



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

October 29, 2019

Kristen Motel, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder, LLP
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **PETITION NO. 1386** - New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed installation of a wireless telecommunications facility at an existing Eversource-owned electric transmission line structure (#917) within an existing Eversource electric transmission line right-of-way located at 5 Tall Pines Drive, Weston, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Motel:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than November 12, 2019. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Please be advised that the original and 15 copies are required to be submitted to the Council's office on or before the November 12, 2019 deadline.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Sincerely,

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MB/MP/emr

Petition No. 1386
Interrogatories
Set One
October 29, 2019

1. Referencing page 2 of the Petition, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T) notes that, “Eversource transmission lines bisect the southern portion of the Site in a southwest to northwest direction.” Was a southwest to northeast direction for the transmission lines intended?
2. Is AT&T’s proposed facility needed for coverage only or also for capacity? Indicate which frequency bands (e.g. 700, 850, 1900 and 2100 MHz) for which the proposed facility would provide additional coverage and/or capacity.
3. Would the proposed project comply with DEEP noise control standards?
4. Provide the estimated dates for commencement and completion of construction. Also provide the proposed work hours and days of the week. Provide the anticipated date(s) of the transmission line outage, if known.
5. Would the proposed utilities (e.g. electric distribution connection and telecommunications connection) be run underground along the proposed utility easement? Where would the utilities connect, e.g. to an existing pole on the same or opposite side of White Birch Road?
6. Would the existing gravel access drive require improvement?
7. Section 1-3 of the Structural Analysis indicates that, “Mast, appurtenances and connections to the utility tower were analyzed and designed in accordance with the Eversource Design Criteria Table, TIA-222-G and AISC standards.” In addition, Attachment A – Eversource Design Criteria table appears to include “Antenna Mount.” Were the proposed antenna mounts (i.e. the low profile platform) analyzed per TIA-222-G? If not, please provide a mount analysis signed/stamped by a Professional Engineer duly licensed in the State of Connecticut.
8. Referencing page 2 of the Wetland Inspection Field Form and the Wetland Inspection Map and page 1 of the Visual Assessment, a 13-foot by 24-foot compound is indicated. Was an 18-foot by 24-foot compound intended?
9. Referencing Sheet C-4, “Back-Up Generator Detail.” The model number, fuel tank size in gallons and overall dimensions do not appear to match page 5 of the Generac Specifications Sheet under Tab 5 of the Petition. Please correct Sheet C-4 and/or submit a different specification sheet as necessary. Based on this correction, also estimate the full load run time of the generator based on its fuel tank capacity.
10. Sheet C-2 and Page 1 of the Visual Assessment indicate that the proposed backup generator would be 50 kW. Is 20 kW the correct size for the proposed generator?
11. Would the site have battery backup to prevent a reboot condition during the generator start-up delay period? If yes, how long could the battery backup provide power if the generator fails to start?
12. Would the proposed backup generator run periodically for maintenance purposes, e.g. 20 minutes per week during the day?