

State of Connecticut

Department of [Energy and](#) Environmental Protection

Recommended Reasonable Confidence Protocols

Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements

Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Method 8082, SW-846

Version ~~2~~3.0

[Month 2023](#)

Written by the Connecticut ~~DEP~~[DEEP](#) QA/QC Workgroup

| Revision | Comments | Date |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------|
| 1.0 | First version for publication | 7/11/05 |
| 2.0 | Final version based upon public comments | July 2006 |
| 3.0 | Updates to reflect CAM method updates to improve consistency between different states. | Month 2023 |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| ACRONYM LIST | 3 |
| 1.0 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements for SW-846 Method 8082 | 4 |
| 1.1 Method Overview | 4 |
| 1.2 Summary of SW-846 Method 8082 | 4 |
| 1.2.1 Sample Extraction and Cleanup | 4 |
| 1.2.2 GC Analysis | 5 |
| 1.3 Method Interferences | 5 |
| 1.3.1 Chemical Contaminants | 6 |
| 1.3.2 Cross-contamination/ Carryover | 6 |
| 1.3.3 Sulfur Interferences | 6 |
| 1.3.4 Co-elution | 6 |
| 1.3.5 Special Precautions | 6 |
| 1.4 Quality Control Requirements for SW-846 Method 8082 | 7 |
| 1.4.1 Reporting Limits/Lower Limits of Quantitation for Method 8082 | 7 |
| 1.4.2 General Quality Control Requirements | 7 |
| 1.4.3 Specific QA/QC Requirements and Performance Standards for SW-846 Method 8082 | 8 |
| 1.5 Special Analytical Considerations for Multi-Response Analytes | 25 |
| 1.6 Analyte List for SW-846 Method 8082 | 25 |
| 1.6.1 Additional Reporting Requirements for SW-846 Method 8082 | 25 |
| 1.7 Routine Reporting Deliverables for Method 8082 | 26 |
| 1.7.1 Reporting and Flagging of Results | 26 |
| 1.8 Sample Containers and Preservation | 27 |

Table of Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1.0: Extraction Methods | 4 |
| Table 2.0: Extraction Clean-up Methods | 5 |
| Table 3.0: Typical Reporting Limits / Lower Limits of Quantitation | 7 |
| Table 4.0: IDOC Requirements | 8 |
| Table 1A: Specific QA/QC Requirements and Performance Standards for RCP Method 8282 | 9 |
| Table 1B: Analyte List for SW-846 Method 8082 | 24 |
| Table 5.0: Report Deliverables | 25 |
| Table 6.0: Sample Containers, Preservations and Holding Times | 26 |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

ACRONYM LIST

| <u>ACRONYM</u> | <u>DEFINITION</u> |
|------------------------|---|
| <u>BZ198</u> | <u>Ballschmiter and Zell (for congeners)</u> |
| <u>CASN</u> | <u>Chemical Abstracts Service Number</u> |
| <u>CCV</u> | <u>Continuing calibration verification</u> |
| <u>%D</u> | <u>Percent difference or percent drift</u> |
| <u>DBOFB</u> | <u>4,4'-Dibromooctafluorobiphenyl</u> |
| <u>DCB</u> | <u>Decachlorobiphenyl</u> |
| <u>DDD</u> | <u>Dichlorodipheylchloroethane</u> |
| <u>DDE</u> | <u>Dichlorodiphenylethane</u> |
| <u>DDT</u> | <u>Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane</u> |
| <u>DEEP</u> | <u>CT Department of Energy and Environmental Protection</u> |
| <u>ECD</u> | <u>Electron capture detector</u> |
| <u>ELCD</u> | <u>Electrolytic conductivity detector</u> |
| <u>GC</u> | <u>Gas chromatograph</u> |
| <u>ICV</u> | <u>Initial calibration verification</u> |
| <u>LCS</u> | <u>Laboratory control sample</u> |
| <u>LLOQ</u> | <u>Lower limit of quantitation</u> |
| <u>MS</u> | <u>Matrix spike</u> |
| <u>MSD</u> | <u>Matrix spike duplicate</u> |
| <u>MSE</u> | <u>Microscale Solvent Extraction</u> |
| <u>NA</u> | <u>Not applicable</u> |
| <u>PCB</u> | <u>Polychlorinated biphenyl</u> |
| <u>PFE</u> | <u>Pressurized Fluid Extraction</u> |
| <u>PTFE</u> | <u>Polytetrafluoroethylene</u> |
| <u>QA</u> | <u>Quality assurance</u> |
| <u>QC</u> | <u>Quality control</u> |
| <u>r/r²</u> | <u>Correlation coefficient/determination</u> |
| <u>RCP</u> | <u>Reasonable Confidence Protocol</u> |
| <u>RL</u> | <u>Reporting limit</u> |
| <u>RPD</u> | <u>Relative percent difference</u> |
| <u>%RSD</u> | <u>Percent relative standard deviation</u> |
| <u>RSR/RSRs</u> | <u>Remediation Standard Regulations</u> |
| <u>SPE</u> | <u>Solid Phase Extraction</u> |
| <u>TCMX</u> | <u>Tetrachloro-m-xylene</u> |
| <u>µg/kg</u> | <u>micrograms per kilogram</u> |
| <u>µg/L</u> | <u>micrograms per liter</u> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

1.0 Quality Assurance and Quality Control ~~QA/QC~~ Requirements for SW-846 Method 8082

1.1 Method Overview

SW-846 Method 8082 is a gas chromatography (“GC”) procedure used to determine polychlorinated biphenyls (~~PCB’s~~), (“PCBs”), as Aroclors or as individual congeners, in a variety of matrices including waters, soils, sediments, wastes, etc. This procedure requires an experienced GC analyst familiar with the QA/QC Quality Assurance and Quality Control (“QA/QC”) requirements of the method. The sample introduction procedure requires the use of a solvent extraction procedure—(see Table 1.0).

Open-tubular, capillary columns are employed with electron capture detectors (“ECD”) or electrolytic conductivity detectors (“ELCD”). When compared to packed columns, these fused-silica, open-tubular columns offer improved resolution, better selectivity, increased sensitivity, and faster analysis. The target analytes may be determined with ~~either a single or a~~ dual-column chromatographic system. The method also may be applied to other matrices such as oils and wipe samples, if appropriate sample extraction procedures are employed.

All method references are to the latest promulgated version of the method found in Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846.

1.2 Summary of SW-846 Method 8082

1.2.1 Sample Extraction and Cleanup

Samples for analysis by SW-846 Method 8082 require extraction by one of the following methods—(see Table 1.0). The use of a hydrophilic solvent mixture, either 1:1 Acetone/Hexane or 1:1 Acetone/Methylene chloride, is recommended for soil and sediment samples.

Table 1.0: Extraction Methods

| SW-846 Method | Matrix | Description |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| 3542 | Air Samples | Extraction of Analytes Collected Using a Modified Method 5 Sampling Train |
| 3510C <u>3510</u> | Aqueous | Separatory Funnel Liquid-Liquid Extraction |
| 3520C <u>3520</u> | Aqueous | Continuous Liquid-Liquid Extraction |
| 3511 | Aqueous | Organic Compounds in Water by Microextraction |
| <u>3535</u> | <u>Aqueous</u> | <u>Solid-Phase Extraction (“SPE”)</u> |
| 3540C <u>3540</u> | Soil/Sediment | Soxhlet Extraction |
| 3541 | Soil/Sediment | Automated Soxhlet Extraction |
| 3545A <u>3545</u> | Soil/Sediment | Pressurized Fluid Extraction (“PFE”) |
| 3546 | Soil/Sediment | Microwave Extraction |
| 3570 | Soil/Sediment | Microscale Solvent Extraction (“MSE”) |
| 3550C <u>3550</u> | Contaminated Solids ¹ | Ultrasonic Extraction |
| 3580A <u>3580</u> | NAPL | Solvent Dilution |
| ¹ Sonication may only be used for the extraction of highly contaminated (free product) non-soil/sediments (debris). Any other use of ultrasonic extraction is not allowed | | |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

It is highly recommended that extracts for PCB analysis be routinely subjected to a sulfuric acid cleanup using SW-846 Method 3665. This cleanup technique will remove (i.e., destroy) most other organic compounds including many single component organochlorine or organophosphorus pesticides as well as phthalate compounds, which could potentially interfere with the quantitation of PCB Aroclors or congeners. Other optional extraction clean-up methods are included in Table 2.0. ~~All soil extracts must be cleaned up, using SW-846 Method 3665 Sulfuric Acid/Permanganate Cleanup prior to analysis.~~

Table 2.0: Extraction Clean-up Methods

| SW-846 Method | Description |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| 3600 | General Cleanup Selection |
| 3610 | Alumina |
| 3620 | Florisil |
| 3630 | Silica Gel |
| 3640 | Gel Permeation Chromatography |
| 3660 | Sulfur Cleanup |

1.2.2 GC Analysis

The PCBs are extracted from the sample using the appropriate method. The solvent extract is concentrated in hexane or other appropriate solvent. The extract is then subjected to the sulfuric acid cleanup. This cleanup will destroy many single response pesticides and therefore this procedure cannot be used to determine other pesticide compounds. Aliquots of the cleaned-up extract are injected onto the GC column in the gas chromatograph. The GC oven is temperature programmed to facilitate separation of the analytes that are then detected by an ECD or ELCD interfaced to the column.

Preliminary identification of target analytes is accomplished by comparing the retention time of the chromatographic peaks of the sample to known PCBs analyzed under the exact same conditions. Confirmation is accomplished either by analysis of the same extract on a dissimilar column, again comparing the retention times of the chromatographic peaks of the sample to known PCBs analyzed under the exact same conditions, or by using at least one other independent qualitative technique such as GC/MS. Quantitation is accomplished by constructing a minimum 5-point calibration curve of PCB concentration vs. peak area. Identification of PCBs on a single column must be confirmed on a second column or must be supported by at least one other independent qualitative technique. Although a dual-column option may satisfy this requirement, due caution should be exercised when highly contaminated samples are processed or during times of high sample throughput. Dual column Confirmation is not required in the case where PCBs are not detected above their specific reporting limit.

The chromatographic data produced may then be used to identify and quantify the nine (9) Aroclors listed in Table 1B, individual PCB congeners, or to determine total PCBs as the cumulative sum of the individual Aroclors or congeners.

1.3 Method Interferences

Refer to SW-846 Methods 3500 ~~(Sec. 3.0, in particular)~~, 3600, and 8000 for a detailed discussion of interferences. ~~Interferences co-extracted from the samples will vary considerably from matrix to matrix.~~ While general cleanup techniques are referenced or provided as part of this method, unique samples may require additional cleanup approaches to achieve desired degrees of discrimination and quantitation. Sources of interference in this method can be grouped into four broad categories.

- Contaminated solvents, reagents, or sample processing hardware;
- Contaminated GC carrier gas, parts, column surfaces, or detector surfaces;

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

- Non-target compounds simultaneously extracted from the sample matrix which cause a detector response; and
- Co-elution of target analytes

An in-depth discussion of the causes and corrective actions for all these interferences is beyond the scope of this guidance document. A brief discussion of the more prevalent interferences is presented below.

1.3.1 Chemical Contaminants

Major contaminant sources for [SW-846 Method 8082](#) include, but are not limited to, plastics, impurities in laboratory chemicals, contaminated laboratory ware, etc. The use of non-polytetrafluoroethylene (“PTFE”) thread sealants, plastic tubing, or flow controllers with rubber components should be avoided, since such materials may contaminate the analytical system.

Analysis of blanks provides information about the presence of contaminants. When potential interfering peaks or high levels of target compounds are detected in blanks, the laboratory should try and find the source of the contamination and eliminate it. **Subtracting blank values from sample results is not permitted.** Any method blank exceedances should be fully documented in the laboratory report narrative.

Interferences by phthalate esters introduced during sample preparation can pose a major problem in PCB determinations by SW-846 Method 8082. Common flexible plastics contain varying amounts of phthalate esters, as plasticizers, which are easily extracted or leached from such materials during laboratory operations. Interferences from phthalate esters can best be minimized by avoiding contact with any plastic materials and checking all solvents and reagents for phthalate contamination. Exhaustive cleanup of solvents, reagents and glassware may be required to eliminate background phthalate ester contamination. These materials may be removed prior to analysis using [SW-846 Method 3665 \(Sulfuric Acid/Permanganate Cleanup\)](#).

1.3.2 Cross-contamination/ Carryover

Cross-contamination can occur when any sample is analyzed immediately after a sample containing high concentrations of PCBs or other compounds which cause a detector response, such as phthalates. Syringes on the autosampler may also become contaminated in the same manner. If a high sample is inadvertently analyzed, the system must be demonstrated to be clean by analysis of solvent blanks. Laboratories should be aware that carryover from high boiling point compounds may not appear until a later run (i.e., ghost peaks).

1.3.3 Sulfur Interferences

The presence of elemental sulfur (S) will result in broad peaks that interfere with the detection of early-eluting chlorinated pesticides. Sulfur contamination should be expected with sediment samples and can be removed ~~through the use of~~ [using](#) SW-846 Method 3660.

1.3.4 Co-elution

As described in ~~Section 3.8 and 3.9 of~~ SW-846 Method 8082, co-elution among the many target analytes or other compounds can cause interference problems. The GC analyst should experiment with varying chromatographic conditions to obtain the most efficient compound separation.

1.3.5 Special Precautions

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

Oven-drying of glassware used for PCB analysis can increase contamination because PCBs are readily volatilized at laboratory drying oven temperatures and spread to other glassware. Due caution should be exercised when drying glassware used for the analysis of samples containing high concentrations of PCBs with glassware that may be used for trace analyses.

1.4 Quality Control Requirements for SW-846 Method 8082

1.4.1 Reporting Limits/Lower Limits of Quantitation for Method 8082

The reporting limit (“RL”), or lower limit of quantitation (“LLOQ”), for a compound is dependent on the concentration of the lowest non-zero standard in the initial calibration, detector type, sample weight/volume, extraction procedure, and moisture content. ~~The following table~~ Table 3.0 lists approximate RL/LLOQs for various matrices utilizing a gas chromatograph with an electron capture detector (“GC/ECD”). ELCDs will have slightly higher RL/LLOQs. Solid matrices in this table assume 100% solids.

Table 3.0: Typical Reporting Limits / Lower Limits of Quantitation

| Matrix | Typical Reporting Limit |
|--------|-------------------------|
| Water | <u>0.25</u> to 1.0 µg/L |
| Soil | 50 to <u>100</u> µg/Kg |

Moisture content of soils and sediments will raise the RL/LLOQ, as all results must be reported on a dry weight basis for these two matrices. Sample dilution or lower sample weight/volume will also cause the RL/LLOQs to be raised. It is the responsibility of the EP data user, to specify to the laboratory in concert with the laboratory, the detection limits required for the samples to establish the range and required RL/LLOQ for the target analytes to meet Remediation Standard Regulation (“RSR”) criteria. To meet the detection limits it may be necessary to modify the analytical method by using increased sample volume or mass. In such cases the modifications must be noted in the laboratory report narrative.

~~Sample container type, preservation requirements, and holding times for waters, soils, and sediments are presented in Table 2A of this document.~~

1.4.2 General Quality Control Requirements for Determinative Chromatography Methods

This protocol is restricted to use by, or under the supervision of, analysts experienced in the use of GC instrumentation as a quantitative tool and skilled in the interpretation of chromatograms for PCBs.

Refer to SW-846 Method 8000 for general QC requirements for all chromatographic methods, including SW-846 Method 8082. These requirements ~~insure~~ ensure that each laboratory maintain a formal quality assurance (“QA”) program and records to document the quality of all chromatographic data and be certified by the Connecticut Department of Public Health for the analysis performed. QC procedures necessary to evaluate the GC system operation may be found in SW-846 Method 8000, ~~Section 7.0, and include evaluation of retention time windows, initial and verification of instrument calibrations and chromatographic performance of sample analyses.~~ Instrument QC and method performance requirements for the GC system may be found in SW-846 Method 8082, ~~Sections 8.0 and 9.0, respectively.~~

~~1.1.2 General Quality Control Requirements~~

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

~~1.4.3 Site Specific Matrix Spike (MS), Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Samples~~

~~The minimum requirements for a formal QA program include Initial Demonstration of Proficiency Capability (“IDOC”), ongoing analysis of standards and blanks to confirm acceptable continuing performance, and analysis of laboratory control samples (“LCS”) and/ or matrix spikes (“MS”) to assess precision and accuracy and LCS duplicates (“LCS D”) and matrix spike duplicates (“MSD”) to assess precision. The use of site-specific MS/MSD’s is highly recommended. Evaluation of sample matrix effects on compound recovery is key to making good informed decisions. Percent recovery data from site-specific samples allow the environmental professional (“EP”) to make informed decisions regarding contamination levels at the site. Batch MS/MSD results do not give any indication of site-specific matrix interferences or analytical problems related to the specific site matrices and are in general discouraged. ~~Batch MS/MSD’s should not be reported under the RCP’s. Additionally trip blanks, Field, rinsate, or other blanks should not be used for MS/MSD’s.~~~~

Laboratories must document and have on file an ~~Initial Demonstration of Proficiency~~ IDOC for each combination of sample preparation and determinative method being used. ~~An IDOC must be completed and documented when a method is initially started up, whenever a method is substantially modified, or new laboratory staff is trained to perform this method.~~ These data must meet or ~~exceed~~ fall within the performance standards as presented in Section 1.54 and Table 1A of this RCP. ~~See Section 8.4 of SW-846 Method 8000 for the procedure. The Initial Demonstration of Proficiency IDOC must include the following elements provided in Table 4.0:~~

Table 4.14.0: IDOC Requirements

| QC Element | Performance Criteria |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Initial Calibration | Table 1A |
| Continuing Calibration | Table 1A |
| Method Blanks | Table 1A |
| Average Recovery | Table 1A |
| % Relative Standard Deviation | Table 1A |
| Surrogate Recovery | Table 1A |
| Internal Standards | Table 1A |

~~Note:~~ Because of the extensive analyte list and number of QC elements associated with the ~~Initial Demonstration of Proficiency~~ IDOC, it should be expected that one or more analytes may not meet the performance standards for one or more QC elements. The laboratory should make every effort to find and correct the problem and repeat the analysis. All non-conforming analytes along with the laboratory acceptance criteria should be noted in the ~~Initial Demonstration of Proficiency~~ IDOC data. ~~This information should be kept on-file at the laboratory.~~

Laboratories are required to generate laboratory specific performance criteria for LCS compound recovery limits, matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate compound recovery and ~~precision relative percent difference~~ (“RPD”) limits, and surrogate recovery limits. These limits must ~~meet or exceed~~ be equal to or fall within the limits specified in Table 1A.

1.4.23 Specific QA/QC Requirements and Performance Standards for SW-846 Method 8082

Specific QA/QC requirements and performance standards for SW-846 Method 8082 are presented in Table 1A. Strict compliance with the QA/QC requirements and performance standards for this method, as well as satisfying other analytical and reporting requirements will provide the ~~environmental professional~~ (EP) with “Reasonable Confidence” regarding the usability of analytical data to support ~~DEP environmental~~ decisions. ~~The concept of “Reasonable Confidence” is explained on the CT Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (“DEEP”) website.~~

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

While optional, parties electing to utilize these protocols will be assured that “Reasonable Confidence” data, will be generally accepted by agency reviewers. To achieve “Reasonable Confidence” parties must:

1. Comply with the applicable QC analytical requirements prescribed in Table 1A for this test procedure;
2. Evaluate and narrate all protocol non-compliances and implement, as necessary, ~~compliance with~~ required corrective actions and analytical response actions for all non-conforming analytical performance standards ~~prescribed in Table 1A for this test method~~; and
3. ~~Adopt the reporting formats and elements specified in Section 1.7 of this method.~~ Retain reported and unreported analytical data and information for a period of 10 years.

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

Table 1A: Specific QA/QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Method 8082

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Initial Demonstration of Capability (“IDOC”) | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy & Precision | (1) Must be performed prior to using method on samples. (2) Must be performed for each matrix. (3) Must contain Aroclors 1016/1260 for PCB Aroclor analysis and all target congeners for PCB congener analysis. (4) Must follow procedure in SW-846 8000. | No | Refer to SW-846 8000 and Section 1.4.2 of this protocol. | N/A | Group accepted MA with exceptions of reference to specific method version and sections. |
| Retention Windows | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy | (1) Prior to or during the initial calibration when a new GC column is installed. (2) Calculate according to Method 8000-Section 7.6. (3) If acid clean-up is not performed, also analyze DDT/DDE/DDD standard. | No | N/A(1) For PCB Aroclor analysis, if interference is present for any of the Aroclor peaks used for quantitation with DDT, DDE, or DDD, either adjust GC conditions to obtain better resolution or choose another peak for the Aroclor of interest that does not coelute with DDT, DDE, or DDD. (2) For PCB congener analysis, if interference is present for any of the target congeners with DDT, DDE, or DDD, adjust GC conditions to obtain better resolution. | N/A | Group accepted MA language with exceptions of reference to specific method version and sections. |
| Initial Calibration | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy | (1) Must be analyzed with dual columns at least once prior to analyzing samples, when initial calibration | No | (1) Required recalibration as by method. (2) If recalculated concentrations from | Sample analysis cannot proceed without a valid initial calibration. Report non-conforming | Group accepted MA language. Column 3, Item 1: |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | <p><u>verification or continuing calibration does not meet the performance standards, when major instrument maintenance is performed.</u> (2) Minimum of 5 standards (or 6 if non-linear regression used). (Note 1) (3) <u>PCB Aroclors: 5-point calibration for other Aroclors may be warranted based on site-specific conditions (i.e., if nature of PCB contamination known). A minimum of 5 unique peaks must be evaluated for Aroclors 1016 and 1260. Congeners: 5-point calibration must include all target PCB congeners. If congeners are determined, must use 5-point for each congener.</u> (4) 5-point cal for AR-1016/1260. (4) Low standard must be \leqRL/LLOQ (5) % RSD \leq20, $r \geq 0.99$ (linear regression) <u>or, $r^2 \geq 0.99$ (non-linear regression) for each PCB Aroclor or each PCB congener.</u> (6) % RSD >20, linear or non-linear regression must be used.</p> | | <p><u>lowest calibration standard are outside of 70-130% recovery range, either:</u> <u>The RL/LLOQ must be reported as an estimated value; OR</u> <u>The RL/LLOQ must be raised to the concentration of the next highest calibration standard that exhibits acceptable recoveries when recalculated using the final calibration curve.</u></p> | <p>compounds ($\%RSD > 20$, $r < 0.99$, or $r^2 < 0.99$) in laboratory report narrative. If avg-CF or linear regression not used non-linear regression (e.g., quadratic equation) is used for calibration, this must be noted in the laboratory report narrative <u>along with the congeners or PCB Aroclors affected.</u></p> | <p><u>Added language requiring calibration of dual column for method clarification.</u></p> <p><u>Column 3, Items 11&12: Language included to be consistent with the intent of the method.</u></p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | <p><u>(7) PCB Aroclors: For Aroclors which are not calibrated with 5-points, lab must perform single analysis of these Aroclors at the midpoint of the calibration curve. Single point for Aroclors at mid-point</u> within 12-hrs of sample analysis.</p> <p><u>(8) Calibration must be performed under the same conditions as the sample.</u></p> <p><u>(9) If linear or non-linear regression used, verify the RL/LLOQ by recalculating concentrations in lowest calibration standard using the final calibration curve; recoveries must be 70-130%.</u></p> <p><u>(10) If curves are used, curve MUST NOT be forced through origin.</u></p> <p><u>(11) Curves must be verified with independent ICV prior to sample analysis.</u></p> | | | | |
| <p><u>Initial Calibration Verification ("ICV")</u></p> | <p><u>Laboratory Analytical Accuracy</u></p> | <p><u>(1) Immediately after each initial calibration.</u></p> <p><u>(2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Prepared using standard source different than used for initial calibration.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Must contain Aroclors 1016/1260 for PCB Aroclor analysis and all target</u></p> | <p><u>No</u></p> | <p><u>Locate source of problem; recalibrate if either PCB Aroclor 1016/1260 or >10% of all PCB congeners are outside of criteria.</u></p> | <p><u>If recovery is outside of 80-120% for any PCB Aroclor or congener, report non-conformances in laboratory report narrative.</u></p> | <p><u>Group accepted MA language.</u></p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|---|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | <p>congeners for PCB congener analysis. (5) Percent recoveries must be between 80-120% for each PCB Aroclor or congener.</p> | | | | |
| Continuing Calibration Verification (“CCVAL”) | Laboratory Analytical Accuracy | <p>(1) Prior to samples, every 12 hours or every ≤ 20 field samples, whichever is more frequent, and at the end of the analytical sequence.</p> <p>NOTE: If internal standard calibration used, the continuing calibration at the end of the analytical sequence is not required.</p> <p>(2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve using AR-1016/1260. <i>Congeners: CCVAL must include all congeners.</i></p> <p>(3) PCB Aroclors: Must contain Aroclors 1016/1260. Aroclors other than 1016/1260 must be verified with a one-point standard within 12 hours of being detected in a sample. <i>Congeners: Must include all target PCB congeners.</i></p> <p>(4) %D must be ≤ 20 15 for each PCB Aroclor or PCB congener.</p> <p>(5) Verify that all analytes</p> | No | <p>(1) Perform instrument maintenance, reanalyze CCVAL and/or recalibrate.</p> <p>(2) Reanalyze associated samples if beginning or closing CCVAL exhibited low response and associated pesticides not detected in samples.</p> <p>(3) Reanalyze associated samples if beginning or closing CCVAL high and associated pesticides were detected in samples.</p> <p>NOTE: “Associated samples” refers to all samples analyzed since the last acceptable continuing calibration.</p> | <p>Report non-conformances/exceedances in laboratory report narrative.</p> <p>NOTE: “Associated samples” refers to all samples analyzed since the last acceptable continuing calibration.</p> | <p>Group accepted MA language.</p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|
| | | fall within retention time windows. <u>(6) Area count of internal standard in continuing calibration must be within ±50% of the average area count in the associated initial calibration.</u> | | | | |
| Method Blank ("MB") | Laboratory <u>Method Sensitivity & Contamination Evaluation</u> | (1) Extracted with every batch or every <u>≤20 field</u> samples, whichever is more frequent. (2) Matrix-specific (e.g., <u>water, soil</u>). (3) Target analytes must be <u>< ≤½ RL/LLQ</u> . | Yes | <u>(1) If concentration of contaminant in sample is <10x concentration in blank, locate source of contamination; correct problem; re-extract and re-analyze method blank and associated samples.</u> <u>(2) No corrective action required if concentration of contaminant in sample is >10x concentration in blank or if contaminant not detected in sample.</u> Locate source of contamination and correct problem. Reanalyze method blank. Re-extract samples if method blank contaminated | <u>(1) If sample re-extraction is not possible, report non-conformance in laboratory report case narrative.</u> <u>(2) If contamination of method blanks is suspected or present, the lab, using a "B" or some other convention, should qualify the sample results. Blank contamination should also be documented in the laboratory report narrative.</u> All results for compounds present in method blank above RL must be "B" flagged if detected in samples associated with the method blank. <u>(3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable method blank results, the lab may report results of re-extraction only. -report</u> | |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | <p>only compliant data. (4) If re-extraction of performed outside of holding time, <u>the lab must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction.</u> report all data.</p> | |
| Laboratory Control Sample (“LCS”) | Laboratory Method Accuracy | <p>(1) Extracted with every batch or every <u>≤20 field</u> samples, whichever is more frequent. (2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve. (3) <u>PCB Aroclors:</u> 1016/1260 required. <u>Optionally, LCSs may be spiked with other Aroclors which have been fully calibrated, based on site-specific conditions (i.e., if specific Aroclors are known to be present or expected in samples).</u> <u>Congeners:</u> Must include all target PCB congeners. (4) Matrix-specific (e.g., <u>soil, water</u>). (5) Laboratory determined Percent recoveries must be between 40-140% <u>for target analytes.</u> (6) <u>Must be prepared in a water-miscible solvent (e.g., acetone, methanol).</u> (7) Standard source different from initial calibration</p> | Yes | <p>(1) <u>Locate source of problem; re-extract and re-analyze LCS and associated samples if either Aroclor 1016/1260 or >10% of all PCB congeners are outside of criteria.</u> (2) <u>If ≤10% of PCB congeners are outside of the acceptance criteria, re-extraction is not required as long as recoveries are >10%.</u> (3) <u>If >10% of PCB congeners or either Aroclor 1016/1260 are above the acceptance criteria (>140%), re-extraction is not required if the affected congeners or all PCB Aroclors were not detected in associated samples.</u> (4) If MS/MSD in same batch compare to determine if problem isolated to LCS. Recalculate the</p> | <p>(1) <u>If sample re-extraction is not possible, report nonconformance in laboratory report case narrative.</u> (2) <u>If recovery is outside of 40-140% for any PCB Aroclor or congener, report non-conforming compounds in laboratory report narrative.</u> (3) <u>If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable LCS results, the lab may report results of the re-extraction only. Report only compliant data</u> (4) <u>If re-extraction is performed outside of holding time, the lab must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction. Report all data</u></p> | <p>Column 3: Group decided to maintain limit language within body of text under IDOC.</p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | <u>Rationale for Changes</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | <p>source. 7) Labs are required to develop own in-house limits that meet or exceed limits listed above.</p> | | <p>percent recoveries</p> <p>Reanalyze the LCS</p> <p>If MS/MSD in same batch compare to determine if problem isolated to LCS</p> <p>Re-extract LCS and samples if >10% compounds outside acceptance criteria and no MS/MSD with acceptable criteria</p> <p>Locate & correct problem, reanalyze associated samples</p> | | |
| <p><u>LCS Duplicate ("LCSD")</u></p> | <p><u>Laboratory Method Accuracy & Precision</u></p> | <p><u>(1) Extracted with every batch or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent.</u> <u>(2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve.</u> <u>(3) PCB Aroclors: 1016/1260 required. Optionally, LCS Duplicates may be spiked with other Aroclors which have been fully calibrated, based on site-specific conditions (i.e., if specific Aroclors are known to be present or expected in samples.).</u></p> | <p><u>Yes</u></p> | <p><u>(1) Locate source of problem; re-extract and re-analyze LCS and associated samples if either Aroclor 1016/1260 or >10% of all PCB congeners are outside of recovery acceptance criteria.</u> <u>(2) If ≤10% of PCB congeners are outside of the recovery acceptance criteria, re-extraction is not required as long as recoveries are >10%.</u></p> | <p><u>(1) If same re-extraction is not possible, report non-conformance laboratory report narrative.</u> <u>(2) If recovery is outside of 40-140% for any PCB Aroclor or congener or if RPD is outside of criteria, report non-conforming compounds in laboratory report narrative.</u> <u>(3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable LCS results, the lab may</u></p> | <p><u>Group accepted MA language.</u></p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| | | <u>Congeners: Must include all target PCB congeners.</u> (4) Matrix specific (e.g., soil, water). (5) Percent recoveries must be between 40-140% for target analytes. (6) RPDs must be ≤20% for waters and ≤30% for solids. (7) Must be prepared in a water-miscible solvent (e.g., acetone, methanol). | | (3) If >10% of PCB congeners or either Aroclor 1016/1260 are above the recovery acceptance criteria (>140%), re-extraction is not required if the affected congeners or all PCB Aroclors were not detected in associated samples. | <u>report results of the re-extraction only.</u> (4) If re-extraction is performed outside of holding time, the lab must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction. | |
| Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate ("MS/MSD") (Site Specific) | Method Accuracy & Precision in Sample Matrix | (1) Every ≤20 field samples per matrix (at discretion of lab or at request of data user). (2) Matrix specific (e.g., aqueous, soil). (3) Concentration level near midpoint of curve. Spike concentration in lower part of calibration curve. (4) <u>PCB Aroclors: 1016/1260 required.</u> Usually contain AR-1016/1260 unless site specific Aroclor requested. Optionally, MS/MSD may be spiked with other Aroclors which have been fully calibrated, based on site-specific conditions (i.e., if specific Aroclors are known to be present or expected in samples). | Yes ONLY when requested by data user (*If requested by EP) | <u>Check LCS; if recoveries are acceptable in LCS, narrate non-conformance.</u> If compounds out compare to LCS; if LCS recoveries in note in narrative; if LCS compounds out note in narrative probable lab error | Note outliers non-conformances in laboratory report narrative. | <u>Group accepted MA language.</u> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | <p><i>Congeners:</i> Must include all target PCB congeners. (5) Lab determined Percent recoveries between 40-140% for AR 1016/1260. Use 40-140% for other Aroclors. (6) RPDs \leq20% for waters and \leq30% for solids. RPD's \leq 50% for Aroclors, \leq 30% for congeners. (7) Must be prepared in a water-miscible solvent (e.g., acetone, methanol). (8) Field blanks, trip blanks, etc. cannot be used for MS/MSDs.</p> | | | | |
| Surrogates | Method Accuracy in Sample Matrix | <p>(1) Minimum of 2 surrogates <u>compounds, one that elutes at beginning of GC run and one that elutes at end of GC run.</u> <u>Recommended surrogates: PCB Aroclor Analysis:</u> TCMX and DCB. <u>PCB Congener Analysis:</u> TCMX or DBOFB and BZ198. (2) Recovery limits lab generated Percent recoveries must be between 30-150% for both compounds <u>surrogates on both columns.</u> 3) Labs must develop own in-house limits that</p> | Yes (<u>report surrogate recoveries from both columns</u>) | <p>(1) If the same surrogate is outside limits on both columns: (a) Re-extract the sample <u>if surrogate recoveries are low and there is no chromatographic interference.</u> (b) Re-extract the sample <u>if surrogate recoveries are high and PCB Aroclor or PCB congeners were detected in the sample.</u> If both surrogates outside limits on one column only, reanalyze</p> | <p>(1) <u>Report recoveries outside of 30-150% in laboratory report narrative.</u> Note exceedances in narrative. (2) If re-extraction or reanalysis yields similar surrogate non-conformances, the lab <u>must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction.</u> (3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable surrogate recoveries, <u>the lab may report results of the re-extraction only.</u> Report only compliant data</p> | Column 3: <u>Group decided to maintain limit language within body of text under IDOC.</u> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | | fall within 30-150% limits. | | <p>sample. (2) <u>If surrogate recoveries are high and target analytes are not detected in sample, re-extraction is not required.</u> (3) <u>If chromatographic interference is present and surrogate recovery would cause rejection of data (i.e., <10%), re-analyze sample on dilution.</u> (4) <u>If a surrogate is diluted to a concentration below that of the lowest calibration standard, re-extraction and/or reanalysis is not required.</u> No recovery criteria</p> | <p>(4) <u>If re-extraction is performed outside of the holding time and yields acceptable surrogate recoveries, the lab must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction.</u> report all results. (5) <u>If sample is not re-extracted due to chromatographic interference, the lab must provide the chromatogram in the data report.</u></p> | |
| <u>Internal Standards (if employed)</u> | <u>Laboratory Analytical Accuracy & Method Accuracy in Sample Matrix</u> | <p>(1) <u>Minimum of 1.</u> (2) <u>Area counts in samples must be between 50-200% of the area counts in the associated continuing calibration standard.</u> (3) <u>Retention times of internal standards must be within ±30 seconds of retention times in associated continuing calibration standard.</u></p> | No | <p><u>If internal standard is outside of limits, reanalyze sample unless chromatographic interference present.</u> NOTE: <u>If chromatographic interference is present and internal standard area would cause rejection of data (i.e., <20%), reanalyze sample dilution.</u></p> | <p>(1) <u>Report non-conformances in laboratory report narrative. Include actual recovery of internal standard and provide summary of analytes quantitated using the internal standard.</u> (2) <u>If reanalysis yields similar internal standard non-conformances, the lab must report results of both analyses.</u> (3) <u>If reanalysis is performed within holding time and yields acceptable</u></p> | <u>Group accepted MA language.</u> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | <p><u>internal standard recoveries, the lab may report results of the reanalysis only.</u> <u>(4) If reanalysis is performed outside of the holding time and yields acceptable internal standard recoveries, the lab must report results of both analyses.</u> <u>(5) If sample is not reanalyzed due to chromatographic interference, the lab must provide the chromatogram in the data report.</u></p> | |
| Identification & Quantitation | Inter-laboratory Consistency | <p><u>(1) Peak area is the expected default to be used for quantitation of PCB Aroclors and congeners under most circumstances. Regardless if peak area or peak height is used, the same method for quantitation of sample must also be used for calibration standards.</u> <u>(2) PCB Aroclors: the lab must quantitate all Aroclors with the same five peaks used for calibration. If interference exists with select peaks, these peaks do not have to be included in the quantitation of the</u></p> | No | <p><u>N/A. If the RPD between the dual column results is >40%:</u> <u>(a) determine potential interference;</u> <u>(b) re-analyze sample on dilution; or</u> <u>(c) additional sample cleanup techniques may be warranted; or</u> <u>(d) re-extract sample and re-analyze.</u></p> | <p>When the RPD between the dual column results is: <u>(1) <40% and there is no obvious matrix interference, the higher value shall be reported.</u> <u>(2) <40% and there is obvious matrix interference, the lower value shall be reported and the results shall be flagged with a "P".</u> <u>(3) >40% and there is no obvious matrix interference, the higher value shall be reported and the results shall be flagged with a "P".</u> <u>(4) >40% and there is</u></p> | <p><u>Group accepted MA language with the exception of significant figure language. CT does not advise reporting values <RL/LLOQ, therefore group did not adopt CAM language.</u> <u>Column 5: Group added corrective action language for clarification.</u> <u>Column 6: New language proposed by workgroup to</u></p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | <p><u>Aroclor: however, a minimum of three peaks is required. All peaks must be ≥25% of the height of the largest PCB Aroclor peak. At least one peak must be unique to the PCB Aroclor.</u></p> <p>Aroclors: Laboratory should use the average calibration factor for each of the peaks from each concentration level to quantitate Aroclors 1016 and 1260. Laboratory should use the average calibration factor for each of the peaks from single point standard to quantitate remaining Aroclors (when only single point standard analyzed). If 5-point calibration performed for other Aroclors, follow procedure for 1016 and 1260. Calculate concentration of Aroclor using each individual peak and calculate the average concentration of the three results to obtain the final Aroclor concentration.</p> <p>(3) PCB Congeners: The lab</p> | | | <p><u>obvious matrix interference, the lower value shall be reported and the results shall be flagged with a “P”.</u></p> <p><u>All non-conformances must be noted in the laboratory report narrative.</u></p> <p><u>If avg. CF or RF or linear regression not used (e.g. quadratic equation), must note list of affected compounds in laboratory report narrative.</u></p> <p><u>Note: If a high RPD between the two columns can be definitely attributed to a matrix interference, report the lower value and note in the narrative with an explanation.</u></p> | <p><u>maintain protective and conservative approach.</u></p> |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| | | <p>must use the average calibration factor, response factor, linear or non-linear regression curve generated from the associated initial calibration for quantitation of each PCB congener.</p> <p>PCB Aroclors: Laboratory should use the average calibration factor, linear or non-linear regression curve for each of three to five peaks from each concentration level to quantitate Aroclors 1016/1260. Lab should use the average calibration factor for each of three to five peaks from single point standard to quantitate remaining Aroclors (when only single-point standard analyzed). If 5-point calibration is performed for other Aroclors, follow procedure for 1016/1260. Calculate concentration of Aroclor using each individual peak and calculate the average concentration of the three to five results to obtain the final Aroclor concentration.</p> <p>(4) Secondary column analysis: lab must utilize a</p> | | | | |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required/Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | second dissimilar column to confirm positive results above the RL/LLOQ. The lab must report the higher of the two results. All required QA/QC parameters (e.g., calibrations, LCSs, etc.) must be met on the secondary column as well. <u>(5) Do not report concentrations below the RL/LLOQ.</u> | | | | |
| General Reporting | N/A | <u>(1) The laboratory must only report values ≥ the sample specific reporting limit.</u> <u>(2) Dilutions: If diluted and undiluted analyses are performed, the lab should report results for lowest dilution within the valid calibration range for each analyte. The associated QC (e.g., method blanks, surrogates, etc. for each analysis must be reported.</u> <u>NOTE: Lab shall not perform dilutions on samples due to sulfur interference. Labs must employ a clean-up technique to reduce the presence of sulfur interference. It is highly recommended that acid clean-up be performed on all sample extracts prior to</u> | N/A | N/A | <u>(1) The performance of dilutions must be documented in the laboratory report narrative or on the report form. Unless due to elevated concentrations of target compounds, reasons for dilutions must be explained in the laboratory report narrative.</u> <u>(2) Complete analytical documentation for diluted and undiluted analyses must documented in laboratory report narrative and be maintained in laboratory records.</u> <u>(3) If PCB Aroclors are not detected but chromatogram shows evidence of weathered Aroclors or potential presence of PCBs, this</u> | Group accepted MA language. Column 6: Removed reference to audits because CT does not audit labs like MA |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| Required QA/QC Parameter Column 1 | Data Quality Objective Column 2 | Required Performance Standard Column 3 | Required Deliverable Column 4 | Required Recommended Corrective Action Column 5 | Required Analytical Response Action Column 6 | Rationale for Changes |
|---|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|
| | | analysis. (3) Results for soils/sediments must be reported on a dry-weight basis. | | | must be noted in the laboratory report narrative and a copy of the chromatogram must be provided in the data report. (4) If samples are not preserved properly or are not received with an acceptable cooler temperature, note the non-conformances in the laboratory report narrative. (5) If samples are extracted and/or analyzed outside of the holding time, note the non-conformances in the laboratory report narrative. | |
| If the RL/LLOQ is estimated due to unacceptable recovery of the lowest standard, the RL/LLOQ has not been achieved; Question 5b of the "Reasonable Confidence Protocol Laboratory Analysis QA/QC Certification Form" must be answered "NO" and this must be addressed in the laboratory report narrative. | | | | | | |
| <p><u>Notes for Table 1A:</u></p> <p>* Refers to latest promulgated version of SW-846 Method 8082. ————— r = Correlation Coefficient GC/MS = Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry ————— RPD = Relative Percent Difference CCC = Calibration Check Compound ————— N/A = Not Applicable %RSD = Relative Percent Standard Deviation ————— CF = Calibration Factor EP = Environmental Professional</p> <p>Note 1: Six standards are required for a quadratic equation calibration curve, and seven are required for a polynomial fit. In either case the correlation coefficient must be ≥ 0.990.</p> | | | | | | |

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

1.5.4.4 Special Analytical Considerations for Multi-Response Analytes

The following bullets highlight potential issues that may be encountered with the analysis of PCBs using this protocol.

- The identification of multi-component ~~mixtures, such as PCB's, PCB Aroclors~~ is not based on a single peak, but rather on the characteristic peaks that comprise the "fingerprint" of the mixture, using both the retention times and shapes of the indicator peaks. If, based on site history, specific ~~PCB's~~ PCB Aroclors are contaminants of concern, it is the responsibility of the EP data user to request that these ~~site-specific PCB's are~~ PCB Aroclor spikes be included in LCSs or MS/MSDs.
- A linear or non-linear calibration model must not be used to compensate for detector saturation or to avoid proper instrument maintenance. As such, linear or non-linear regression must not be employed for initial calibration calculations that typically meet percent relative standard deviation ("%RSD") requirements specified in Table 1A.

1.6.5 Analyte List for SW-846 Method 8082

The ~~Connecticut DEP (DEP)~~ DEEP analyte list for SW-846 Method 8082 is presented in Table 1B. The compounds listed are readily determined by Method 8082. ~~Most of the compounds listed have Connecticut Remediation Standard Criteria or are listed in the Approved Criteria for Additional Polluting Substances.~~

Table 1B: Analyte List For SW-846 Method 8082

| Analyte | CAS Number |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Aroclor-1016 | 12674112 |
| Aroclor-1221 | 11104282 |
| Aroclor-1232 | 11141165 |
| Aroclor-1242 | 53469219 |
| Aroclor-1248 | 12672296 |
| Aroclor-1254 | 11097691 |
| Aroclor-1260 | 11096825 |
| Aroclor-1262 ¹ | 37324235 |
| Aroclor-1268 ¹ | 11100144 |

¹Aroclors 1262 and 1268 are not normally on the list of PCBs. If the chromatograms indicate these aroclors are present the laboratory is required to quantitate and report the compounds.

1.6.5.1 Additional Reporting Requirements for SW-846 Method 8082

While it is not necessary to request and report all the analytes listed in Table 1B to obtain Reasonable Confidence status, it is necessary to document such a limitation, for site characterization and data representativeness considerations. ~~DEP~~ DEEP strongly recommends that full list of analytes be reported during the initial stages of a site investigation and/or at sites with an unknown or complicated history of chemical usage or storage.

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

In cases where a shortened list of analytes is selected, the laboratory must still meet the method specific quality control requirements and performance standards associated with the requested analytes list to obtain Reasonable Confidence.

1.67 Routine Reporting Deliverables for Method 8082

The following table (Table 4.25.0) lists the routine report deliverables. Note that while laboratories are not required to report certain items, they must keep the data on file and may be required to report all items in special circumstances.

Table 5.04-2: Report Deliverables

| Parameter | Deliverable | Comments |
|---|---------------------|---|
| Retention Time Windows | NO | |
| Initial Calibration | NO | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| Continuing Calibration | NO | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| Method Blanks | YES | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative. Flag all positive sample results above RL/LLOQ with “B” flag. |
| Lab Control Sample / Lab Control Sample Duplicate | YES | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| Site Specific Matrix Spike/ Matrix Spike Duplicate | YES (If analyzed) | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| Internal Standards (if used) | NO | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| Identification and Quantitation | NO | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| Surrogate Recoveries | YES | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| General Reporting Issues | YES | Note non-conformances in laboratory report narrative |
| QA/QC Certification Form | YES | Signed by laboratory director or their designee |
| Chain-of-Custody Form | YES | Signed by sample collector, courier, and laboratory. |

1.67.1 Reporting and Flagging of Results

The following rules apply to reporting results:

- Non-Detects: Report all non-detects and results below the reporting limit as “ND” (Not Detected at specified [reporting limit RL/LLOQ](#)). The [reporting limit RL/LLOQ](#) for each compound in each sample must be listed on the report ~~and take into account~~, [based upon the lowest calibration standard](#), the exact sample mass, any dilution factors, percent moisture, etc.
- Compounds detected above the [reporting limit RL/LLOQ](#) in blanks and found in samples, also above the reporting limit, shall be flagged with a “B” suffix (e.g., 25B).

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

- When the results from dual columns have a RPD >40%, the results shall be qualified with a “P” flag as described in Table 1A, see identification and quantitation entry.
- All soil/sediment results shall be reported on a dry weight basis.

1.8 Sample Containers and Preservation

Table 6.0 identifies the type of containers, preservation requirements, and holding times dependent upon analyte and matrix.

Table 6.02A: Sample Containers, Preservation, and Holding Times

| Matrix | Container¹ | Preservative² | Holding Time |
|--|---|---|--|
| Aqueous with no chlorine present | 1-liter amber glass bottle with Teflon line cap. | Store at 4 ± 2° C, <u>but not frozen.</u> | 1 year <u>7-days</u> to extraction. 40 days from extraction to analysis. |
| Aqueous with chlorine present | 1-liter amber glass bottle with Teflon line cap. | Neutralize chlorine with either 25 mg ascorbic acid or 3 mg sodium thiosulfate. Store at 4 ± 2° C, <u>but not frozen.</u> | 1 year <u>7-days</u> to extraction. 40 days from extraction to analysis. |
| Soil/Sediment samples. | 250 mL amber glass jar with Teflon lined cap. | Cool to 4 ± 2° C. | 1 year <u>14-days</u> to extraction. 40 days from extraction to analysis. Up to one year for samples frozen within 48 hours of collection. (Note 1) |
| High Concentration Waste Samples Excluding transformer oils. | Collect in amber glass jar with Teflon lined cap. | No special preservation requirement. | 14 days to extraction. 40 days from extraction to analysis. |
| Transformer / Waste Oils | Collect in glass jar with Teflon lined cap. | No special preservation requirement. | 1 year |

¹The number of sampling containers specified is not a requirement. For specific analyses, the collection of multiple sample containers is encouraged to avoid resampling if sample is consumed or compromised during shipping and/or analysis. ~~The number of sample containers is optional. Laboratories should supply enough containers to allow for any reanalysis or breakage.~~

~~If the freezing option is selected, the sample must be frozen within 48 hours of collection. The holding time recommences when thawing begins. The total holding time is calculated from the time of collection to freezing plus the time allowed for thawing. The total elapsed time must be less than 14 days.~~

²If samples were received by the laboratory on the same day of collection and were stored and transported to the laboratory on ice, cooler temperatures above 6°C are acceptable.

Connecticut DEEP RCPs
Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements
Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Method 8082, SW-846
Version 3.0
Month 2023

Preliminary Draft for DEEP Laboratory QA/QC Workgroup Review and Comment Only – Not to be used or relied on for any other purpose, may be subject to significant editing and revision.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|