



# STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

[www.ct.gov/csc](http://www.ct.gov/csc)

### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

January 4, 2017

Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq.  
Robinson & Cole LLP  
280 Trumbull Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-3597

**RE: PETITION NO. 1280** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless petition for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the proposed installation of a wireless telecommunications facility on the roof of an existing commercial building located at 35-37 Danbury Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Baldwin:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than January 18, 2017. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Yours very truly,

Melanie A. Bachman  
Acting Executive Director

MB/MP

c: Council Members

**Petition No. 1280**  
**Cellco**  
**35-37 Danbury Road**  
**Ridgefield, CT**  
**Interrogatories**

1. On page one of the Federal Airways & Airspace Summary Report (FAA Report) included in Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless' Petition, the longitude and latitude coordinates are identified as 41° 16' 51.30"N, 73° 29' 34.40"W. These coordinates appear to be very close to that of an existing monopole on 76 East Ridge Road, Ridgefield (roughly 0.7 miles away) rather than the proposed wireless facility at 35-37 Danbury Road, Danbury. Is the FAA Report for the correct facility? Explain. Also, is the ground elevation at the proposed site on the order of 652 feet above mean sea level (amsl) rather than the 745 feet amsl noted on page 1 of the FAA Analysis? Please explain and provide a revised FAA Report if necessary.
2. Are the longitude and latitude coordinates noted on Title Sheet T-1 correct, or do they refer to a different building located immediately to the south and closer to Route 35? Please explain and correct Sheet T-1 if necessary.
3. Would the stealth wireless facility be located on the southern portion of the roof (per Sheet C-2 – Roof Plan) as opposed the northern portion of the roof as indicated by the "Proposed Panel and Associated Appurtenances..." callout on the aerial "Site Schematic?" Please explain and provide a revised Site Schematic if necessary.