

ATTACHMENT A**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1.0</b>	<b>PROJECT OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1	Background and Purpose .....	1-1
1.1.2	Proposed Transmission Upgrades.....	1-2
1.1.3	Proposed Schedule.....	1-9
1.2	Siting Board Jurisdiction.....	1-9
1.3	Overview of Petition.....	1-12
1.4	Overview of Reliability Issues and Power Supply Situation .....	1-13
1.5	Project Approach Selection.....	1-16
1.6	Route and Ancillary Facility Selection .....	1-16
1.6.1	WMECO's Review of Configuration and Siting Alternatives.....	1-16
1.6.2	WMECO's Route Selection Methodology.....	1-17
1.6.3	North Bloomfield Substation to Ludlow Substation Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes .....	1-17
1.7	Comparison of Preferred and Noticed-Alternative 345-kV Line Routes.....	1-22
1.8	Route Selection and Comparison for 115-kV Improvements .....	1-22
1.9	Project Team .....	1-24
1.9.1	Western Massachusetts Electric Company .....	1-24
1.9.2	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.....	1-24
1.9.3	ENSR .....	1-25
1.9.4	Vanesse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB).....	1-25
1.9.5	University of Massachusetts Archaeological Services.....	1-25
1.9.6	Exponent Inc. ....	1-25
1.9.7	Legal Counsel .....	1-26
1.10	Conclusion .....	1-26
 <b>SECTION 1 EXHIBITS.....</b>		
EX. 1.1:	Certificate of the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs on the Expanded Environmental Notification Form, August 1, 2008	
EX. 1.2:	Overview of Route on USGS Maps	
 <b>2.0</b>	 <b>PROJECT NEED.....</b>	 <b>2-1</b>
2.1	Standard of Review: Need for the Project.....	2-1
2.2	Recent Studies of the Regional Needs and Transmission Options: The Southern New England Transmission Reliability (SNETR) Study and the New England East-West Solution (NEEWS) Projects .....	2-2
2.2.1	Brief History of the SNETR Study and the NEEWS Projects .....	2-3
2.2.2	Summary of the SNETR Study.....	2-3
2.2.3	Summary of the NEEWS Project.....	2-10
2.2.4	The Project and NEEWS: An Independent Project Designed on an Integrated and Interdependent Basis with the Other NEEWS Components .....	2-11
2.3	Description of the Existing WMECO System and its Ties to the North-Central Connecticut System of CL&P .....	2-12
2.3.1	The Greater Springfield and the North-Central Connecticut Study Area .....	2-12

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)**

2.3.2	The 345-kV and 115-kV Transmission Facilities of WMECO and CL&P in the Interconnected Greater Springfield/North-Central Connecticut Region.....	2-13
2.3.3	Greater Springfield Area and Connecticut Generation Facilities.....	2-20
2.3.4	Distribution Substation Facilities in the Greater Springfield Area and in Connecticut .....	2-21
2.4	Reliability of Supply Under Applicable Reliability Criteria.....	2-21
2.4.1	The Objectives of the Project.....	2-21
2.4.2	ISO-NE Recognition of Need .....	2-22
2.4.3	National and Regional Reliability Criteria for Transmission System Planning....	2-23
2.4.4	Technical Methods for Evaluating Reliability: Power-Flow Modeling Under Stressed Supply Conditions and Representative Contingencies .....	2-26
2.4.5	Reasonably Stressed System Conditions for Reliability Analyses .....	2-28
2.4.6	ISO-NE Load Forecasting Methods.....	2-29
2.4.7	Generator Availability for Reliability Analyses .....	2-33
2.4.8	Regional Power-Transfer Limits.....	2-35
2.4.9	Springfield Load Under Normal Conditions with the Loss of Critical Local Generating Units .....	2-36
2.4.10	Contingency Analyses (N-1) and Results .....	2-37
2.4.11	Contingency Analyses (N-1-1) and Results .....	2-40
2.4.12	Initial Outage Conditions .....	2-41
2.5	The Solution: The Greater Springfield Reliability Project.....	2-44
2.5.1	The Breckwood Cables Solution: .....	2-44
2.5.2	The Agawam Solution: .....	2-45
2.6	Summary of Results of Power-Flow Analysis.....	2-47

**SECTION 2 EXHIBITS.....**

EX. 2.1:	NPCC's "Basic Criteria for Design and Operation of Interconnected Power Systems," Document A-02 (revised May 6, 2004) ("NPCC Basis Criteria")
EX. 2.2:	NPCC's "Bulk Power System Protection Criteria," Document A-05 (revised January 30, 2006)
EX. 2.3:	ISO-NE Planning Procedure No. 3, "Reliability Standards for the New England Area Bulk Power Supply System" (PP3) (effective date October 13, 2006) ("ISO PP3")
EX. 2.4:	David Ehrlich, Demand Resource Group, "Load Forecast Presentation: Current Methodologies" (May 5, 2006)
EX. 2.5:	ISO-NE, "Load Forecast Methodology Review" (February 27, 2007)
EX. 2.6:	Drs. Joutz and Hale, "An Evaluation of the ISO-NE Long-run Energy and Seasonal Peak Load Forecast Methodology"
EX. 2.7:	ISO-NE, "Long-Run Forecast of Net Energy For Load" (April 2007)
EX. 2.8:	ISO-NE "Sub-Area Forecast of Peak Load & Energy" (May 2007)
EX. 2.9:	ISO- NE "RSP07 Subarea Energy and Seasonal Peak Forecast Update" (May 2007)
EX. 2.10:	Transmission-Connected Generation in Greater Springfield Area and Connecticut
EX. 2.11:	ISO-NE Springfield Area and Connecticut Substation Load Forecast (MW) – REVISED
EX. 2.12:	Pre-GSRP Contingencies
EX. 2.13:	Pre-Project PLOTS
EX. 2.14:	Post-Project PLOTS
EX. 2.15:	Post-GSRP Contingencies
EX. 2.16:	Springfield Dispatches
EX. 2.17:	I.3.9 Letter

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

<b>3.0</b>	<b>PROJECT APPROACHES TO MEET NEED.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Standard of Review: Alternative Approaches.....	3-1
3.2	Alternative Transmission Approaches.....	3-1
3.2.1	Transmission Alternatives Overview: The Southern New England Transmission Reliability Report 1 “Needs Analysis” and Report 2 “Options Analysis”.....	3-3
3.2.2	GSRP Alternatives up to the June 25, 2007 Draft Options Analysis.....	3-5
3.2.3	Twelve Options Combine with Four Routes for Twenty-six Option/Route Configurations.....	3-13
3.2.4	The Transmission Options Analysis as of December 31, 2007.....	3-17
3.2.5	The Greater Springfield Reliability Project (March 2008): The Preferred Solution Re-Configured After the First Quarter 2008 ISO-NE Review Process.....	3-22
3.3	Transmission Options Screening and the Short Listing of Practical and Feasible Transmission Approaches.....	3-30
3.3.1	The ISO-NE Process as Options Screening.....	3-30
3.3.2	Eliminating the Upgrades to the Springfield Cables System by Re-Configuration.....	3-31
3.3.3	The Springfield “345-kV-Only Solution”.....	3-31
3.3.4	The “Lower Voltage Alternative” of Upgrading Only the Springfield Area 115-kV System.....	3-32
3.3.5	The All-Underground 345-kV Solution Along WMECO’s Existing Overhead Transmission Line ROW.....	3-33
3.3.6	Option C: Drop South Route to Manchester on the Basis of Reliability, Costs, Including Related Hartford Upgrades, and Impacts.....	3-41
3.3.7	Eliminating Option B for the Meekville Route on the Basis of Reliability, Costs and Impacts and for the Other Routes, on the Basis of Reliability and Costs or Impacts.....	3-42
3.3.8	Drop All Option A, Series “c”.....	3-45
3.3.9	Drop All Option A, series “3”.....	3-46
3.3.10	Drop All Option A, Series “8”: Breaker-and-a-Half Configuration at Fairmont is Selected Based on Operating and Reliability Benefits.....	3-47
3.4	Comparison of the Four Finalist Transmission Alternatives on the Basis of Costs, Impacts and Reliability: Variation 6a or 6b on the Northern and the Southern Route.....	3-49
3.4.1	Stony Brook 115-kV Connection to Fairmont: Option 6a Versus Option 6b Compared on the Basis of Costs, Impacts and Reliability.....	3-50
3.4.2	Northern Route Versus Southern Route: Select North Principally on Basis of Costs and Impacts.....	3-56
3.5	Final Cost Comparisons for Options 6a North (with and without cables), 6a South (with and without cables), 6b South (with and without cables) and 7a South.....	3-68
3.6	Cost Comparison between the Springfield Solution (Option 6a North without cables) and the PPA (Option 6b North with four cables).....	3-73
3.7	Non-transmission alternative approaches.....	3-75
3.7.1	The Configuration Challenge: Inadequate Transmission Facilities Serving the Interconnected Springfield Load Pocket and the North-Central Portion of the Connecticut Load Pocket.....	3-75
3.7.2	Introduction to the Non-Transmission Alternatives Study.....	3-75
3.7.3	Background of ICF Resources LLC.....	3-76
3.7.4	An Overview of the Springfield System Problem.....	3-76
3.7.5	The ICF Study Methodology.....	3-76

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)**

3.7.6	The ICF Study Details.....	3-81
3.7.7	Study Results and Conclusion.....	3-83

**SECTION 3 EXHIBITS.....**

Exhibit 3.1:	Chapter 7, ISO-NE Options Analysis (including Appendix A, Table A-4)
Exhibit 3.2:	Springfield Option Designation including November 28, 2007 PPA
Exhibit 3.3:	Non-Transmission Alternatives Study, redacted to secure Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII)

**4.0 ROUTE AND ANCILLARY FACILITY SITE SELECTION FOR 345-kV TRANSMISSION LINES .....4-1**

4.1	Standard of Review: "Other Site Locations" .....	4-1
4.2	Overview of Siting Methodology.....	4-2
4.2.1	The Project.....	4-2
4.2.2	Summary of Route and Ancillary Facility Selection Process .....	4-5
4.3	Study Area and 345-kV Route Options .....	4-6
4.4	Route Selection Objectives .....	4-8
4.5	Route Selection Options.....	4-11
4.6	Criteria Analysis of the Feasible Route Options.....	4-12
4.6.1	Route Evaluation Criteria and Scoring .....	4-13
4.6.2	Description of Potential Routes .....	4-17
4.6.3	Analysis and Criteria Scoring of Route Options.....	4-24
4.6.4	Cost Estimates.....	4-32
4.6.5	Reliability.....	4-33
4.6.6	Impact, Cost, and Reliability Conclusions on 345-kV Line-Route Selection.....	4-34
4.6.7	Description and Selection of Potential 345-kV Underground Line Route for the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route – South Agawam Switching Station to Agawam Substation (Section 2).....	4-36

**SECTION 4 EXHIBITS.....**

EX. 4.1:	Photographs along the Preferred Northern Route and Noticed-Alternative Southern Route – REVISED
----------	---

**5.0 COMPARISON OF PROPOSED 345-kV FACILITIES ALONG PREFERRED NORTHERN AND NOTICED-ALTERNATIVE SOUTHERN ROUTES .....5-1**

5.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.2	Standard of Review .....	5-3
5.3	Environmental Comparison of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes .....	5-4
5.3.1	Traffic/Transportation Infrastructure .....	5-4
5.3.2	Land Use .....	5-10
5.3.3	Noise .....	5-21
5.3.4	Visual and Aesthetics.....	5-25
5.3.5	Wetlands and Vernal Pools.....	5-41
5.3.6	Protected Species and Habitats .....	5-55

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)**

5.3.7	Surface Waters .....	5-61
5.3.8	Drinking Water Supplies.....	5-69
5.3.9	Environmental Screening - Potential Sites of Environmental Concern (OHM) ...	5-73
5.3.10	Electric and Magnetic Fields.....	5-83
5.3.11	Tree Clearing .....	5-92
5.3.12	Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	5-96
5.4	Substations .....	5-100
5.4.1	Ludlow Substation .....	5-101
5.4.2	Agawam Substation .....	5-103
5.5	Overhead Construction Methods and Schedule .....	5-103
5.5.1	Construction Methods.....	5-104
5.6	Noticed-Alternative Southern Route - Summary Comparison of Underground and Overhead 345-kV Variation .....	5-106
5.6.1	345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Reliability Comparison to Overhead Alternative.....	5-107
5.6.2	345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Cost Comparison to Overhead Alternative.....	5-107
5.6.3	345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Impacts Comparison to Overhead Alternative.....	5-108
5.7	Cost of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes and Substations..	5-109
5.8	Reliability of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes .....	5-111
5.9	Conclusion .....	5-111

**SECTION 5 EXHIBITS.....**

Exhibit 5.1:	Typical Cross-Sections and Photo Simulations
Exhibit 5.2:	Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale
Exhibit 5.3:	Electric and Magnetic Fields: Greater Springfield Reliability Project – REVISED
Exhibit 5.4:	Electric and Magnetic Fields: Level Summary Tables
Exhibit 5.5:	Electric and Magnetic Fields: Profiles
Exhibit 5.6:	Environmental Sound Assessment Study
Exhibit 5.7:	Ludlow Substation Drawing
Exhibit 5.8:	Agawam Substation Drawing
Exhibit 5.9	Representative Construction Photos

**6.0 ROUTE SELECTION FOR 115-kV IMPROVEMENTS.....6-1**

6.1	Re-Building/Re-Conductoring of Existing 115-kV Overhead Lines .....	6-1
6.1.1	The Proposed 115-kV Improvements .....	6-1
6.1.2	The Physical Configuration of the 115-kV Improvements and the Alternative 115-kV Routes.....	6-4
6.1.3	Route Sections for 115-kV Improvements.....	6-7
6.2	Summary of 115-kV Line Route Selection Process.....	6-10
6.3	Study Area and 115-kV Underground Line Route Options .....	6-11
6.4	Route Selection Objectives .....	6-13
6.5	Criteria Analysis of the Feasible Route Options.....	6-13
6.5.1	Methodology for Route Evaluation Criteria and Scoring .....	6-15
6.5.2	Summary of Route Alternatives for the 115-kV Overhead Line Route Sections .	6-17
6.6	Criteria Scoring and Comparisons for the Alternative 115-kV Routes.....	6-18

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)**

6.6.1	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 1 .....	6-18
6.6.2	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 2 .....	6-24
6.6.3	Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 2 .....	6-30
6.6.4	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 3 .....	6-31
6.6.5	Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 3 .....	6-37
6.6.6	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 4 .....	6-38
6.6.6A	Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 4 .....	6-44A
6.6.7	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 5 .....	6-45
6.6.8	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 6 .....	6-50
6.6.9	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 7 .....	6-54
6.6.10	Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 7 .....	6-61
6.6.11	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 8 .....	6-62
6.6.12	Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 9 .....	6-68
6.7	Cost and Reliability Comparisons Among the Alternative 115-kV Routes .....	6-74

**SECTION 6 EXHIBITS .....**

- Exhibit 6.1: Alternative Cross-Sections for 115-kV  
 Exhibit 6.2: Photographs along the 115-kV Underground Line Routes

**7.0 COMPARISON OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND 115-kV FACILITIES .7-1**

7.1	Review Methodology and Overview of Results .....	7-1
7.1.1	Summary .....	7-1
7.1.2	Overview of Reliability .....	7-5
7.1.3	Overview of Magnetic Field Level on Revised Overhead Line ROW and Underground Alternative Line Routes .....	7-6
7.2	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-Building/Re- Conductoring of the Existing Overhead 115-kV Lines .....	7-15
7.2.1	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 1 .....	7-16
7.2.2	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 2 .....	7-30
7.2.3	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 3 .....	7-44
7.2.4	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 4 .....	7-56
7.2.5	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 5 .....	7-69
7.2.6	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 6 .....	7-80
7.2.7	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 7 .....	7-93
7.2.8	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 8 .....	7-107
7.2.9	Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re- Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 9 .....	7-119

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

7.3	Re-Building and Re-Conductoring Existing Overhead 115-kV Lines Along “Spurs” Intersecting the Existing Overhead 115-kV System on the Preferred Northern Route....	7-132
7.3.1	Cadwell Switching Station to Shawinigan Switching Station and Exit 6 Junction .....	7-136
7.3.2	Orchard Junction to Orchard Substation .....	7-149
7.4	Proposed 115-kV Ancillary Facility Construction and Modifications.....	7-158
7.4.1	Fairmont Switching Station .....	7-158
7.4.2	Cadwell Switching Station.....	7-166
7.4.3	South Agawam Switching Station .....	7-185
7.4.4	Piper Substation .....	7-189
7.4.5	Orchard Substation.....	7-193
7.4.6	Shawinigan Switching Station .....	7-197
7.4.7	Chicopee Substation.....	7-201
7.4.8	Breckwood Substation .....	7-205
7.4.9	115-kV Modifications at the Ludlow Substation .....	7-209
7.4.10	115-kV Modifications at the Agawam Substation .....	7-213

### SECTION 7 EXHIBITS.....

- EX. 7.1: Photographs along “Spur” Routes  
 EX. 7.2: Cadwell Switching Station Possible Construction Sequence

### 8.0 CONSISTENCY WITH THE CURRENT HEALTH, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, AND RESOURCE USE AND DEVELOPMENT POLICIES OF THE COMMONWEALTH.....8-1

8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.2	Health Policies .....	8-1
8.3	Environmental Protection Policies.....	8-1
8.4	Resource Use and Development Policies.....	8-6

### 9.0 PUBLIC OUTREACH.....9-1

9.1	Neighborhood Outreach.....	9-2
9.1.1	Business, Community and Civic Leaders/Groups .....	9-3
9.1.2	Environmental Groups .....	9-3
9.1.3	Communications During Construction .....	9-3

## GLOSSARY