



STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
*CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL*

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

Web Site: [portal.ct.gov/csc](http://portal.ct.gov/csc)

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL**

March 3, 2022

Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq.  
Robinson & Cole LLP  
280 Trumbull Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-3597

RE: **DOCKET NO. 495A** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located 5151 Park Avenue, Fairfield, Connecticut. **Reopening of this Certificate based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).**

Dear Attorney Baldwin:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than March 17, 2022. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available. At this time, consistent with the Council's policy to prevent the spread of Coronavirus, please submit an electronic copy only to [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov). However, please be advised that the Council may later request one or more hard copies for records retention purposes.

Copies of your responses shall be provided to all parties and intervenors listed in the service list, which can be found on the Council's website under the "Pending Matters" link.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Sincerely,

Melanie Bachman  
Executive Director

MB/RM

**Docket No. 495A**  
**Pre-Hearing Questions**  
**March 3, 2022**

**General**

1. Referencing Motion to Reopen, p. 2, provide more information regarding the meetings that took place with municipal officials and area neighbors.
2. What is the estimated cost of the faux bell tower facility? How would Cellco recover these costs?
3. Is Cellco responsible for the maintenance of the facility and SHU storage areas?

**Site/tower**

4. Estimate the amounts of cut and fill that would be required to develop the proposed facility.
5. Would any blasting be required to develop the site?
6. What measures are proposed for the faux bell tower facility to ensure security and deter vandalism? (Including alarms, gates, locks, anti-climb fence design, etc.)
7. Describe how the fiber connection would be installed along the west property line. It appears the fiber line trench and associated five-foot wide corridor extends through a wooded corridor - how many trees would be removed to install the fiber line?
8. Submit photographic site documentation of the proposed site construction area and the proposed fiber line utility trench location.
9. Is FAA lighting or any other type of lighting proposed for the site?

**Coverage/Capacity**

10. Referring to Docket 495 Application p. 9, provide revised information regarding coverage from the proposed site in relation to area roads and coverage footprint.

**Backup power**

11. Referencing the Motion to Reopen p. 4, what would be the generator run time for the shared generator, assuming three carriers locate at the facility?
12. Would Cellco install an emergency power battery for its equipment?

**Environment**

13. Referring to the Motion to Reopen Visibility Assessment, were any photographs taken from the area marked as seasonal visibility along Autumn Ridge Road and/or Shadybrook Road? If yes, submit. If not, describe the visibility of the tower from this area.

14. Referring to the Motion to Reopen Visibility Assessment, Photograph 8, what is the building on the left side of the photo? Are there any residences in this area that would have a similar or a more pronounced view of the proposed facility?
15. Describe the differences in visibility from residential areas between the proposed site and the Docket 495 Certificated site.