TO THE PARTY OF TH

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

August 16, 2017

Benjamin S. Proto, Esq. 2885 Main Street Stratford, CT 06614

RE:

PETITION NO. 1078 – CTS Energy, LLC declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the installation of a 4.98 megawatt fuel cell facility located at 245 Chapel Road, South Windsor, Connecticut. Amendment.

Dear Attorney Proto:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than August 23, 2017. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Yours very truly,

Melanie A. Bachman Executive Director

MB/MP

c: Council Members



Petition No. 1078 Amendment Interrogatories

Site Layout

1. Page 6 of CTS Energy, LLC's (CTS) Request for Modification notes that, "[T]he project will consist of two 1.4 MW fuel cells and one 2.8 MW fuel cell, with a total potential capacity of 5.6 MW" Page 1 of the Environmental Assessment notes that, "The facility would include twin 2.8 MW Fuel Cell Energy DFC3000 Power Plants..." Please clarify. Is the DFC3000 now called the SureSource 3000, but is otherwise equivalent?

Safety

2. Would bollards be used to protect the fuel cell facility from being accidentally struck by vehicles?

Stormwater

3. Referencing page 2 of the Wetland Delineation Field Form, CTS notes that alternatives for stormwater management for runoff from the proposed fuel cell facility include an infiltration system (e.g. gravel yard for fuel cell) or reconstruction of the collapsed stormwater outfall with the installation of a plunge pool (set back from the bank of the Podunk River). Which stormwater management option would CTS pursue?

Construction

4. If the proposed facility amendment or relocation is approved, approximately when would construction commence and when is it expected to be completed and operational? What are the expected typical work hours and days of the week that construction would occur?

Service Life

5. What is the operational life of the facility?