November 25, 2014

## VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Hon. Robert Stein, Chairman
and Members of the Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

## Re: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT\&T") <br> Petition for a Declaratory Ruling <br> 200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, Connecticut

Dear Chairman Stein and Members of the Council:
On behalf of New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT\&T), we respectfully enclose an original and (15) fifteen copies of a Petition for a Declaratory Ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required to add a wireless communications facility to an existing Connecticut Light \& Power transmission tower at 200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, Connecticut. The enclosed Petition is dated November 25, 2014. Also enclosed is a CD containing an electronic version of the Petition and attachments.

Accompanying the Petition please find four copies of the Structural Analysis prepared by Centek Engineering. A check payable to the "Connecticut Siting Council" in the amount of $\$ 625.00$, representing the filing fee is also enclosed.

Should the Council or Staff have any questions about this matter please do not hesitate to contact me.
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## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

PETITION OF NEW CINGULAR ..... )
WIRELESS PCS, LLC ("AT\&T") TO THE ..... )
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL FOR ..... )
A DECLARATORY RULING THAT NO ..... )
CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ..... )
COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED IS ..... )
REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED ..... )
INSTALLATION OF A WIRELESS ..... )
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY ON ..... )
AN EXISTING CL\&P STRUCTURE ..... )
LOCATED AT 200 EDGEMARK ACRES, ..... )MERIDEN, CONNECTICUT
PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING TO INSTALL A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY ON A CL\&P TRANSMISSION TOWER \#783 200 EDGEMARK ACRES, MERIDEN, CONNECTICUT

## I. Introduction

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT\&T"), the "Petitioner", hereby petitions the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") pursuant to Sections 16-50j38 and 16-50j-39 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("R.C.S.A.") for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need ("Certificate") is required pursuant to Section 16-50k of the Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") to add a wireless telecommunications facility to an existing Connecticut Light \& Power ("CL\&P") transmission tower structure \#783 and located at 200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, Connecticut (the "Site"). As set forth herein, but for a modest 12' tower extension, AT\&T's collocation would satisfy all the other criteria for an exempt modification under
R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72 and tower sharing pursuant to Section 16-50aa of the Connecticut General Statutes.

## II. Existing Facility

The existing facility, CL\&P structure \#783, is located in the Town of Meriden and is part of a transmission line that runs northwest to Route 72 and beyond. Structure \#783 is approximately 80 feet tall and is located in an existing CL\&P easement. The underlying property is owned by Martorelli Realty Company. ${ }^{1}$ The nearest municipal boundary is the Town of Cheshire located approximately 3,200 to the west of the existing CL\&P structure. Other properties in the area include a residential uses and educational uses including H.C. Wilcox Technical High School and O.H. Platt High School.

## III. Proposed AT\&T Installation

AT\&T is licensed by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to provide wireless services in this area of the State of Connecticut. AT\&T proposes to install an antenna support structure to the existing $80^{\prime}$ tall transmission pole, which will extend approximately $12^{\prime}$ above the existing transmission pole. The extension would be able to support up to 12 panel antennas ( 9 antennas are currently proposed), along with 18 tower mounted amplifiers ("TMAs") at a centerline height of approximately $88^{\prime}$ above grade level ("AGL"). A 12' x 24 ' equipment shelter with a pitched roof and clapboard siding will be placed at the base of the existing structure on a concrete foundation. A segmental retaining wall, approximately $24^{\prime}$ by $34^{\prime}$ is required to adequately support the equipment shelter to accommodate the grade of the property near the CL\&P structure. Provisions for emergency backup power include a 50 kW diesel fueled generator to be located inside the equipment shelter on the concrete foundation. The equipment shelter will be enclosed by a 6' tall chain link fence with privacy slats. Access to AT\&T's proposed collocated facility is proposed from Edgemark Acres via an easement over the

[^1]existing gravel driveway that currently serves the subject property. A small portion of the beginning of the gravel access driveway is on the subject property, but not within the CL\&P easement. Utilities are proposed to extend underground from Edgemark Acres via the same utility/access easement on the underlying property to the facility.

Included as Attachment A are detailed construction drawings prepared by Centek Engineering, last revised November 20, 2014 which include an abutters map, topographic details, plans, elevations, site details, site utility plans and other aspects of proposed facility. Annexed hereto as Attachment $B$ is a Structural Analysis report last revised October 6, 2014, also prepared by Centek Engineering, concluding that the existing utility pole and foundation with proposed reinforcements outlined therein can support AT\&T's proposed facility. ${ }^{2}$

## IV. The Proposed Facility Will Not Have a Substantial Adverse Environmental Effect

## A. Minimal Physical Impact

A comparison of existing and proposed conditions reveals no substantial adverse environmental impacts associated with modifications to CL\&P's transmission structure and AT\&T's Facility. The new antenna mount and antennas will be added to the existing structure. The equipment compound will be constructed in an area already cleared in the CL\&P right-of-way at the base of the pole. No tree clearing is required. No wetlands will be impacted. Grading and construction of a retaining wall is required for the proposed equipment area, which includes storm water design features. All erosion and sediment control measures will be installed in accordance with the "Connecticut Guidelines for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control" and amendments, as published by the Connecticut Council on Soil and Water Conservation. As

[^2]such, AT\&T respectfully submits that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed clearing and construction.

## B. Compliance with MPE Limits

The operation of AT\&T's antennas will not increase the total radio frequency electromagnetic power density at the site to a level at or above applicable standards. A power density report is included in Attachment C. The total radio frequency power density will be well within standards adopted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection as set forth in Section 22a-162 of the Connecticut General Statutes and the MPE limits established by the Federal Communications Commission.

## C. Visibility

As demonstrated in the visual materials included in Attachment $D$, the proposed AT\&T installation will have a de minimus visual impact on the surrounding area which already has existing views of the transmission tower. The installation requires no FAA lighting or marking as per the TOWAIR and FAA report included in Attachment E. Additionally, AT\&T's consultants determined as part of the field review for this project that there were no quantitative changes in areas of visibility and that AT\&T's proposed installation would only be visible from areas that already have views of the CL\&P towers. The attached visual materials demonstrate that the incremental change in height does not substantially change the existing viewshed, particularly considering that there are other adjacent CL\&P structures that are taller and at higher elevations. As such, AT\&T respectfully submits that the visibility of the CL\&P transmission structure with AT\&T's extension is neither significant nor adverse for purposes of the Council's regulatory considerations in ruling on this petition for a declaratory ruling.

## V. Public Need

Annexed hereto in Attachment F are Radio Frequency plots depicting existing and planned coverage from the proposed facility at an antenna
centerline height of 88 ' AGL for AT\&T's 4G LTE network in this area of the State depicting existing and proposed coverage in the 1900 MHz and 700 MHz spectrum bands. AT\&T currently has gaps in reliable service in this area of the state and the proposed facility is needed to fill some of these existing coverage gaps within AT\&T's network. As such, while the Council does not have to find a public need for the facility as part of a ruling on this Petition, it is respectfully submitted that the enclosed demonstrates the purpose for the installation of the proposed facility. This project is further consistent with state policy to avoid the proliferation of towers.

## VI. Notice

Pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-40(a), notice of AT\&T's intent to file this petition was sent to each person appearing of record as an owner of property that abuts the site, as well as the appropriate municipal officials and government agencies as listed in Section 16-50e of the C.G.S. Certification of such notice, a copy of the notice and the list of property owners and municipal officials and government agencies to whom the notice was sent are included in Attachment G.

## VII. Conclusion

As set forth above, the proposed AT\&T wireless facility and associated ground equipment are wholly consistent with legislative findings outlined in Section $16-50 \mathrm{~g}$ and $16-50 \mathrm{aa}$ of the General Statutes of Connecticut that seek to avoid the unnecessary proliferation of towers in the State. Further, there are no known adverse environmental effects associated with the project. Therefore and for all the foregoing reasons, AT\&T petitions the Connecticut Siting Council for a determination that the proposed wireless telecommunications facility does not require a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need and that the Council issue an order approving same.


On behalf of the Petitioner AT\&T
cc: Mayor Manuel A. Santos, City of Meriden
Dominick Caruso, City Planner, City of Meriden
Michele Briggs, AT\&T
David Vivian, SAI
Carlos Centore, Centek
Michael Libertine, APT
Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.

## ATTACHMENT A

MERIDEN, CT 06451
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| PROJECT SUMMARY |
| :--- |
| 1. THE OENERAL SCOPE OF WORK CONSITTS OF THE FOLIOWNM: |





| PROJECT INFORMATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Atert Sti number: | Cr2117 |
| atret ste name: | merien |
| Ste Aodess: |  |
| LESSE/APPLCANT: |  |
| Lesserappliant: |  |
| ENSNEER: |  |
| Prouect cooromates: | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { LATITUDE: } & 41^{\circ}-31^{\prime}-51.713^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { LONGITUDE: } \quad 72^{\circ}-50^{\prime}-33.622^{\prime \prime}\end{array}$ GROUND ELEVATION: $\pm 360.0^{\prime}$ A.M.S.L COORDINATES AND GROUND ELEVATION BASED ON FAA 1-A SURVEY CERTIFICATION AS PREPARED BY MARTINE |
|  | (each |




## DESIGN BASII：


 3．Desin critera

## GENERAL NOTES：

AL construetion Shall ee in conplance wit the governing gulong

















14．No ortuing weling or tapmg on clap owned equiment．

## STE NOTES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CALL UTIUTEES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTruvcion．


4．THE STIE SHALL EE QRROED TO COUSE SURFACE WATER To FLow ANAY FROM THE


7．THE ARESS O OTHE CHMMOOND DISTVREED BY THE WOOR SHALL QE RETUNNED TO


10．





4．






## OUNDATION CONSTRUCTION NOTES










## CONCREIE CONSTRUCTION NOTES

an －Standoro practic for selecting proportions for normal and
AC 301 －SPECCIFGATONS For Structural concrete for bulinges．
ACl 304 －RECOMMENDDED PRACTCEE FOR MEASURNG，MXNG，TRNNSPorting，AND PLACCNE
 2．CONCREIE SHALL Denelop compressne strencin in 28 Dars as folow

${ }_{\text {fi，000 Ps }}^{4,000}$



WELED MREE FABRE SHALL CONFORM To ASTM－$A$－ 185 ．

6．Covergit cover over renvorcing shall conforu to the folownc，unless

$\qquad$





Cl 315 RECOMMENOATONS UNLESS OTHERUSE SHOM
 1．UnLess otherwse noted，all lap spllees shacl ee 48 bar dameters 12．SLAB on Grade finshes．
Exterg sub：Nov－Sip brom nesh





## STRUCTURAL STEEL

－ALL structural steel is desinee by allonable stress design（asd）
















CONNECTON ANCLES SHALL HAVE A MNMMU THCNNESS OF $1 / 4$ NCHESS．

14．LOCK WASHER ARE NOT PERMTIED FOR A 325 TIELL ASSEMULES．
15．SHOP CONNECTONS SHALL QE WELDED OR HIGH STRENGHH BOIED．
5．SHop connectoons shall be welied or hich strencit bolie．

7．FABBRCATE EEMS WTH MLL CAMEER UR．





STRUCTURAL LUMBER CONSTRUCTION：
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| ELECTRICAL | EGEND |
| :---: | :---: |
| Srmbol | DESCRIPTION |
|  | GROUND RNG |
| -ז- | Unoergrouno communcaton conout |
| -¢-¢- | underground electrical conout as notiateo |
| $\square$ | ground bar |
|  | Permiter chan link fence |
| $\otimes$ |  |
| $\otimes$ |  |
| $\square$ | ExOTHERMC WELD TPE "TA" |
| - | mechanlcal connection |
| $\bigcirc$ | grouno well |


| GENERAL NOTES |
| :---: |
| 1. Refer to inl drammes for actual location of structues on stre. |
| 2. COORDINATION, LAYOUT AND FURNISHING OF CONDUIT, CABLE AND ALL APPURTENANCES <br> EQUUIRED FOR PROPER INSTALLATION OF ELECTRICAL/TELECOM |
|  |
|  |
| 5. PROVIDE CADWELD CONNECTION STYLES: THROUGH (CABLE TO CABLE) TYPE "TA" (CABLE TO SURFACE) TYPE "LA" OR "VS" (PIPE) (CABLE TO SURFACE) TYPE "LA" OR" (CABLE TO CABLE) TYPE "SS" |
|  <br>  |
| 7. SEE inl sheets for detalls of floor ano wall penetratons. |
| 8. ALL convoris shall be prooerly anctored alonge entre route. |

## VERIF ALL SHELTER DIMENSIONS, EQUIPMENT DIMENSIONS, EOUIPMEN LOCATONS AND UTLLTT OPENNGS WTH BU SHOP DRAWINGS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK

|  | TES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. CoNTRactor To verre all conour routin and TNSTALATON REQ: <br> 2. ALL CoNDUUTS SHAL HAVE EXPANSION COUPLINGS <br> 3. ALL <br> 3. ALL UTUTH SUPPY CONOUTS, CONDUCTORS AND <br>  <br> 4. refer to stie ututr plan. <br> 5. TEEEPHONE EOUPMENT SHOWN APPROXMATEE <br>  <br> 6. Coorodnat sirvice Eaip wni interuping ratic <br>  <br> 7. ALL TELEPHONE AND EELECTRC UTUUT WORK MUST BE <br>  <br>  <br> 8. CONDUCTOR SIEES SHALL NOT EE REDUCED OR <br> 9. INSTALL PULL Ropes In ALL Empry conouts. <br> 10. ALL CONDUCTORS ANO CONDUCTOR TERMINATINS SHALL <br> 11. cooroinate wall pentrations with shelter |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## ELECTRICAL ABBREVIATIONS

| Abervato | DESCRPTION |
| :---: | :---: |
| AFF | ${ }^{\text {ABOVE FINSHED FLOOR }}$ |
| ac | Ampere nitrruptnc capacir |
| aws | amercan wre gag |
| c | conour |
| Egr | Exteror ground ring |
| G - gro | grouno |
| ${ }^{\text {GFal }}$ | grouno faut tracut niterupter |
| ${ }_{168}$ | Niter |
|  | кılo-wat-Hour |
| мссв | moloed case crouit breaker |
|  | man oIstribution Panel Normally closed |
| oc | on centrer |
| ${ }^{\text {sA }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{vam}_{\text {wP }}$ | voltace montor |
| ${ }_{\text {Wer }}^{\text {Wer }}$ | WEATHEPRROF EXSTMG To Rewin |
| ${ }_{\text {RR }}^{\text {RR }}$ | ExSITING To reman Remove and relocate |
| ${ }^{\text {RE }}$ | Relocateo exvising |
| ros | RGID CAlVANZED SteEl |

## NOTES

|  | EXISTING TRANSFORMER AND TELCO PEDESTAL TO BE USED. REFER TO SITE SURVEY AND UTILITY PLAN. COORDINATE WITH EACH UTIIITY COMPANY. | (16) | 800A, 240/120V, 1P, 65 KAIC RATED, NEMA-3R, MAIN CIRCUIT BREAKER MODULE WITH 800A/2P MAIN CIRCUIT BREAKER. (SQUARE-D: EZM1800CBU OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.) MUST BE UTILITY COMPANY APPROVED. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  <br>  | (17) |  <br>  uturr compani approve mitrr for atet in avalabe socke. provid |
|  |  Speciricalions: | (1) | Lael staing "atat Mreliss": <br> 200A/2p Man circuit beaker in Avalaele postion corresponong to |
| (4) | Prooroc Transformer grounown per nec ano utur companr | (20) | 3/0 AmG ¢rounve |
| (5) | Two stis of: (3) 600 KCML , (1) $1 / 0$ Amg crouno. |  | Stall |
|  |  | (21) (22) (23) |  <br>  ExPANSION Coupling, mpical. |
| (7) | Provide condut wih puli rope between handhole and pedestal. expect TWO $4^{\prime \prime}$ CONDUITS, BUT FINAL SIZE AND QUANTITY PER TELEPHONE COMPANY. | (24) |  |
| (8) |  |  | atex Eoumuent shilise |
| (9) | TIEEPHONE COMPANY HANDHOLE. INSTALL PER TELEPHONE COMPANY | (26) |  |
| (1) |  | (27) |  |
| (11) | Utur backoare. Refer to cill drawncs. |  |  |
| (12) | two $3^{\prime} \times 4 \times \times 1$ ' Nema-3r telephone enclosures intalle next to each <br>  |  |  |
| (13) | ROVIDE DOUBLE DUPLEX, GFI RECEPTACLE IN WEATHERPROOF ENCLOSURE INSIDE OF TELEPHONE ENCLOSURE. CONNECT TO DEDICATED 2OA/1P CIRCUIT IN OWNERS ELECTRIC PANEL IN SHELTER. |  |  |
| (4) | provie ground aar as regured by telephone compan. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |






## $\left.\begin{array}{c}1 \\ \text { ( }-5\end{array}\right)$ NU TOWER GROUNDING DETALL

## NU TOWER GROUNDING NOTES

(A) Stel hrerio pole
(B) SIEEL HRERD POLE.


(D) PARaLLEL GROVE CONNECTOR, Nu Sc 190052

© COUNTERPOISE, 3 NO. 8 stranoed nealle coppernelo
(6) coperenelo pole grouno.
seneral notes:



## NORTHEAST UTILTIES - TOWER GROUNDING SYSTEM NOTE



 4. Noriteast ututies wll be responsibe for perforung tests for surge impedance ano wave
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tes:

3. ExERCIE HANDLING AND USE PRECAUTON OF BACKFILI MATERALL PER MFR'S

5. provine min $2^{\text {c clearance on }}$ on al ides of grouno plate.

| 1 | GROUND PLATE TRENCH/BACKFILL DETAIL (SHALLOW TOPSOIL) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-7 | NOT TO SCALE |





notes:


3. ExERCISE ANNDLIMG AND USE PRECAUTON OF BACKFIL MATERAL PER MFR'S

(2 EGR TRENCH/BACKFILL DETAIL (SHALLOW TOPSOIL)


NOTES:

1. ENENER SHAAL MSEEECT PACEMENT OF EGR Conouctor


2. ExERCISE ANNDLIGG AND USE PRECAUTON OF BACKFIL



## ELECITICAL SPECIHCATION
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102. evirical requremens
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v. Stop opammes:




## SECTION 161



## Nom

## Conout matrenl shal ee as foloows:

1. Electric meathe tuemg (emi) - branch crircurs nside wreless room



2. pvc convout - where shown on grounomg detalls.

## SECTION 16114


b. Crossmese runs shall be cooronated wht the spearic eaurment the tray
 $\frac{\text { SECTION } 1 \text { 1623 }}{\text { 1.01. CoNoucroens }}$






SECTION 16130

 SECTION 1.140


1. 15 MNUUE TMER SWTCH - NTEEMMIC \#FF ISM (NIERORR LCOHIS)

2. OUPLEX REGEPTACLE - PaS A5562 (20A-120V HARO USE) SEECCFCGATON GRROE



## $\frac{\text { SECTION } 16170}{1.01 . \text { DISCONEET SwTHHES }}$


 SECTIO 16190
1.01 SESMC RESTRANT
A. ALL
SECTIO


 Desmifaction nomenclature shall ee in accorodance wit owner's stanorros




## $\frac{\text { SECTON } 16450}{1.01 . \operatorname{cerounDONG}}$


 c. grounong of panelooaros:



## b. EQuipment crounomg convucto

 2. THE MNMUM SIZE OF EOUPMENT Grouno conouctor shall e e \#12 AWG Copper.

 cellular grounome strien:








## 

## SECTION 16470


SECTION 16477


SECTION 16700
(sinal communcations)
\#30-1216LP WTH ACCESSORESS:



ALARM SENSORS Shall be:
ooor cont from contact rear.






## SECTION 16500

A. Refer to ught fxure scheoule for reourements.

## (SUPPLIED BY OWNER, INSTALLED BY CONTRACTOR 1.01. GENERATOR STT

A. EEEER To contract drawnos for detalls ano scheoules.

## $\frac{\text { SECTON } 16960}{1.01 . \text { TESTS EY NODPENNONT ELECTRCAL TESTNG FRM }}$


 test 2: RESSITANCE To grouno test on the celluar grounong sstien. ME TESTNG FRM SHALL NCLUDE THE FOLLOWNG INFORMTIO WTH THE REPORT:

3. GRAPHICAL DESCRRTTON OF TESTING MEHOO ACTUALY MPLEEMENTEO.

 D. Contractor to provie A Minmum of one (1) week notice to omver ano

SECTON 16961 . 1.1 . TESTS EY CoNTractor







## ATTACHMENT B

Centered on Solutions"
AT\&T Mobility Site Ref: CT2117

CL\&P StructureNo. 783
78, Electric TransmissionLattice Tower

$$
200 \text { Edgemark Acres }
$$

CENTEK Project No. 13305


Rev 4: October 6, 2014


Prepared for:
AT\&T Mobility
500 Enterprise Drive, Suite 3A
Rocky Hill, CT 06067
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## Introduction

The purpose of this report is to design a proposed antenna mast and analyze the existing 78' CL\&P tower located at 200 Edgemark Acres in Meriden, CT for the proposed AT\&T Mobility antenna installation.
The proposed loads consist of the following:

- AT\&T MOBILITY (Proposed):

Antennas: Six (6) Andrew CCI HPA-65R-BUU-H8 panel antennas, three (3) Andrew CCI OPA-65R-LCUU-H8 panel antennas and eighteen (18) CCI BPDB7823VG12A TMA's mounted on a Site-Pro Ultra-Low Profile Platform p/n ULP12-496 with a RAD center elevation of 88 -ft above grade.
Coax Cables: Thirty-six (36) 1-5/8" $\varnothing$ coax cables running on two (2) legs of the existing tower as indicated in section 4 of this report.

Primary assumptionsused in the analysis

- Allowable steel stresses are defined by AISC-ASD $9^{\text {th }}$ edition for design of the ANTENNA Mast and antenna supporting elements.
- ASCE Manual No. 10-97, "Design of Latticed Steel Transmission Structures", defines allowable steel stresses for evaluation of the CL\&P utility tower.
- All utility tower members are adequately protected to prevent corrosion of steel members.
- All proposed antenna mounts are modeled as listed above.
- All coaxial cable will be installed as indicated in Section 4 of this report.
- ANTENNA Mast will be properly installed and maintained.
- No residual stresses exist due to incorrect tower erection.
- All bolts are appropriately tightened providing the necessary connection continuity.
- All welds conform to the requirements of AWS D1.1.
- ANTENNA Mast and utility tower will be in plumb condition.
- Utility tower was properly installed and maintained and all members were properly designed, detailed, fabricated, and installed and have been properly maintained since erection.
- Any deviation from the analyzed loading will require a new analysis for verification of structural adequacy.


## Analysis

Structural design of the antenna frame was independently completed using the current version of RISA3D computer program licensed to CENTEK Engineering, Inc.
The antenna mast consisting of a HSS12.5"x0.625" conforming to ASTM A500 Grade 42 (Fy = 42ksi) mounted on a $18^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ antenna frame connected at eight points to the existing tower was analyzed for its ability to resist loads prescribed by the TIA/EIA standard. Section 5 of this report details these gravity and lateral wind loads. NESC prescribed loads were also applied to the antenna mast in order to obtain reactions needed for analyzing the CL\&P tower structure. These loads are developed in Section 7 of this report. Load cases and combinations used in RISA-3D for TIA/EIA loading and for NESC/NU loading are listed in report Sections 6 and 8 , respectively.
An envelope solution was first made to determine maximum and minimum forces, stresses, and deflections to confirm the selected section as adequate. Additional analyses were then made to determine the NESC forces to be applied to the CL\&P tower structure.
The RISA-3D program contains a library of all AISC shapes and corresponding section properties are computed and applied directly within the program. The program's Steel Code Check option was also utilized. The forces calculated in RISA-3D using NESC guidelines were then applied to the CL\&P tower using PLS-Tower. Maximum usage for the tower was calculated considering the additional forces from the mast and associated appurtenances.

## Design Basis

Our analysis was performed in accordance with EIA-222-F-1996, ASCE Manual No. 10-97, "Design of Latticed Steel Transmission Structures", NESC C2-2007 and Northeast Utilities Design Criteria.

The CL\&P tower structure, considering existing and future conductor and shield wire loading, with the proposed antenna mast was analyzed under two conditions:

## - UTILITY TOWER ANALYSIS

The purpose of this analysis is to determine the adequacy of the existing utility structure to support the proposed antenna loads. The loading and design requirements were analyzed in accordance with the NU Design Criteria Table, NESC C2-2007 ~ Construction Grade B, and ASCE Manual No. 10-97, "Design of Latticed Steel Transmission Structures".
Load cases considered:
Load Case 1: NESC Heavy
Wind Pressure..................................... 4.0 psf
Radial Ice Thickness............................. 0.5"
Vertical Overload Capacity Factor............. 1.50
Wind Overload Capacity Factor................ 2.50
Wire Tension Overload Capacity Factor...... 1.65
Load Case 2: NESC Extreme
Wind Speed
$110 \mathrm{mph}^{(1)}$
Radial Ice Thickness.
0 "
Note 1: NESC C2-2007, Section25, Rule 250C: Extreme Wind Loading, 1.25 x Gust Response Factor (wind speed: 3second gust)

## - ANTENNA FRAME ANALYSIS

ANTENNA mast, appurtenances and connections to the utility tower were analyzed and designed in accordance with the NU Design Criteria Table, TIA/EIA-222-F, and AISC-ASD standards.

Load cases considered:
Load Case 1:
Wind Speed....................................... 85 mph ${ }^{(2)}$
Radial Ice Thickness.............................. 0"
Load Case 2:
Wind Pressure
$75 \%$ of 85 mph wind pressure
Radial Ice Thickness.
$0.5 "$
| Note 2: Per NU Mast Design Criteria Exception 1.
Results

- ANTENNA FRAME ASSEMBLY

The antenna frame was determined to be structurally adequate.

| Member | Stress Ratio <br> (\% of capacity) | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HSS12.5" $\times 0.625 "$ Mast | $44.2 \%$ | PASS |
| HSS 6x6x3/8 Brace | $89.0 \%$ | PASS |
| Mast Connection to CL\&P Tower | $81.6 \%{ }^{(1)}$ | PASS |

Note $1-1 / 3$ increase in allowable stress not used for connection to tower per OTRM 059.

- UTILITY TOWER

This analysis finds that the subject utility structure is adequate to support the existing ANTENNA mast and related appurtenances. The tower stresses meet the requirements set forth by the ASCE Manual No. 10-97, "Design of Latticed Steel Transmission Structures", for the applied NESC Heavy and Hi-Wind load cases. The detailed analysis results are provided in Section 9 of this report. The analysis results are summarized as follows:

With the proposed tower reinforcements detailed in Section 4 of this report a maximum usage of $\mathbf{9 6 . 5 4 \%}$ occurs in the utility tower under the NESC Extreme loading condition.
TOWER SECTION:
The utility structure with the proposed tower reinforcements detailed in Section 4 of this report was found to be within allowable limits.

| Tower Member | Stress Ratio <br> (\% of capacity) | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angle Leg13X | $96.54 \%$ | PASS |

## - FOUNDATION AND ANCHORS

The existing foundation consists of four (4) 16 -inx25-in tapering to 28 -inx 25 -in $\times 6.25$-ft long reinforced concrete piers on four (4) 4-ft-6-in square $\times 2$-ft thick reinforced concrete pads. The base of the tower is connected to the foundation by one (1) anchor stub per leg. Foundation information was obtained from a foundation exploration conducted on May 5, 2014.

## BASE REACTIONS:

From PLS-Tower analysis of CL\&P tower based on NESC/NU prescribed loads.

| Load Case | Shear | Uplift | Compression |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NESC Heavy Wind | 25.29 kips | 26.19 kips | 80.81 kips |
| NESC Extreme Wind | 60.66 kips | 1113.12 kips | 130.05 kips |

Note $1-10 \%$ increase to be applied to the above tower base reactions for foundation verification per OTRM 051 Note 1 - Reactions are combined leg reactions.

## FOUNDATION:

The foundation with the proposed reinforcements detailed in Section 4 of this report was found to be within allowable limits.

| Foundation | Design <br> Limit | Allowable <br> Limit | Proposed <br> Loading ${ }^{(2)}$ | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reinforced <br> Conc. Pad <br> and Pier | Overturning | $1.0 \mathrm{FS}^{(1)}$ | $1.66 \mathrm{FS}^{(1)}$ | PASS |

Note 1: FS denotes Factor of Safety
Note 2: $10 \%$ increase to PLS base reactions used in foundation analysis per OTRM 051.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

This analysis shows that the subject utility tower with the proposed reinforcements outlined below and detailed in Section 4 of this report is adequate to support the proposed AT\&T equipment installaiton.

- Replacement of sixteen (8) L1-3/4x1-3/4x3/16 diagonal members with $L 2 \times 2 \times 5 / 16$.
- Replacement of two (2) L2x2x3/16 horizontal members with $\mathrm{L} 2 \times 2 \times 1 / 4$.
- Installation of one (1) 27'x27'x3.5' reinforced concrete mat.

The analysis is based, in part on the information provided to this office by Northeast Utilities and AT\&T Mobility. If the existing conditions are different than the information in this report, CENTEK engineering, Inc. must be contacted for resolution of any potential issues.

Please feel free to call with any questions or comments.

Respectfully Submitted by:


Timothy J. Lynn, PE Structural Engineer


## ATTACHMENT C



New Cingular Wireless
PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067

Radu Alecsandru
RF Engineer
at\&t mobility

| Transmission Mode | Centerline Ht (feet) | Frequency (MHz) | 00000000 <br> Number of Channels | Power Per <br> Channel <br> (Watts) | Power Density (mW/cm ${ }^{2}$ ) | Standard Limits (mW/cm ${ }^{2}$ ) | Percent of Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT\&T UMTS | 88 | 800 Band | 2 | 500 | 0.0464 | 0.5867 | 7.91 |
| AT\&T UMTS | 88 | 1900 Band | 1 | 500 | 0.0232 | 1.0000 | 2.32 |
| AT\&T LTE | 88 | 700 Band | 1 | 500 | 0.0232 | 0.4667 | 4.97 |
| AT\&T LTE | 88 | 1900 Band | 1 | 500 | 0.0232 | 1.0000 | 2.32 |
| AT\&T LTE | 88 | 2300 Band | 1 | 500 | 0.0232 | 1.0000 | 2.32 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.9\% |

## ATTACHMENT D

## Visibility Analysis

CTSR2117 MERIDEN CL\&P UTILITY STRUCTURE NO. 783 200 EDGEMARK ACRES MERIDEN, CT 06451

Prepared in February 2014 by: All-Points Technology Corporation, P.C. 3 Saddlebrook Drive Killingworth, CT 06141

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC dba AT\&T








## ATTACHMENT E

## TOWAIR Determination Results

New Search

```
*** NOTICE ***
```

TOWAIR's findings are not definitive or binding, and we cannot guarantee that the data in TOWAIR are fully current and accurate. In some instances, TOWAIR may yield results that differ from application of the criteria set out in 47 C.F.R. Section 17.7 and 14 C.F.R. Section 77.13. A positive finding by TOWAIR recommending notification should be given considerable weight. On the other hand, a finding by TOWAIR recommending either for or against notification is not conclusive. It is the responsibility of each ASR participant to exercise due diligence to determine if it must coordinate its structure with the FAA. TOWAIR is only one tool designed to assist ASR participants in exercising this due diligence, and further investigation may be necessary to determine if FAA coordination is appropriate.

| DETERMINATION Results |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Structure does not require registration. The structure meets the 6.10- <br> meter (20-foot) Rule criteria. |  |
| Your Specifications | $41-31-51.7$ north |
| NAD83 Coordinates | $072-50-33.7$ west |
| Latitude | 28 |
| Longitude | 24.4 |
| Measurements (Meters) | 109.7 |
| Overall Structure Height (AGL) |  |
| Support Structure Height (AGL) |  |
| Site Elevation (AMSL) |  |
| Structure Type |  |
| UPOLE - Utility Pole/Tower used to provide service (Electric, Telephone, etc) |  |

## Tower Construction Notifications

Notify Tribes and Historic Preservation Officers of your plans to build a tower.

## AIRSPACE®

## Federal Aviation Regulations Part 77 Sub-Part C Obstruction Analysis Report

New Cingular Wireless PCS
David A Vivian
500 Enterprise Drive, Suite 3A
Rocky Hill, CT 06067
E-mail: David.Vivian@SAI-Comm.com
Phone: 4132185042 Fax:2079678436
Site Identification: 200_EDGEMARK_ACRES
Nearest City: Meriden, CT
Site Information (Coordinate Datum - NAD83)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Latitude: } & 41^{\circ}-31^{\prime}-51.74 " & \text { Decimal Degrees: } 41.5310388888889^{\circ} \\ \text { Longitude: } & 72^{\circ}-50^{\prime}-33.65 " & \text { Decimal Degrees: } 72.8426805555555^{\circ}\end{array}$
Longitude: 72º 50'-33.65" Decimal Degrees: 72.8426805555555º
Ground Elevation: 360 feet AMSL
Structure Height: $\quad 93$ feet AGL
Overall Height: 453 feet AMSL

FAA Number: Null
Airspace Study \#: 2013-APS-1276-OE
Analyzed on: 12/6/2013. Using Airspace® 13.11.211. Airspace® Data Date: 11/15/2013
This Airspace Analysis was completed under all obstacle evaluation rules specified in Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 77 sub-Part C.

Approved,


Justin J Pittman, Airspace Specialist Federal Airways \& Airspace®
1423 S. Patrick Dr
Satellite Beach, FL 32937
(321)777-1266

Date Printed: 12-02-2013

## AIRSPACE®

## Site ID Number: <br> 200_EDGEMARK_ACRES

## AERONAUTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Notice to the FAA is not required for the proposed antenna which will increase the height of an existing structure by less than 20 feet. This exemption is described in CFR Part 77.9(e)(4).

TERPS® analysis has been completed for the proposed site. The maximum allowable height identified is 487 feet AMSL based upon MMK RWY 18 Depature ICA.

The height of the existing structure will exceed obstruction standards. The FAA would require an extended study to determine the aeronautical impacts. The maximum not to exceed height to avoid an extended study by the FAA is 253 feet AMSL.

Marking and Lighting are not normally required for structures 200 feet or less. However, it may become a requirement based upon the outcome of the aeronautical study conducted by the FAA. It will then become part of the determination and a requirement of the determination.

No adverse impact to low altitude federal airways are identified.
Possible VFR Traffic Pattern operations impact.
No Potential FCC Licensed AM Broadcast Station interference identified.
No impact to an Air Navigation Facility has been identified.

## Executive Summary

This study evaluated a proposed antenna tower which would be located upon an existing T-L utility structure that would increase the overall height of the existing structure by no more than 15 feet. Title 14 CFR Part 77.9(e)(4) exempts the requirement for notice of the proposed antenna to the FAA,
"Any antenna structure of 20 feet or less in height except one that would increase the height of another antenna structure."

The existing structure does exceed obstruction standards by exceeding the IFR horizontal and VFR conical surfaces. The existing structure does not exceed any instrument or departure procedure. If the existing T-L structure was to be submitted to the FAA, the structure would likely be approved with the condition of marking and/or lighting. Application of $77.9(e)(4)$ would except the proposed antenna tower from requiring notice to the FAA.

Information has also been provided by the Client that the existing T-L structure is located less than 150 feet from another existing T-L structure that is of greater height and obstruction lighted. AC70/7460-1K Paragraph 55, "Group of Obstructions," provides guidance for structures grouped together.
"When individual objects, except wind turbines, within a group of obstructions are not the same height

## AIRSPACE®

## Site ID Number: 200_EDGEMARK_ACRES

## LANDING FACILITY INFORMATION

The nearest public use landing facility to the proposed location is:
MERIDEN MARKHA (Ident: MMK)
The distance to the nearest runway of this landing facility is 33244 feet or 6.3 statute miles. The true bearing is $156.08^{\circ}$ to this landing facility.

Private landing facilities are exempt from review by the FAA under FAR Part 77. However, locating near a private landing facility may affect aircraft operations during take-off and landing.

The nearest private landing facility is: ME87: GOOD
The existing structure is located 11119 feet or 2.1 statute miles.
The true bearing to this landing facility is 116 degrees.
The existing structure is within 3 nautical miles ( 3.45 statute miles) of a private landing facility. This landing facility and supporters are likely to resist this proposal during the local zoning board hearing.

## FAA NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

Notice to the FAA would be required for the following reasons listed below unless
proposed tower meets one or more exemption criteria described within CFR
The existing structure exceeds a slope begining at the runway and extending towards the existing structure. The airport runway elevation, the structure's total elevation above mean sea level (AMSL), the distance between the runway and the existing structure and the airport slope (100:1 or 50:1) are the factors considered during the calculations. This requirement is specified in FAR Part 77.9(b). The maximum height permitted by this FAR is 1832 feet AMSL.

The proposed antenna tower meets the exemption criteria described in CFR Part 77.9(e)(4) and does not require notice to the FAA.

## AIRSPACE®

Site ID Number: 200_EDGEMARK_ACRES

## AERONAUTICAL IMPACT

FAR Part 77 Subpart-C Obstruction Standards
The height of the existing structure will exceed obstruction standards as defined by FAR Part 77.17(a)(1), 77.17(a)(2) or 77.19.

Terminal Instrument Procedure Standards - FAR Part 77.17(a)(3)
No adverse impact with a US Terminal Approach or Departure Procedure has been identified.
Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude (MOCA) - FAR Part 77.17(a)(4)
The proposed structure is not located within a low altitude airway area and will not impact aircraft using any airway.

VFR Traffic Pattern Airspace
The proposed structure is located within a VFR Traffic Pattern Airspace. The maximum allowable height is 361 ft AMSL based upon the VFR Conical Surface.

## FCC Licensed AM Broadcast Station Proof-of-Performance

The proposed structure is not located within the specified range of an FCC Licensed AM radio and will not require Proof-of-Performance analysis.

|  | Federal Airways \& Airspace |
| :---: | :---: |
| * Summary | Report: Alteration Of Existing Struct Non-Antenna Structure |
|  |  |

Airspace User: Justin Pittman
File: 200_EDGEMARK_ACRES
Location: Meriden, CT
Distance: 2.5 Statute Miles
Direction: 810 (true bearing)
Latitude: 410-31'-51.74" Longitude: 72o.50'-33.65"
SITE ELEVATION AMSL...... 360 ft.
STRUCTURE HEIGHT......... 93 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL...... 453 ft .

```
NOTICE CRITERIA
    FAR 77.9(a): NNR (DNE 200 ft AGL)
    FAR 77.9(b): NR (Exceeds Notice Slope, Maximum: 250 ft.)
    FAR 77.9(c): NNR (Not a Traverse Way)
    FAR 77.9: NNR FAR 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria for MMK
    FAR 77.9: NNR FAR 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria for 4B8
    FAR 77.9(d): NNR (Off Airport Construction)
NR = Notice Required
NNR = Notice Not Required
PNR = Possible Notice Required (depends upon actual IFR procedure)
            For new construction review Air Navigation Facilities at bottom
            of this report.
```

If the proposed construction is an alteration to an existing structure, notice requirements may be superceded by the item exemptions listed below.

The location and analysis were based upon an existing structure. However, no existing aeronautical study number was identified. If the 'existing' structure penetrates an obstruction surface defined by CFR 77.17, 77.19, 77.21 or 77.23 (see below) it is recommended the FAA be notified of the 'existing' structure to determine obstruction marking or lighting requirements. It is not uncommon for the FAA to issue a Determination of No Hazard (DNH) for an existing structure and modify the airspace to accommodate the structure, should that be required. If the FAA issues a DNH enter the aeronautical study number (ASN) in the space provided on the Airspace Analysis Window Form and re-run Airspace.

The FAA Co-Location policy does not apply unless the existing structure has been previously studied by the FAA and has a valid ASN with a DNH ruling. To take advantage of co-locating an antenna systems on an 'existing' structure it is recommended that 'only' notice on the existing structure be filed with the FAA. Once the DNH is received rerun Airspace and enter the ASN is the space provided.

Title 14 CFR Part 77.9(e), Notice Criteria Exception:
The location and analysis were based upon an existing structure with the alteration limited to the addition of an antenna with a height no greater than 20 feet. Title 14 CFR Part $77.9(e)(4)$ exempts the requirement for notice to the FAA; "Any antenna structure of 20 feet or less in height except one that would increase the height of another antenna structure." If the addition
of an antenna of 20 feet or less to an existing structure increase the height of the structure to exceed 200 feet AGL or penetrate an obstruction surface defined by Title 14 CFR $77.17,77.19,77.21$ or 77.23 notice is recommend. This will allow the FAA to determine the level of obstruction lighting required and any aeronautical impacts, if any, to aircraft operations. Notice of an existing structure almost always receives a No Hazard Determination. Please see Summary Report below plus the Airport and Part 77 Reports for application of the above listed CFRs.

```
OBSTRUCTION STANDARDS
    FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE 499 ft AGL
    FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Airport Surface
    FAR 77.19(a): Exceeds - Horizontal Surface Maximum 253 ft AMSL
    FAR 77.19(b): DNE - Conical Surface
    FAR 77.19(c): DNE - Primary Surface
    FAR 77.19(d): DNE - Approach Surface
    FAR 77.19(e): DNE - Transitional Surface
VFR TRAFFIC PATTERN AIRSPACE FOR: MMK: MERIDEN MARKHAM MUNI
Type: A RD: 7360.773 RE: 103
    FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE
    FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply.
    VFR Horizontal Surface: DNE
    VFR Conical Surface: Exceeds - Maximum allowable height is 361 ft AMSL.
    VFR Approach Slope: DNE
    VFR Transitional Slope: DNE
    The structure is within VFR - Traffic Pattern Airspace Climb/Descent Area.
    Structures exceeding the greater of 350' AAE, 77.17(a)(2), or VFR horizontal
    and conical surfaces will receive a hazard determination from the FAA.
    Maximum AMSL of Climb/Descent Area is 453 feet.
VFR TRAFFIC PATTERN AIRSPACE FOR: 4B8: ROBERTSON FIELD
Type: A RD: 56198.98 RE: 200
    FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE
    FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM.
    VFR Horizontal Surface: DNE
    VFR Conical Surface: DNE
    VFR Approach Slope: DNE
    VFR Transitional Slope: DNE
TERPS DEPARTURE PROCEDURE (FAA Order 8260.3, Volume 4)
    FAR 77.17(a)(3) Departure Surface Criteria (40:1)
    The Maximum Height Permitted is 287 ft AMSL
MINIMUM OBSTACLE CLEARANCE ALTITUDE (MOCA)
    FAR 77.17(a)(4) MOCA Altitude Enroute Criteria
    The Maximum Height Permitted is 1500 ft AMSL
PRIVATE LANDING FACILITIES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline FACIL & & & BEARING & RANGE & DELTA ARP & FAA \\
\hline IDENT & TYP & NAME & To FACIL & IN NM & ELEVATION & IFR \\
\hline CT95 & HEL & MERID & 84.7 & 1.49 & +308 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
    No Impact to Private Landing Facility
    Structure is beyond notice limit by }4053\mathrm{ feet.
    CT21 HEL C N FLAGG 113.25 3.54 +120
    No Impact to Private Landing Facility
    Structure is beyond notice limit by }16509\mathrm{ feet.
```
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```
*********************************************
* F.A.R. }77\mathrm{ OBSTRUCTION ANALYSIS
*********************************************
```

Airspace User: Justin Pittman
FILE: 200_EDGEMARK_ACRES

SITE ELEVATION AMSL...... 360 ft.
STRUCTURE HEIGHT......... 93 ft.
OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL...... 453 ft.
77.17(a)(1) A height more than 499 ft. Above Ground Level (AGL).

77.17(a)(2) A height AGL or airport elevation, whichever is higher.
$* * * * * * * * * * * * *$ DOES NOT EXCEED $* * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
BECAUSE: Airport does not have a runway longer than 3200 feet.
THE REFERENCE AIRPORT IDENT IS:........ MMK
THE AIRPORT ELEVATION IS:............. 103 ft . AMSL
THE DISTANCE FROM THE CASE TO ARP IS:.. 1.4713 NAUTICAL MILES
THE BEARING AIRPORT TO CASE IS:....... 336.084 DEGREES
THE CASE HEIGHT AGL IS:............... $93 \mathrm{ft}$.
ALLOWABLE HEIGHT............................ $560 \mathrm{ft}$. AMSL
77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft . above airport elevation within a radius of >> MMK <<.
******* EXCEEDS HORIZONTAL SURFACE *******
MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE HEIGHT IS:......... 253 ft AMSL.
THE AIRPORT ELEVATION IS:............ 103 ft. AMSL
THE CASE EXCEEDS THE ALLOWABLE BY:... 200 ft.
77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).
************* DOES NOT EXCEED **************
NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA
$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
$*$ BEGIN RUNWAY ANALYSIS
$* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
EXISTING RUNWAY 18/36
77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.
************* DOES NOT EXCEED **************
NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE
$77.19(d)$ A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 18.
THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS...... 334.663 degrees
THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS.............. 251.68 degrees
THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS............ 341.68 degrees
THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS....... 875.1 ft.
THE RUNWAY THRESHOLD ELEVATION IS.................... 103 ft. AMSL
THE DISTANCE FROM THRESHOLD + 200' TO THE CASE IS... 7162.231 ft.
THE DISTANCE FROM THRESHOLD + 200' TO NB IS......... 7108.51 ft.
THE CRITICAL WIDTH OF HALF THE APPROACH IS......... 783.135 ft.
THE TRANSITIONAL SURFACE HEIGHT IS 471' AMSL. HOWEVER, THE CASE IS IN AN AREA WHERE THE TRANSITIONAL SURFACE IS LIMITED BY THE HORIZONTAL SURFACE (253' AMSL). See FAR 77.19(a) for this runway.

THE SLOPE OF RUNWAY 18 IS: 20 TO 1.
The FAA has defined this runway as a utility runway. It has a visual approach. The obstacle surface extends 5000 feet (20:1 Slope) symmetrically centered along the runway centerline extended. This airport may have a circling approach. Please review the US Terminal Procedures volume associated with this airport. If a procedure for this airport and/or this runway exist, use Terps ${ }^{\circledR}$ Professional software to determine the height limits (if any) the procedure will have on the proposed structure. A circling approach to the airport or any runway can extend out up to 4.5 NM from every runway end.

BEGIN AIRPORT ANALYSIS FOR 4B8
77.17(a)(2) A height AGL or airport elevation, whichever is higher.
************* DOES NOT EXCEED **************
BECAUSE: Location studied is further than 5.99 NM from ARP.
THE REFERENCE AIRPORT IDENT IS:........ 4B8
THE AIRPORT ELEVATION IS:.............. 202 ft. AMSL
THE DISTANCE FROM THE CASE TO ARP IS:.. 9.5512 NAUTICAL MILES
THE BEARING AIRPORT TO CASE IS:....... 174.045 DEGREES
THE CASE HEIGHT AGL IS:............... 93 ft.
ALLOWABLE HEIGHT........................ 1215 ft. AMSL
77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft . above airport elevation within a radius of >> 4B8 <<.
************* DOES NOT EXCEED
NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA
77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft . from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).
************* DOES NOT EXCEED **************
NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

```
**************************
* BEGIN RUNWAY ANALYSIS *
\(\star * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *\)
```

EXISTING RUNWAY 02/20
77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.
************* DOES NOT EXCEED **************
NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE
77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 02.

THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS....... 173.64 degrees
THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS............... 95.35 degrees
THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS............ 185.35 degrees
THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS....... 11382.2 ft .
************** DOES NOT EXCEED **************
CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED
GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF

```
ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 4839.5 feet
```



PROPOSED RUNWAY 02/20
77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.


NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE
77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 02. THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS....... 173.64 degrees THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS.............. 95.35 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS............ 185.35 degrees THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS....... 11381.7 ft.
************** DOES NOT EXCEED
CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 4837.4 feet
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## *** 200_EDGEMARK_ACR ***

# MERIDEN MARKHAM MUNI - Runway: 36 

Date: 12-02-2013 Time: 09:42:20

## STUDY OBJECT DATA

Study Latitude: $41^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 51.74 " \mathrm{~N}$<br>Study Longitude: $72^{\circ}$ 50' 33.65" W<br>Ground Elevation: 360' AMSL ft.<br>AGL Height: 93' AGL ft.<br>Overall Elevation: 453' AMSL ft.<br>\section*{INSTRUMENT DEPARTURE ANALYSIS}<br>Initial Climb Area (ICA) : Max Hgt ICA: 487 ft<br>Diverse Departure A Not in Diverse A - DNE Diverse A<br>Diverse Departure B Not in Diverse B - DNE Diverse B

The above analysis is in accordance with FAA Order 8260.3B Change 19. This analysis used a 420 ft/NM climb gradient (CG) and an Obstacle Clearance Surface (OCS) that provides 100 feet of obstacle clearance at 1 NM from the Departure End of Runway (DER). Some runways have published climb gradients greater than 200 ft/NM. A specified climb gradient greater than standard (200 ft/NM) is sometimes necessary to allow acceptable obstacle clearance. Should your location exceed the value indicated above you may need to determine if there is a published CG and conduct additional calculations to determine if the CG will provide proper clearance for your proposed structure.

```
Terps}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ Version 13.11.433
Airspace }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ and Terps }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ are registered }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ trademarks of Federal Airways
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```


# Circling Approach Area Analysis 

*** 200_EDGEMARK_ACR ***<br>MERIDEN MARKHAM MUNI<br>Date: 12-02-2013 Time: 09:46:38

## STUDY OBJECT DATA

Study Latitude: $41^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 51.74 " \mathrm{~N}$ Study Longitude: $72^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 33.65^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$
Ground Elevation: 360' AMSL ft.
AGL Height: 93' AGL ft.
Overall Elevation: 453' AMSL ft.
INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE (IAP) ANALYSIS GPS
Distance: 7361 ft.
Aircraft Category: B
Circling MDA: 780
Vkias: 120 knots
Vktas: 125.1191 knots
Bank Angle: $25^{\circ}$
Straight Segment: 0.4 NM
Expanded CAA: True
Turn Radius: 1.7 NM
Maximum AMSL: 480

```
Terps}\mp@subsup{}{}{@}\mathrm{ Version 13.11.433
Airspace }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ and Terps }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ are registered }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}\mathrm{ trademarks of Federal Airways
and Airspace\mp@subsup{}{}{\circledR}. Copyright © 1989 - 2013
```

The mathematical algorithms used by this program are derived directly from Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Orders on Instrument Flight Procedures.

## SITE GROUND ELEVATION

USGS GROUND ELEVATION POINT DATA<br>North American Datum 1983 - NAD83<br>North American Vertical Datum 1988 - NAVD88

2013-APS-1276-OE

| Latitude: | $41^{\circ}-31^{\prime}-51.74 " \mathrm{~N}$ | Decimal Degrees: $41.5310388888889^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Longitude: | $72^{\circ}-50^{\prime}-33.65 \mathrm{~W}$ | Decimal Degrees: $-72.8426805555555^{\circ}$ |

## Ground Elevation: 351.92 feet AMSL

This certifies the above elevation data value for the specified latitude/longitude point was obtained from the United States Geological Survey Seamless Elevation data located at the EROS data center. The elevation value meets vertical accuracy criteria as specified by FAA Order 8260.19C, Appendix 2, Obstacle Accuracy Standards, Codes And Sources, paragraph 101 for Code 'C'. The elevation value for the specified latitude/longitude is accurate to within $\pm 20$ feet vertically.

## ATTACHMENT F




## ATTACHMENT G

## NOTICE

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Section 16-50j-40(a) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies of a Petition to be filed with the Connecticut Siting Council ("Siting Council") on or after November 25, 2014 by New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT\&T" or the "Petitioner"). AT\&T will seek a declaratory ruling that no certificate of environmental compatibility and public need is required to collocate a wireless telecommunications facility on an existing Connecticut Light \& Power ("CL\&P") transmission structure located at 200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, Connecticut (the "Site").

The existing facility, CL\&P structure number 783, is located in an existing CL\&P right-of-way occupied by the existing transmission lines. It is situated on property located at 200 Edgemark Acres (the "subject parcel), owned by Martorelli Realty Company.

AT\&T's proposed facility consists of attaching an approximately 12 ' tall antenna support structure at the top of the existing $80^{\prime}$ tall transmission pole to support up to 12 panel antennas and other equipment at a centerline height of approximately $88^{\prime}$ above grade level. A $12^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ associated unmanned equipment shelter will be located at grade at the base of the existing transmission pole. The equipment shelter will be completely secured by a $6^{\prime}$ tall chain link fence. Provisions for emergency backup power include a 50 kW diesel generator to be located inside the proposed equipment shelter. Access to AT\&T's facility is proposed from Edgemark Acres via an easement over the existing gravel driveway that currently serves the subject property. A small portion of the beginning of the gravel access driveway is on the subject property, but is not within the CL\&P easement. Utility connections to the facility are proposed to extend to Edgemark Acres via underground utility conduits.

The facility is being proposed to allow AT\&T to provide service in this area of the State. The Petition will provide details of the facility and explain why Petitioner submits that the proposed co-located wireless facility presents no significant adverse environmental effects. The location, height and other features of the facility are subject to review and potential change under provisions of the Connecticut General Statutes Sections 16-50g et. seq.

Copies of the Petition will be available for review during normal business hours on or after November 26, 2014 at the Connecticut Siting Council:

Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051
or the offices of the undersigned. All inquiries should be addressed to the Connecticut Siting Council or to the undersigned.

Daniel M. Laub, Esq.
Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. Cuddy \& Feder LLP
445 Hamilton Ave, 14th Floor
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 761-1300

Attorneys for the Petitioner

## CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the $24^{\text {th }}$ of November 2014, a copy of the foregoing notice was mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested to each of the abutting properties owners on the accompanying list.


Attorneys for:
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT\&T")

## ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS

200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, CT

| Gennaro Martorelli Trustee | Justin W. \& Kelly L. Trella |
| :--- | :--- |
| 234 Middle Street | 268 Edgemark Acres |
| Middletown, CT 06457 | Meriden, CT 06451 |
| Deutsche Bank National Trust Company Tr | Gloria Martorelli |
| c/o New Century Equite Loan Tr | 175 Edgemark Acres |
| 1761 E St Andrew PI | Meriden, CT 06451 |
| Santa Ana, CA 92705 |  |

## CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 24th day of November 2014, notice of the intent to file a petition for declaratory ruling regarding the herein proposed telecommunications Facility in Meriden were sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following:

Dated:



445 Hamilton Avenue, $14^{\text {th }}$ Floor
White Plains, N.Y. 10601
Attorneys for:
New Cingular Wireless PCS LLC ("AT\&T")

## State and Regional

| The Honorable George Jepsen | Department of Economic and |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attorney General | Community Development |
| Office of the Attorney General | Catherine H. Smith |
| 55 Elm Street | 505 Hudson Street |
| Hartford, CT 06106 | Hartford, CT 06106-7106 |
| Department of Public Health | Department of Transportation |
| Dr. Jewel Mullen, Commissioner | James P. Redeker, Commissioner |
| 410 Capitol Avenue | 2800 Berlin Turnpike |
| PO Box 340308 | Newington, CT 06111 |
| Hartford, CT 06134 |  |
| Council on Environmental Quality | Department of Agriculture |
| Susan D. Merrow, Chair | Steven K. Reviczky, Commissioner |
| 79 Elm Street | 165 Capitol Avenue |
| Hartford, CT 06106 | Hartford, CT 06106 |
| Office of Policy and Management | State Senator-District S13 |
| Benjamin Barnes, Secretary | Dante Bartolomeo |
| 450 Capitol Avenue | Legislative Office Building |
| Hartford, CT 06106-1308 | Room 3200 |


| Department of Energy \& Environmental | South Central Regional Council of |
| :--- | :--- |
| Protection-Public Utilities Regulatory | Governments |
| Authority | Carl Amento, Executive Director |
| Chairman Arthur House | 127 Washington Ave. -4 Floor West |
| Ten Franklin Square | North Haven, CT 06473 |
| New Britain, CT 06051 |  |
| Connecticut Department of Emergency | Department of Economic and |
| Services and Public Protection | Community Development |
| Division of Emergency Management and | Offices of Culture and Tourism |
| Homeland Security | Daniel T. Forrest, State Historic |
| Dora B. Schriro, Commissioner | Preservation Officer |
| 1111 Country Club Road | One Constitution Plaza, 2 |
| Middletown, FT 06457 | Hartford, CT 06103 |
| Department of Energy \& Environmental | State House Representative |
| Protection | $83^{\text {rd }}$ District |
| Rob Klee, Commissioner | Catherine F. Abercrombie |
| 79 Elm Street | Legislative Office Building, Room 2704 |
| Hartford, CT 06106 | Hartford, CT 06106 |

Federal

| Federal Communications Commission | Federal Aviation Administration |
| :--- | :--- |
| $44512^{\text {th }}$ Street SW | 800 Independence Avenue, SW |
| Washington, D.C. 20554 | Washington, DC 20591 |
| U.S. Congresswoman Elizabeth Esty <br> $5^{\text {th }}$ District <br> 114 West Main Street <br> Post Office Plaza, LLC <br> New Britain, CT 06051 | U.S. Senator Richard Blumenthal <br> 90 State House Square, 10th Floor <br> Hartford, CT 06103 |
| U.S. Senator Christopher Murphy <br> One Constitution Plaza, 7 |  |
| Hartford, CT 06103 |  |$\quad$|  |
| :--- |

City of Meriden

| Mayor Manuel A. Santos | City of Meriden |
| :--- | :--- |
| City of Meriden | Planning Commission |
| 142 East Main Street | Enrico Buccilli, Chairman <br> Meriden, CT 06450 <br>  <br> Meriden, CT 06450 |
| City of Meriden <br> Irene Masse, City Clerk <br> 142 East Main Street <br> Meriden, CT 06450 | City of Meriden <br> Inland Wetlands Commission <br> Robert C. Burns, Chairman <br> Citreet |
| City of Meriden | 142 East Main Street <br> Meriden, CT 06450 |
| 142 East Main Street | City of Meriden |
| Meriden, CT 06450 | Lawrence Kendzior, City Manager |
| City of Meriden | 142 East Main Street |
| Conservation Commission | Meriden, CT 06450 |
| Maryellen Mordarski, Chairwoman |  |
| 142 East Main Street |  |
| Meriden, CT 06450 |  |

## ATTACHMENT H

Northeast Utilities Service Company P.O. Box 270

Hartford, CT 06141-0270
(203) 665-5000

November 21, 2014
Mr. Tim Burks
AT\&T Wireless.
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, CT 06067
RE: AT\&T Antenna Site, CT-2117 200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden CT, CL\&P structure 783.
Dear Mr. Burks:
Based on our reviews of the site drawings, the structural and foundation analysis provided by Centek Engineering and, along with a third party review performed by Paul J. Ford we have reviewed for acceptance this modification.

Since there are no outstanding structural issues to resolve at this time please contact Mr . Green (860-665-6933) to resolve any lease issues; once the lease amendment is secured you may contact Mr. John Landry directly (860-665-5425) to begin these arrangements.


Robert Gray
Transmission Line Engineering

Mr. Tim Burks<br>Site Acquisition Manager- New England<br>SAI Communications, Consultant for<br>AT\&T Mobility (a/k/a New Cingular Wireless<br>500 Enterprise Drive<br>Rocky Hill, CT 06067

Re: Site Permitting Authorization<br>200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, CT<br>Telecommunications Site

Dear Mr. Burks:
Authorization is hereby given to New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (New Cingular), its employees and its duly authorized agents and independent contractors (hereinafter collectively referred to as "New Cingular"), to apply for any and all local municipal, state and federal licenses, permits and approvals, including but not limited to Connecticut Siting Council, building permits, zoning variances, zoning special exceptions, site plan and subdivision approvals, driveway, wetlands and terrain alteration permits, which are or may be necessary or required for New Cingular to construct, operate and maintain a wireless communications system (PCS System), and/or antenna site on the following property over which The Connecticut Light \& Power Company (CL\&P) has easement rights:

CL\&P Structure \#783, FAA \#10126684<br>200 Edgemark Acres<br>Meriden, Connecticut

The foregoing authorization is given subject to the following conditions:

1. This authorization shall be nonexclusive. Nothing herein shall prevent or restrict CL\&P from authorizing any other person or entity to apply for any similar licenses, permits or approvals to construct, operate and maintain any other communication system or facility of any type on the property at any time.
2. This authorization shall not obligate CL\&P to pay for or reimburse any costs or expenses or to provide any assistance of any kind in connection with any applications, or bind or obligate CL\&P to agree or be responsible for any on-site or off-site improvements, development restrictions, impact fees or assessments, capital improvement charges, bonds or other security, or any other fee, assessment, charge or expense imposed or required as a condition of any
license, permit or approval. New Cingular shall be solely and fully responsible for all fees, charges costs and expenses of any kind in connection with any applications. CL\&P agrees to reasonably cooperate with New Cingular in signing such applications or other similar documents as may be required in order for New Cingular to apply for any license, permit or approval.
3. This authorization shall not be deemed or construed to grant or transfer to New Cingular any interest in the property, whatsoever, and shall not in any respect obligate or require CL\&P to sell, lease or license the Property to New Cingular or otherwise allow New Cingula to use or occupy the property for any purpose, regardless of whether any licenses, permits and approvals applied for by New Cingular for the property are granted. New Cingular understands and acknowledges that any and all applications filed by New Cingular for the property at New Cingular's sole risk and without any enforceable expectation that the property will be made available for New Cingular's use.
4. New Cingular shall be required to supply to CL\&P, free of charge and contemporaneous with New Cingular's filing of same, a complete copy of any and all applications, plans, reports and other public filings made by New Cingular with any local, municipal, state or federal governmental or regulatory officer, agency board, bureau, commission or other person or body for any licenses, permits or approvals for the property, and to keep CL\&P fully informed on a regular basis of the status of New Cingualr's applications.
5. This authorization shall automatically expire six (6) months after the date of this letter, unless extended in writing by mutual agreement of CL\&P and New Cingular.

Very truly yours,


Michael J. Green, Senior Real Estate Analyst T \& D ROW \& Survey Engineering

## AGREED TO ON BEHALF OF New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC <br> 

Date: $\quad 11 / 24 / 14$

## ADDITIONAL GROUND SPACE LEASE AGREEMENT

THIS ADDITIONAL GROUND SPACE LEASE AGREEMENT ("Agreement"), dated as of the latter of the signature dates below (the "Effective Date"), is entered into by Martorelli Realty Company, a Connecticut partnership, having a mailing address of 234 Middle Street, Middletown, CT 06457 ("Landlord") and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, having a mailing address of 575 Morosgo Drive, 13-F West Tower, Atlanta, GA 30324 ("Tenant").

## BACKGROUND

Landlord owns or controls that certain plot, parcel or tract of land, as described on Exhibit 1, together with all rights and privileges arising in connection therewith, located at 200 Edgemark Acres, Meriden, in the County of New Haven, State of Connecticut (collectively, the "Property"). Tenant desires to use a portion of the Property in connection with its federally licensed communications business to provide space for certain of Tenant's equipment necessary or advisable for the operation of its antennas and associated communications fixtures and equipment installed or to be installed on an antenna structure owned by a third party ("Antenna Landlord"), which antenna structure is located on the Property or adjacent property. Landlord desires to grant to Tenant the right to use a portion of the Property in accordance with this Agreement.

The parties agree as follows:

1. LEASE OF PREMISES. Landlord hereby leases to Tenant a certain portion of the Property containing approximately 702 square feet including the air space above such ground space, as described on attached Exhibit 1 (the "Premises") for the placement of Tenant's Communication Facility and grants such easements as are necessary for installation of all equipment required or advisable to connect Tenant's antennas located on the antenna structure owned by Antenna Landlord with the Communication Facility (as such term is defined in Paragraph 2 below).
2. PERMITTED USE. Tenant may use the Premises for the transmission and reception of communications signals and the installation, construction, maintenance, operation, repair, replacement and upgrade of its communications fixtures and related equipment, cables, accessories and improvements, which may include a suitable support structure, equipment shelters or cabinets and fencing and any other items necessary to the successful and secure use of the Premises (collectively, the "Communication Facility"), as well as the right to test, survey and review title on the Property; Tenant further has the right but not the obligation to add, modify and/or replace equipment in order to be in compliance with any current or future federal, state or local mandated application, including, but not limited to, emergency 911 communication services, at no additional cost to Tenant or Landlord (collectively, the "Permitted Use"). Landlord and Tenant agree that any portion of the Communication Facility that may be conceptually described on Exhibit 1 will not be deemed to limit Tenant's Permitted Use. If Exhibit 1 includes drawings of the initial installation of the Communication Facility, Landlord's execution of this Agreement will signify Landlord's approval of Exhibit 1. For a period of ninety (90) days following the start of construction, Landlord grants Tenant, its subtenants, licensees and sublicensees, the right to use such portions of Landlord's contiguous, adjoining or surrounding property (the "Surrounding Property") as may reasonably be required during construction and installation of the Communication Facility. Tenant has the right to install and operate transmission cables from the equipment shelter or cabinet to the antenna structure which is located on the Property or adjacent property, electric lines from the main feed to the equipment shelter or cabinet and communication lines from the Property's main entry point to the equipment shelter or cabinet, and to make other improvements, alterations, upgrades or additions appropriate for Tenant's Permitted Use, including the right to construct a fence around the Premises and undertake any other appropriate means to secure the Premises at

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be effective as of the last date written below.
"LANDLORD"


## "TENANT"

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

By: AT\&T Mobility Corporation Its: Manager

By:


Print Name: Kevin L. Mason
Its: Area Manager - Construction \& Engineering Site Acquisition for the New England Market Date: 1 -14-2014
[ACKNOWLEDGMENTS APPEAR ON THE NEXT PAGE]

## TENANT ACKNOWLEDGMENT

## State of Massachusetts

County omiddlesex
On this the 14 day of January 20 li before mKevin L. Mason the undersigned officer, personally appeared Kevin L. Mason who acknowledged himself to be the Area Manager-Construction \& Engineering, Site Acquisition for the New England Market of AT\&T Mobility Corporation, manager of New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, a (member managed or manager managed) limited liability company, and that he, as such $\qquad$ Area Manager_, being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained, by signing the name of the limited liability company by himself as Area Manager-Construction \& Engineering, Site Acquisition for the New England Market.


## LANDLORD ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of $\qquad$
County of Middlusex
On this the shh day of January 42014 , before me, Andreaf.AtKMS officer, personally appeared dana rovlartarellwho acknowledged himself to be the partner of Martorelli Realty Company, a partnership, and that he, as such partner , being authorized so to do, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained, by signing the name of the partnership by himself as a partner. .


Print Name:



[^0]:    cc: Mayor Manuel A. Santos, City of Meriden
    Dominick Caruso, City Planner, City of Meriden
    Michele Briggs, AT\&T
    David Vivian, SAI
    Carlos Centore, Centek
    Michael Libertine, APT
    Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Documentation confirming AT\&T's authority to file this petition from CL\&P and the underlying property owner are included in Attachment H .

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Please note that due to its large size, the full structural report with calculations is being bulk filed along with this Petition.

