



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

January 4, 2017

Kathleen M. Shanley
Manager – Transmission Siting
Eversource Energy
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, CT 06103

RE: PETITION NO. 1283 – The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy petition for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the proposed modifications to the existing Southington Substation located at 315 Belleview Avenue, Southington, Connecticut.

Dear Ms. Shanley:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than January 18, 2017. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Yours very truly,

Melanie A. Bachman
Acting Executive Director

MB/MP

c: Council Members

Petition No. 1283
Eversource
Southington Substation
Southington, CT
Interrogatories

1. Is the proposed project identified on the ISO New England, Inc. (ISO-NE) Regional System Plan List dated October 2016?
2. Provide the proposed construction hours and days of the week, e.g. Monday through Saturday 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Is it possible that Sunday hours might be necessary because of scheduled outages, inclement weather, and/or critical path items?
3. Would the proposed lightning masts be the tallest proposed objects? How tall would they be? Would the proposed lightning masts be comparable in height to the existing lightning masts?
4. Provide the height and mesh size of the existing fence. Does the existing fence have barbed wire?
5. Would the expanded fenced area be crushed stone to match the existing substation footprint area or would some of the new section in proximity of the gate remain as asphalt?
6. While approximately 20 pine trees would be removed to accommodate the substation expansion for the new control enclosure, would the existing tree buffer along Bellevue Avenue remain?