

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov www.ct.gov/csc

March 28, 2013

Christopher B Fisher, Esq. Lucia Chiocchio, Esq. Cuddy & Feder LLP 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 409A** - New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 8 Barnes Road, Canaan (Falls Village), Connecticut. Reopening of this docket pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes § 4-181a(b) limited to Council consideration of changed conditions, revised tower site location and modified facility.

Dear Attorneys Fisher and Chiocchio:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than April 15, 2013. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as, sending a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

A list of parties and intervenors can be found on the Council's pending proceedings website.

Yours very truly,

Linda Roberts Executive Director

Enclosure

c: Parties and Intervenors Council Members

LR/RDM/FOC/CM





STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
www.ct.gov/csc

Docket 409 A Connecticut Siting Council Pre-hearing Interrogatories – AT&T

- 1. Has notice of the modified facility location been provided to all property abutters? If so, please provide proof of notice.
- 2. Is the modified facility location the same location that was presented in the Docket 409 Technical Report submitted to the Town of October 29, 2009?
- 3. Please submit detailed site plans of the modified facility, if available.
- 4. Please submit a visibility analysis, in accordance with the Council's July 2012 Application Guide, Section V. G.
- 5. Would development of the modified facility affect any state or federal special concern, threatened or endangered species?
- 6. In AT&T's Motion to Reopen, dated February 15, 2013, Tab 3 depicts six census blocks designated as FCC Unserved areas. List the population of each referenced census block using the most recent census data.
- 7. What are the population criteria and existing signal level thresholds that the FCC uses to determine Mobility Fund Eligible Areas?
- 8. What FCC designated Unserved census blocks would be served by the modified facility? Which of the census blocks would be 100 percent served?
- 9. Is AT&T mandated to provide service to the FCC designated Unserved census blocks?
- 10. Does AT&T intend to apply for Universal Service Funds to construct the facility?
- 11. Are there any schools or commercial day care facilities within 250 feet of the modified facility location?
- 12. How many residential dwellings are within 1,000 feet of the modified site location? What is the distance to the nearest residential dwelling?
- 13. What type of fuel would be used for the on-site generator? How long can it operate before it needs to be refueled? Describe the containment system for the fuel tank.
- 14. Identify the safety standards and/or codes by which equipment, machinery, or technology would be used or operated at the proposed facility?
- 15. Would the site require FAA tower lighting/marking?



- 16. In AT&T's May 20, 2011 filing to the Council, Response 2 discussed the results of an investigation into a potential two tower solution. AT&T identified two possible locations for "southern tower" Dean Property or Hodgkinson Property as potential candidates for a "south tower". Provide a coverage model for both sites, identifying the address of the properties, geographic coordinates and elevation of the modeled locations, and the tower height used for each model.
- 17. What frequency was used for the coverage models in AT&T's Motion to Reopen, dated February 15, 2013, Tab 4?
- 18. Provide a coverage model depicting AT&T's existing coverage, including the approved Cellco 188 Route 7 South facility.
- 19. Estimate the following for the modified site location for both in-vehicle and in-building service:
 - a) coverage area (in square miles) with corresponding population and mileage of major and secondary roads;
 - b) coverage area minus areas of existing coverage (in square miles) with corresponding population and mileage of major and secondary roads.