL REVIOUSLY DISTURBED AREAS HAVE BEEN STABILIZED.	Prepared Fo
E OF THE FOLLOWING HAS OCCURRED: ALLED IN AREAS TO BE PAVED OR TO BE GRAVEL ROWTH HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AND MAINTAINED. TE MATERIAL SUCH STONE OR RIPRAP HAS BEEN & (RECPs) HAVE BEEN PROPERLY INSTALLED.	NORTH ATLANTIC
BE STABILIZED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF INITIAL DISTURBANCE.	Drawing S AS NOT
T EXHIBIT A MINIMUM OF 85 PERCENT VEGETATIVE URBED AFTER OCTOBER 15, SHALL BE STABILIZED BY LANKETS ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1, AND SEEDING CRE, SECURED WITH ANCHORED NETTING, ELSEWHERE. KETS OR MULCH AND NETTING SHALL NOT OCCUR OVER AND SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ADVANCE OF THAW OR	Drawing Title
BIT A MINIMUM OF 85 PERCENT VEGETATIVE GROWTH BY R OCTOBER 15, SHALL BE STABILIZED TEMPORARILY WITH OPRIATE FOR THE DESIGN FLOW CONDITIONS.	Drawing Nu
WHERE WORK HAS STOPPED FOR THE WINTER SEASON,	











CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

1. INSTALL EROSION CONTROL BERMS ON THE CONTOUR TO POND RUNOFF 2. BERMS MAY BE USED AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO PERIMETER SILT FENCE 3. USE RESTRICTED TO AREAS OF SHEET FLOW, DO NOT USE IN SWALES 4. REMOVE SEDIMENTS WHEN STORAGE CAPACITY IS REDUCED BY 50% 5. BERMS CONSIST OF 50% ORGANIC MATERIAL AND 50% TOPSOIL MIX 6. LOOSELY PLACE MATERIAL TO ALLOW FILTRATION, DO NOT COMPACT 7. COMPOST MAY BE UTILIZED INSTEAD OF AN ONSITE MATERIAL MIXTURE







CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

1. STONE SIZE - USE 3" CRUSHED STONE, OR RECYCLED CONCRETE EQUIVALENT 2. LENGTH - NOT LESS THAN 75 FEET (EXCEPT IF MOUNTABLE BERM IS INSTALLED)

4. WIDTH - TEN (10) FOOT MINIMUM, BUT NOT LESS THAN FULL ENTRANCE WIDTH. 5. FILTER FABRIC - PLACED OVER ENTIRE AREA PRIOR TO PLACING OF STONE LAYER 6. DIVERSION RIDGE - REQUIRED IF APPROACH GRADE EXCEEDS 2% (MIN 6" HIGH)

MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY. AND PROPERLY DISPOSED ON THE PROJECT SITE. 8. WHEN NECESSARY, WHEELS SHALL BE CLEANED PRIOR TO ENTRANCE ONTO ROADS. 9. WHEN WASHING IS REQUIRED, IT SHALL BE DONE ON A AREA STABILIZED WITH CRUSHED STONE AND WHICH DRAINS INTO AN APPROVED SEDIMENT TRAP OR BASIN.

