



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

February 26, 2010

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
Daniel M. Laub, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder, LLP
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601


RE: **DOCKET NO. 383** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 316 Perkins Road, Southbury, Connecticut. REOPENING.

Dear Attorneys Fisher and Laub:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than March 23, 2010. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 20 copies to this office. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,



S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/cdm

c: Council Members
Michele Briggs, AT&T
Parties and Intervenors

**Docket 383: AT&T
Southbury, Connecticut
Pre-Hearing Interrogatories for Reopening**

1. Provide a map showing the specific location(s) in the western portion of the Southbury Training School property that AT&T's radio frequency engineers evaluated for a new tower.
2. At what antenna height(s) was this location evaluated?
3. Provide propagation map(s), at the same scale of those provided in the original application, showing the predicted coverage from this location(s).
4. How many residences are within 1,000 feet of this location(s)?
5. Did AT&T discuss with the Training School leasing a portion of its property for a new tower site? If so, what were the results of these discussions?
6. Would a lower tower at the proposed site at 316 Perkins Road be able to provide the desired coverage in conjunction with a tower of a similar height at one of the following properties identified in the original application as Wolf #1 or #2, Weinstein #1 or #2, Hardy Farms Graham #1 or #2, or Agape Outreach Camp at 206 West Purchase Road (Map 3-90-10A)?
7. What is the lowest height at which a tower at each of two locations—the location proposed in the application and a second location at one of the properties listed in the previous question—could achieve coverage acceptable to AT&T?
8. If a two-tower configuration is capable of providing AT&T's desired coverage, provide a propagation map, at the same scale of those provided in the original application, showing the predicted coverage such a configuration would produce.