

ATTACHMENT A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJECT OVERVIEW	1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1	Background and Purpose	1-1
1.1.2	Proposed Transmission Upgrades.....	1-2
1.1.3	Proposed Schedule	1-9
1.2	Siting Board Jurisdiction.....	1-9
1.3	Overview of Petition	1-12
1.4	Overview of Reliability Issues and Power Supply Situation	1-13
1.5	Project Approach Selection.....	1-16
1.6	Route and Ancillary Facility Selection	1-16
1.6.1	WMECO's Review of Configuration and Siting Alternatives.....	1-16
1.6.2	WMECO's Route Selection Methodology.....	1-17
1.6.3	North Bloomfield Substation to Ludlow Substation Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes	1-17
1.7	Comparison of Preferred and Noticed-Alternative 345-kV Line Routes.....	1-22
1.8	Route Selection and Comparison for 115-kV Improvements	1-22
1.9	Project Team	1-24
1.9.1	Western Massachusetts Electric Company	1-24
1.9.2	Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.....	1-24
1.9.3	ENSR	1-25
1.9.4	Vanesse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB).....	1-25
1.9.5	University of Massachusetts Archaeological Services.....	1-25
1.9.6	Exponent Inc.	1-25
1.9.7	Legal Counsel	1-26
1.10	Conclusion	1-26
SECTION 1 EXHIBITS.....		
EX. 1.1:	Certificate of the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs on the Expanded Environmental Notification Form, August 1, 2008	
EX. 1.2:	Overview of Route on USGS Maps	
2.0	PROJECT NEED.....	2-1
2.1	Standard of Review: Need for the Project.....	2-1
2.2	Recent Studies of the Regional Needs and Transmission Options: The Southern New England Transmission Reliability (SNETR) Study and the New England East-West Solution (NEEWS) Projects	2-2
2.2.1	Brief History of the SNETR Study and the NEEWS Projects	2-3
2.2.2	Summary of the SNETR Study	2-3
2.2.3	Summary of the NEEWS Project.....	2-10
2.2.4	The Project and NEEWS: An Independent Project Designed on an Integrated and Interdependent Basis with the Other NEEWS Components	2-11
2.3	Description of the Existing WMECO System and its Ties to the North-Central Connecticut System of CL&P	2-12
2.3.1	The Greater Springfield and the North-Central Connecticut Study Area	2-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

2.3.2	The 345-kV and 115-kV Transmission Facilities of WMECO and CL&P in the Interconnected Greater Springfield/North-Central Connecticut Region.....	2-13
2.3.3	Greater Springfield Area and Connecticut Generation Facilities.....	2-20
2.3.4	Distribution Substation Facilities in the Greater Springfield Area and in Connecticut	2-21
2.4	Reliability of Supply Under Applicable Reliability Criteria.....	2-21
2.4.1	The Objectives of the Project.....	2-21
2.4.2	ISO-NE Recognition of Need	2-22
2.4.3	National and Regional Reliability Criteria for Transmission System Planning....	2-23
2.4.4	Technical Methods for Evaluating Reliability: Power-Flow Modeling Under Stressed Supply Conditions and Representative Contingencies	2-26
2.4.5	Reasonably Stressed System Conditions for Reliability Analyses	2-28
2.4.6	ISO-NE Load Forecasting Methods.....	2-29
2.4.7	Generator Availability for Reliability Analyses	2-33
2.4.8	Regional Power-Transfer Limits.....	2-35
2.4.9	Springfield Load Under Normal Conditions with the Loss of Critical Local Generating Units	2-36
2.4.10	Contingency Analyses (N-1) and Results	2-37
2.4.11	Contingency Analyses (N-1-1) and Results.....	2-40
2.4.12	Initial Outage Conditions	2-41
2.5	The Solution: The Greater Springfield Reliability Project.....	2-44
2.5.1	The Breckwood Cables Solution:	2-44
2.5.2	The Agawam Solution:	2-45
2.6	Summary of Results of Power-Flow Analysis	2-47

SECTION 2 EXHIBITS.....

EX. 2.1:	NPCC's "Basic Criteria for Design and Operation of Interconnected Power Systems," Document A-02 (revised May 6, 2004) ("NPCC Basis Criteria")
EX. 2.2:	NPCC's "Bulk Power System Protection Criteria," Document A-05 (revised January 30, 2006)
EX. 2.3:	ISO-NE Planning Procedure No. 3, "Reliability Standards for the New England Area Bulk Power Supply System" (PP3) (effective date October 13, 2006) ("ISO PP3")
EX. 2.4:	David Ehrlich, Demand Resource Group, "Load Forecast Presentation: Current Methodologies" (May 5, 2006)
EX. 2.5:	ISO-NE, "Load Forecast Methodology Review" (February 27, 2007)
EX. 2.6:	Drs. Joutz and Hale, "An Evaluation of the ISO-NE Long-run Energy and Seasonal Peak Load Forecast Methodology"
EX. 2.7:	ISO-NE, "Long-Run Forecast of Net Energy For Load" (April 2007)
EX. 2.8:	ISO-NE "Sub-Area Forecast of Peak Load & Energy" (May 2007)
EX. 2.9:	ISO- NE "RSP07 Subarea Energy and Seasonal Peak Forecast Update" (May 2007)
EX. 2.10:	Transmission-Connected Generation in Greater Springfield Area and Connecticut
EX. 2.11:	ISO-NE Springfield Area and Connecticut Substation Load Forecast (MW) – REVISED
EX. 2.12:	Pre-GSRP Contingencies
EX. 2.13:	Pre-Project PLOTS
EX. 2.14:	Post-Project PLOTS
EX. 2.15:	Post-GSRP Contingencies
EX. 2.16:	Springfield Dispatches
EX. 2.17:	I.3.9 Letter

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

3.0	PROJECT APPROACHES TO MEET NEED.....	3-1
3.1	Standard of Review: Alternative Approaches.....	3-1
3.2	Alternative Transmission Approaches.....	3-1
3.2.1	Transmission Alternatives Overview: The Southern New England Transmission Reliability Report 1 “Needs Analysis” and Report 2 “Options Analysis”.....	3-3
3.2.2	GSRP Alternatives up to the June 25, 2007 Draft Options Analysis.....	3-5
3.2.3	Twelve Options Combine with Four Routes for Twenty-six Option/Route Configurations.....	3-13
3.2.4	The Transmission Options Analysis as of December 31, 2007.....	3-17
3.2.5	The Greater Springfield Reliability Project (March 2008): The Preferred Solution Re-Configured After the First Quarter 2008 ISO-NE Review Process.....	3-22
3.3	Transmission Options Screening and the Short Listing of Practical and Feasible Transmission Approaches.....	3-30
3.3.1	The ISO-NE Process as Options Screening.....	3-30
3.3.2	Eliminating the Upgrades to the Springfield Cables System by Re-Configuration.....	3-31
3.3.3	The Springfield “345-kV-Only Solution”.....	3-31
3.3.4	The “Lower Voltage Alternative” of Upgrading Only the Springfield Area 115-kV System.....	3-32
3.3.5	The All-Underground 345-kV Solution Along WMECO’s Existing Overhead Transmission Line ROW.....	3-33
3.3.6	Option C: Drop South Route to Manchester on the Basis of Reliability, Costs, Including Related Hartford Upgrades, and Impacts.....	3-41
3.3.7	Eliminating Option B for the Meekville Route on the Basis of Reliability, Costs and Impacts and for the Other Routes, on the Basis of Reliability and Costs or Impacts.....	3-42
3.3.8	Drop All Option A, Series “c”.....	3-45
3.3.9	Drop All Option A, series “3”.....	3-46
3.3.10	Drop All Option A, Series “8”: Breaker-and-a-Half Configuration at Fairmont is Selected Based on Operating and Reliability Benefits.....	3-47
3.4	Comparison of the Four Finalist Transmission Alternatives on the Basis of Costs, Impacts and Reliability: Variation 6a or 6b on the Northern and the Southern Route.....	3-49
3.4.1	Stony Brook 115-kV Connection to Fairmont: Option 6a Versus Option 6b Compared on the Basis of Costs, Impacts and Reliability.....	3-50
3.4.2	Northern Route Versus Southern Route: Select North Principally on Basis of Costs and Impacts.....	3-56
3.5	Final Cost Comparisons for Options 6a North (with and without cables), 6a South (with and without cables), 6b South (with and without cables) and 7a South.....	3-68
3.6	Cost Comparison between the Springfield Solution (Option 6a North without cables) and the PPA (Option 6b North with four cables).....	3-73
3.7	Non-transmission alternative approaches.....	3-75
3.7.1	The Configuration Challenge: Inadequate Transmission Facilities Serving the Interconnected Springfield Load Pocket and the North-Central Portion of the Connecticut Load Pocket.....	3-75
3.7.2	Introduction to the Non-Transmission Alternatives Study.....	3-75
3.7.3	Background of ICF Resources LLC.....	3-76
3.7.4	An Overview of the Springfield System Problem.....	3-76
3.7.5	The ICF Study Methodology.....	3-76

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

3.7.6	The ICF Study Details.....	3-81
3.7.7	Study Results and Conclusion.....	3-83

SECTION 3 EXHIBITS.....

Exhibit 3.1:	Chapter 7, ISO-NE Options Analysis (including Appendix A, Table A-4)
Exhibit 3.2:	Springfield Option Designation including November 28, 2007 PPA
Exhibit 3.3:	Non-Transmission Alternatives Study, redacted to secure Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII)

4.0 ROUTE AND ANCILLARY FACILITY SITE SELECTION FOR 345-kV TRANSMISSION LINES4-1

4.1	Standard of Review: "Other Site Locations"	4-1
4.2	Overview of Siting Methodology.....	4-2
4.2.1	The Project.....	4-2
4.2.2	Summary of Route and Ancillary Facility Selection Process	4-5
4.3	Study Area and 345-kV Route Options	4-6
4.4	Route Selection Objectives	4-8
4.5	Route Selection Options.....	4-11
4.6	Criteria Analysis of the Feasible Route Options.....	4-12
4.6.1	Route Evaluation Criteria and Scoring	4-13
4.6.2	Description of Potential Routes	4-17
4.6.3	Analysis and Criteria Scoring of Route Options.....	4-24
4.6.4	Cost Estimates.....	4-32
4.6.5	Reliability.....	4-33
4.6.6	Impact, Cost, and Reliability Conclusions on 345-kV Line-Route Selection.....	4-34
4.6.7	Description and Selection of Potential 345-kV Underground Line Route for the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route – South Agawam Switching Station to Agawam Substation (Section 2).....	4-36

SECTION 4 EXHIBITS.....

EX. 4.1:	Photographs along the Preferred Northern Route and Noticed-Alternative Southern Route – REVISED
----------	---

5.0 COMPARISON OF PROPOSED 345-kV FACILITIES ALONG PREFERRED NORTHERN AND NOTICED-ALTERNATIVE SOUTHERN ROUTES5-1

5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Standard of Review	5-3
5.3	Environmental Comparison of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes	5-4
5.3.1	Traffic/Transportation Infrastructure	5-4
5.3.2	Land Use	5-10
5.3.3	Noise	5-21
5.3.4	Visual and Aesthetics.....	5-25
5.3.5	Wetlands and Vernal Pools	5-41
5.3.6	Protected Species and Habitats	5-55

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

5.3.7 Surface Waters 5-61

5.3.8 Drinking Water Supplies..... 5-69

5.3.9 Environmental Screening - Potential Sites of Environmental Concern (OHM) ... 5-73

5.3.10 Electric and Magnetic Fields..... 5-83

5.3.11 Tree Clearing 5-92

5.3.12 Historic and Archaeological Resources 5-96

5.4 Substations 5-100

5.4.1 Ludlow Substation 5-101

5.4.2 Agawam Substation 5-103

5.5 Overhead Construction Methods and Schedule 5-103

5.5.1 Construction Methods 5-104

5.6 Noticed-Alternative Southern Route - Summary Comparison of Underground and Overhead 345-kV Variation 5-106

5.6.1 345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Reliability Comparison to Overhead Alternative..... 5-107

5.6.2 345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Cost Comparison to Overhead Alternative..... 5-107

5.6.3 345-kV Underground Line Route Variation – Impacts Comparison to Overhead Alternative..... 5-108

5.7 Cost of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes and Substations.. 5-109

5.8 Reliability of Preferred Northern and Noticed-Alternative Southern Routes 5-111

5.9 Conclusion 5-111

SECTION 5 EXHIBITS.....

Exhibit 5.1: Typical Cross-Sections and Photo Simulations

Exhibit 5.2: Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale

Exhibit 5.3: Electric and Magnetic Fields: Greater Springfield Reliability Project – REVISED

Exhibit 5.4: Electric and Magnetic Fields: Level Summary Tables

Exhibit 5.5: Electric and Magnetic Fields: Profiles

Exhibit 5.6: Environmental Sound Assessment Study

Exhibit 5.7: Ludlow Substation Drawing

Exhibit 5.8: Agawam Substation Drawing

Exhibit 5.9: Representative Construction Photos

6.0 ROUTE SELECTION FOR 115-kV IMPROVEMENTS.....6-1

6.1 Re-Building/Re-Conductoring of Existing 115-kV Overhead Lines 6-1

6.1.1 The Proposed 115-kV Improvements 6-1

6.1.2 The Physical Configuration of the 115-kV Improvements and the Alternative 115-kV Routes..... 6-4

6.1.3 Route Sections for 115-kV Improvements..... 6-7

6.2 Summary of 115-kV Line Route Selection Process..... 6-10

6.3 Study Area and 115-kV Underground Line Route Options 6-11

6.4 Route Selection Objectives 6-13

6.5 Criteria Analysis of the Feasible Route Options..... 6-13

6.5.1 Methodology for Route Evaluation Criteria and Scoring 6-15

6.5.2 Summary of Route Alternatives for the 115-kV Overhead Line Route Sections . 6-17

6.6 Criteria Scoring and Comparisons for the Alternative 115-kV Routes..... 6-18

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

6.6.1 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 1 6-18
 6.6.2 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 2 6-24
 6.6.3 Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 2 6-30
 6.6.4 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 3 6-31
 6.6.5 Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 3 6-37
 6.6.6 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 4 6-38
 6.6.6A Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 4 6-44A
 6.6.7 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 5 6-45
 6.6.8 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 6 6-50
 6.6.9 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 7 6-54
 6.6.10 Noticed-Alternative Underground Line-Route Variation – Route Section 7 6-61
 6.6.11 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 8 6-62
 6.6.12 Description and Scoring of Potential Line Routes – Route Section 9 6-68
 6.7 Cost and Reliability Comparisons Among the Alternative 115-kV Routes 6-74

SECTION 6 EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 6.1: Alternative Cross-Sections for 115-kV
- Exhibit 6.2: Photographs along the 115-kV Underground Line Routes

7.0 COMPARISON OF OVERHEAD AND UNDERGROUND 115-kV FACILITIES 7-1

7.1 Review Methodology and Overview of Results 7-1
 7.1.1 Summary 7-1
 7.1.2 Overview of Reliability 7-5
 7.1.3 Overview of Magnetic Field Level on Revised Overhead Line ROW and
 Underground Alternative Line Routes 7-6
 7.2 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-Building/Re-
 Conductoring of the Existing Overhead 115-kV Lines 7-15
 7.2.1 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 1 7-16
 7.2.2 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 2 7-30
 7.2.3 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 3 7-44
 7.2.4 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 4 7-56
 7.2.5 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 5 7-69
 7.2.6 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 6 7-80
 7.2.7 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 7 7-93
 7.2.8 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 8 7-107
 7.2.9 Comparison of Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-
 Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing Overhead Line – Section 9 7-119

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

7.3	Re-Building and Re-Conductoring Existing Overhead 115-kV Lines Along “Spurs” Intersecting the Existing Overhead 115-kV System on the Preferred Northern Route....	7-132
7.3.1	Cadwell Switching Station to Shawinigan Switching Station and Exit 6 Junction	7-136
7.3.2	Orchard Junction to Orchard Substation.....	7-149
7.4	Proposed 115-kV Ancillary Facility Construction and Modifications.....	7-158
7.4.1	Fairmont Switching Station	7-158
7.4.2	Cadwell Switching Station.....	7-166
7.4.3	South Agawam Switching Station	7-185
7.4.4	Piper Substation	7-189
7.4.5	Orchard Substation.....	7-193
7.4.6	Shawinigan Switching Station	7-197
7.4.7	Chicopee Substation.....	7-201
7.4.8	Breckwood Substation	7-205
7.4.9	115-kV Modifications at the Ludlow Substation	7-209
7.4.10	115-kV Modifications at the Agawam Substation	7-213
SECTION 7 EXHIBITS.....		
EX. 7.1:	Photographs along “Spur” Routes	
EX. 7.2:	Cadwell Switching Station Possible Construction Sequence	
8.0 CONSISTENCY WITH THE CURRENT HEALTH, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, AND RESOURCE USE AND DEVELOPMENT POLICIES OF THE COMMONWEALTH.....		
8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.2	Health Policies	8-1
8.3	Environmental Protection Policies.....	8-1
8.4	Resource Use and Development Policies.....	8-6
9.0 PUBLIC OUTREACH.....		
9.1	Neighborhood Outreach.....	9-2
9.1.1	Business, Community and Civic Leaders/Groups	9-3
9.1.2	Environmental Groups	9-3
9.1.3	Communications During Construction	9-3
GLOSSARY		

ATTACHMENT B**CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL APPLICATION****TABLE OF CONTENTS****VOLUME 1****I. APPLICATION**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	ES-1
ES.1 The Greater Springfield Reliability Project and Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project: Public Need and Objectives.....	ES-1
ES.1.1 Improvements to the Electric System of Greater Springfield	ES-2
ES.1.2 Contribution of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project and the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project to the Overall New England East -West Solution.....	ES-4
ES.2 Overview of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project and the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project.....	ES-5
ES.3 Proposed Location of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project and the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project Facilities.....	ES-5
ES.3.1 Locations of Facilities	ES-5
ES.3.2 Overview of GSRP 345-kV Line Routes and Substation Facilities in Massachusetts and Connecticut.....	ES-6
ES.3.3 Description of Connecticut Segment of Proposed GSRP 345-kV Line Route and Substation.....	ES-7
ES.3.4 Overview of the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project	ES-9
ES.4 Non-Transmission System Alternatives.....	ES-11
ES.5 Transmission Line Facility Alternatives	ES-11
ES.6 Routing Alternatives	ES-12
ES.6.1 Routing Alternatives for the 345-kV Line between the North Bloomfield Substation and the Connecticut/Massachusetts State Border.....	ES-12
ES.6.2 The Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for a 345-kV Line between WMECO's Agawam and Ludlow Substations... ..	ES-18
ES.7 Line Design	ES-21
ES.8 Coordination of Massachusetts and Connecticut Siting Approvals	ES-21
ES.9 Environmental Effects.....	ES-22
ES.9.1 Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV and MMP Line Routes	ES-23
ES.9.2 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line	ES-28
ES.10 Cost	ES-31
ES.11 Schedule.....	ES-31

A. PURPOSE.....A-1

B. STATUTORY AUTHORITY.....B-1

C. LEGAL NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANTC-1

D. APPLICANT’S CONTACTD-1

E. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE GSRP AND MMP E-1

E.1 Overview of the GSRP, Including the Preferred and Alternative Massachusetts Facilities E-2

E.2 Connecticut Portion of the GSRP and MMP E-5

E.2.1 GSRP Facilities E-5

E.2.2 MMP Facilities..... E-8

E.2.3 Modification of the 115-kV Ties at North Bloomfield E-11

E.3 Aerial Mapping E-11

F. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND NEED F-1

F.1 Project Purpose and OverviewF-1

F.1.1 Background.....F-1

F.1.2 The SNETR StudyF-8

F.1.3 The NEEWS Plan.....F-10

F.1.4 Documentation of the Need for the NEEWS Plan and the Greater Springfield Reliability ProjectF-13

F.2 The New England Bulk-Power Supply SystemF-15

F.3 Bulk-Power Supply in Southern New England.....F-19

F.4 The Existing Transmission System Serving Greater Springfield and Its Ties to North-Central Connecticut.....F-20

F.4.1 Greater Springfield and North-Central Connecticut Area Generation FacilitiesF-25

F.4.2 Summary of Reliability Deficiencies of the Greater Springfield 115-kV System and Their Impact on the Connecticut System.....F-27

F.4.3 Objectives of the GSRPF-28

F.4.4 The Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project.....F-28

F.5 Description of Reliability Analysis.....F-29

F.5.1 Initial and Updated StudiesF-29

F.5.2 Determination of Future Area LoadsF-29

F.5.3 Assumed Generator Availability.....F-31

F.5.4 Regional Power-Transfer Limits.....F-33

F.5.5 Modeling of Existing System with “All Lines In”F-33

F.5.6 Contingency Analyses (N-1) and ResultsF-33

F.5.7 Contingency Analyses (N-1-1) and Results.....F-34

F.5.8 Power-Flow Analysis of the Transmission System as Improved By the GSRP Improvements.....F-35

F.5.9 Conclusion of Reliability AnalysisF-35

F.6 Conformity of the Proposed Projects to a Long-Range Plan for Expansion of the Electric Power Grid.....F-36

F.7 Status of the Other NEEWS ProjectsF-37

F.8 In-Service DateF-37

Appendix F-1: Load Forecast Data	
Appendix F-2: Pre-GSRP N-1 Contingency List	
Appendix F-3: Post-GSRP N-1 Contingency List	

G. SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES	G-1
G.1 Non-Transmission Alternatives	G-2
G.1.1 No-Action Alternative	G-2
G.1.2 Resource Alternatives	G-2
G.1.3 Background of ICF Resources LLC	G-3
G.1.4 ICF's Characterization of the Problems	G-3
G.1.5 Summary of the ICF Study	G-4
G.1.5.1 Alternatives Assessed	G-4
G.1.5.2 Key Assumptions for Alternatives	G-5
G.1.5.3 Power-Flow Model Development	G-6
G.1.5.4 Study Details	G-8
G.1.5.5 Study Results & Conclusion	G-10
G.2 Alternate Transmission Solutions Considered but Rejected	G-16
G.2.1 Identifying the North Bloomfield to Agawam to Ludlow Lines as the Best 345-kV Solution	G-18
G.2.1.1 Advantages of a 345-kV Connection to the Agawam Substation	G-19
G.2.1.2 Advantage of Avoiding Phase Shifters	G-20
G.2.1.3 Further Investigation of the Options	G-20
H. ALTERNATIVE GSRP LINE-ROUTE ANALYSES	H-1
H.1 Routing Objectives and Criteria	H-3
H.1.1 Routing Objectives	H-4
H.1.2 Overhead Line-Route Analysis Criteria	H-5
H.1.3 Underground Line Considerations and Route Analysis Criteria	H-7
H.1.3.1 Technological Considerations for Underground Transmission Lines	H-7
H.1.3.2 Underground Line-Route Analysis Criteria	H-12
H.2 Route Analysis Process	H-14
H.3 Route Variations Considered but Eliminated	H-16
H.3.1 New ROW Variation: Underground or Overhead Transmission Configuration	H-18
H.3.2 Use of Highway Only Variations	H-19
H.3.3 All-Underground Cable Route Variation from North Bloomfield Substation to Connecticut/Massachusetts State Border	H-20
H.3.3.1 All-Underground Line Route Along CL&P's Existing Overhead Transmission Line ROW	H-23
H.3.3.2 All-Underground Route Along or Adjacent to Existing Public Roads	H-25
H.3.3.3 All-Underground Line Routes - Conclusion	H-26
H.4 Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route and Potential Line-Route Variations	H-26
H.4.1 Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route	H-31

- H.4.2 Potential Underground Line-Route Variations to Segments of the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route..... H-37
 - H.4.2.1 Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation: East Granby and Suffield..... H-38
 - H.4.2.2 State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation: East Granby and Suffield..... H-41
 - H.4.2.3 4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation: East Granby and Suffield..... H-43
 - H.4.2.4 3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation: East Granby and Suffield..... H-46
- H.5 Underground Line Route Variation and Proposed Overhead Line Comparisons H-48
 - H.5.1 Summary of Rationale for Selecting the Proposed Overhead Transmission Line vs. the Underground Line Route Variations H-48
 - H.5.1.1 Estimated Cost of Potential Underground Line Route Variations as Compared with the Overhead Line Section They Would Replace H-49
 - H.5.1.2 Environmental Considerations in Overhead vs. Underground Line-Route Variation Comparisons..... H-50
 - H.5.1.3 Comparison of the Comparative Summary of the Proposed 345-kV Overhead Line and Underground Line Route Variations H-52
- H.6 Connecticut Portions of the Massachusetts Southern Route alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line..... H-54
 - H.6.1 Background of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line..... H-54
 - H.6.2 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative Overhead Line Configuration H-56
 - H.6.3 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative Underground Line Route Variation H-57

Appendix H-1: Cost Comparison

- I. TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED FACILITIES I-1**
 - I.1 Base Design of Proposed Connecticut Facilities for the GSRP I-2
 - I.1.1 345-kV Conductor Sizes and Specification I-2
 - I.1.2 Design and Appearance I-2
 - I.1.2.1 Segment 1 (North Bloomfield - Granby Junction) –Cross-Section XS-1 in Volume 10 I-2
 - I.1.2.2 Segment 2 (Granby Junction - CT/MA State Border) – Cross-Section XS-2 in Volume 10 I-3
 - I.2 Base Design of Proposed MMP I-5
 - I.2.1 Conductor Sizes and Specifications I-5
 - I.2.2 Design and Appearance I-5
 - I.2.2.1 Existing ROW and Facilities I-5
 - I.2.2.2 Proposed MMP Facilities I-6
 - I.3 Base Design of the Connecticut Portions of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative..... I-7
 - I.3.1 Conductor Sizes and Specifications I-7
 - I.3.2 Design and Appearance I-7
 - I.3.2.1 Segment 1 (CT/MA State Border – Connecticut River) – Cross-Section XS-S05 in Volume 10..... I-7

I.3.2.2 Segment 2 (Connecticut River - CT/MA State Border) – Cross-Section XS-S07 in Volume 10..... I-8

I.4 Line Configuration and Engineering Requirements..... I-9

I.4.1 Segments by Municipality I-9

I.4.2 Initial and Design Voltages and Capacities I-10

I.4.3 ROW and Access Way Requirements..... I-10

I.4.4 Proposed Structure Location Envelopes I-13

I.4.5 Modification of North Bloomfield Substation I-13

I.4.6 Service Areas Benefits I-14

I.5 Estimated Project Costs..... I-15

I.5.1 Estimated Capital Cost of all GSRP Facilities, Connecticut and Massachusetts I-15

I.5.2 Estimated Capital Cost of Connecticut Portion of GSRP Facilities..... I-15

I.5.3 Life-Cycle Cost I-16

J. CONSTRUCTION STEPS..... J-1

J.1 Overhead Transmission Line Construction..... J-1

J.1.1 Overview of Overhead Line Construction J-1

J.1.2 Material Staging Sites J-3

J.1.2.1 Storage Areas..... J-4

J.1.2.2 Staging Areas..... J-4

J.1.2.3 Laydown Areas..... J-5

J.1.3 Construction Field Office..... J-6

J.1.4 Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Controls J-6

J.1.5 Vegetation Removal..... J-7

J.1.6 Access Roads J-10

J.1.7 Foundation Work J-12

J.1.8 Structure Installation..... J-12

J.1.9 Conductor Work..... J-13

J.1.10 Cleanup and Restoration of ROW..... J-14

J.1.11 Special Procedures: Rock Removal (Blasting), Dewatering, Material Handling..... J-14

J.1.11.1 Blasting..... J-14

J.1.11.2 Soils and Groundwater J-15

J.1.11.3 Soils Handling and Management..... J-17

J.1.11.4 Construction Site Dewatering..... J-18

J.2 Underground Transmission Line Construction J-19

J.2.1 Overview of Underground Line Construction J-19

J.2.2 Sequence of Underground Construction J-19

J.2.2.1 Construction Within Roadways J-19

J.2.2.2 Construction Within the ROW J-22

J.2.3 Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Controls J-25

J.2.4 Vegetation Removal..... J-26

J.2.5 Splice-Vault Requirements J-27

J.2.6 Special Procedures: Rock Removal (Blasting), Dewatering, Material Handling..... J-27

J.3 Transition Stations J-28

J.4 Construction Procedure for Modification of the North Bloomfield Substation..... J-28

J.4.1 Overview of Substation Construction J-28

J.4.2 Site Preparation..... J-28

J.4.3 Foundations and Equipment..... J-29
 J.4.4 Testing and Interconnections J-30
 J.4.5 Final Cleanup, Site Security and Landscaping..... J-31
 J.5 Traffic Consideration and Hours of Operation J-31

K. SAFETY INFORMATION..... K-1

K.1 Compliance with Applicable Codes and Standards K-1
 K.1.1 Emergency Operations and Shutdown..... K-1
 K.1.2 Fire Suppression Technology..... K-2
 K.2 Electric and Magnetic Fields..... K-3

L. DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENT ALONG PROPOSED ROUTES (GSRP AND MMP) AND AT THE NORTH BLOOMFIELD SUBSTATION..... L-1

L.1 Connecticut portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV line Route..... L-3
 L.1.1 Topography, Geology and Soils..... L-3
 L.1.1.1 Topography..... L-3
 L.1.1.2 Geology L-3
 L.1.1.3 Soils L-4
 L.1.2 Water Resources L-8
 L.1.2.1 Drainage Basins and Streams L-9
 L.1.2.2 Wetlands L-11
 L.1.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public Water Supplies L-17
 L.1.2.4 Flood Zones L-18
 L.1.3 Biological Resources..... L-18
 L.1.3.1 Vegetation Communities L-18
 L.1.3.2 Wildlife..... L-20
 L.1.3.3 Fisheries..... L-23
 L.1.3.4 Amphibians..... L-25
 L.1.3.5 Birds..... L-27
 L.1.3.6 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species..... L-28
 L.1.4 Existing Land Use..... L-34
 L.1.4.1 Overall Land-Use Patterns..... L-34
 L.1.4.2 Parks, Open Space, Recreational and Public Trust Lands L-35
 L.1.4.3 Statutory Facilities..... L-37
 L.1.5 Federal, State, and Local Land-Use Plans/Future Land-Use Development L-39
 L.1.6 Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings..... L-42
 L.1.7 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources..... L-43
 L.1.8 Air Quality L-46
 L.1.9 Noise L-49
 L.1.9.1 Existing Noise Measurements L-52
 L.1.9.2 Operational Noise Levels L-56
 L.1.9.3 Conclusions and Recommendations L-58
 L.2 Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project (MMP)..... L-59
 L.2.1 Topography, Geology and Soils..... L-59
 L.2.2 Water Resources L-61
 L.2.2.1 Drainage Basins and Streams L-61
 L.2.2.2 Wetlands L-62
 L.2.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public Water Supplies L-64
 L.2.2.4 Flood Zones L-65

L.2.3 Biological Resources..... L-66

 L.2.3.1 Vegetative Communities L-66

 L.2.3.2 Wildlife..... L-66

 L.2.3.3 Fisheries..... L-66

 L.2.3.4 Amphibians..... L-67

 L.2.3.5 Birds..... L-67

 L.2.3.6 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species..... L-68

L.2.4 Existing Land Use..... L-68

 L.2.4.1 Overall Land-Use Patterns..... L-68

 L.2.4.2 Parks, Open Space, Recreational and Public Trust Lands L-69

 L.2.4.3 "Statutory Facilities"..... L-69

L.2.5 Federal, State, and Local Land-Use Plans/Future Land-Use Development L-70

L.2.6 Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings L-70

L.2.7 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources..... L-71

L.2.8 Air Quality L-72

M. EXISTING ENVIRONMENT: UNDERGROUND LINE ROUTE VARIATIONS FOR CONNECTICUT PORTION OF THE NORTH BLOOMFIELD TO AGAWAM 345-KV LINE ROUTE AND CONNECTICUT PORTION OF SOUTHERN ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FOR THE AGAWAM TO LUDLOW 345-KV LINE ROUTEM-1

M.1 Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation: East Granby/Suffield..... M-2

 M.1.1 Topography, Geology and Soils..... M-5

 M.1.2 Water Resources M-5

 M.1.2.1 Drainage Basins and Streams M-6

 M.1.2.2 Wetlands..... M-8

 M.1.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public Water Supplies M-9

 M.1.3 Biological Resources..... M-9

 M.1.3.1 Vegetative Communities M-10

 M.1.3.2 Wildlife..... M-11

 M.1.4 Existing Land Use..... M-11

 M.1.4.1 Overall Land Use Patterns: Statutory Facilities..... M-11

 M.1.4.2 Residential Uses M-12

 M.1.4.3 Parks, Open Space, Recreational and Public Trust Lands M-12

 M.1.5 Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings M-12

 M.1.6 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources..... M-12

 M.1.7 Air Quality M-13

M.2 State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation: East Granby/Suffield.. M-14

 M.2.1 Topography, Geology, and Soils..... M-16

 M.2.2 Water Resources M-16

 M.2.2.1 Drainage Basins and Streams M-17

 M.2.2.2 Wetlands M-18

 M.2.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public Water Supplies M-20

 M.2.2.4 Flood Zones M-20

 M.2.3 Biological Resources..... M-21

 M.2.3.1 Vegetative Communities M-21

 M.2.3.2 Wildlife Communities M-21

 M.2.4 Existing Land Use..... M-22

 M.2.4.1 Overall Land Use Patterns M-22

 M.2.4.2 Residential Uses M-22

- M.2.4.3 Parks, Open Space, Recreation, and Public Trust Lands M-23
 - M.2.5 Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings M-23
 - M.2.6 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources..... M-23
 - M.3 4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation..... M-24
 - M.3.1 Topography, Geology, and Soils..... M-25
 - M.3.2 Water Resources M-25
 - M.3.2.1 Drainage Basins and Streams M-25
 - M.3.2.2 Wetlands M-26
 - M.3.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public Water Supplies M-27
 - M.3.3 Biological Resources..... M-28
 - M.3.3.1 Amphibians..... M-28
 - M.3.3.2 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species..... M-28
 - M.3.4 Existing Land Use..... M-28
 - M.4 3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation..... M-29
 - M.4.1 Topography, Geology, and Soils..... M-29
 - M.4.2 Water Resources M-30
 - M.4.2.1 Drainage Basins and Streams M-30
 - M.4.2.2 Wetlands M-31
 - M.4.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public Water Supplies M-32
 - M.4.3 Biological Resources..... M-32
 - M.4.3.1 Amphibians..... M-33
 - M.4.3.2 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species..... M-33
 - M.4.4 Existing Land Use..... M-33
 - M.5 Connecticut Portion of Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line Route M-34
 - M.5.1 Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative..... M-34
 - M.5.1.1 Topography, Geology and Soils M-34
 - M.5.1.2 Water Resources M-36
 - M.5.1.3 Flood Zones M-39
 - M.5.1.4 Biological Resources M-39
 - M.5.1.5 Existing Land Use..... M-42
 - M.5.1.6 Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings..... M-44
 - M.5.1.7 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources M-44
 - M.5.1.8 Air Quality M-45
 - M.5.1.9 Noise..... M-45
 - M.5.2 Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation M-45
 - M.5.2.1 Topography, Geology, and Soils M-46
 - M.5.2.2 Water Resources M-46
 - M.5.2.3 Biological Resources M-48
 - M.5.2.4 Existing Land Use..... M-49
 - M.5.2.5 Transportation Systems and Utility Crossings..... M-50
 - M.5.2.6 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources M-51
 - M.5.2.7 Air Quality M-52
 - M.5.2.8 Noise..... M-52

N. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

..... **N-1**

- N.1 Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV and MMP Overhead Line Routes N-2

N.1.1	Topography, Geology, and Soils.....	N-4
N.1.2	Water Resources and Water Quality	N-8
	N.1.2.1 Wetlands	N-9
	N.1.2.2 Rivers and Streams	N-17
	N.1.2.3 Groundwater Resources and Public & Private Water Supplies	N-20
N.1.3	Biological Resources.....	N-21
	N.1.3.1 Wildlife and Vegetation.....	N-21
	N.1.3.2 Wildlife Resources.....	N-23
	N.1.3.3 Vegetation Management and Preservation Goals and Methods	N-24
	N.1.3.4 Fisheries.....	N-27
	N.1.3.5 Amphibians.....	N-27
	N.1.3.6 Birds.....	N-29
	N.1.3.7 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species.....	N-31
N.1.4	Land Use, Recreational/Scenic Resources, and Land Use Plans	N-37
	N.1.4.1 Existing and Future Development	N-39
	N.1.4.2 Open Space and Protected Areas.....	N-42
	N.1.4.3 Methods to Prevent and Discourage Unauthorized Use of ROW	N-42
N.1.5	Transportation and Access	N-43
N.1.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	N-44
N.1.7	Air Quality	N-45
N.1.8	Noise	N-46
N.1.9	North Bloomfield Substation Modifications.....	N-46
	N.1.9.1 Geology, Topography, and Soils	N-47
	N.1.9.2 Water Resources and Wetlands	N-47
	N.1.9.3 Water Quality	N-48
	N.1.9.4 Vegetation and Wildlife.....	N-49
	N.1.9.5 Threatened, Endangered, and Special Concern Species	N-49
	N.1.9.6 Land Use Plans and Existing/Future Development	N-49
	N.1.9.7 Visual Resources	N-49
	N.1.9.8 Transportation.....	N-50
	N.1.9.9 Cultural Resources.....	N-50
	N.1.9.10 Noise.....	N-50
N.2	Underground Variations for the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route	N-51
N.2.1	Topography, Geology and Soils.....	N-51
N.2.2	Water Resources and Water Quality	N-55
N.2.3	Biological Resources.....	N-57
	N.2.3.1 Wildlife and Vegetation.....	N-57
	N.2.3.2 Fisheries.....	N-59
	N.2.3.3 Amphibians.....	N-60
	N.2.3.4 Birds.....	N-60
	N.2.3.5 Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species.....	N-60
N.2.4	Land Use, Land-Use Plans, and Recreational/Scenic Resources.....	N-62
N.2.5	Transportation and Access	N-63
N.2.6	Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources.....	N-64
N.2.7	Air Quality	N-65
N.2.8	Noise	N-65
N.2.9	Transition Stations	N-66
	N.2.9.1 Granby Junction Transition Station Site.....	N-67

- N.2.9.2 3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation Transition Station Site..... N-68
- N.2.9.3 Newgate Road Transition Station Site..... N-69
- N.2.9.4 State Route 168/187 Underground Variation Transition Station Site N-70
- N.3 Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative from Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line Route N-70**
 - N.3.1 Overhead Line Route N-71**
 - N.3.1.1 Topography, Geology, and Soils N-71
 - N.3.1.2 Water Resources N-72
 - N.3.1.3 Groundwater and Public Water Supplies..... N-72
 - N.3.1.4 Biological Resources N-73
 - N.3.1.5 Land Use, Statutory Facilities, Recreational Resources, and Scenic Resources..... N-74
 - N.3.1.6 Transportation and Access..... N-74
 - N.3.1.7 Cultural (Archaeological and Historic) Resources N-75
 - N.3.1.8 Air Quality..... N-75
 - N.3.1.9 Noise..... N-75
 - N.3.2 Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation N-75**
 - N.3.2.1 Transition Stations N-76

O. ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF).....O-1

- O.1 Electric and Magnetic Fields from Power Lines and Other Sources O-1
- O.2 EMF Regulations and Guidelines in Connecticut O-6
 - O.2.1 Statement of Compliance With BMP and Buffer Zone Requirements O-8
- O.3 Methods for EMF Measurements and Calculations O-9
 - O.3.1 Field Measurements of EMF from Existing Sources O-9
 - O.3.2 Calculations of EMF from Transmission Lines O-10
- O.4 Magnetic Field Measurements and Calculations Developed to Comply with BMP and to Develop the Plan O-16
 - O.4.1 The Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam Line O-16
 - O.4.1.1 North Bloomfield – Granby Junction – XS-1 O-16
 - O.4.1.2 Granby Junction to CT/MA State Border – XS-2..... O-20
 - O.4.1.3 Magnetic Fields Associated with Underground Line Variations O-30
 - O.4.2 The Potential Connecticut Portion of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative for the Agawam to Ludlow 345-kV Line..... O-38**
 - O.4.2.1 Connecticut Border (Suffield) to Massachusetts Border (Enfield) O-38**
 - O.4.2.2 State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation.... O-46**
 - O.4.3 The Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project (MMP) O-49
 - O.4.3.1 Existing Line Configurations and EMF..... O-49
 - O.4.3.2 Proposed Changes to the Existing Line Configurations and Magnetic Fields O-55
- O.5 Update on the EMF Health Research..... O-58
- O.6 Summary of Actions Demonstrating Consistency with CSC Guidelines O-59
- O.7 Technical Description of Proposed and Best Management Practice Alternative Line Design O-61
 - O.7.1 Base Design of Proposed Connecticut Facilities for the GSRP O-61
 - O.7.1.1 General..... O-61

O.7.1.2 Segment 2 (Granby Junction - CT/MA State Border)O-61

O.7.2 Design and Appearance of BMP Alternative (Country Club Lane to Phelps Road).....O-62

O.7.3 Base Design Comparison to BMP Design Alternative for a Section of GSRP Segment 2.....O-63

O.7.4 Base Design of the MMP FacilitiesO-64

 O.7.4.1 General.....O-64

O.7.5 Design and Appearance of BMP Alternative for MMPO-66

O.7.6 Base Design Comparison to BMP Design Alternative for the MMP.....O-67

O.8 ReferencesO-68

Appendix O-1 CL&P’s Field Management Design Plan

Appendix O-2 Connecticut Siting Council’s EMF Best Management Practices

Appendix O-3 Tabular summaries of magnetic fields at AAL, APL and PDAL loadings and electric fields for the Preferred Northern Route of the GSRP

Appendix O-4 Tabular summaries of magnetic fields at AAL, APL and PDAL loadings and electric fields for the Southern Alternative Route for the GSRP

Appendix O-5 Tabular summaries of magnetic fields at AAL, APL and PDAL loadings and electric fields for Manchester – Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project

Appendix O-6 EMF and Health: Review and Update of the Scientific Research December 2007 – June 2008

P. PROJECT SCHEDULE..... P-1

Q. AGENCY CONSULTATIONS Q-1

 Q.1 Agency Consultations Prior to Filing Application..... Q-1

 Q.2 Additional Agency Approvals..... Q-2

R. APPLICATION DIRECTORY R-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Figure ES-1	The Greater Springfield Reliability Project Potential Routes.....	ES-3
Figure ES-2	Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	ES-8
Figure ES-3	The MMP Line Route.....	ES-10
Figure ES-4	Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation	ES-14
Figure ES-5	State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation	ES-15
Figure ES-6	3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation	ES-16
Figure ES-7	4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation	ES-17
Figure ES-8	Map Comparison of Corridors for the Preferred Northern Route and the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative	ES-19
Figure F-1:	Reliability Concerns in the Southern New England Region	F-9
Figure F-2:	NEEWS Project Elements	F-13
Figure F-3:	RSP Geographic Scope of the New England Bulk Electric Power System.....	F-18
Figure F-4:	Southern New England Load Concentrations	F-19
Figure F-5:	Western Massachusetts and Connecticut Transmission Systems	F-22
Figure G-1:	Number of Distinct Facility Overloads under Contingency Conditions (N-1 and N-1-1) for Various Load Reduction Scenarios.....	G-12
Figure G-2:	Geographical locations of assumed new generation additions in scenarios 3, 4 and 5	G-13
Figure G-3:	Preferred North Bloomfield – Agawam – Ludlow Solution (“Preferred Solution”)....	G-18
Figure G-4:	N. Bloomfield – Ludlow (“Option B”).....	G-19
Figure G-5:	Manchester – Ludlow (“Option C”).....	G-19
Figure G-6:	Potential Routes - Option A	G-24
Figure G-7:	Potential Routes - Option B.....	G-25
Figure H-1:	Route Analysis Map	H-17
Figure H-2:	All-Underground In-ROW Variation Considered But Eliminated.....	H-21
Figure H-3:	All-Underground Road Variation Considered But Eliminated	H-22
Figure H-4:	GSRP Potential Routes.....	H-30
Figure H-5:	Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	H-33
Figure H-6:	Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation	H-40
Figure H-7:	State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation	H-42
Figure H-8:	4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation	H-45
Figure H-9:	3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation	H-47
Figure H-10:	Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative	H-56
Figure H-11:	Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation.....	H-59
Figure M-1	Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation	M-4
Figure M-2	State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation	M-15
Figure O-1:	Electric and Magnetic Field Levels in the Environment	O-3
Figure O-2:	Typical Magnetic Field Personal Exposures	O-5
Figure O-3:	Cross-Section XS-1: North Bloomfield Substation to Granby Junction	O-17
Figure O-4:	Profile XS-1: North Bloomfield Substation to Granby Junction – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-19
Figure O-5:	Cross-Section XS-2: Granby Junction to CT/MA State Border.....	O-21
Figure O-6:	Profile XS-2: Granby Junction to CT/MA State Border – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL.....	O-24
Figure O-7:	Cross-Section XS-2: BMP – Existing Str. 3191 to Existing Str. 3221	O-27
Figure O-8:	Profile XS-2 BMP: Existing Str. 3191 to Existing Str. 3221 – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL.....	O-29
Figure O-9:	Cross-Section XS-2 UG – Granby Junction to Phelps Road transition station – UG Variations along the ROW	O-33

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Figure O-10:	Profile XS-2 UG: 4.6-mile/3.6-mile UG line variations within ROW to Phelps Road transition station – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-35
Figure O-11:	Profile XS-2 UG Variation in streets – Magnetic fields under post-NEEWS (2107) conditions at AAL	O-37
Figure O-12:	Cross-Section XS-S05 – CT border to CT River	O-39
Figure O-13:	XS-S07 – CT border to MA border	O-41
Figure O-14:	Profile XS-S05: CT border to CT River – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-45
Figure O-15:	Profile XS-S07: CT border to CT River – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-46
Figure O-16:	Profile XS-S07 UG variation within ROW – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-47
Figure O-17:	Profile XS-S07 UG variation in streets – Magnetic fields under post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-48
Figure O-18:	Cross-Section XS-21: Manchester Substation to Meekville Junction	O-51
Figure O-19:	Cross-Section XS-21 BMP: Manchester Substation to Meekville Junction	O-53
Figure O-20:	Profile XS-21: Manchester Substation to Meekville Junction – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-56
Figure O-21:	Profile XS-21 BMP: Manchester Substation to Meekville Junction – Magnetic fields under pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) conditions at AAL	O-57

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Table ES-1	Summary of Project Characteristics Connecticut Portion of North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV and the MMP Line Routes	ES-25
Table ES-2	Summary of Environmental Features and Potential Effects Connecticut Portion of North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV and MMP Line Routes.....	ES-26
Table ES-3	Summary of Project Characteristics Connecticut Portion of Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative.....	ES-29
Table ES-4	Summary of Environmental Features and Potential Effects Connecticut Portion of Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative from Agawam to Ludlow.....	ES-30
Table F-1:	Greater Springfield Area Generation.....	F-26
Table F-2:	North-Central Connecticut Area Generation.....	F-27
Table F-3:	Greater Springfield Area Generation Dispatch Scenarios	F-32
Table G-1:	Non-Transmission Resource Alternatives Simulated.....	G-9
Table G-2:	Hartford Area Construction Summary	G-22
Table H-1	Key to Towns Along or Near the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route, Aerial Alignment Map Sheets.....	H-32
Table H-2	Summary of Engineering Design and ROW Characteristics for the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam Line Route	H-35
Table H-3	Comparison of Costs: Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route to Variations	H-49
Table H-4	Comparative Summary of the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route 345-kV Overhead Line and 3.6-Mile In-ROW, 4.6-Mile In-ROW, Newgate Road and State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variations, East Granby and Suffield	H-52
Table H-5	Comparative Summary of the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative 345-kV Overhead Line and Enfield Underground Variation, Enfield.....	H-60
Table I-1	Key to Towns Along or Near the Proposed Projects, Aerial Alignment Map Sheets	I-9
Table I-2	Summary of Existing and Proposed ROW Configurations: GSRP and MMP	I-11
Table I-3	Comparison of Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam Overhead 345-kV Line Route to Underground Variations	I-15
Table I-4	Comparison of Life-Cycle Costs for the Connecticut portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam Line Route and Variations	I-16
Table J-1	Review of Potential Material Staging Sites.....	J-6
Table J-2	Review of Potential Access Roads for Connecticut Portion of The North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	J-11
Table J-3	Review of Potential Access Roads for Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project.....	J-12
Table L-1	Soils and Soil Characteristics along the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	L-6
Table L-2	Watercourses Traversed along the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	L-9
Table L-3	Summary of Connecticut Water Use Goals.....	L-11
Table L-4	Delineated Wetlands along the Connecticut portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	L-14
Table L-5	Vernal Pool Habitat Associated with the Connecticut portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	L-27

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Table L-6	Summary of Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species Along the Connecticut portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route	L-30
Table L-7	Road Crossings – Connecticut portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route	L-42
Table L-8	Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Around East Granby and Suffield, CT	L-48
Table L-9	Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Around Bloomfield, CT	L-49
Table L-10	Typical Noise Levels Associated with Different Indoor and Outdoor Activities.....	L-51
Table L-11	State of Connecticut Noise-Control Regulations by Emitter and Receptor Land-Use Classification	L-52
Table L-12	Existing Ambient Noise Level Measurements (Leq)	L-56
Table L-13	New Transformer Sound Power Levels at Each Octave Band Frequency	L-57
Table L-14	Predicted Sound Pressure Levels.....	L-57
Table L-15	Overall Projected Noise Levels and Connecticut Noise Limits for Receptor Class A..	L-58
Table L-16	General Characteristics of Soil Associations along the MMP Line Route.....	L-60
Table L-17	Watercourses Traversed along the MMP	L-62
Table L-18	Delineated Wetlands Along the MMP.....	L-64
Table L-19	Vernal Pool Habitat Associated with the MMP	L-67
Table L-20	Ambient Air Quality Concentrations Around Manchester, CT.....	L-74
Table M-1	Streams Crossed Along the Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-7
Table M-2	List of Wetlands Along The Newgate Road Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-9
Table M-3	Streams Crossed Along the State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation	M-17
Table M-4	List of Wetlands Along the State Route 168/187 Underground Line Route Variation	M-19
Table M-5	Watercourses Traversed along the 4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation	M-26
Table M-6	List of Wetlands along the 4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-27
Table M-7	Vernal Pool Habitat Associated with the 4.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-28
Table M-8	Watercourses Traversed along the 3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation	M-31
Table M-9	List of Wetlands along the 3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-32
Table M-10	Vernal Pool Habitat Associated with the 3.6-Mile In-ROW Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-33
Table M-11	General Characteristics of Soil Associations Along the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative and Underground Variation	M-35
Table M-12	Streams Crossed Along the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative.....	M-37
Table M-13	List of Wetlands Along The Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative	M-38
Table M-14	Vernal Pool Habitat Associated with the Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative.	M-41
Table M-15	Road Crossings – Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative (Connecticut Only)	M-44
Table M-16	Streams Along the Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-47
Table M-17	List of Wetlands Along The Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation	M-48
Table M-18	Road Crossings – Massachusetts State Route 220/Enfield Underground Line Route Variation.....	M-51
Table N-1	Summary of Potential Effects on Wetlands, Watercourses and Floodplains Along the Connecticut Portion of the North Bloomfield to Agawam 345-kV Line Route.....	N-12
Table N-2	Summary of Potential Effects to Wetlands, Watercourses and Floodplains Town of Manchester, Manchester Substation to Meekville Junction	N-14

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Table N-3	Summary of Potential Land Use Effects	N-40
Table O-1:	Summary of Magnetic Fields Measured in a Connecticut Town (Bethel)	O-5
Table O-2:	Generation Dispatches and Transfers in MW assumed for Load-Flow Models.....	O-15
Table O-3:	Summary of pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) EMF levels at the edge of the ROW at annual average loading (AAL) - North Bloomfield – Granby Junction – XS-1	O-20
Table O-4:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for North Bloomfield – Granby Junction – XS-2 in the Vicinity of Statutory Facilities and a Residential “Focus Area”	O-23
Table O-5:	Summary of Pre-NEEWS (2012) and Post-NEEWS (2017) EMF levels at the edge of the ROW at annual average loading (AAL) - Granby Junction to CT/MA State Border (XS-2).....	O-30
Table O-6:	Measured electric and Magnetic Fields at ROW edge near Statutory and Potential Residential Areas in the vicinity of Possible Underground Line Variations to XS-2 - Granby Junction to CT/MA State Border.....	O-31
Table O-7:	Summary of pre-NEEWS (2012) and post-NEEWS (2017) magnetic field levels at annual average loading (AAL) – underground variations for part of Granby Junction to CT/MA State Border (XS-2).....	O-38
Table O-8:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields at ROW edge of Massachusetts Southern Route Alternative in the vicinity of “focus areas” and ‘statutory’ facilities	O-44
Table O-9:	Summary of Pre-NEEWS (2012) and Post- NEEWS (2017) EMF Levels at the edge of the Right-of-Way at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Southern Alternative Route for Agawam to Ludlow Line.....	O-49
Table O-10:	Measured Electric and Magnetic Fields for the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project (XS-21) in the vicinity of ‘Statutory’ Facilities	O-55
Table O-11:	Summary of Pre-NEEWS (2012) and Post-NEEWS (2017) EMF Levels at the edge of the ROW at Annual Average Loading (AAL) - Manchester to Meekville Junction.....	O-58
Table O-12:	Comparison of Base Design and the BMP Alternative Design.....	O-64
Table O-13:	Comparison of Base Design and Split-Phase Alternative for MMP	O-67
Table Q-1:	List of Federal, State, and Local Agency Consultations	Q-1
Table Q-2:	Possible Permits, Reviews and Approvals for the GSRP and MMP	Q-2
Table R-1:	Cross-Reference Between Council’s Guide and This Application.....	R-1

Appendices

An Appendix containing Confidential Critical Energy Infrastructure Information will be filed separately with the Siting Council. CL&P will seek a protective order restricting access to such information. It is anticipated that the Siting Council will provide a mechanism in the protective order for making the information available to interested parties and intervenors; and that copies of the information will be made available to interested parties and intervenors upon execution of a Non-Disclosure Agreement. The CEII Appendix will contain:

- A. Supplement to Section F – Project Need: (This supplement provides detailed results of power-flow studies identifying specific weaknesses and vulnerabilities in the Bulk Power Supply system.)
- B. Supplement to Section G –System Alternatives: Complete Chapter Six of Report of ICF Resources LLP: Assessment of Non-Transmission Alternatives to the NEEWS Transmission Projects: Greater Springfield Reliability Project, September 2008 (A copy of this report, with redactions to Chapter Six, is included in Vol. 5 of the Application. The full chapter reproduced in the CEII Appendix provides detailed results of power-flow studies identifying specific weaknesses and vulnerabilities in the Bulk Power Supply system.)

VOLUME 2: ENVIRONMENTAL-WETLANDS

EX. 1: “Inventory and Delineation of Wetlands and Watercourses Along the Connecticut Portion of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project” by ENSR

VOLUME 3: ENVIRONMENTAL-CULTURAL RESOURCES

- EX. 1: Historical and Archaeological Assessment of Connecticut Sections of the Connecticut Light & Power Company Greater Springfield Reliability Project
- EX. 2: Historical and Archaeological Assessment Addendum for Connecticut Sections of the Connecticut Light & Power Company Greater Springfield Reliability Project: Manchester Substation to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation

VOLUME 4: ENVIRONMENTAL

- EX. 1: “Inventory of Potential Breeding Bird Species and Habitats Along the Connecticut Portions of Greater Springfield Reliability Project” by ENSR
- EX. 2: “Inventory of Vernal Pools and Amphibian Breeding Habitats Along the Connecticut Portion of the Greater Springfield Reliability Project” by ENSR
- EX. 3: “Environmental Sound Assessment Study – North Bloomfield Substation” by Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.
- EX. 4: Federal, State, and Municipal Agencies Correspondence
 - 1) SHPO Letter to Jeff Borne, NU dated February 8, 2006. Re: Review of CT Archaeological Report.
 - 2) US Fish and Wildlife Services letter to Don Biondi, NU dated May 14, 2008. Re: Request for Data T&E species in Manchester.

- 3) US Fish and Wildlife Services letter to Don Biondi, NU dated Nov 8, 2007. Re: Request for Data on T & E Species.
- 4) CT DEP Bureau of Natural Resources letter to Don Biondi, NU dated March 17, 2008. Re: Natural Diversity Data Base Maps for CT Portion /Plants.
- 5) CT DEP letter to Don Biondi, NU dated March 10, 2008. Re: DEP Natural Diversity Database.
- 6) Town of Bloomfield Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Commission, NU dated August 28, 2008. Re: CL&P Location Review North Bloomfield Substation Expansion.
- 7) Town of Bloomfield Plan and Zoning Commission to Jeff Towle, NU dated September 2, 2008. Re: Proposed North Bloomfield Substation Expansion.
- 8) CT DEP National Diversity Database, NU dated September 15, 2008. Re: Update on the CL&P Greater Springfield Reliability Project Rare Species Surveys.

VOLUME 5: PLANNING

- EX. 1: ISO-NE Southern New England Transmission Reliability, “Report 1 – Need Analysis”, January 2008, (Redacted)
- EX. 2: ISO-NE New England East-West Solutions (Formerly Southern New England Transmission Reliability), “Report 2 – Options Analysis”, (Redacted) June 2008
- EX. 3: Assessment of Non-Transmission Alternatives to the NEEWS Transmission Projects: Greater Springfield Reliability Project, September 2008 (redacted to secure Critical Energy Infrastructure Information)
- EX. 4: Northeast Utilities “Solution Report for the Springfield Area The Greater Springfield Reliability Project Including The Springfield 115-kV Upgrades”. “GSRP Solution Report” as of April 23, 2008 (Redacted July 2008).

VOLUME 6: ENGINEERING

- EX. 1: “Tutorial - Underground Electric Power Transmission Cable Systems” by Cable Consulting International

VOLUME 7: SUBSTATION DRAWINGS

- EX. 1: Proposed North Bloomfield Substation Modifications
Aerial View (Drawing #09082008NB)
General Arrangement (Drawing # 09091708NB)
Conceptual Layout (Drawing # CP-2a)

VOLUME 8: PHOTOGRAPHS

- EX. 1: Photographs Along the Greater Springfield Reliability Project
- EX. 2: Photographs Along the Manchester to Meekville Junction Circuit Separation Project

VOLUME 9: ROUTE MAPS

EX. 1: Overview of Route on USGS Map

EX. 2: Aerial Photographs – 400 Scale

VOLUME 10: ROUTE ILLUSTRATION

EX. 1: Typical Cross Sections and Photo Simulations

EX.2: Plan & Profile Drawings

VOLUME 11: ROUTE PLANS

EX. 1: Aerial Photographs – 100 Scale

ATTACHMENT C

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT CARBERRY / SCOTT NEWLAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED GSRP FACILITIES	3
A. The Proposed 345-kV Line from North Bloomfield Substation to the State Border	4
B. The Proposed North Bloomfield Substation Additions.....	8
C. Line Construction Process.....	11
D. Appearance of the ROW After Construction of the Line.....	14
E. Cost and Schedule	16
3.0 THE CONNECTICUT PORTION OF THE "SOUTHERN" ALTERNATE TO THE AGAWAM TO LUDLOW NORTHERN ROUTE	17
4.0 UNDERGROUND ALTERNATIVES TO THE NORTH BLOOMFIELD TO AGAWAM ROUTE	20
5.0 ELECTRIC & MAGNETIC FIELDS	29
A. Pre and Post Project EMF Values for Proposed Base Line Construction	33
B. Field Management Design Plan	36
(i) FDMP for North Bloomfield to Agawam ROW	37
(ii) FDMP for Connecticut Portion of Southern Route Alternative.....	41
C. Analysis of Rebuttable Presumption for Statutory Facilities	44
(i) 345-kV Line Construction on ROW from North Bloomfield to State Border.....	44
(ii) Connecticut Portion of Southern Route Alternative	53
6.0 THE MANCHESTER SUBSTATION TO MEEKVILLE JUNCTION CIRCUIT SEPARATION PROJECT	54
7.0 COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTORY AND BMP REQUIREMENTS.....	59
8.0 SAFETY	60
9.0 MUNICIPAL CONSULTATIONS.....	61
10.0 PUBLIC NOTICES, OUTREACH AND COMMENTS.....	64
12.0 CONCLUSION	68

ATTACHMENT D

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LOUISE MANGO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY 1

1. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA COLLECTION APPROACH..... 4

2. ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND ALTERNATIVES ANALYSES 6

3. ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES ALONG THE PROPOSED GSRP ROUTE, INCLUDING THE NORTH BLOOMFIELD SUBSTATION 11

4. ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES ALONG THE MMP ROUTE..... 18

5. POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES 22

6. THE GSRP UNDERGROUND VARIATIONS..... 38

7. THE CONNECTICUT PORTION OF THE “SOUTHERN ROUTE ALTERNATIVE” 43

8. ROLE OF THE DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT PLAN IN MITIGATING ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS..... 47

9. CONCLUSIONS..... 48

ATTACHMENT E
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

**CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS ON
THE EXPANDED ENVIRONMENTAL NOTIFICATION FORM – AUGUST 1, 2008 I**

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1	Project Need and Benefit	1-2
1.2	Summary Project Description	1-3
1.3	Summary of Alternatives	1-6
1.4	Changes Since the EENF Filing	1-7
1.5	Summary of Impacts	1-7
1.6	Summary of Mitigation Measures	1-9
1.7	Required Permits.....	1-10
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	2-1
2.1	Project Elements	2-1
2.1.1	Existing System Serving Greater Springfield Area	2-1
2.1.2	The Preferred Northern Route (345-kV Loop) – Springfield to North Bloomfield	2-2
2.1.3	Re-Building 115-kV Lines and Distribution Lines.....	2-4
2.1.4	Switching Stations and Substations	2-7
2.2	Construction Methodology	2-24
2.2.1	Overhead Line Construction	2-25
2.2.2	Substation and Switching Station Construction.....	2-32
2.3	Construction Timing and Sequence	2-35
2.4	Right-of-Way Maintenance	2-36
2.4.1	Vegetation Management	2-36
2.4.2	Use of Herbicides.....	2-38
2.4.3	Impacts from Maintenance	2-38
2.5	Easements and Land Acquisition.....	2-39
2.5.1	Existing Substations.....	2-40
2.5.2	New Switching Stations.....	2-40
2.6	Schedule and Costs	2-41
2.6.1	Timetable	2-41
2.6.2	Estimated Costs	2-41
3.0	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES.....	3-1
3.1	Alternatives Analysis in the MEPA Process.....	3-1
3.2	Consideration of Non-Transmission Alternatives.....	3-2
3.2.1	Assessment of Non-Transmission Alternatives	3-3
3.3	Consideration of the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route	3-4
3.3.1	Description of the Noticed-Alternative Southern Route.....	3-4

	3.3.2	Comparison to the Preferred Northern Route	3-7
3.4		Consideration of the Noticed 115-kV Alternatives.....	3-9
	3.4.1	The Physical Configuration of the Proposed and Alternative 115-kV Improvements	3-12
	3.4.2	Alternative Route Sections for Certain 115-kV Improvements.....	3-15
	3.4.3	Methodology for Route Evaluation Criteria and Scoring	3-17
	3.4.4	Summary of Underground 115-kV Line-Route Alternatives	3-19
	3.4.5	Factors for Comparing the Alternative 115-kV Underground Line Routes with the Re-Building/Re-Conductoring of the Existing 115-kV Overhead Lines “in Place”	3-20
	3.4.6	Section by Section Summaries of Criteria Scoring Among Underground Line Routes and Comparisons with 115-kV Overhead Line Routes	3-24
3.5		Conclusion: Superiority of the 115-kV Overhead Routes Based on a Balancing of Impacts, Reliability, and Ability to Meet Need	3-84
3.6		Consideration of Alternative Construction Methodologies	3-85
4.0		EXISTING CONDITIONS	4-1
4.1		Primary Scoped Resources	4-1
	4.1.1	Wetland Protection Act Resource Areas.....	4-1
	4.1.2	Vernal Pools.....	4-10
	4.1.3	Rare Species.....	4-11
	4.1.4	Historic and Archaeological Resources	4-18
4.2		Other Resources.....	4-20
	4.2.1	Topography, Geology and Soils	4-20
	4.2.2	Plant and Animal Species Habitat	4-23
	4.2.3	Air Quality and Noise	4-24
	4.2.4	Environmental Screening - Potential Contaminated Sites	4-26
	4.2.5	Scenic Qualities, Open Space and Recreational Resources.....	4-35
	4.2.6	Traffic, Transit and Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation	4-35
5.0		PREFERRED ROUTE IMPACTS	5-1
5.1		Primary Scoped Resources	5-1
	5.1.1	Wetland Protection Act Resource Areas.....	5-1
	5.1.2	Vernal Pools.....	5-10
	5.1.3	Rare Species.....	5-11
	5.1.4	Historic and Archaeological Resources	5-12
5.2		Other Resources.....	5-13
	5.2.1	Topography, Geology and Soils	5-13
	5.2.2	Plant and Animal Species Habitat	5-13
	5.2.3	Air Quality and Noise	5-14
	5.2.4	MCP-Regulated Sites.....	5-15
	5.2.5	Scenic Qualities, Open Space and Recreational Resources.....	5-16
	5.2.6	Traffic, Transit and Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation	5-17

6.0	MITIGATION	6-1
6.1	Primary Scoped Resources	6-1
6.1.1	Wetland Protection Act Resource Areas.....	6-1
6.1.2	Vernal Pools.....	6-7
6.1.3	Rare Species.....	6-8
6.1.4	NHESP - Conservation & Management Permit Process	6-9
6.1.5	Historic and Archaeological Resources	6-13
6.2	Other Resources.....	6-14
6.2.1	Topography, Geology and Soils	6-14
6.2.2	Plant and Animal Species Habitat	6-16
6.2.3	Air Quality and Noise.....	6-17
6.2.4	MCP-Regulated Sites.....	6-17
6.2.5	Scenic Qualities, Open Space and Recreational Resources.....	6-18
6.2.6	Traffic, Transit and Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation	6-18
7.0	DEMONSTRATION OF STATUTORY AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	7-1
7.1	Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act.....	7-1
7.1.1	Bordering Vegetated Wetlands.....	7-1
7.1.2	Land Under Waterbodies and Waterways	7-5
7.1.3	Inland Bank.....	7-6
7.1.4	Riverfront Area.....	7-8
7.1.5	Bordering Land Subject to Flooding.....	7-10
7.1.6	Limited Project Provisions.....	7-12
7.1.7	Compliance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Policy	7-13
7.2	Massachusetts Public Waterfront Act.....	7-14
7.3	Water Quality Certification.....	7-17
7.4	Massachusetts Endangered Species Act	7-19
7.5	Archaeological and Historic Preservation	7-19
7.6	Energy Facilities Siting Board Approval.....	7-20
7.7	Massachusetts Aeronautics Commission (MAC) Review	7-22
7.8	Massachusetts Highway Department Encroachment Permits.....	7-23
7.9	Massachusetts Turnpike Authority Approval for Aerial Crossing	7-23
7.10	Federal Programs	7-23
7.10.1	Army Corps of Engineers	7-23
7.10.2	EPA.....	7-24
7.10.3	FAA	7-24
7.11	Local Programs	7-24
8.0	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	8-1
8.1	Response to Comments.....	8-1
9.0	DRAFT SECTION 61 FINDINGS.....	9-1