



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

June 8, 2016

Scott W. Jezek, Esq.
Law Office of Scott W. Jezek
31 William F. Palmer Road
P.O. Box 376
Moodus, CT 06469

RE: PETITION NO. 1215 – Shagbark Lumber and Farm Supplies, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 1.6 Megawatt Solar Photovoltaic Electric Generating facility located at 21 Mount Parnassus Road, a/k/a Route 434, East Haddam, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Jezek:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than June 22, 2016. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Yours very truly,

Melanie A. Bachman
Acting Executive Director

MB/MP/lm

c: Council Members

Petition No. 1215
D&M Plan Interrogatories
Set One
June 8, 2016

1. Shagbark Lumber and Farm Supplies' (SLFS or Petitioner) Development and Management Plan (D&M Plan) dated June 1, 2016 does not include the proposed utility pole locations. Does SLFS still propose the same general pole locations as indicated in interrogatory response 16 of the approved Petition, with the final locations to be determined by the electric distribution company?
2. Does SLFS still plan to include, at a minimum, the supplemental landscaping (east of the southeast corner of the livestock barn) as noted in response to interrogatory response 25 in the approved Petition in order to minimize the visual impact of the facility from Route 434?
3. Is the stormwater management plan design consistent with the *2004 Connecticut Stormwater Quality Manual*?
4. Is the erosion and sedimentation control plan design consistent with the *2002 Connecticut Guidelines for Erosion and Sedimentation Control*?