# CC CROWN CASTLE

**Crown Castle** 12 Gill Street, Suite 5800 Woburn, MA 01801

March 9, 2016

Melanie A. Bachman Acting Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

 RE: Notice of Exempt Modification for AT&T / L700 Crown Site BU: 829013 AT&T Site ID: CT5258 Located at: 467 South Quaker Lane, West Hartford, CT 06110 (With also a known address of 471/457/491 South Quaker Lane) Latitude: 41° 44' 55.59" / Longitude: -72° 43' 52.86"

Dear Ms. Bachman,

AT&T currently maintains nine (9) antennas at the 110 foot level of the existing 120 foot monopole located at 467 South Quaker Lane, West Hartford, CT. The tower is owned by Crown Castle. The property is owned by St. Mark's Church. AT&T now proposes to replace three (3) antennas and add three (3) remote radio units (non-antennas), six (6) triplexers, two (2) DC power cables, one (1) surge arrestor, and one (1) fiber line. The antennas would be installed at the same 110 foot level of the tower.

This facility was approved by the Town of West Hartford Planning Office on March 3, 2000. This approval included the condition(s) that:

- 1. The landscape plan shall be revised to substitute the proposed hemlocks with Austrian Pines. The landscape plan shall provide the number, type and size of all proposed plantings.
- 2. As required by Section 177.16.7D(A) Telecommunications towers and antennas of the West Hartford Code of Ordinances, the applicant shall make payment to the "Town Abandonment Fund". The applicant shall provide to the Town of West Hartford a statement setting forth the estimated cost of construction for the approved antennas, ancillary facilities and supporting

Melanie A. Bachman March 9, 2016 Page 2

structure, together with a payment equal to 5% of the estimated cost of the construction. The payment shall be deposited to the Tower Abandonment Fund.

 The proposed Special Use Permit will comply with the finding requirements of Section 177-42A(5a & 5b) of the West Hartford Code of Ordinances.

This modification complies with the aforementioned condition(s).

Please accept this letter as notification pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies §16-50j-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. §16-50j-72(b)(2). In accordance with R.S.C.A. § 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to Mr. Ron Van Winkle, Town Manager for the Town of West Hartford, as well as the property owner and the tower owner.

- 1. The proposed modifications will not result in an increase in the height of the existing tower.
- 2. The proposed modification will not require the extension of the site boundary.
- 3. The proposed modification will not increase noise levels at the facility by six decibels or more, or to levels that exceed state and local criteria.
- 4. The operation of the replacement antennas will not increase radio frequency emissions at the facility to a level at or above the Federal Communication Commission safety standard.
- 5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.
- 6. The existing structure and its foundation can support the proposed loading.

For the foregoing reasons, AT&T respectfully submits that the proposed modifications to the abovereferenced telecommunications facility constitutes an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2). Please send approval/rejection letter to Attn: Amanda Goodall. Melanie A. Bachman March 9, 2016 Page 3

Sincerely,

Amanda Goodall Real Estate Specialist 12 Gill Street, Suite 5800, Woburn, MA 01801 339-205-7017 <u>Amanda.Goodall@crowncastle.com</u> Attachments:

Tab 1: Exhibit-1: Compound plan and elevation depicting the planned changesTab 2: Exhibit-2: Structural Modification ReportTab 4: Exhibit-3: General Power Density Table report (RF Emissions Analysis Report)

cc: Ron Van Winkle, Town Manager Town of West Hartford
50 South Main Street, Rm. 310 West Hartford, CT 06107

> Crown Castle, Tower Owner 12 Gill Street, Suite 5800 Woburn, Ma 01801

The Catholic Church of St. Mark the Evangelist, Property Owner 467 South Quaker Lane West Hartford, CT 06110 Filing Information Required by P.A. 75-317

## **TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD - PLANNING OFFICE**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT: #893

NAME OF RECORD OWNER: Archidiocise of Hartford

STREET ADDRESS OF PROPERTY: 457 South Quaker Lane

DEED REFERENCE - VOLUME:  $\Re/S$  PAGE:  $\checkmark$  ZONE: R-13 ORDINANCE: 177 SECTION: 42 (A-E)

#### **DESCRIPTION OF ACTION:**

454-South Quaker Lane – St. Mark's Church – Application (SUP #893) of the Archdiocese of Hartford, R.O., Omnipoint Communications, Inc., Dennis Brown of Omnipoint and Agent for Special Use Permit application. Omnipoint Communications, Inc. proposes to erect a 120 foot tall telecommunications monopole behind St. Mark's Rectory and abutting the right-of-way for Interstate 84. The 120 foot monopole would provide location for Omnipoint antenna and colocation for two other carriers. At the base of the monopole would be an equipment box the size of two filing cabinets. The site would be surrounded by a chain link fenced area, 50' x 50', with security gate and landscape buffering. (Submitted for TPZ receipt on February 7, 2000. Suggest required public hearing be scheduled for March 6, 2000. Required TPZ public hearing scheduled for March 6, 2000.)

**R-6 ZONE** 

## DATE APPROVED: 3/6/00 EFFECTIVE DATE: 3/31/00 LEGAL NOTICE OF ACTION PUBLISHED - DATE: 3/16/00 CONDITIONS - IF ANY:

- 1. The landscape plan shall be revised to substitute the proposed hemlocks with Austrian Pines. The landscape plan shall provide the number, type and size of all proposed plantings.
- 2. As required by Section 177.16.7D(4) Telecommunication towers and antennas of the West Hartford Code of Ordinances, the applicant shall make payment to the "Town Abandonment Fund". The applicant shall provide to the Town of West Hartford a statement setting forth the estimated cost of construction for the approved antennas, ancillary facilities and supporting structure, together with a payment equal to 5% of the estimated cost of the construction. The payment shall be deposited to the Tower Abandonment Fund.
- 3. The proposed Special Use Permit will comply with the finding requirements of Section 177-42A(5a & 5b) of the West Hartford Code of Ordinances.

## **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: (MAY BE ATTACHED)**

SEE DEED REFERENCE

D ZONING COMMISSION SECRETARY, DONALD R. FOSTER Date



TOWN OF WEST HARTFORD 50 SOUTH MAIN STREET WEST HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06107-2431 (860) 523-3123 FAX: (860) 523-3200

🎦 Printed on Recycled Paper

		PROJECT INFORMATION						
SCOPE OF WORK:	ITEMS TO BE MOU (3) LTE ANTENNAS (2) DC POWER CA	IN <u>TED ON THE EXISTING TOWER:</u> 5, (3) RRH'S, (6) TRIPLEXERS, (1) SURGE ARRESTOR IBLES, (1) FIBER LINE	,				CLIENT REPRESENT	ATIVE SMARTLIN
	ITEMS_TO_BE_INST (1) DUS & (1) AF	ALLED INSIDE THE EXISTING AT&T EQUIPMENT AREA: RGUS CONVERTER MODULE			at&t		CITY, STATE, ZIP:	PARKWAY ANNAPOL
	<u>ITEMS TO REMAIN:</u> (3) LTE ANTENNAS (2) DC POWER CA	s, (3) UMTS ANTENNAS, (6) RRH'S, (6) TMA'S, (1) S BLES, (1) FIBER LINE, (12) COAX LINES @ 1–5/8"	URGE ARRESTOR,				CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL:	TIM BOY (908) 33 tboyce@s
	ITEMS TO BE REM	OVED:						
	<u>PTN:</u> 2051A03JNQ	5			NUMBER: 100/1355	Q	COMPANY: ADDRESS:	SMARTLIN 33 BOST
SITE ADDRESS:	491 SOUTH QUAK					0	CITY, STATE, ZIP:	MARLBOR
LATITUDE:	41.75 N, 41°	44' 53.85" N					CONTACT:	TODD OL (774) 36
LONGITUDE:	72.73 W, -72°	43' 50.87" W		SITE N	AME: WEST HARTFO	ORD	E-MAIL:	todd.olive
USID:	15075			/01 9	SOUTH OUAKER I AN	IF	ENGINEERING	
PROPERTY OWNER:	CROWN CASTLE			4910			COMPANY:	HUDSON
TYPE OF SITE:	MONOPOLE			WEST	HARTFORD, MA 06 <sup>-</sup>	110	ADDRESS:	1600 OS BUILDING
TOWER HEIGHT:	120'-0"±			CRO	WN SITE ID #: 8290 <sup>-</sup>	3	CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT:	NORTH A
RAD CENTER:							PHONE:	(978) 55
PROPOSED USE:	TELECOMMUNICATIO	DNS FACILITY		ľ	-ROJECT. LIE 3C		E-MAIL:	info@huc
		DRAWING INDEX			VICINITY MAP			
SHEET NO. DESC	RIPTION		REV.	DIRECTIONS TO SITE:			1. THIS DOCUMEN	NT IS THE
T-1 TITLE	SHEET		1	FROM FRAMINGHAM, MA:			DUPLICATION C AND USE BY (	OR USE WIT
GN-1 GENE	ERAL NOTES		1	RD 0.5 MI. BEAR RIGHT ONTO	RD TOWARD CALDOR RD 0.5 MI. KEEP RIGHT ONIC D RT-9 W/RT-30W/WORCESTER RD 0.8 MI. KEEP I N/F RAND RICHT FOR 40 WERT TOWARD WORCEST	EFT ONTO RT-9	2. THE FACILITY I	IS AN UNM
A-1 COMP	POUND PLAN		1	MI. AT EXIT 9, TAKE RAMP R RAMP RIGHT TOWARD PROSPE TURN LEFT ONTO OAKWOOD	IGHT FOR I—84 TOWARD NY CITY/HARTFORD 47.0 M IGHT FOR I—84 TOWARD NY CITY/HARTFORD 47.0 M ICT/OAKWOOD/AVENUES 0.2 MI. TURN LEFT ONTO K AVE 361 FT. TURN RIGHT ONTO WILFRED ST 0.4 MI	I. AT EXIT 44, TAKE ANE STREET 0.2 MI. TURN LEFT ONTO	ACCESSED BY NOT REQUIRE REGULATIONS I	TRAINED T ANY WATER REQUIRING
A-2 EQUIF	PMENT PLANS		1	SOUTH QUAKER LANE 0.1 MI	ARRIVE 471 QUAKER LANE SOUTH, THE SITE WILL	BE ON YOUR RIGHT	3. CONTRACTOR S AND SHALL IM	SHALL VERI
A-3 ELEVA	ATIONS		1				BEFORE PROCE	EEDING WIT
A-4 ANTER	INNA LAYOUTS		1					
A-5 DETAI	ILS		1					
RF-1 RF PI	PLUMBING DIAGRAM		1					
G—1 GROU	JNDING DETAILS		1		Stoodine oikai Wilfredst			
		APPROVALS			Strðugustineisja	-united and		- (-) -
DISCI	IPLINE:	SIGNATURE:	DATE:			South and the state of the stat		S
THE FOLLOWING PA SUBCONTRACTOR TO REVIEW BY THE LO	ARTIES HEREBY APPR TO PROCEED WITH CO DCAL BUILDING DEPAR	OVE AND ACCEPT THESE DOCUMENTS & AUTHORIZE DINSTRUCTION DESCRIBED HEREIN. ALL DOCUMENTS AF RTMENT & MAY IMPOSE CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS.	THE RE SUBJECT TO		PROJECT	Edgomere-Ave=	C,	ALL TOI
SMARTLINK SITE AC	CQUISITION:					Englewood-Ave		
SMARTLINK CONSTR	RUCTION MANAGER:			Throut		Edgemont-Av	Ð	
AT&T PROJECT MAI	NAGER:			Diabok		Participation of the participa		
Undeer	<b>H</b>	- Alexandre - A	SITE NU SITE NAMI	JMBER: CTL05258 E: WEST HARTFORD				
Design Groupu		smartlink	CROWN	SITE ID #: 829013	al&l	1 02/12/16 ISSU	ED FOR PERMITTING	FM
1600 OSGOOD STREET	3090 TEL: (978) 557-5553	1997 ANNAPOLIS EXCHANGE PKWY SUITE 200 ANNAPOLIS ND 21401	491 S WEST ⊢	OUTH QUAKER LANE IARTFORD, MA 06110	550 COCHITUATE ROAD	0 01/11/16 ISSU NO. DATE	REVISIONS	FN
N. ANDOVER, MA 01845	FAX: (978) 336-5586	AININAMULIS, MU 21401	HA	KIFORD COUNTY	FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701	SCALE: AS SHOWN	DESIGNED BY: HC	DRAWN B

# PROJECT TEAM

INK, LLC NNAPOLIS EXCHANGE Y, SUITE 200 DLIS, MD 21401 YCE 333-3640 DemartlinklIc.com **RF ENGINEER** COMPANY: ADDRESS:

CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL: AT&T MOBILITY - NEW ENGLAND 550 COCHITUATE ROAD SUITE 550 13 AND 14 FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701 CAMERON SYME (508) 596-7146 cs6970@att.com

NK, LLC TON POST ROAD WEST 10 ROUGH, MA 01752 LIVER 669-3618 ver@smartlink.com CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

COMPANY: ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL:

SMARTLINK, LLC. 33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST SUITE 210 MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752 ROBERT PICARD (774) 369–3618 robert.picard@smartlinkllc.com

A DESIGN GROUP, LLC. SGOOD STREET G 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 ANDOVER, MA 01845 P. HAMM, PE 557-5553 IdsondesigngroupIlc.com

## GENERAL NOTES

CREATION, DESIGN, PROPERTY AND COPYRIGHTED WORK OF AT&T. ANY ITHOUT EXPRESS WRITTEN CONSENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. DUPLICATION INT AGENCIES FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONDUCTING THEIR LAWFULLY Y AND ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS IS SPECIFICALLY ALLOWED.

MANNED PRIVATE AND SECURED EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION. IT IS ONLY TECHNICIANS FOR PERIODIC ROUTINE MAINTENANCE AND THEREFORE DOES R OR SANITARY SEWER SERVICE. THE FACILITY IS NOT GOVERNED BY PUBLIC ACCESS PER ADA REQUIREMENTS.

REFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB SITE 'NOTIFY THE AT&T MOBILITY REPRESENTATIVE IN WRITING OF DISCREPANCIES TH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.



#### **GROUNDING NOTES**

- 1. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW AND INSPECT THE EXISTING FACILITY GROUNDING SYSTEM AND LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM (AS DESIGNED AND INSTALLED) FOR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE NEC (AS ADOPTED BY THE AHJ), THE SITE-SPECIFIC (UL, LPI, OR NFPA) LIGHTING PROTECTION CODE, AND GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH TELCORDIA AND TIA GROUNDING STANDARDS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT ANY VIOLATIONS OR ADVERSE FINDINGS TO THE CONTRACTOR FOR RESOLUTION.
- 2. ALL GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS (INCLUDING TELECOMMUNICATION, RADIO, LIGHTNING PROTECTION, AND AC POWER GES'S) SHALL BE BONDED TOGETHER, AT OR BELOW GRADE, BY TWO OR MORE COPPER BONDING CONDUCTORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 3. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM IEEE FALL-OF-POTENTIAL RESISTANCE TO EARTH TESTING (PER IEEE 1100 AND 81) FOR NEW GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AND INSTALL SUPPLEMENTAL GROUND ELECTRODES AS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A TEST RESULT OF 5 OHMS OR LESS.
- 4. METAL RACEWAY SHALL NOT BE USED AS THE NEC REQUIRED EQUIPMENT GROUND CONDUCTOR. STRANDED COPPER CONDUCTORS WITH GREEN INSULATION, SIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC, SHALL BE FURNISHED AND INSTALLED WITH THE POWER CIRCUITS TO BTS EQUIPMENT
- 5. EACH BTS CABINET FRAME SHALL BE DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO THE MASTER GROUND BAR WITH GREEN INSULATED SUPPLEMENTAL EQUIPMENT GROUND WIRES, 6 AWG STRANDED COPPER OR LARGER FOR INDOOR BTS 2 AWG STRANDED COPPER FOR OUTDOOR BTS.
- 6. EXOTHERMIC WELDS SHALL BE USED FOR ALL GROUNDING CONNECTIONS BELOW GRADE.
- APPROVED ANTIOXIDANT COATINGS (I.E., CONDUCTIVE GEL OR PASTE) SHALL BE USED ON ALL 7. COMPRESSION AND BOLTED GROUND CONNECTIONS.
- 8. ICE BRIDGE BONDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE EXOTHERMICALLY BONDED OR BOLTED TO GROUND BAR.
- 9. ALUMINUM CONDUCTOR OR COPPER CLAD STEEL CONDUCTOR SHALL NOT BE USED FOR GROUNDING CONNECTIONS.
- 10. MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND NON-ELECTRICAL METAL BOXES, FRAMES AND SUPPORTS SHALL BE BONDED TO THE GROUND RING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 11. METAL CONDUIT SHALL BE MADE ELECTRICALLY CONTINUOUS WITH LISTED BONDING FITTINGS OR BY BONDING ACROSS THE DISCONTINUITY WITH 6 AWS COPPER WIRE UL APPROVED GROUNDING TYPE CONDUIT CLAMPS.
- 12. ALL NEW STRUCTURES WITH A FOUNDATION AND/OR FOOTING HAVING 20 FT. OR MORE OF 1/2 IN. OR GREATER ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE REINFORCING STEEL MUST HAVE IT BONDED TO THE GROUND RING USING AN EXOTHERMIC WELD CONNECTION USING #2 AWG SOLID BARE TINNED COPPER GROUND WIRE, PER NEC 250.50

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

1. FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWING, THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY: CONTRACTOR - SMARTLINK

SUBCONTRACTOR - GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION) OWNER - AT&T MOBILITY

- 2. PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS, THE BIDDING SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE CELL SITE TO FAMILIARIZE WITH THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND TO CONFIRM THAT THE WORK CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. ANY DISCREPANCY FOUND SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF CONTRACTOR.
- 3. ALL MATERIALS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS, AND ORDINANCES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE NOTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL ORDERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK. ALL WORK CARRIED OUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL CODES, ORDINANCES AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS
- 4. DRAWINGS PROVIDED HERE ARE NOT TO BE SCALED AND ARE INTENDED TO SHOW OUTLINE ONLY.
- UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, 5. APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- "KITTING LIST" SUPPLIED WITH THE BID PACKAGE IDENTIFIES ITEMS THAT WILL BE SUPPLIED BY 6. CONTRACTOR. ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN THE BILL OF MATERIALS AND KITTING LIST SHALL BE SUPPLIED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR.
- 7. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.
- IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, THE 8. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ACTUAL ROUTING OF CONDUIT. POWER AND T1 CABLES. 9. GROUNDING CABLES AS SHOWN ON THE POWER, GROUNDING AND TELCO PLAN DRAWING. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE EXISTING TRAYS AND/OR SHALL ADD NEW TRAYS AS NECESSARY. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE ACTUAL ROUTING WITH THE CONTRACTOR.
- 10. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES. ANY DAMAGED PART SHALL BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF OWNER.
- 11. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEGALLY AND PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL SCRAP MATERIALS SUCH AS COAXIAL CABLES AND OTHER ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE EXISTING FACILITY. ANTENNAS REMOVED SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER'S DESIGNATED LOCATION.
- 12. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION.
- 13. ALL CONCRETE REPAIR WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 301.

14. ANY NEW CONCRETE NEEDED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE AIR-ENTRAINED AND SHALL HAVE 4000 PSI STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS. ALL CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI 318 CODE REQUIREMENTS.

- FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AT&T SITES."
- AFTER MIDNIGHT
- EXPOSURE LEVELS.
- 20. APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES:

STANDARDS

AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318; BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE;

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC)

EQUIPMENT AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES; REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR SPECIFIC ELECTRICAL STANDARDS.

							ABBREVIATIONS	
				AGL	ABOVE GRADE LEVEL	EQ	EQUAL	REQ
				AWG	AMERICAN WIRE GAUGE	GC	GENERAL CONTRACTOR	RF
				BBU	BATTERY BACKUP UNIT	GRC	GALVANIZED RIGID CONDUIT	TBD
				BTCW	BARE TINNED SOLID COPPER WIRE	MGB	MASTER GROUND BAR	TBR
				BGR	BURIED GROUND RING	MIN	MINIMUM	TBRR
				BTS	BASE TRANSCEIVER STATION	Ρ	PROPOSED	TYP
				E	EXISTING	NTS	NOT TO SCALE	UG
				EGB	EQUIPMENT GROUND BAR	RAD	RADIATION CENTER LINE (ANTENNA)	VIF
				EGR	EQUIPMENT GROUND RING	REF	REFERENCE	
	<i>2</i> 2	SITE	NUMBER: CTL05258					
Hudson	663	SITE NA	ME: WEST HARTFORD		atet			
Design Groupue	smartlink	CRO	WN SITE ID #: 829013		αιαί	1 02	/12/16 ISSUED FOR PERMITTING	
	1997 ANNAPOLIS EXCHANGE PKWY SUITE 200	49 WES	1 SOUTH QUAKER LANE			0 01	/11/16 ISSUED FOR REVIEW	
BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 N ANDOVER MA 01845 FAX: (978) 336-5586	ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401	WES	HARTFORD COUNTY	550 COC FRAMING	HIIUATE ROAD HAM, MA 01701	SCALE:	AS SHOWN DESIGNED BY HC	

15. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL WORK SHALL BE DETAILED, FABRICATED AND ERECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AISC SPECIFICATIONS. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE ASTM A36 (Fy = 36 ksi) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. PIPES SHALL BE ASTM A5.3 TYPE E (Fy = 36 ksi). ALL STEEL EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED. TOUCHUP ALL SCRATCHES AND OTHER MARKS IN THE FIELD AFTER STEEL IS ERECTED USING A COMPATIBLE ZINC RICH PAINT.

16. CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH SPECIFICATIONS AND "GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES

17. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK. ALL DIMENSIONS OF EXISTING CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS MUST BE VERIFIED. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONTRACTOR OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL OR PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.

18. THE EXISTING CELL SITE IS IN FULL COMMERCIAL OPERATION. ANY CONSTRUCTION WORK BY SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DISRUPT THE EXISTING NORMAL OPERATION. ANY WORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE COORDINATED WITH CONTRACTOR. ALSO, WORK SHOULD BE SCHEDULED FOR AN APPROPRIATE MAINTENANCE WINDOW USUALLY IN LOW TRAFFIC PERIODS

19. SINCE THE CELL SITE IS ACTIVE, ALL SAFETY PRECAUTIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING AROUND HIGH LEVELS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION. EQUIPMENT SHOULD BE SHUTDOWN PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORK THAT COULD EXPOSE THE WORKERS TO DANGER. PERSONAL RF EXPOSURE MONITORS ARE ADVISED TO BE WORN TO ALERT OF ANY DANGEROUS

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (AHJ) FOR THE LOCATION. THE EDITION OF THE AHJ ADOPTED CODES AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN. BUILDING CODE: 2003 IBC WITH 2005 CT SUPPLEMENT, + 2009 & 2013 CT AMENDMENTS ELECTRICAL CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS LIGHTENING CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING

MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, FOURTEENTH EDITION;

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-F, STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL

FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.

REQUIRED RADIO FREQUENCY TO BE DETERMINED TO BE REMOVED TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED TYPICAL UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD VERIFY IN FIE		_		
REQUIRED RADIO FREQUENCY TO BE DETERMINED TO BE REMOVED TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED TYPICAL UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD VERIFY IN FIE				
RADIO FREQUENCY TO BE DETERMINED TO BE REMOVED TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED TYPICAL UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD OF COV VERIFY IN FIELD OF	REQUIRED			
TO BE DETERMINED TO BE REMOVED TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED TYPICAL UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD VERIFY	RADIO FREQUENCY			
TO BE REMOVED TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD WERIFY IN FIELD WERIFY IN FIELD VERIFY I	TO BE DETERMINED			
TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED TYPICAL UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD VERIFY IN FIELD VERIF	TO BE REMOVED			
TYPICAL UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD M HC DPH: M HC	TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED			
UNDER GROUND VERIFY IN FIELD H HC DPH M HC DPH M HC DPH Y CHK APP'D Y CHK APP'D	TYPICAL			
VERIFY IN FIELD OF COMPANY A HC DPH M HC DPH M HC DPH AY CHK APP'D		1177,		
AT&T M HC DPH M HC DPH Y CHK APP'D AT&T CENS STE NOMBER DRAWING NUMBER REV STE NOMBER DRAWING NUMBER REV DRAWING NUMBE	VERIFY IN FIELD OF COM	NECT CETT		
M HC DPH M HC DPH M HC DPH SY CHK APP'D	Hanrel P+	Jamm	✔ AT&T	
M HC DPH (LTE 3C) 3Y CHK APP'D SSCALA EVENTS NUMBER DRAWING NUMBER REV	м нс дрн Х №0.241	78	GENERAL NOTES	
AT CHK APP'D	M HC DPH POLICENS	SEP (COS	(LTE 3C)	
	AY CHK APP'D	EN SITE NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
3Y: FM GN-1 1	BY: FM	NorL05258	GN-1	1



NOTE: REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.

NOTE:

ALL LINES AND ANTENNAS TO BE
INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PASSING
STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY
CROWN CASTLE AND AT&T ANTENNA
DESIGN SHEET RECOMMENDATION.

		14.	OF CONNEC			
	Ą	As	rel PK	amin	v AT&T	
FM	нс	DPH	V No.24178		COMPOUND PLAN	
FM	HC	DPH	POL (ICENSE)	153	(LTE 3C)	
BY	снк	APP'D	ESCIENTS!	SITE NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
BY:	FM		MAL EN	CTL05258	A-1	1



NOTE: REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.

NOTE: ALL LINES AND ANTENNAS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PASSING STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY CROWN CASTLE AND AT&T ANTENNA DESIGN SHEET RECOMMENDATION.

		14.	OF CONNEC	
1	Ą	A I	relffamm AT&T	
FM	HC	DPH	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
FM	нс	DPH	(LTE 3C)	
BY	снк	APP'D	SITE NUMBER DRAWING NUMBER	REV
BY:	FM		A-2	1



#### PROPOSED UPPER SUPPORT RAIL KIT P/N MT-195-14 (OR APPROVED EQUAL) (TYP.)

EXISTING TMA (TYP. OF 2 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 6) (TO REMAIN)

EXISTING UMTS ANTENNA (TYP. OF 1 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 3)

EXISTING RRH (TYP. OF 2 PER (RELOCATED TO POSITION 2)

EXISTING LTE ANTENNA (TYP. OF 1 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 3) (RELOCATED TO POSITION 2)

REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.

NOTE: ALL LINES AND ANTENNAS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PASSING STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY CROWN CASTLE AND AT&T ANTENNA DESIGN SHEET RECOMMENDATION.

5'-4	4" 10	'-8"	21'-4"	32'-0"			
				EL P. May			
A	Æ	A III	*e/l	Pham	Ņ	✔ AT&T	
FM	нс	DPH	X Y	lo.24178 /	111	ELEVATION	
FM	HC	DPH	P	ICENSED (S		(LTE 3C)	
BY	снк	APP'D	TESC.	SITE WUI	MBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
BY:	FM			VUNAL EN CTLOS:	258	A-3	1



NOTE: REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.

NOTE: ALL LINES AND ANTENNAS TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PASSING STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY CROWN CASTLE AND AT&T ANTENNA DESIGN SHEET RECOMMENDATION.

			TEL P. HALL	
0	Ą	2	relftamm AT&	Г
FM	HC	DPH	X No.24178 $Z$ ANTENNA LA	AYOUTS
FM	HC	DPH	LTE 3	C)
BY	снк	APP'D	SITE NUMBER DRAWING	S NUMBER REV
BY:	FM		A CTL05258 A	-4 1



ANDOVER MA 01845

FAX: (978) 336-558

FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DESIGNED BY: HC

EXISTING & PROPOSED RRU SCHEDULE								
EXISTING/PROPOSED	MAKE	MODEL#	SIZE (INCHES) (L × W × D)					
EXISTING	ERICSSON	(2)RRUS-11	19.7x17.0x7.2					
PROPOSED	ERICSSON	RRUS-32	26.7x12.1x6.7					
EXISTING	ERICSSON	(2)RRUS-11	19.7x17.0x7.2					
PROPOSED	ERICSSON	RRUS-32	26.7x12.1x6.7					
EXISTING	ERICSSON	(2)RRUS-11	19.7x17.0x7.2					
PROPOSED	ERICSSON	RRUS-32	26.7x12.1x6.7					









			NOTE: 1. CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM ALL PARTS. 2. INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS	
		11.	NOTE: REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.	
A	Ą	A	reff Hamm AT&T	
тм	- HC	DPH	X $No.24178$ / $S$ RF PLUMBING DIAGRAM	
™	HC	DPH	(LTE 3C)	
ΒY	СНК	APP'D	STE NUMBER DRAWING NUMBER F	REV
BY:	FM		STUNAL EN CTL05258 RF-1	1



smartlink 1997 ANNAPOLIS EXCHANGE PKWY SUITE 200 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401

Design Groupu

BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 N. ANDOVER, MA 01845

1600 OSGOOD STREET

G

TEL: (978) 557-5553

FAX: (978) 336-558

CROWN SITE ID #: 829013

491 SOUTH QUAKER LANE WEST HARTFORD, MA 06110 HARTFORD COUNTY



					11.	TEL P. MARCE
			P	Ą	As	elf tamm AT&T
1	02/12/16	ISSUED FOR PERMITTING	FM	HC	DPH	$\chi$ $V_{\rm No.24178}$ / $\Xi$ grounding details
0	01/11/16	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	FM	HC	DPH	(LTE 3C)
NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	снк	APP'D	SITE NUMBER DRAWING NUMBER RE
SCA	_E: AS SH	IOWN DESIGNED BY: HC DRAWN	I BY:	FM		G-1 1

Date: March 3, 2016		
Sean Dempsey Crown Castle 3530 Toringdon Way, Suite 300 Charlotte, NC 28277 (704) 405-6565	To 32 Ra (9 <u>or</u>	ower Engineering Professionals 26 Tryon Road aleigh, NC 27603 19) 661-6351 own@tepgroup.net
Subject: Structural Analysis Rep	port	
Carrier Designation:	AT&T Mobility Co-Locate Carrier Site Number: Carrier Site Name:	CTL05258 West Hartford
Crown Castle Designation:	Crown Castle BU Number: Crown Castle Site Name: Crown Castle JDE Job Number: Crown Castle Work Order Numb Crown Castle Application Numb	829013 West Hartford/I-84/X43 365149 er: 1200313 er: 328829 Rev. 6
Engineering Firm Designation:	TEP Project Number:	25680.44546
Site Data:	467 South Quaker Lane (Church West Hartford, Hartford County, Latitude <i>41°44' 55.59"</i> , Longitud 119 Foot - Monopole Tower	of St. Mark) CT 06110 e <i>-72°43' 52.86''</i>

Dear Sean Dempsey,

*Tower Engineering Professionals* is pleased to submit this "**Structural Analysis Report**" to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 878403, in accordance with application 328829, revision 6.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC7: Existing + Reserved + Proposed Equipment Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing/reserved loading, respectively.

The analysis has been performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F <u>Structural Standards for Steel Antenna</u> <u>Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures</u> and the 2005 <u>Connecticut State Building Code</u> with 2013 Amendments (2003 International Building Code) based upon a wind speed of 80 mph fastest mile.

All modifications and equipment proposed in this report shall be installed in accordance with the appurtenances listed in Tables 1 and 2 and the attached drawing for the determined available structural capacity to be effective.

We at *Tower Engineering Professionals* appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and *Crown Castle*. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Structural analysis prepared by: Christopher D. Crook E.I. / ZRH

Respectfully submitted by:



Sufficient Capacity

Graham M. Andres, P.E.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## 1) INTRODUCTION

# 2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

- Table 1 Proposed Antenna and Cable Information
- Table 2 Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information
- Table 3 Design Antenna and Cable Information

## 3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 4 - Documents Provided

- 3.1) Analysis Method
- 3.2) Assumptions

# 4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 5 - Section Capacity (Summary) Table 6 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity 4.1) Recommendations

# 5) APPENDIX A

tnxTower Output

# 6) APPENDIX B

Base Level Drawing

## 7) APPENDIX C

Additional Calculations

# 1) INTRODUCTION

This tower is a 119-ft monopole tower designed by Pirod, Inc. in May of 2000. The tower was originally designed for a wind speed of 80 mph per EIA/TIA-222-F for the appurtenances listed in Table 3. The tower has been modified multiple times to accommodate additional loading. TEP visited the site on July of 2014 to perform a Rebar Mapping. All information provided to TEP was assumed to be accurate and complete.

# 2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The analysis has been performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F <u>Structural Standards for Steel Antenna</u> <u>Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures</u> and ASCE 7-05 <u>Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other</u> <u>Structures</u> using a fastest mile wind speed of 80 mph with no ice, 37.6 mph with 1.0 inch escalating ice thickness and 50 mph under service loads.

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
	1	CCI Antennas	TPA-65R-LCUUUU-H8 w/ Mount Pipe				
		2	Quintel	QS66512-3 w/ Mount Pipe			
110.0	110.0	1	Raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F	- 1 - 2	3/8 3/4	1
		3	Ericsson	RRUS 32			
		6	CCI Antennas	TPX-070821			
		1	Handrail Kit	Miscellaneous [NA 507-1]			

## Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Notes:

1) See "Appendix B – Base Level Drawing" for assumed feed line configuration.

#### Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
120.0 12		3	Ericsson	Air 21 B2A B4P w/ Mount Pipe	13	1-5/8	
		3	Commscope	LNX-6515DS-VTM w/ Mount Pipe			
	120.0	3	Ericsson	Air 21 B4A B2P w/ Mount Pipe			1
		1	RFS Celwave	APXV18-206517S-C w/ Mount Pipe			
		3	Ericsson	KRY 112 144/1			
		3	Ericsson	RRUS 11 B12			
		1	Tower Mounts	Platform Mount [LP 403-1]			
115.0	115.0	1	Andrew	VHLP2-18	1 1/0		1
115.0 115.0		1	Tower Mounts	Side Arm Mount [SO 102-3]			

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
		3	Powerwave Technologies	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	-	-	2
		3	Powerwave Technologies	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe			
		1	Andrew	SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount Pipe			
110.0	110.0	2	KMW Communications	AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe	1 2	3/8 7/16	1
		6	Ericsson	RRUS 11	12	1-5/8	
		1	Raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F			
		6	Powerwave Technologies	LGP21901			
		1	Tower Mounts	Platform Mount [LP 712-1]			
	100.0	6	Commscope	SBNHH-1D65B w/ Mount Pipe		1-5/8	3
		3	Alcatel Lucent	RRH2X60-PCS			
		3	Alcatel Lucent	RRH2x60-700	2		
		3	Alcatel Lucent	RRH2x60-AWS			
		1	RFS Celwave	DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z			
100.0		2	Andrew	LNX-6514DS-T4M w/ Mount Pipe			
		3	Amphenol	BXA-80063-4BF-EDIN-X w/ Mount Pipe			
		1	Antel	BXA-70063-6CF-EDIN-0 w/ Mount Pipe	12	1-5/8	
		1	RFS Celwave	DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z			
		1	Tower Mounts	Platform Mount [LP 403-1]			
90.0	90.0	3	Kathrein	742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	6	1-5/8	1
	00.0	1	Andrew	VHLP2-23			
80.0	83.0	1	Clearwire	CW Junction Box		= // 0	
	Q1 ()	3	Argus Technologies	LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	1 3 3	5/16 1/4 1/2	1
	01.0	3	Samsung Telecom.	Wimax Dap Head	3	5/8	
	80.0	1	Tower Mounts	Side Arm Mount [SO 101-3]			

Notes:

1)

Existing equipment Existing equipment to be removed; not considered in this analysis Reserved equipment 2)́

3)

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)
120.0	120.0	12	Generic	1'x4' Panels	12	1-5/8
110.0	110.0	12	Generic	1'x4' Panels	12	1-5/8
100.0	100.0	12	Generic	1'x4' Panels	12	1-5/8

# Table 3 - Design Antenna and Cable Information

# 3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

# Table 4 - Documents Provided

Document	Remarks	Reference	Source
Supplemental Geotechnical Report	Tower Engineering Professionals / Dr. Clarence Welti, P.E., P.C.	3636697	CCISites
Tower Foundation Drawings	Pirod, Inc.	3636698	CCISites
Rebar Mapping	Tower Engineering Professionals	3636698	CCISites
Tower Manufacturer Drawings	Pirod, Inc.	3525378	CCISites
Tower Reinforcement Drawings	Natcomm Consulting Engineers, Inc.	3525386	CCISites
Post Modification Inspection	Natcomm Consulting Engineers, Inc.	3974228	CCISites
Tower Reinforcement Drawings	Tower Engineering Professionals	5650111	CCISites
Post Modification Inspection	Sinnott Gering and Schmitt Towers, Inc.	5852136	CCISites

## 3.1) Analysis Method

tnxTower (version 7.0.5.1), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various loading cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

RISA-3D (version 13.0.0), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower foundation. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix C.

# 3.2) Assumptions

- 1) The tower and foundation were built in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- 2) The tower and foundation have been maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specification.
- 3) The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2, and "Appendix B Base Level Drawing".
- 4) When applicable, transmission cables are considered as structural components for calculating wind loads as allowed by the standard.
- 5) All tower components are in sufficient condition to carry their full design capacity.
- 6) Serviceability with respect to antenna twist, tilt, roll, or lateral translation, is not checked and is left to the carrier or tower owner to ensure conformance.
- 7) All antenna mounts and mounting hardware are structurally sufficient to carry the full design capacity requirements of appurtenance wind area and weight as provided by the original manufacturer specifications. It is the carrier's responsibility to ensure compliance to the structural limitations of the existing and/or proposed antenna mounts. TEP did not perform a site visit to verify the size, condition or capacity of the antenna mounts and did not analyze antennas supporting mounts as part of this structural analysis report.

This analysis may be affected if any assumptions are not valid or have been made in error. Tower Engineering Professionals should be notified to determine the effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

# 4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Section No.	Elevation (ft)	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P (lb)	SF*P <sub>allow</sub> (Ib)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
L1	119.083 - 101.083	Pole	TP26x21.61x0.25	1	-6351	1032887	22.5	Pass
L2	101.083 - 66.5	Pole	TP34.063x24.789x0.313	2	-14587	1691417	63.6	Pass
L3	66.5 - 32.8333	Pole	TP41.75x32.49x0.375	3	-21665	2488871	70.7	Pass
L4	32.8333 - 0	Pole	TP49.063x39.848x0.375	4	-31361	3012660	78.9	Pass
	Summary							
						Pole (L4)	78.9	Pass
						RATING =	78.9	Pass

#### Table 5 - Section Capacity (Summary)

## Table 6 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity

Notes	Component	Elevation (ft)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
1	Anchor Rods	-	76.9	Pass
1	Base Plate	-	65.8	Pass
1	Base Foundation Soil Interaction	-	71.2	Pass
1	Base Foundation Structural	-	57.1	Pass
1	Rock Anchors	-	88.2	Pass

Structure Rating (max from all components) =	88.2%
--	-------

Notes: 1)

See additional documentation in "Appendix C - Additional Calculations" for calculations supporting the % capacity listed.

# 4.1) Recommendations

- 1) If the load differs from that described in Tables 1 and 2 of this report, "Appendix B Base Level Drawing" or the provisions of this analysis are found to be invalid, another structural analysis should be performed.
- 2) The tower and its foundation have sufficient capacity to carry the existing, reserved, and proposed loads. No modifications are required at this time.

# APPENDIX A

# **TNXTOWER OUTPUT**



#### DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
2.4-in x 6-ft Mount Pipe	123	RRUS 32	110
ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A B4P w/ Mount	120	RRUS 32	110
Pipe		RRUS 32	110
ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A B4P w/ Mount	120	2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	110
FILE	100	2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	110
Pipe	120	2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	110
I NX-6515DS-VTM w/ Mount Pipe	120	Miscellaneous [NA 507-1]	110
I NX-6515DS-VTM w/ Mount Pipe	120	Platform Mount [LP 712-1]	110
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/ Mount Pipe	120	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	110
EBICSSON AIR 21 B44 B2P w/ Mount	120	LNX-6514DS-T4M w/ Mount Pipe	100
Pipe	120	BXA-70063-6CF-EDIN-0 w/ Mount Pipe	100
ERICSSON AIR 21 B4A B2P w/ Mount	120	BXA-80063-4BF-EDIN-X w/ Mount Pipe	100
Pipe		BXA-80063-4BF-EDIN-X w/ Mount Pipe	100
ERICSSON AIR 21 B4A B2P w/ Mount	120	BXA-80063-4BF-EDIN-X w/ Mount Pipe	100
Pipe		(2) SBNHH-1D65B w/ Mount Pipe	100
APXV18-206517S-C w/ Mount Pipe	120	(2) SBNHH-1D65B w/ Mount Pipe	100
KRY 112 144/1	120	(2) SBNHH-1D65B w/ Mount Pipe	100
KRY 112 144/1	120	DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z	100
KRY 112 144/1	120	RRH2X60-PCS	100
RRUS 11 B12	120	RRH2X60-PCS	100
RRUS 11 B12	120	RRH2X60-PCS	100
RRUS 11 B12	120	RRH2x60-700	100
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	120	RRH2x60-700	100
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	120	RRH2x60-700	100
Platform Mount [LP 403-1]	120	RRH2x60-AWS	100
Side Arm Mount [SO 102-3]	115	RRH2x60-AWS	100
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	115	RRH2x60-AWS	100
VHLP2-18	115	DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z	100
7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	110	Platform Mount [LP 403-1]	100
7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	110	LNX-6514DS-T4M w/ Mount Pipe	100
SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount Pipe	110	2'x3' Ice Shield	97
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe	110	2'x3' Ice Shield	95
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe	110	742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	90
TPA-65R-LCUUUU-H8 w/ Mount Pipe	110	742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	90
QS66512-3 w/ Mount Pipe	110	742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	90
QS66512-3 w/ Mount Pipe	110	LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	80
(2) RRUS 11	110	LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	80
(2) RRUS 11	110	WIMAX DAP HEAD	80
(2) RRUS 11	110	WIMAX DAP HEAD	80
(2) LGP21901	110	WIMAX DAP HEAD	80
(2) LGP21901	110	CW JUNCTION BOX	80
(2) LGP21901	110	2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	80
(2) DC6-48-60-18-8F	110	2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	80
(2) TPX-070821	110	2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	80
(2) TPX-070821	110	Side Arm Mount [SO 101-3]	80
(2) TPX-070821	110	LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	80
		VHLP2-23	80

#### MATERIAL STRENGTH

	GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A	572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

## **TOWER DESIGN NOTES**

- Tower is located in Hartford County, Connecticut.
   Tower designed for a 80 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
- 3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 1.00 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
- 4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
- 5. TOWER RATING: 78.9%

AXIAL 55251 lb

TORQUE 1711 lb-ft

AXIAL 31376 lb

TORQUE 5633 lb-ft

MOMENT

734656 lb-ft

MOMENT

2348269 lb-ft

4	Tower Engineering Professionals	<sup>Job:</sup> West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)
4	326 Tryon Road	Project: TEP No. 25680.44546
	Raleigh, NC 27603	Client: Crown Castle Drawn by: Chris D. Crook, E.I. App'd:
Tower Engineering Professionals	Phone: (919) 661-6351	Code: TIA/EIA-222-F Date: 03/03/16 Scale: NTS
	FAX: (919) 661-6350	Path: C:\Users\cdcrook\Desktop\TNX Tower Run\25680\829013 LC7.eri Dwg No. E-1

# **Tower Input Data**

There is a pole section.

This tower is designed using the TIA/EIA-222-F standard. The following design criteria apply:

Tower is located in Hartford County, Connecticut.

Job

Basic wind speed of 80 mph.

Nominal ice thickness of 1.000 in.

Ice thickness is considered to increase with height.

Ice density of 56 pcf.

A wind speed of 38 mph is used in combination with ice.

Temperature drop of 50 °F.

Deflections calculated using a wind speed of 50 mph.

A non-linear (P-delta) analysis was used.

Pressures are calculated at each section.

Stress ratio used in pole design is 1.333.

Local bending stresses due to climbing loads, feed line supports, and appurtenance mounts are not considered.

# **Tapered Pole Section Geometry**

Section	Elevation	Section	Splice	Number	Тор	Bottom	Wall	Bend	Pole Grade
		Length	Length	of	Diameter	Diameter	Thickness	Radius	
	ft	ft	ft	Sides	in	in	in	in	
L1	119.083-101.08	18.000	2.917	18	21.610	26.000	0.250	1.000	A572-65
	3								(65 ksi)
L2	101.083-66.500	37.500	3.833	18	24.789	34.063	0.313	1.250	A572-65
									(65 ksi)
L3	66.500-32.833	37.500	4.667	18	32.490	41.750	0.375	1.500	A572-65
									(65 ksi)
L4	32.833-0.000	37.500		18	39.848	49.063	0.375	1.500	A572-65
									(65 ksi)

Job		Page
	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	2 of 17
Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

				Та	pered P	ole Pr	opertie	S			
Section	Tip Dia.	Area	I	r	С	<i>I/C</i>	J	It/Q	w	w/t	_
	in	in <sup>2</sup>	in	in	in	in	in	in	in		
L1	21.943	16.949	976.986	7.583	10.978	88.996	1955.257	8.476	3.36	3 13.453	3
	26.401	20.433	1711.654	9.141	13.208	129.592	3425.561	10.218	4.13	6 16.544	
L2	25.903	24.277	1837.486	8.689	12.593	145.917	3677.390	12.141	3.81	3 12.201	
	34.588	33.476	4817.433	11.981	17.304	278.404	9641.206	16.741	5.44	5 17.424	Ļ
L3	33.952	38.224	4980.574	11.401	16.505	301.768	9967.702	19.116	5.05	8 13.488	3
	42.394	49.247	10650.982	2 14.688	21.209	502.192	21315.979	24.628	6.68	8 17.835	5
L4	41.627	46.982	9248.308	14.013	20.243	456.874	18508.785	23.496	6.35	3 16.942	2
	49.819	57.950	17355.138	3 17.284	24.924	696.329	34733.112	28.981	7.97	5 21.267	7
Tower Elevation	Gus a Are (per f	set ea T iace)	Gusset C hickness	Gusset Grade	Adjust. Factor $A_f$	Adjust. Factor A <sub>r</sub>	Weight M	ult. Double Stitch Spac	Angle Bolt cing	Double Angle Stitch Bolt Spacing	Double Angle Stitch Bolt Spacing
G	c <sup>2</sup>	2	•					Diago	onals	Horizontals	Redundants
	ji		in		1	1	1	Li II	1	in	in
119.083-101	1.0				1	1	1				
83											
L2					1	1	1				
101.083-66.	50				-	-	-				
0											
L3					1	1	1				
66.500-32.8	33										
L4					1	1	1				
32.833-0.00	00										

# Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Round Or Flat

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Total Number	Number Per Pow	Clear	Width or	Perimeter	Weight
	Leg	Snieia	Туре	ft	number	Per KOW	spacing in	in	in	plf
***										

# Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Area

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Total		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Shield	Type		Number			
	Leg		••	ft			ft²/ft	plf
Safety Line 3/8	А	No	CaAa (Out Of	119.000 - 0.000	1	No Ice	0.037	0.220
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.137	0.750
						1" Ice	0.238	1.280
						2" Ice	0.437	2.340
						4" Ice	0.838	4.460
Rung 5/8" SR (12.5"w,	А	No	CaAa (Out Of	119.000 - 0.000	1	No Ice	0.049	0.816
16"s)			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.149	1.420
,			,			1" Ice	0.249	2.634
						2" Ice	0.449	6.895
						4" Ice	0.849	22,749
**120**								
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	А	No	Inside Pole	119.083 - 0.000	10	No Ice	0.000	0.820

ter Teru er	Job		Page	
<i>inx1ower</i>		West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)		
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16	
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.	

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Total		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or Lea	Shield	Type	ft	Number		ft <sup>2</sup> /ft	nlf
	Leg			Ji		1/2" Ice	0.000	0.820
						172 Ice	0.000	0.820
						2" Ice	0.000	0.820
						4" Ice	0.000	0.820
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	А	No	CaAa (Out Of	119.083 - 0.000	1	No Ice	0.198	0.820
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.298	2.335
			,			1" Ice	0.398	4.461
						2" Ice	0.598	10.545
						4'' Ice	0.998	30.044
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	Α	No	CaAa (Out Of	119.083 - 0.000	2	No Ice	0.000	0.820
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.000	2.335
						1" Ice	0.000	4.461
						2" Ice	0.000	10.545
						4" Ice	0.000	30.044
**110**								
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	С	No	Inside Pole	110.000 - 0.000	12	No Ice	0.000	0.820
						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.820
						1" Ice	0.000	0.820
						2" Ice	0.000	0.820
	~				_	4" Ice	0.000	0.820
WR-VG102ST-BRDA(	С	No	Inside Pole	110.000 - 0.000	2	No Ice	0.000	0.201
7/16")						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.201
						1" Ice	0.000	0.201
						2" Ice	0.000	0.201
	G			110.000 0.000	2	4" Ice	0.000	0.201
WR-VG122ST-BRDA(	С	No	Inside Pole	110.000 - 0.000	2	No Ice	0.000	0.200
3/8)						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.200
						1" Ice	0.000	0.200
						2 Ice	0.000	0.200
WP VC86ST BPD(3/4")	C	No	Incide Dole	110,000 0,000	2	4 ICe	0.000	0.200
WK-V00051-DKD(5/4)	C	INO	listue Fole	110.000 - 0.000	2	1/2" Ice	0.000	0.584
						1/2 ICC	0.000	0.584
						2" Ice	0.000	0.584
						4" Ice	0.000	0.584
3" Flexible Conduit	С	No	Inside Pole	110 000 - 0 000	1	No Ice	0.000	1.040
	e	110	inside i ore	1101000 01000	-	1/2" Ice	0.000	1.040
						1" Ice	0.000	1.040
						2" Ice	0.000	1.040
						4" Ice	0.000	1.040
**100**								
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	В	No	Inside Pole	100.000 - 0.000	11	No Ice	0.000	0.820
						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.820
						1" Ice	0.000	0.820
						2" Ice	0.000	0.820
						4" Ice	0.000	0.820
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	В	No	CaAa (Out Of	80.000 - 0.000	3	No Ice	0.000	0.820
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.000	2.335
						1" Ice	0.000	4.461
						2" Ice	0.000	10.545
						4" Ice	0.000	30.044
LDF7-50A(1-5/8")	В	No	CaAa (Out Of	100.000 - 80.000	2	No Ice	0.000	0.820
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.000	2.335
						1" Ice	0.000	4.461
						2" Ice	0.000	10.545
LDE7 504/1 5/00	Ð	N.T.	0-1-0-00	100.000 00.000	1	4" Ice	0.000	30.044
LDF/-50A(1-5/8")	В	INO	CaAa (Out Of	100.000 - 80.000	1	No Ice	0.198	0.820
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.298	2.555
						1 Ice	0.398	4.401
						∠ 1ce	0.398	10.545
						4 ice	0.998	30.044

#### Page Job *tnxTower* 4 of 17 West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013) Project Date Tower Engineering TEP No. 25680.44546 08:00:26 03/03/16 Professionals 326 Tryon Road Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350 Client Designed by Crown Castle Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face or	Allow Shield	Component Type	Placement	Total Number		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	Leg		~1	ft			ft²/ft	plf
**90**	~							
LDF/-50A(1-5/8")	С	No	CaAa (Out Of	90.000 - 0.000	6	No Ice	0.000	0.820
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.000	2.335
						1" Ice	0.000	4.461
						2" Ice	0.000	10.545
1.1.0.0.1.1						4" Ice	0.000	30.044
**80**		N.	Leside Dela	80.000 0.000	2	N. I.	0.000	0.045
FSJ1-50A(1/4)	А	INO	Inside Pole	80.000 - 0.000	3	No Ice	0.000	0.045
						1/2 Ice	0.000	0.045
						1 Ice	0.000	0.045
						2" Ice	0.000	0.045
						4" Ice	0.000	0.045
HJ4.5-50(5/8")	А	No	Inside Pole	80.000 - 0.000	3	No Ice	0.000	0.400
						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.400
						1" Ice	0.000	0.400
						2" Ice	0.000	0.400
						4" Ice	0.000	0.400
9207(5/16")	А	No	Inside Pole	80.000 - 0.000	1	No Ice	0.000	0.600
						1/2" Ice	0.000	0.600
						1" Ice	0.000	0.600
						2" Ice	0.000	0.600
						4" Ice	0.000	0.600
2" Flexible Conduit	А	No	CaAa (Out Of	80,000 - 0,000	1	No Ice	0.000	0.340
2 110/10/0 00/10/10		110	Face)	001000 01000		1/2" Ice	0.000	1.867
			)			1" Ice	0.000	4 005
						2" Ice	0.000	10 114
						4" Ice	0.000	29.662
2" Elevible Conduit	Δ	No	CaAa (Out Of	80,000 - 0,000	1	No Ice	0.200	0.340
2 Thexible Conduit	11	110	Eace)	00.000 0.000	1	1/2" Ice	0.200	1 867
			Tacc)			172 ICC	0.300	4.005
						2" Ice	0.400	4.005
						2 ICC 4" Loo	1.000	20,662
ECI4 50D(1/21)		N	Caller (Orat Of	80.000 0.000	4	4 ICe	1.000	29.002
FSJ4-50B(1/2)	А	INO	CaAa (Out Or	80.000 - 0.000	4	No Ice	0.000	0.140
			Face)			1/2 Ice	0.000	0.763
						1" Ice	0.000	1.997
						2 <sup>°</sup> Ice	0.000	6.298
***						4" Ice	0.000	22.229
FSI4 50B(1/2")	۸	No	CaAa (Out Of	100.000 80.000	1	No Ice	0.000	0.140
F3J4-30B(1/2)	A	INO	CaAa (Out OI	100.000 - 80.000	1	1/2" Lee	0.000	0.140
			race)			1/2 100	0.000	0.705
						1 Ice	0.000	1.997
						2 ice	0.000	0.298
				115,000 100,000		4" Ice	0.000	22.229
FSJ4-50B(1/2")	А	No	CaAa (Out Of	115.000 - 100.000	1	No Ice	0.052	0.140
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.152	0.763
						1" Ice	0.252	1.997
						2" Ice	0.452	6.298
						4" Ice	0.852	22.229
***								

# Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances Section Areas

Tower Section	Tower Elevation	Face	$A_R$	$A_F$	C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> In Face	$C_A A_A$ Out Face	Weight
	ft		$ft^2$	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	lb
L1	119.083-101.083	А	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.834	212
		В	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0
		С	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	115

<i>tnxTower</i>	Job	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	Page 5 of 17
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Tower	Tower	Face	$A_R$	$A_F$	$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
Section	Elevation				In Face	Out Face	
	ft		$ft^2$	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	lb
L2	101.083-66.500	А	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.588	450
		В	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.960	385
		С	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	560
L3	66.500-32.833	А	0.000	0.000	0.000	16.305	501
		В	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	386
		С	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	598
L4	32.833-0.000	А	0.000	0.000	0.000	15.901	488
		В	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	377
		С	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	583

# Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances Section Areas - With Ice

Tower	Tower	Face	Ice	$A_R$	$A_F$	$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
Section	Elevation	or	Thickness			In Face	Out Face	
	ft	Leg	in	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	$ft^2$	lb
L1	119.083-101.083	А	1.155	0.000	0.000	0.000	21.487	561
		В		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0
		С		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	115
L2	101.083-66.500	А	1.117	0.000	0.000	0.000	39.928	1368
		В		0.000	0.000	0.000	8.581	845
		С		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1206
L3	66.500-32.833	А	1.050	0.000	0.000	0.000	46.400	1672
		В		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	826
		С		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1478
L4	32.833-0.000	А	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	43.475	1513
		В		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	765
		С		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1360

# Feed Line Center of Pressure

Section	Elevation	$CP_X$	$CP_Z$	$CP_X$	$CP_Z$
				Ice	Ice
	ft	in	in	in	in
L1	119.083-101.083	0.000	-0.419	0.000	-1.058
L2	101.083-66.500	0.118	-0.398	0.186	-0.959
L3	66.500-32.833	0.000	-0.629	0.000	-1.379
L4	32.833-0.000	0.000	-0.643	0.000	-1.419

Discrete Tower Loads										
Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> Front	C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> Side	Weight	
			Vert ft ft ft	o	ft		$ft^2$	ft <sup>2</sup>	lb	
2.4-in x 6-ft Mount Pipe	С	None	<u> </u>	0.000	123.000	No Ice 1/2" Ice	1.440 1.933	1.440 1.933	22 33	

A	Job		Page
<i>tnx1ower</i>		West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	6 of 17
Tower Engineering Professionals 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> Side	Weight
			Vert ft ft	0	ft		$ft^2$	$ft^2$	lb
			Л			1" Ice	2 302	2 302	18
						2" Ice	3.068	3.068	48 91
						4" Ice	4.711	4.711	232
**120**									
ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A	А	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	6.825	5.642	112
B4P w/ Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-7.000			1/2" Ice	7.347	6.480	169
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	7.863	7.257	233
						2" Ice	8.926	8.864	383
	_	_				4" Ice	11.175	12.293	807
ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A	В	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	6.825	5.642	112
B4P w/ Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-7.000			1/2" Ice	7.347	6.480	169
		ce	0.000			2" Loo	7.805	9.257	200
						Z ICC	0.920	12 203	383 807
ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A	С	From	4 000	30,000	120,000	No Ice	6.825	5 642	112
B4P w/ Mount Pipe	e	Centroid-Fa	-7.000	50.000	120.000	1/2" Ice	7.347	6.480	169
Dir in mount ripe		ce	0.000			1" Ice	7.863	7.257	233
						2" Ice	8.926	8.864	383
						4" Ice	11.175	12.293	807
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/	А	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	11.683	9.842	83
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	7.000			1/2" Ice	12.404	11.366	173
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	13.135	12.914	273
						2" Ice	14.601	15.267	506
		-	1 0 0 0	20.000	100.000	4" Ice	17.875	20.139	1151
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/	В	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	11.683	9.842	83
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-3.750			1/2" Ice	12.404	11.366	173
		ce	0.000			1 ICe 2" Ice	13.133	12.914	273 506
						A" Ice	17 875	20 139	1151
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/	С	From	4 000	30,000	120.000	No Ice	11.683	9.842	83
Mount Pipe	e	Centroid-Fa	-3.750	201000	1201000	1/2" Ice	12.404	11.366	173
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	13.135	12.914	273
						2" Ice	14.601	15.267	506
						4" Ice	17.875	20.139	1151
ERICSSON AIR 21 B4A	А	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	6.825	5.642	112
B2P w/ Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	3.750			1/2" Ice	7.347	6.480	169
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	7.863	7.257	233
						2" Ice	8.926	8.864	383
EDICCCON AD 21 D4A	р	<b>F</b>	4 000	20.000	120,000	4" Ice	11.1/5	12.293	807
B2D w/ Mount Pipe	D	Centroid Fa	4.000	50.000	120.000	1/2" Ice	0.823	5.042	112
B21 w/ wrount Tipe		Centrolu-ra	0.000			172 ICC	7.863	7 257	233
			0.000			2" Ice	8 926	8 864	383
						4" Ice	11.175	12.293	807
ERICSSON AIR 21 B4A	С	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	6.825	5.642	112
B2P w/ Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	7.000			1/2" Ice	7.347	6.480	169
*		ce	0.000			1" Ice	7.863	7.257	233
						2" Ice	8.926	8.864	383
						4" Ice	11.175	12.293	807
APXV18-206517S-C w/	В	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	5.404	4.700	52
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	7.000			1/2" Ice	5.960	5.860	97
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	6.481	6./34	150
						2 ICe	/.54/	8.313 12.277	280
KRY 112 144/1	Δ	From	4 000	30,000	120.000	No Ice	0.219	0 1 8 0	11
IXIX I 112 17/1	л	Centroid-Fa	-7.000	50.000	120.000	1/2" Ice	0.500	0.256	14
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	0.597	0.332	18

tax Towar		Page
ins I ower	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	7 of 17
Tower Engineering Professionals 326 Tryon Road	oject TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603         Cli           Phone: (919) 661-6351         FAX: (919) 661-6350	crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face or	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	$C_A A_A$ Side	Weight
	Leg	<i>JF</i> <sup>1</sup>	Lateral						
			Vert	0	c		c2	c?	11
			ft ft	0	ft		ft²	ft	lb
			ft						
			<u>J</u> ·			2" Ice	0.818	0.510	32
						4" Ice	1.363	0.970	81
KRY 112 144/1	В	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	0.411	0.189	11
		Centroid-Fa	-7.000			1/2" Ice	0.500	0.256	14
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	0.597	0.332	18
						2" Ice	0.818	0.510	32
VDV 112 144/1	C	Enom	4 000	20.000	120,000	4" Ice	1.363	0.970	81
KK I 112 144/1	C	Centroid Fa	4.000	50.000	120.000	1/2" Lee	0.411	0.189	11
		Centrolu-ra	-7.000			1/2 ICC	0.500	0.230	14
		cc	0.000			2" Ice	0.818	0.532	32
						4" Ice	1.363	0.970	81
RRUS 11 B12	А	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	3.306	1.361	51
		Centroid-Fa	7.000			1/2" Ice	3.550	1.540	72
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.802	1.728	95
						2" Ice	4.334	2.130	153
						4" Ice	5.501	3.038	314
RRUS 11 B12	В	From	4.000	30.000	120.000	No Ice	3.306	1.361	51
		Centroid-Fa	-3.750			1/2" Ice	3.550	1.540	72
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.802	1.728	95 152
						2 Ice 4" Ice	4.554	2.130	155
RRUS 11 B12	C	From	4 000	30,000	120.000	A ICC	3 306	1 361	514
KK05 11 D12	C	Centroid-Fa	-3 750	50.000	120.000	1/2" Ice	3 550	1.501	72
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.802	1.728	95
						2" Ice	4.334	2.130	153
						4" Ice	5.501	3.038	314
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	А	From	4.000	0.000	120.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
		Centroid-Fa	0.000			1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
2.4" Die er (! Merent Die e	C	<b>E</b>	4 000	0.000	120,000	4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
2.4 Dia. x 6 Mount Pipe	C	From Controid Eq	4.000	0.000	120.000	1/2" Lee	1.425	1.425	22
		centrolu-ra	0.000			1/2 ICC 1" Ice	2 316	2 316	30 56
		cc	0.000			2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
						4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
Platform Mount [LP 403-1]	С	None		0.000	120.000	No Ice	18.850	18.850	1500
						1/2" Ice	24.300	24.300	1797
						1" Ice	29.750	29.750	2093
						2" Ice	40.650	40.650	2686
						4" Ice	62.450	62.450	3872
**115**	C	NT		0.000	115 000	NT T	2.000	2 000	0.1
Side Arm Mount [SO 102-3]	C	None		0.000	115.000	NO ICE	3.000	3.000	81
						1/2 ICe	3.460	3.460	141
						2" Ice	4 920	4 920	201
						4" Ice	6.840	6.840	321
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	С	From Leg	0.500	0.000	115.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
Ĩ		U	0.000			1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
			0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
						4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
**110**		F	1.000	20.000	110.000		6.1.10	4.07.1	~ -
7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	А	From Contract I E	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	6.119	4.254	55
		Centrold-Fa	-0.000			1/2 ICe	0.020	5 711	105
		~~~	0.000			1 100	1.140	J.1 I I	1.01

tress Tosse or	Job	Page	
<i>tnx1ower</i>		8 of 17	
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert ft	Azimuth Adjustment °	Placement ft		$C_A A_A$ Front $ft^2$	$C_A A_A$ Side $ft^2$	Weight lb
			ft ft		-		•	·	
						2" Ice	8.164	7.155	287
	P		1.000	20.000	110.000	4" Ice	10.360	10.412	665
///0.00 w/ Mount Pipe	В	From Control I Fo	4.000	20.000	110.000	No Ice	6.119	4.254	55 102
		Сепигона-га	-0.000			1/2 ICe	0.020	5 711	105
		CC	0.000			2" Ice	8 164	7 155	287
						4" Ice	10.360	10.412	665
7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	С	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	6.119	4.254	55
Ī		Centroid-Fa	-6.000			1/2" Ice	6.626	5.014	103
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	7.128	5.711	157
						2" Ice	8.164	7.155	287
						4" Ice	10.360	10.412	665
SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount	В	From	4.000	20.000	110.000	No Ice	11.695	9.854	99
Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-2.000			1/2" Ice	12.421	11.383	189
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	13.157	12.936	289
						2" Ice	14.630	15.305	523
AM V CD 16 65 00T DET		Enom	4 000	20.000	110,000	4" Ice	1/.91/	20.189	1169
AM-A-CD-10-05-001-KE1	A	From Controid Eq	4.000	30.000	110.000	1/2" Loo	8.498	0.304	/4
w/ Would Fipe		Centrolu-ra	-2.000			1/2 ICC 1" Ice	9.149	8 368	212
		cc	0.000			2" Ice	11.031	10,179	385
						4" Ice	13.679	14.024	874
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET	С	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	8.498	6.304	74
w/ Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-2.000			1/2" Ice	9.149	7.479	139
L.		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.767	8.368	212
						2" Ice	11.031	10.179	385
						4" Ice	13.679	14.024	874
TPA-65R-LCUUUU-H8 w/	В	From	4.000	20.000	110.000	No Ice	13.678	10.960	114
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	6.000			1/2" Ice	14.501	12.486	218
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	15.334	14.037	331
						2" Ice	16.941	16.391	593
OS66512.3 w/ Mount Pine	۸	From	4 000	30,000	110,000	4 ICe	20.270	21.279 8.463	1290
Q300312-3 w/ Would Tipe	А	Centroid-Fa	6.000	50.000	110.000	1/2" Ice	9 290	9.657	206
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.910	10.620	290
						2" Ice	11.176	12.610	486
						4" Ice	13.829	16.806	1023
QS66512-3 w/ Mount Pipe	С	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	8.637	8.463	131
		Centroid-Fa	6.000			1/2" Ice	9.290	9.657	206
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.910	10.620	290
						2" Ice	11.176	12.610	486
		F	1.000	20.000	110.000	4" Ice	13.829	16.806	1023
(2) RRUS 11	А	From Control I Fo	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	3.256	1.379	51
		Centrold-Fa	-4.000			1/2 Ice	3.498	1.558	12
		CC.	0.000			2" Ice	1 277	2 146	153
						2 Ice	5 4 3 5	3 0 5 0	314
(2) RRUS 11	В	From	4.000	20.000	110.000	No Ice	3.256	1.379	51
		Centroid-Fa	-4.000			1/2" Ice	3.498	1.558	72
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.749	1.745	95
						2" Ice	4.277	2.146	153
						4" Ice	5.435	3.050	314
(2) RRUS 11	С	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	3.256	1.379	51
		Centroid-Fa	-4.000			1/2" Ice	3.498	1.558	72
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.749	1.745	95 152
						∠ Ice 4" Ice	4.277	2.140	133
						+ 100	J.+JJ	5.050	514

	/
Tower Engineering Professionals 326 Tryon RoadProjectDate 08:00:26 03	3/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603         Client         Designed by           Phone: (919) 661-6351         Crown Castle         Chris D. Crown Castle	ook, E.I.

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> Side	Weight
			ft ft ft	0	ft		ft <sup>2</sup>	ft <sup>2</sup>	lb
(2) LGP21901	А	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	0.270	0.184	6
		Centroid-Fa	-2.000			1/2" Ice	0.343	0.248	8
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	0.425	0.322	11
						2" Ice	0.616	0.494	22
(2) I GP21001	B	From	4 000	20.000	110.000	4 Ice	0.270	0.943	6
(2) LOI 21901	Б	Centroid-Fa	-2 000	20.000	110.000	1/2" Ice	0.270	0.184	8
		centrolu i a	0.000			1" Ice	0.425	0.322	11
						2" Ice	0.616	0.494	22
						4" Ice	1.101	0.943	66
(2) LGP21901	С	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	0.270	0.184	6
		Centroid-Fa	-2.000			1/2" Ice	0.343	0.248	8
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	0.425	0.322	11
						2" Ice	0.616	0.494	22
(2) DC( 49 (0.19.9E	р	<b>F</b>	4.000	20.000	110,000	4" Ice	1.101	0.943	66
(2) DC0-48-00-18-8F	В	From Centroid Fa	4.000	20.000	110.000	1/2" Ice	1.407	1.407	19
		Centrolu-Fa	0.000			1" Ice	1.007	1.878	57
			0.000			2" Ice	2.333	2.333	105
						4" Ice	3.378	3.378	239
(2) TPX-070821	А	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	0.547	0.116	8
		Centroid-Fa	6.000			1/2" Ice	0.652	0.172	11
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	0.765	0.236	16
						2" Ice	1.017	0.390	30
(a) TDV 070901	р	F	4.000	20.000	110.000	4" Ice	1.626	0.801	83
(2) 1PX-0/0821	В	From Controid Eq	4.000	20.000	110.000	No Ice 1/2" Lee	0.547	0.116	8 11
		Centrolu-Fa	0.000			1/2 ICC	0.032	0.172	16
		cc	0.000			2" Ice	1.017	0.390	30
						4" Ice	1.626	0.801	83
(2) TPX-070821	С	From	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	0.547	0.116	8
		Centroid-Fa	6.000			1/2" Ice	0.652	0.172	11
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	0.765	0.236	16
						2" Ice	1.017	0.390	30
		г	4.000	20.000	110,000	4" Ice	1.626	0.801	83
RRUS 32	А	From Control I Fo	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	3.333	1.983	55 77
		се	0.000			1/2 ICe	3.860	2.214	103
		cc	0.000			2" Ice	4 4 3 9	2.455	165
						4" Ice	5.684	4.072	336
RRUS 32	В	From	4.000	20.000	110.000	No Ice	3.333	1.983	55
		Centroid-Fa	6.000			1/2" Ice	3.597	2.214	77
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.869	2.453	103
						2" Ice	4.439	2.958	165
DDLIG 22	G		1.000	20.000	110.000	4" Ice	5.684	4.072	336
RRUS 32	C	From Controld Eq	4.000	30.000	110.000	No Ice	3.333	1.983	55 77
		Centrold-Fa	0.000			1/2 Ice	3.397	2.214	103
		ce	0.000			2" Ice	1 4 3 9	2.455	165
						4" Ice	5.684	4.072	336
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	А	From	4.000	0.000	110.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
I		Centroid-Fa	2.000			1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
		г	1.000	0.000	110.000	4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	В	From Controid E-	4.000	0.000	110.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
		сепиона-га	2.000			1/2 100	1.731	1.931	20

<i>tnxTower</i>	Job	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	Page 10 of 17
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> Side	Weight
	.0		Vert ft ft	0	ft		ft <sup>2</sup>	$ft^2$	lb
			ft						
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
						4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	С	From	4.000	0.000	110.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
		Centroid-Fa	2.000			1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2 Ice	5.058	5.149	252
Miscellaneous [NA 507-1]	С	None		0.000	110.000	4 ICC	4 800	4 800	232
Wiscenaleous [NA 507-1]	C	None		0.000	110.000	1/2" Ice	4.800 6.700	6 700	245
						1" Ice	8.600	8.600	343
						2" Ice	12.400	12.400	441
						4" Ice	20.000	20.000	637
Platform Mount [LP 712-1]	С	None		0.000	110.000	No Ice	24.530	24.530	1335
						1/2" Ice	29.940	29.940	1646
						1" Ice	35.350	35.350	1956
						2" Ice	46.170	46.170	2577
**100**						4" Ice	67.810	67.810	3820
LNX-6514DS-T4M w/	В	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	8.682	7.418	79
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	9.312	8.452	152
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.931	9.345	233
						2" Ice	11.198	11.181	420
						4" Ice	13.852	15.216	938
LNX-6514DS-T4M w/	С	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	8.682	7.418	79
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	9.312	8.452	152
		ce	0.000			I" Ice	9.931	9.345	233
						2" Ice	11.198	11.181	420
PVA 70062 6CE EDIN 0 w/	٨	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	4 Ice	13.852	15.210	938
Mount Pipe	А	Centroid-Fa	-3.000	0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	8 609	6 953	103
Would Tipe		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.216	7.819	171
			0.000			2" Ice	10.459	9.601	335
						4" Ice	13.066	13.366	804
BXA-80063-4BF-EDIN-X w/	А	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	5.089	3.472	30
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	7.000			1/2" Ice	5.515	4.045	70
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	5.953	4.640	116
						2" Ice	6.859	5.957	227
						4" Ice	8.816	8.886	554
BXA-80063-4BF-EDIN-X w/	В	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	5.089	3.472	30
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	7.000			1/2" Ice	5.515	4.045	70
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	5.953	4.640	110
						2 ICe 4" Ice	0.839	3.937 8 8 8 6	554
BXA-80063-/BE-EDIN-X w/	С	From	4 000	0.000	100.000	4 ICC No Ice	5.080	3 172	30
Mount Pipe	C	Centroid-Fa	7.000	0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	5 515	4 045	70
Would Tipe		ce	0.000			1" Ice	5 953	4 640	116
			0.000			2" Ice	6.859	5.957	227
						4" Ice	8.816	8.886	554
(2) SBNHH-1D65B w/	А	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	8.533	7.004	76
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	9.184	8.185	145
*		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.803	9.081	221
						2" Ice	11.067	10.904	401
						4" Ice	13.716	14.926	906
(2) SBNHH-1D65B w/	В	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	8.533	7.004	76
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	9.184	8.185	145
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.803	9.081	221

tnxTower	Job	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	Page 11 of 17
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	Placement		$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or Leg	Туре	Horz Lateral	Adjustment			Front	Side	
	Ŭ		Vert	0	C.		c.2	c2	11
			ft ft	Ū	ft		ft	ſĽ	lb
			ft						
						2" Ice	11.067	10.904	401
(2) SBNHH-1D65B $w/$	C	From	4 000	0.000	100.000	4 ICe No Ice	8 533	7 004	900
Mount Pipe	C	Centroid-Fa	-3.000	0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	9.184	8.185	145
income r ipe		ce	0.000			1" Ice	9.803	9.081	221
						2" Ice	11.067	10.904	401
						4" Ice	13.716	14.926	906
DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z	С	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	5.600	2.333	44
		Centroid-Fa	3.000			1/2" Ice	5.915	2.558	80
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	6.240	2.791	120
						2" Ice	0.914 8.365	3.284	213
RRH2X60-PCS	Δ	From	4 000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	2 567	2 011	4 <i>33</i> 55
10012/000105	11	Centroid-Fa	-7.000	0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	2.791	2.218	75
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.025	2.435	99
						2" Ice	3.517	2.894	155
						4" Ice	4.606	3.915	313
RRH2X60-PCS	В	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	2.567	2.011	55
		Centroid-Fa	-7.000			1/2" Ice	2.791	2.218	75
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.025	2.435	99
						2" Ice	3.517	2.894	155
RRH2X60-PCS	C	From	4 000	0.000	100.000	4 ICe No Ice	4.000	2 011	515
RR12A00-1 C5	C	Centroid-Fa	-7.000	0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	2.507	2.011	75
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	3.025	2.435	99
						2" Ice	3.517	2.894	155
						4" Ice	4.606	3.915	313
RRH2x60-700	А	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	3.957	1.816	60
		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	4.272	2.075	83
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	4.596	2.360	109
						2" Ice	5.271	2.957	1/3
RRH2x60-700	в	From	4 000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	3 957	4.235	504 60
1112200 700	Б	Centroid-Fa	-3.000	0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	4.272	2.075	83
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	4.596	2.360	109
						2" Ice	5.271	2.957	173
						4" Ice	6.722	4.253	354
RRH2x60-700	С	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	3.957	1.816	60
		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	4.272	2.075	83
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	4.596	2.360	109
						4" Ice	6 7 2 2	2.937	354
RRH2x60-AWS	А	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	3.957	1.816	60
		Centroid-Fa	3.000			1/2" Ice	4.272	2.075	83
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	4.596	2.360	109
						2" Ice	5.271	2.957	173
						4" Ice	6.722	4.253	354
RRH2x60-AWS	В	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	3.957	1.816	60
		Centroid-Fa	3.000			1/2" Ice	4.272	2.075	83
		ce	0.000			2" Ice	4.390	2.300	109
						4" Ice	6.722	4.253	354
RRH2x60-AWS	С	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	3.957	1.816	60
		Centroid-Fa	3.000			1/2" Ice	4.272	2.075	83
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	4.596	2.360	109
						2" Ice	5.271	2.957	173
						4" Ice	6.722	4.253	354

tnx lower West Hartfo	rd/l-84/X43 (BU 829013) 12 of 17
Tower Engineering Professionals 326 Tryon Road	No. 25680.44546 Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603         Client           Phone: (919) 661-6351         FAX: (919) 661-6350	Crown Castle Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	Placement		$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Type	Horz	Adjustment			Front	Side	
	Leg		Lateral Vert						
			ft	0	ft		ft <sup>2</sup>	ft <sup>2</sup>	lh
			ft		Ji		Ji	Ji	10
			ft						
DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z	А	From	4.000	0.000	100.000	No Ice	5.600	2.333	44
		Centroid-Fa	-3.000			1/2" Ice	5.915	2.558	80
		ce	0.000			1" Ice	6.240	2.791	120
						2" Ice	6.914	3.284	213
Platform Mount [LP 402 1]	C	Nona		0.000	100.000	4 Ice	8.303	4.3/3	455
Tationii Mount [Er 403-1]	C	None		0.000	100.000	1/2" Ice	24 300	24 300	1797
						1" Ice	29.750	29.750	2093
						2" Ice	40.650	40.650	2686
						4" Ice	62.450	62.450	3872
**90**									
742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	А	From Leg	0.500	30.000	90.000	No Ice	5.373	4.620	49
			0.000			1/2" Ice	5.950	6.000	94
			0.000			1" Ice	6.501	6.982	146
						2" Ice	7.611	8.852	277
742 212 w/ Mount Ding	D	From Log	0.500	0.000	00.000	4" Ice	9.933	12.794	683
742 213 W/ Mount Fipe	Б	FIOII Leg	0.000	0.000	90.000	1/2" Ice	5.950	4.020	49 0/
			0.000			1" Ice	6 501	6.982	146
			0.000			2" Ice	7.611	8.852	277
						4" Ice	9.933	12.794	683
742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	С	From Leg	0.500	-10.000	90.000	No Ice	5.373	4.620	49
*			0.000			1/2" Ice	5.950	6.000	94
			0.000			1" Ice	6.501	6.982	146
						2" Ice	7.611	8.852	277
	G	<b>F F</b>	0.500	10.000	05.000	4" Ice	9.933	12.794	683
2'x3' Ice Shield	С	From Leg	0.500	-10.000	95.000	No Ice	0.720	1.180	122
			0.000			1/2" Ice	0.990	1.610	132
			0.000			2" Ice	1.200	2.040	312
						2 Ice	2 880	4 620	552
2'x3' Ice Shield	С	From Leg	0.500	-10.000	97.000	No Ice	0.720	1.180	72
			0.000			1/2" Ice	0.990	1.610	132
			0.000			1" Ice	1.260	2.040	192
						2" Ice	1.800	2.900	312
						4" Ice	2.880	4.620	552
**80**			1 000	20.000			4.000		
LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	А	From Leg	1.000	30.000	80.000	No Ice	4.982	2.874	44
			-2.000			1/2" Ice	5.370	3.398	81
			1.000			2" Ice	5.780	5.957	125
						2 Ice 4" Ice	8 4 3 7	7 894	531
LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	В	From Leg	1.000	30.000	80.000	No Ice	4.982	2.874	44
I			-2.000			1/2" Ice	5.376	3.398	81
			1.000			1" Ice	5.780	3.937	123
						2" Ice	6.618	5.125	227
						4" Ice	8.437	7.894	531
LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	С	From Leg	1.000	30.000	80.000	No Ice	4.982	2.874	44
			-2.000			1/2" Ice	5.376	3.398	81
			1.000			1 ICC 2" Icc	5.780	5.957 5.125	123
						∠ 100 4" Ice	8 437	5.125 7 80/	531
<b>WIMAX DAP HEAD</b>	А	From Leg	1.000	30,000	80,000	No Ice	1.804	0.778	33
	- 1	1.10111 205	2.000	20.000		1/2" Ice	1.988	0.918	45
			1.000			1" Ice	2.180	1.067	58
						2" Ice	2.589	1.391	94
						4" Ice	3.512	2.143	201

<i>tnxTower</i>	Job	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	Page 13 of 17
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	$C_A A_A$ Side	Weight
			ft ft ft	0	ft		ft <sup>2</sup>	$ft^2$	lb
WIMAX DAP HEAD	В	From Leg	1.000	30.000	80.000	No Ice	1.804	0.778	33
			-2.000			1/2" Ice	1.988	0.918	45
			1.000			1" Ice	2.180	1.067	58
						2" Ice	2.589	1.391	94
	~					4" Ice	3.512	2.143	201
WIMAX DAP HEAD	С	From Leg	1.000	30.000	80.000	No Ice	1.804	0.778	33
			-2.000			1/2" Ice	1.988	0.918	45
			1.000			I" Ice	2.180	1.067	58
						2" Ice	2.589	1.391	94
CW HINCTION DOV		E	1.000	20.000	80.000	4" Ice	3.512	2.143	201
CW JUNCTION BOX	А	From Leg	1.000	30.000	80.000	INO ICE	1.400	0.700	10
			2.000			1/2 ICe	1.300	0.821	10
			3.000			1 ICC 2" Icc	2.001	1.226	23
						4" Ice	2.091	1.230	153
2 4" Dia x 6' Mount Pine	Δ	From Leg	1.000	0.000	80,000	No Ice	1 425	1.710	22
2.4 Dia. x o Mount Tipe	11	1 Ioni Leg	2.000	0.000	00.000	1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
			0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
			01000			2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
						4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	В	From Leg	1.000	0.000	80.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
Ĩ		e	2.000			1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
			0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
						4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
2.4" Dia. x 6' Mount Pipe	С	From Leg	1.000	0.000	80.000	No Ice	1.425	1.425	22
			2.000			1/2" Ice	1.931	1.931	38
			0.000			1" Ice	2.316	2.316	56
						2" Ice	3.149	3.149	100
						4" Ice	5.058	5.058	252
Side Arm Mount [SO 101-3]	С	None		0.000	80.000	No Ice	7.500	7.500	252
						1/2" Ice	8.900	8.900	333
						1" Ice	10.300	10.300	414
						2" Ice	13.100	13.100	576
***						4" Ice	18.700	18.700	900

Dishes											
Description	Face or Leg	Dish Type	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	3 dB Beam Width	Elevation	Outside Diameter		Aperture Area	Weight
				ft	0	0	ft	ft		$ft^2$	lb
**115**	C	D 1 1 1	г	1 000	0.000		115 000	2 000	NT T	2 1 4 0	21
VHLP2-18	C	w/Shroud (HP)	From Leg	0.000	0.000		115.000	2.000	1/2" Ice	3.140 3.410	31 49
				0.000					1" Ice	3.680	66
									2" Ice	4.210	101
									4" Ice	5.280	171

\*\*80\*\*

A	Job		Page
<i>tnx1ower</i>		14 of 17	
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Description	Face	Dish	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	3 dB	Elevation	Outside		Aperture	Weight
	or	Type	Type	Horz	Adjustment	Beam		Diameter		Area	
	Leg			Lateral		Width					
				Vert							
				ft	0	0	ft	ft		$ft^2$	lb
VHLP2-23	А	Paraboloid	From	1.000	-30.000		80.000	2.180	No Ice	3.730	30
		w/Shroud (HP)	Leg	2.000					1/2" Ice	4.020	50
				3.000					1" Ice	4.310	70
									2" Ice	4.900	110
									4" Ice	6.060	200
***											

# Load Combinations

Comb.	Description
No.	
1	Dead Only
2	Dead+Wind 0 deg - No Ice
3	Dead+Wind 30 deg - No Ice
4	Dead+Wind 60 deg - No Ice
5	Dead+Wind 90 deg - No Ice
6	Dead+Wind 120 deg - No Ice
7	Dead+Wind 150 deg - No Ice
8	Dead+Wind 180 deg - No Ice
9	Dead+Wind 210 deg - No Ice
10	Dead+Wind 240 deg - No Ice
11	Dead+Wind 270 deg - No Ice
12	Dead+Wind 300 deg - No Ice
13	Dead+Wind 330 deg - No Ice
14	Dead+Ice+Temp
15	Dead+Wind 0 deg+Ice+Temp
16	Dead+Wind 30 deg+Ice+Temp
17	Dead+Wind 60 deg+Ice+Temp
18	Dead+Wind 90 deg+Ice+Temp
19	Dead+Wind 120 deg+Ice+Temp
20	Dead+Wind 150 deg+Ice+Temp
21	Dead+Wind 180 deg+Ice+Temp
22	Dead+Wind 210 deg+Ice+Temp
23	Dead+Wind 240 deg+Ice+Temp
24	Dead+Wind 270 deg+Ice+Temp
25	Dead+Wind 300 deg+Ice+Temp
26	Dead+Wind 330 deg+Ice+Temp
27	Dead+Wind 0 deg - Service
28	Dead+Wind 30 deg - Service
29	Dead+Wind 60 deg - Service
30	Dead+Wind 90 deg - Service
31	Dead+Wind 120 deg - Service
32	Dead+Wind 150 deg - Service
33	Dead+Wind 180 deg - Service
34	Dead+Wind 210 deg - Service
35	Dead+Wind 240 deg - Service
36	Dead+Wind 270 deg - Service
37	Dead+Wind 300 deg - Service
38	Dead+Wind 330 deg - Service

# Maximum Tower Deflections - Service Wind
tnxTower	Job	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	Page 15 of 17
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Section	Elevation	Horz.	Gov.	Tilt	Twist
No.		Deflection	Load		
	ft	in	Comb.	0	0
L1	119.083 - 101.083	22.514	29	1.597	0.024
L2	104 - 66.5	17.539	29	1.534	0.014
L3	70.3333 - 32.8333	8.071	29	1.080	0.006
L4	37.5 - 0	2.314	29	0.567	0.002

# **Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind**

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of
		Load				Curvature
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	ft
123.000	2.4-in x 6-ft Mount Pipe	29	22.514	1.597	0.024	19624
120.000	ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A B4P w/	29	22.514	1.597	0.024	19624
	Mount Pipe					
115.000	VHLP2-18	29	21.150	1.586	0.021	19624
110.000	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	29	19.491	1.568	0.017	10802
100.000	LNX-6514DS-T4M w/ Mount Pipe	29	16.273	1.501	0.012	5949
97.000	2'x3' Ice Shield	29	15.343	1.471	0.011	5587
95.000	2'x3' Ice Shield	29	14.735	1.448	0.010	5371
90.000	742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	29	13.253	1.385	0.009	4896
83.000	VHLP2-23	29	11.283	1.283	0.007	4357
80.000	LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	29	10.479	1.237	0.007	4161

# Maximum Tower Deflections - Design Wind

Section	Elevation	Horz.	Gov.	Tilt	Twist
No.		Deflection	Load		
	ft	in	Comb.	0	0
L1	119.083 - 101.083	57.446	4	4.071	0.060
L2	104 - 66.5	44.774	4	3.916	0.035
L3	70.3333 - 32.8333	20.619	4	2.759	0.014
L4	37.5 - 0	5.915	4	1.450	0.006

# Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Design Wind

Elmation	A	Carr	Deflection	T:1	Tester	D = l' = = = f
Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	1111	IWIST	Raaius of
		Load				Curvature
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	ft
123.000	2.4-in x 6-ft Mount Pipe	4	57.446	4.071	0.060	7865
120.000	ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A B4P w/	4	57.446	4.071	0.060	7865
	Mount Pipe					
115.000	VHLP2-18	4	53.971	4.043	0.053	7865
110.000	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	4	49.747	3.999	0.045	4328
100.000	LNX-6514DS-T4M w/ Mount Pipe	4	41.545	3.832	0.031	2375
97.000	2'x3' Ice Shield	4	39.177	3.755	0.028	2226
95.000	2'x3' Ice Shield	4	37.625	3.698	0.026	2137
90.000	742 213 w/ Mount Pipe	4	33.845	3.537	0.022	1943
83.000	VHLP2-23	4	28.818	3.278	0.019	1723
80.000	LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe	4	26.766	3.159	0.018	1644

# tnxTower

**Tower Engineering Professionals** 326 Tryon Road Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350

Job		Page
	West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	16 of 17
Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

# **Compression Checks**

			Pol	e Des	ign D	ata				
Section No.	Elevation	Size	L	L <sub>u</sub>	Kl/r	F <sub>a</sub>	A	Actual P	Allow. P <sub>a</sub>	Ratio P
	ft		ft	ft		ksi	in <sup>2</sup>	lb	lb	$P_a$
L1	119.083 - 101.083 (1)	TP26x21.61x0.25	18.000	0.000	0.0	39.000	19.868	-6351	774859	0.008
L2	101.083 - 66.5 (2)	TP34.063x24.789x0.313	37.500	0.000	0.0	39.000	32.535	-14587	1268880	0.011
L3	66.5 - 32.8333 (3)	TP41.75x32.49x0.375	37.500	0.000	0.0	39.000	47.875	-21665	1867120	0.012
L4	32.8333 - 0 (4)	TP49.063x39.848x0.375	37.500	0.000	0.0	39.000	57.950	-31361	2260060	0.014

# Pole Bending Design Data

Section No.	Elevation ft	Size	Actual M <sub>x</sub> lb-ft	Actual f <sub>bx</sub> ksi	Allow. F <sub>bx</sub> ksi	$\frac{Ratio}{f_{bx}}$ $\overline{F_{bx}}$	Actual M <sub>y</sub> lb-ft	Actual f <sub>by</sub> ksi	Allow. F <sub>by</sub> ksi	$\frac{Ratio}{f_{by}}$ $\overline{F_{by}}$
L1	119.083 - 101.083 (1)	TP26x21.61x0.25	115893	11.353	39.000	0.291	0	0.000	39.000	0.000
L2	101.083 - 66.5 (2)	TP34.063x24.789x0.313	714533	32.613	39.000	0.836	0	0.000	39.000	0.000
L3	66.5 - 32.8333 (3)	TP41.75x32.49x0.375	1434900	36.289	39.000	0.931	0	0.000	39.000	0.000
L4	32.8333 - 0 (4)	TP49.063x39.848x0.375	2348267	40.468	39.000	1.038	0	0.000	39.000	0.000

# Pole Shear Design Data

Section	Elevation	Size	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio
No.			V	$f_v$	$F_{\nu}$	$f_v$	Т	$f_{vt}$	$F_{vt}$	$f_{vt}$
	ft		lb	ksi	ksi	$F_{v}$	lb-ft	ksi	ksi	$F_{vt}$
L1	119.083 -	TP26x21.61x0.25	11227	0.565	26.000	0.043	706	0.034	26.000	0.001
	101.083 (1)									
L2	101.083 - 66.5	TP34.063x24.789x0.313	20719	0.637	26.000	0.049	526	0.012	26.000	0.000
	(2)									
L3	66.5 - 32.8333	TP41.75x32.49x0.375	23113	0.483	26.000	0.037	635	0.008	26.000	0.000
L4	32.8333 - 0 (4)	TP49.063x39.848x0.375	25596	0.442	26.000	0.034	774	0.007	26.000	0.000

# Pole Interaction Design Data

torTower	Job	Page	
unx I Ower		West Hartford/I-84/X43 (BU 829013)	17 of 17
<b>Tower Engineering</b> <b>Professionals</b> 326 Tryon Road	Project	TEP No. 25680.44546	Date 08:00:26 03/03/16
Raleigh, NC 27603 Phone: (919) 661-6351 FAX: (919) 661-6350	Client	Crown Castle	Designed by Chris D. Crook, E.I.

Section	Elevation	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Comb.	Allow.	Criteria
140.	ft	$P_a$	$\frac{Jbx}{F_{bx}}$	$\frac{J_{by}}{F_{by}}$	$\frac{J_v}{F_v}$	$\frac{J_{vt}}{F_{vt}}$	Ratio	Ratio	
L1	119.083 - 101.083 (1)	0.008	0.291	0.000	0.043	0.001	0.300	1.333	H1-3+VT
L2	101.083 - 66.5	0.011	0.836	0.000	0.049	0.000	0.848	1.333	H1-3+VT
L3	66.5 - 32.8333	0.012	0.931	0.000	0.037	0.000	0.942	1.333	H1-3+VT
L4	32.8333 - 0 (4)	0.014	1.038	0.000	0.034	0.000	1.052	1.333	H1-3+VT

# Section Capacity Table

Section	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P lb	$SF^*P_{allow}$ lb	% Capacity	Pass Fail
L1	119.083 - 101.083	Pole	TP26x21.61x0.25	1	-6351	1032887	22.5	Pass
L2	101.083 - 66.5	Pole	TP34.063x24.789x0.313	2	-14587	1691417	63.6	Pass
L3	66.5 - 32.8333	Pole	TP41.75x32.49x0.375	3	-21665	2488871	70.7	Pass
L4	32.8333 - 0	Pole	TP49.063x39.848x0.375	4	-31361	3012660	78.9	Pass
							Summary	
						Pole (L4)	78.9	Pass
						RATING =	78.9	Pass

Program Version 7.0.5.1 - 2/1/2016 File:C:/Users/cdcrook/Desktop/TNX Tower Run/25680/829013\_LC7.eri

# **APPENDIX B**

# **BASE LEVEL DRAWING**





# **APPENDIX C**

# ADDITIONAL CALCULATIONS

# Stiffened or Unstiffened, Ungrouted, Circular Base Plate - Any Rod Material TIA Rev F

Site Data				Reactions			
BU#:	829013			Moment:	2348.269	ft-kips	1
Site Name:	West Hartfo	ord/I-84/X43		Axial:	31.376	kips	
App #:	328829 Rei	v. 6		Shear:	25.577	kips	
Pole Ma	anufacturer:	Other				• •	-
						_	
And	chor Rod D	ata	If No stiffener	s, Criteria:	AISC ASD	<-Only Applcable to Unst	iffened Cases
Qty:	33						
Diam:	1.25	in					
Rod Material:	Other	]	Anchor Ro	od Results			
Strength (Fu):	150	ksi	Maximum I	Rod Tensior	ו:	62.3	3 Kips
Yield (Fy):	105	ksi	Allowable -	Tension:		81.0	) Kips
Bolt Circle:	54	in	Anchor Ro	d Stress Ra	tio:	76.9%	<b>Pass</b>
	Plate Data						_
Diam:	58	in	Base Plate	e Results		Flexural Check	
Thick:	1.5	in	Base Plate	Stress:		32.9	) ksi
Grade:	50	ksi	Allowable I	Plate Stress	:	50.0	) ksi
Single-Rod B-eff:	4.72	in	Base Plate	Stress Rati	0:	65.8%	6 Pass
	-	1					
Stiffener Da	t <b>a</b> (Welding	at both sides)					
Config:	1	*	Stiffener F	Results			
Weld Type:	Fillet		Horizontal	Weld :		62.1%	• Pass
Groove Depth:		< Disregard	Vertical We	eld:		41.4%	<b>Pass</b>
Groove Angle:		< Disregard	Plate Flex+S	Shear, fb/Fb+	(fv/Fv)^2:	15.3%	<b>Pass</b>
Fillet H. Weld:	0.5	in	Plate Tensio	on+Shear, ft/F	<sup>-</sup> t+(fv/Fv)^2:	60.2%	<b>Pass</b>
Fillet V. Weld:	0.25	in	Plate Com	p. (AISC Bra	acket):	60.9%	Bass
Width:	4	in					
Height:	12	in	Pole Resu	lts			
Thick:	0.75	in	Pole Punchi	ng Shear Ch	eck:	7.5%	<b>Pass</b>
Notch:	0.5	in					
Grade:	36	ksi					
Weld str.:	70	ksi					
[		1					
	Pole Data						
Diam:	49.0625	in	TOT				
I NICK:	0.375		0	0			

Grade:	65	ksi						
# of Sides:	18	"0" IF Round						
Fu	80	ksi						
Reinf. Fillet Weld	0	"0" if None						
Ctropp Increase Factor								

Stress	Increase F	actor
ASIF:	1.333	





\* 0 = none, 1 = every bolt, 2 = every 2 bolts, 3 = 2 per bolt

\*\* Note: for complete joint penetration groove welds the groove depth must be exactly 1/2 the stiffener thickness for calculation purposes

Stiffened Service, ASD Fty\*ASIF

Stiffened Service, ASD 0.75\*Fy\*ASIF Y.L. Length: N/A, Roark







Checked By: ZRH

### **Concrete Properties**

	Label	E [ksi]	G [ksi]	Nu	Therm (\1E.	Density[lb/f	f'c[ksi]	Lambda	Flex Steel[	Shear Stee
1	Conc3000NW	3156	1372	.15	.6	145	3	1	60	60
2	Conc3500NW	3409	1482	.15	.6	145	3.5	1	60	60
3	Conc4000NW	3644	1584	.15	.6	145	4	1	60	60
4	Conc3000LW	2085	907	.15	.6	109.999	3	.75	60	60
5	Conc3500LW	2252	979	.15	.6	109.999	3.5	.75	60	60
6	Conc4000LW	2408	1047	.15	.6	109.999	4	.75	60	60

## Member Primary Data

	Label	I Joint	J Joint	K Joint	Rotate(deg)	Section/Shape	Туре	Design List	Material	Design Rules
1	M1	N8	N12			1" WF Rock	Column	None	A722	Typical
2	M2	N7	N11			1" WF Rock	Column	None	A722	Typical
3	M3	N6	N10			1" WF Rock	Column	None	A722	Typical
4	M4	N5	N9			1" WF Rock	Column	None	A722	Typical
5	M5	TL1	N367			CRECT102X1	Column	Rectangular	Conc3000	Typical
6	M6	N367	TOWER			6' rigid offset	Column	None	RIGID	Typical

## Joint Loads and Enforced Displacements (BLC 1 : Dead)

	Joint Label	L,D,M	Direction	Magnitude[(k,k-ft), (in,rad), (k*s^2/f
1	TL1	L	Y	-31.376

## Joint Loads and Enforced Displacements (BLC 2 : Wind 0)

	Joint Label	L,D,M	Direction	Magnitude[(k,k-ft), (in,rad), (k*s^2/f
1	TL1	L	Х	25.577
2	TL1	L	Mz	-2348.269

## Joint Loads and Enforced Displacements (BLC 3 : Wind 90)

	Joint Label	L,D,M	Direction	Magnitude[(k,k-ft), (in,rad), (k*s^2/f
1	TL1	L	Z	25.577
2	TL1	L	Mx	2348.269

## **Basic Load Cases**

	<b>BLC</b> Description	Category	X Gravity	Y Gravity	Z Gravity	Joint	Point	Distributed	Area(Me	Surface(P
1	Dead	None		-1		1				324
2	Wind 0	None				2				
3	Wind 90	None				2				
4	Prestress	None						4		

## Load Combinations

	Description	Sol	PD	.SR	.BLC	Fact																		
1	D+W0	Yes	Υ		1	1	2	1	4	1														
2	D-W0	Yes	Υ		1	1	2	-1	4	1														
3	Prestress	Yes	Υ				4	1																
4	0.6D+W0	Yes	Υ		1	.6	2	1	4	1														
5	0.6D-W0	Yes	Y		1	.6	2	-1	4	1														

Checked By: ZRH

## Load Combinations (Continued)

	Description	Sol	.PD	.SR	BLC	Fact	.BLC	Fact	BLC	Fact	.BLC	Fact												
6	D+W90	Yes	Υ		1	1	3	1	4	1														
7	D-W90	Yes	Υ		1	1	3	-1	4	1														
8	0.6D+W90	Yes	Υ		1	.6	3	1	4	1														
9	0.6D-W90	Yes	Υ		1	.6	3	-1	4	1														
10	D+0.707(	Yes	Υ		1	1	2	.707	3	.707	4	1												
11	D+0.707(	Yes	Υ		1	1	2	.707	3	707	4	1												
12	D-0.707(	Yes	Υ		1	1	2	707	3	.707	4	1												
13	D+0.707(	Yes	Υ		1	1	2	707	3	707	4	1												
14	0.6D+0.70	Yes	Υ		1	.6	2	.707	3	.707	4	1												
15	0.6D+0.70	Yes	Υ		1	.6	2	.707	3	707	4	1												
16	0.6D-0.70	Yes	Υ		1	.6	2	707	3	.707	4	1												
17	0.6D+0.70	Yes	Υ		1	.6	2	707	3	707	4	1												

Crown Castle CDC TEP No. 25680.44546	West Hartford/I-84/X43	SK - 2 Mar 3, 2016 at 8:20 AM <sup>Collar.r3d</sup>

#### Column: M5

Shape: Material:	CRECT102X102 Conc3000NW	Concrete Stress Block: Cracked Sections Used:	Rectangular Yes
Length:	4.5 ft	Cracked 'l' Factor:	.70
I Joint:	TL1	Effective 'I':	6.31419e+6 in^4
J Joint:	N367	Biaxial Bending Solution:	PCA Load Contour

Code Check: **0.454 (bending)** Report Based On 97 Sections



Column Design does not consider any Torsional Moments

# *Warning: Exact Integration selected but PCA method used Custom rebar layout does not meet min steel (As,min) per Global Parameters*

# ACI 318-05 Code Check

Gov LC	7	Bending Check Location	0.454 4.5 ft	Shear Check Location	0.000 (y) 0 ft
Gov Pu phi*Pn Phi eff.	0 k .9	Gov Muy Gov Muz phi*Mnoy phi*Mnoz	1765.253 k-ft 0 k-ft 9 k-ft	Gov Vuy Gov Vuz phi*Vny phi*Vnz	0 k 0 k 1111.305 k 1111.305 k
Tension Bar Fy Shear Bar Fy F'c Flex. Rebar Set Flex. Bars Shear Bars	60 ksi 60 ksi 3 ksi ASTM A615 9 #6 , 9 #6 #4 @6in	Concrete Weight Concrete Type E_Concrete Shear Rebar Set , 11 #6 , 11 #6	145 lb/ft^3 Normal WT 3156 ksi ASTM A615	Sway yy Sway zz Thres. Torsior	No No 917.543k-ft(LC:1)

## **Column Interaction Diagram**

P (k)			— Mn — Phi*M	In				
2/545			— Cap.	Line				
24000 -								
18000								
12000 -								
6000		$\sim$						
m -								
-1060 0	7000 1	4000 21000	2800300942					
			M (k	-ft)				
Span Infor	mation							
Span	Span Lengt	h (ft) I-Fac	ce Dist. (in)	J-Face I	Dist. (in)			
1	0 - 4.5		0	(	)			
Column St	eel							
Span	Main Bars	UC Max	Gov LC	Loc (ft)	Pu (k)	Muy (k-	ft) Muz (	k-ft)
1	40 #6	0.454	7	4.5 ft	0	1765.25	<b>53 0</b>	
Axial Snan	Results							
Span	Phi_eff	Pn (k)	Po (k)	Rho	Gross	As Prvd (in^2)		
1	.9		27545.4	25 .	0017	17.671		
Bending S	nan Results							
Span	ecc. y (ft)	ecc. z (ft)	NA y-y (ft)	NA z-z (ft)	Mny (k-ft	) Mnz (k-ft)	Mnoy (k-ft)	Mnoz (k-ft)
1	0	0		3.949	4319.59	, , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Slandar Ba	nding Span	Roculte						
Span	KL/r yy	KL/rzz Cn	nyy Cm	zz Lu	yy (ft)	Lu zz (ft)	Mcy (k-ft)	Mcz (k-ft)
1	2	2	0 1	I	4.5	4.5		· · /
Rebar Deta	ailing							



# Monopole on Mat Foundation with Rock Anchors - TIA-222-F

#### Site Data

Site Name: CCI Number: TEP Job Number: West Hartford/I-84/X43 829013 25680.44546

ASIF



Soil Properties			
Allowable Bearing $q_a$	8.1	ksf	
Mat Subgrade, ks	293	kcf	
Wt Soil Above Mat	113	pcf	

Mat and Pier Properties				
Mat Width	16.5	ft		
Mat Length	16.5	ft		
Mat Depth	2.5	ft		
Pier Type	Square			
Pier Width/Diam.	8.5	ft		
Pier Height	4.5	ft		

Rock Anchor Properties			
Diameter	1	in	
Net Area	0.85	in <sup>2</sup>	
Yield Stress	127.7	ksi	

Rock Geotechnical Properties			
Wt of Rock	160	pcf	
Angle of Rock Cone	30	deg	
Steel/Grout Bond <sup>1</sup>	190	psi	
Grout/Rock Bond <sup>1</sup>	50	psi	
Drilled Shaft Diam.	3.75	in	

<sup>1</sup>Allowable Bond Values

Unfactored Reactions from TNX				
Axial	31.376	k		
Shear	25.577	k		
Moment	2348.269	k-ft		

#### Mat Foundation Results

Bearing Stress	7.7	ksf
Allowable Bearing	10.8	ksf
% Capacity	71.2%	Pass

#### Mat Structural Results

Bending Moment	656.9	kft
Allowable Bending	1151.0	kft
% Capacity	57.1%	Pass

#### **Rock Anchor Steel Results**

Load Reaction	76.58	k
Allowable Design Load	86.84	k
% Capacity	88.2%	Pass

#### **Rock Anchor Pullout Results**

Req. Bond Length, Id	10.83	ft
Load Reaction	76.58	k
Allowable Pullout	96.88	k
% Capacity	79.0%	Pass

		R	esults Summary:	PASS LC1	PASS LC2		West Hartfo TEP #:	o <b>rd/I-84/</b> ) 256	<b>K43 (BU 829013)</b> 580.44546
			Soil Interaction:	N/A	N/A		Analysis:	CDC	3/3/2016
Drilled Caisson Tool - Pie	er	Found	lation Structural:	35.7%	10.8%		Check:	ZRH	3/3/2016
Code Revisions:	TIA-222-F	ACI 318-02	l	То	wer Type:	Monopole	on		
Moment:	698.12	217.26	kip-ft		Diameter:	6.00	ft		
Axial (download):	31.38	55.25	kip	P	Projection:	0.50	ft		
Shear:	25.58	7.75	kip	Caisso	on Length:	4.50	ft		
Axial (uplift):			kip		f'c:	3.000	ksi		
					Max ec:	0.003	in/in		

Cage 1 Reinforcement								
Tie Bar Size:	e: 4 (fy = 60.0 ksi)							
Clear Cover to Tie:	3.00	in (Cage Ø = 63.87in)						
Tie Bar Spacing:	6.00	in						
Vertical Bar Size:	9							
Vertical Bar Quantity:	18	( <i>ρ</i> =0.442%)						
fy:	60.0	ksi						
E:	29,000	ksi						











SmartLink, LLC on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC Site FA – 10071355 Site ID – CTU5258 (3C) USID – 15075 Site Name – West Hartford Site Compliance Report

491 South Quaker Lane West Hartford, CT 06110

Latitude: N41-44-53.85 Longitude: W72-43-50.88 Structure Type: Monopole

Report generated date: February 10, 2016 Report by: Brandon Green Customer Contact: Kristen Smith

AT&T Mobility, LLC will be compliant when the remediation recommended in section 5.2 or other appropriate remediation is implemented.

© 2016 Sitesafe, Inc. Arlington, VA



David C. Cotton, Jr. Licensed Professional Engineer (Electrical) State of Connecticut, PEN.0027481 Date: 2016-February-10



# Table of Contents

1 GENERAL SITE SUMMARY	. 2
1.1 Report Summary	. 2
2 MAP OF SITE	. 3
3 ANTENNA INVENTORY	. 5
4 EMISSION PREDICTIONS	. 8
5 SITE COMPLIANCE	11
5.1Site Compliance Statement5.2Actions for Site Compliance	11 11
6 ENGINEER CERTIFICATION	12
APPENDIX A – STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS	13
APPENDIX B – REGULATORY BACKGROUND INFORMATION	14
FCC Rules and Regulations	14 15
APPENDIX C – SAFETY PLAN AND PROCEDURES	16
APPENDIX D – RF EMISSIONS	17
APPENDIX E – ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS	18
General Model Assumptions Use of Generic Antennas Definitions	18 18 19
APPENDIX F – REFERENCES	21



# 1 General Site Summary

# 1.1 Report Summary

AT&T Mobility, LLC	Summary
Access to Antennas Locked?	Yes
RF Sign(s) @ access point(s)	None
RF Sign(s) @ antennas	None
Barrier(s) @ sectors	None
Max cumulative simulated	<5% of General Public limit
Radio Frequency Exposure	
(RFE) level on the ground	
FCC & AT&T Compliant?	Will be compliant

The following documents were provided by the client and were utilized to create this report:

RFDS: NEW-ENGLAND\_CONNECTICUT\_CTU5258\_2016-LTE-Next-Carrier\_LTE-3C\_su0170\_2051A03JNQ\_10071355\_15075\_09-25-2015\_Final-Approved\_v3.00.

CD's: 10071355\_AE201\_011116\_CTL05258\_REV0.



# 2 Map of Site

In the RF Emissions Simulations below all heights are reflected with respect to main site level. In most rooftop cases this is the height of the main rooftop and in other cases this can be ground level. Each different height area, rooftop, or platform level is labeled with its height relative to the main site level. Emissions are calculated appropriately based on the relative height and location of that area to all antennas.

The Antenna Inventory heights are referenced to the same level.

The following diagrams are included:

- Site Map
- RF Emissions Diagram
- AT&T Mobility, LLC Contribution
- Elevation View





	AT&TMOBILITYLLC	VERIZON WIRELESS	T-MOBILE	
--	-----------------	------------------	----------	--

SPRINT

CLEARWIRE

Sitesafe Inc. assumes no responsibility for modeling results not verified by Sitesafe personnel. Contact Sitesafe Inc. for modeling assistance at (703) 276-1100 Sitesafe?EVersion 1.0.0.0 2/10/2016 11:20:13 AM



# 3 Antenna Inventory

The following antenna inventory on this and the following page, were obtained by the customer and were utilized to create the site model diagrams:

Ant ID	Operator	Antenna Make & Model	Type	TX Frog	Az	Hor BW	Ant Len	Ant Gain	2G GSM Padio(s)	3G UMTS	4G Padio(s)	Total	 	v	Z
			Bapol	950			(1)	11 51		1 AUIO(3)		270.2	<b>^</b>	01 1'	(AGL
1		Powerwave 7770	Panel	1900	80	86	4.0	13/1	0	1	0	220.7	67.2	91.1 01 1'	107.7
2		Andrew SBNH-1D6565C	Panel	737	80	71	8	13.41	0	0	1	899.4	70'	82.8'	106'
2	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Andrew SBNH-1D6565C	Panel	1900	80	57	8	15.504	0	0	1	1476.2	70'	82.8'	106'
3	AT&T MOBILITY LLC (Proposed)	CCI Antennas TPA-65R-LCUUUU-H8	Panel	2300	80	65	8	14.36	0	0	1	783.5	71.2'	78.5'	106'
4	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Powerwave 7770	Panel	850	210	82	4.6	11.51	0	2	0	379.3	69.4'	77.1'	107.7'
4	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Powerwave 7770	Panel	1900	210	86	4.6	13.41	0	1	0	220.7	69.4'	77.1'	107.7'
5	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	KMW AM-X-CD-16-65-00T	Panel	737	210	65	6	13.36	0	0	1	899.4	61.4'	78.7'	107'
5	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	KMW AM-X-CD-16-65-00T	Panel	1900	210	67	6	15.26	0	0	1	1330.5	61.4'	78.7'	107'
6	AT&T MOBILITY LLC (Proposed)	Quintel QS66512-3	Panel	2300	210	58	6	15.05	0	0	1	783.5	57.2'	79.7'	107'
7	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Powerwave 7770	Panel	850	330	82	4.6	11.51	0	2	0	379.3	56.4'	81.4'	107.7'
7	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Powerwave 7770	Panel	1900	330	86	4.6	13.41	0	1	0	220.7	56.4'	81.4'	107.7'
8	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	KMW AM-X-CD-16-65-00T	Panel	737	330	65	6	13.36	0	0	1	899.4	61.9'	87.6'	107'
8	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	KMW AM-X-CD-16-65-00T	Panel	1900	330	67	6	15.26	0	0	1	1330.5	61.9'	87.6'	107'
9	AT&T MOBILITY LLC (Proposed)	Quintel QS66512-3	Panel	2300	330	58	6	15.05	0	0	1	783.5	65.4'	91.5'	107'
10	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	862	0	65	6.3	13.43	-	-	-	881.2	63.8'	86.3'	76.9'
10	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	1690.7	63.8'	86.3'	76.9'
11	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	2500	0	65	4.1	15.01	-	-	-	1600	66.4'	85.9'	78'
12	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	862	130	65	6.3	13.43	-	-	-	881.2	68.2'	81.6'	76.9'
12	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	1690.7	68.2'	81.6'	76.9'
13	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	2500	130	65	4.1	15.01	-	-	-	1600	66.2'	79.8'	78'
14	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	862	240	65	6.3	13.43	-	-	-	881.2	62.2'	80.3'	76.9'
14	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	1690.7	62.2'	80.3'	76.9'
15	SPRINT	Generic	Panel	2500	240	65	4.1	15.01	-	-	-	1600	61.5'	82.7'	78'
16	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	61.3'	88.2'	97.7'
17	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	64.3'	87.4'	97.7'
18	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	67.3'	86.7'	97.7'



					<b>^</b> _		0		20.001		10				_
Ant ID	Operator	Antenna Make & Model	Туре	Freq	Az (Deg)	ног вw (Deg)	Ant Len (ft)	(dBd)	Radio(s)	Radio(s)	4G Radio(s)	ERP	х	Y	Z (AGL
19	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	70'	86'	97.7'
20	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	71'	83.8'	97.7'
21	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	69'	81.7'	97.7'
22	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	66.8'	79.3'	97.7'
23	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	64.1'	76.9'	97.7'
24	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	62'	77.4'	97.7'
25	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	61.3'	80.5'	97.7'
26	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	60.4'	83.7'	97.7'
27	SPRINT (Decommissioned)	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	0	59.5'	86.9'	97.7'
28	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	850	0	65	4.6	12.77	-	-	-	1513.9	62'	89.7'	117.7'
29	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	751	0	65	4.6	12.14	-	-	-	982.1	64.9'	87.9'	117.7'
30	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	1675.9	67.9'	86.2'	117.7'
31	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	850	0	65	4.6	12.77	-	-	-	1513.9	70.7'	84.4'	117.7'
32	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	850	130	65	4.6	12.77	-	-	-	1513.9	71'	83.7'	117.7'
33	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	751	130	65	4.6	12.14	-	-	-	982.1	68.9'	82'	117.7'
34	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	1675.9	65.7'	79.3'	117.7'
35	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	850	130	65	4.6	12.77	-	-	-	1513.9	62.6'	77.7'	117.7'
36	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	850	240	65	4.6	12.77	-	-	-	1513.9	60.3'	77.9'	117.7'
37	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	751	240	65	4.6	12.14	-	-	-	982.1	60.4'	81.3'	117.7'
38	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	4.6	15.43	-	-	-	1675.9	60.6'	85.2'	117.7'
39	VERIZON WIRELESS	Generic	Panel	850	240	65	4.6	12.77	-	-	-	1513.9	60.6'	88.5'	117.7'
40	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	2536	62.5'	86.7'	86.9'
40	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	1900	0	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	2536	62.5'	86.7'	86.9'
41	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	2100	0	65	6.3	15.53	-	-	-	2143.6	65.8'	86.5'	86.9'
42	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	2536	67.6'	80.7'	86.9'
42	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	1900	130	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	2536	67.6'	80.7'	86.9'
43	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	2100	130	65	6.3	15.53	-	-	-	2143.6	64.8'	79.7'	86.9'
44	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	2536	61.1'	81.7'	86.9'
44	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	1900	240	65	6.3	16.26	-	-	-	2536	61.1'	81.7'	86.9'



				TX	Az	Hor BW	Ant Len	Ant Gain	2G GSM	3G UMTS	4G	Total			Z
Ant ID	Operator	Antenna Make & Model	Туре	Freq	(Deg)	(Deg)	(ft)	(dBd)	Radio(s)	Radio(s)	Radio(s)	ERP	Х	Y	(AGL
45	T-MOBILE	Generic	Panel	2100	240	65	6.3	15.53	-	-	-	2143.6	60.9'	84.6'	86.9'

NOTE: X, Y and Z indicate relative position of the bottom of the antenna to the origin location on the site, displayed in the model results diagram. Specifically, the Z reference indicates the bottom of the antenna height above the main site level unless otherwise indicated. The distance to the bottom of the antenna is calculated by subtracting half of the length of the antenna from the antenna centerline. Effective Radiated Power (ERP) is provided by the operator or based on Sitesafe experience. The values used in the modeling may be greater than are currently deployed. For other operators at this site the use of "Generic" as an antenna model or "Unknown" for a wireless operator means the information with regard to operator, their FCC license and/or antenna information was not available nor could it be secured while on site. Other operator's equipment, antenna models and powers used for modeling are based on obtained information or Sitesafe experience.





0

Sitesafe Inc. assumes no responsibility for modeling results not verified by Sitesafe personnel. Contact Sitesafe Inc. for modeling assistance at (703) 276-1100 Sitesafe TV version: 11.0.0 2/10/2016 11:19:06 AM

## RF Emissions Simulation For: West Hartford Elevation View











## 5 Site Compliance

## 5.1 Site Compliance Statement

Upon evaluation of the cumulative RF emission levels from all operators at this site, RF hazard signage and antenna locations, Sitesafe has determined that:

AT&T will be compliant when the remediation recommended in section 5.2 or other appropriate remediation is implemented.

The compliance determination is based on General Public RFE levels derived from theoretical modeling, RF signage placement, proposed antenna inventory and the level of restricted access to the antennas at the site. Any deviation from the AT&T Mobility, LLC's proposed deployment plan could result in the site being rendered non-compliant.

Modeling is used for determining compliance and the percentage of MPE contribution.

## 5.2 Actions for Site Compliance

Based on FCC regulations, common industry practice, and our understanding of AT&T Mobility, LLC RF Safety Policy requirements, this section provides a statement of recommendations for site compliance. Recommendations have been proposed based on our understanding of existing access restrictions, signage, and an analysis of predicted RFE levels.

The site will be made compliant if the following changes are implemented:

#### **Site Access Location**

Install a Yellow Caution 2 sign.

- AT&T Mobility, LLC Proposed Alpha Sector Location No action required.
- AT&T Mobility, LLC Proposed Beta Sector Location No action required.
- AT&T Mobility, LLC Proposed Gamma Sector Location No action required.



# 6 Engineer Certification

The professional engineer whose seal appears on the cover of this document hereby certifies and affirms that:

I am registered as a Professional Engineer in the jurisdiction indicated in the professional engineering stamp on the cover of this document; and

That I am an employee of Sitesafe, Inc., in Arlington, Virginia, at which place the staff and I provide RF compliance services to clients in the wireless communications industry; and

That I am thoroughly familiar with the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as well as the regulations of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), both in general and specifically as they apply to the FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio-frequency Radiation; and

That I have thoroughly reviewed this Site Compliance Report and believe it to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge as assembled by and attested to by Brandon Green.

<u>February 10, 2016</u>



# Appendix A - Statement of Limiting Conditions

Sitesafe has provided computer generated model(s) in this Site Compliance Report to show approximate dimensions of the site, and the model is included to assist the reader of the compliance report to visualize the site area, and to provide supporting documentation for Sitesafe's recommendations.

Sitesafe may note in the Site Compliance Report any adverse physical conditions, such as needed repairs, that Sitesafe became aware of during the normal research involved in creating this report. Sitesafe will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because Sitesafe is not an expert in the field of mechanical engineering or building maintenance, the Site Compliance Report must not be considered a structural or physical engineering report.

Sitesafe obtained information used in this Site Compliance Report from sources that Sitesafe considers reliable and believes them to be true and correct. Sitesafe does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties. When conflicts in information occur between data collected by Sitesafe provided by a second party and data collected by Sitesafe, the data will be used.



# Appendix B - Regulatory Background Information

### FCC Rules and Regulations

In 1996, the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) adopted regulations for the evaluating of the effects of RF emissions in 47 CFR § 1.1307 and 1.1310. The guideline from the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology is Bulletin 65 ("OET Bulletin 65"), *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields*, Edition 97-01, published August 1997. Since 1996 the FCC periodically reviews these rules and regulations as per their congressional mandate.

FCC regulations define two separate tiers of exposure limits: Occupational or "Controlled environment" and General Public or "Uncontrolled environment". The General Public limits are generally five times more conservative or restrictive than the Occupational limit. These limits apply to *accessible* areas where workers or the general public may be exposed to Radio Frequency (RF) electromagnetic fields.

Occupational or Controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and where those persons exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

An area is considered a Controlled environment when access is limited to these aware personnel. Typical criteria are restricted access (i.e. locked or alarmed doors, barriers, etc.) to the areas where antennas are located coupled with proper RF warning signage. A site with Controlled environments is evaluated with Occupational limits.

All other areas are considered Uncontrolled environments. If a site has no access controls or no RF warning signage it is evaluated with General Public limits.

The theoretical modeling of the RF electromagnetic fields has been performed in accordance with OET Bulletin 65. The Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits utilized in this analysis are outlined in the following diagram:



#### FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Plane-wave Equivalent Power Density



#### Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Averaging Time $ E ^2$ , $ H ^2$ or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f <sup>2</sup> )*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500			f/300	6
1500-			5	6
100,000				

#### Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency	Electric	Magnetic	Power	Averaging Time $ E ^2$ ,		
Range	Field	Field	Density (S)	H  <sup>2</sup> or S (minutes)		
(MHz)	Strength (E)	Strength	(mW/cm²)			
	(V/m)	(H) (A/m)				
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30		
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	(180/f <sup>2</sup> )*	30		
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30		
300-1500			f/1500	30		
1500-			1.0	30		
100,000						
f = frequ	ency in MHz	*Plane-wave equivalent power density				

#### **OSHA Statement**

The General Duty clause of the OSHA Act (Section 5) outlines the occupational safety and health responsibilities of the employer and employee. The General Duty clause in Section 5 states:

(a) Each employer -

- shall furnish to each of his employees employment and a place of employment which are free from recognized hazards that are causing or are likely to cause death or serious physical harm to his employees;
- (2) shall comply with occupational safety and health standards promulgated under this Act.
- (b) Each employee shall comply with occupational safety and health standards and all rules, regulations, and orders issued pursuant to this Act which are applicable to his own actions and conduct.

OSHA has defined Radiofrequency and Microwave Radiation safety standards for workers who may enter hazardous RF areas. Regulation Standards 29 CFR § 1910.147 identify a generic Lock Out Tag Out procedure aimed to control the unexpected energization or start up of machines when maintenance or service is being performed.



# Appendix C – Safety Plan and Procedures

The following items are general safety recommendations that should be administered on a site by site basis as needed by the carrier.

<u>General Maintenance Work</u>: Any maintenance personnel required to work immediately in front of antennas and / or in areas indicated as above 100% of the Occupational MPE limits should coordinate with the wireless operators to disable transmitters during their work activities.

<u>Training and Qualification Verification</u>: All personnel accessing areas indicated as exceeding the General Population MPE limits should have a basic understanding of EME awareness and RF Safety procedures when working around transmitting antennas. Awareness training increases a workers understanding to potential RF exposure scenarios. Awareness can be achieved in a number of ways (e.g. videos, formal classroom lecture or internet based courses).

**Physical Access Control:** Access restrictions to transmitting antennas locations is the primary element in a site safety plan. Examples of access restrictions are as follows:

- Locked door or gate
- Alarmed door
- Locked ladder access
- Restrictive Barrier at antenna (e.g. Chain link with posted RF Sign)

<u>**RF Signage:**</u> Everyone should obey all posted signs at all times. RF signs play an important role in properly warning a worker prior to entering into a potential RF Exposure area.

<u>Assume all antennas are active:</u> Due to the nature of telecommunications transmissions, an antenna transmits intermittently. Always assume an antenna is transmitting. Never stop in front of an antenna. If you have to pass by an antenna, move through as quickly and safely as possible thereby reducing any exposure to a minimum.

<u>Maintain a 3 foot clearance from all antennas</u>: There is a direct correlation between the strength of an EME field and the distance from the transmitting antenna. The further away from an antenna, the lower the corresponding EME field is.

<u>Site RF Emissions Diagram</u>: Section 4 of this report contains an RF Diagram that outlines various theoretical Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) areas at the site. The modeling is a worst case scenario assuming a duty cycle of 100% for each transmitting antenna at full power. This analysis is based on one of two access control criteria: General Public criteria means the access to the site is uncontrolled and anyone can gain access. Occupational criteria means the access is restricted and only properly trained individuals can gain access to the antenna locations.



# Appendix D – RF Emissions

The RF Emissions Simulation(s) in this report display theoretical spatially averaged percentage of the Maximum Permissible Exposure for all systems at the site unless otherwise noted. These diagrams use modeling as prescribed in OET Bulletin 65 and assumptions detailed in Appendix E.

The key at the bottom of each RF Emissions Simulation indicates percentages displayed referenced to FCC General Public Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits. Color coding on the diagram is as follows:

- Areas indicated as Gray are predicted to be below 5% of the MPE limits. Gray represents areas more than 20 times below the most conservative exposure limit.
- Green represents areas are predicted to be between 5% and 100% of the MPE limits. Green areas are accessible to anyone.
- Blue represents areas predicted to exceed the General Public MPE limits but are less than Occupational limits. Blue areas should be accessible only to RF trained workers.
- Yellow represents areas predicted to exceed Occupational MPE limits. Yellow areas should be accessible only to RF trained workers able to assess current exposure levels.
- Red represents areas predicted to have exposure more than 10 times the Occupational MPE limits. Red indicates that the RF levels must be reduced prior to access. An RF Safety Plan is required which outlines how to reduce the RF energy in these areas prior to access.


# Appendix E – Assumptions and Definitions

### **General Model Assumptions**

In this site compliance report, it is assumed that all antennas are operating at **full power at all times**. Software modeling was performed for all transmitting antennas located on the site. Sitesafe has further assumed a 100% duty cycle and maximum radiated power.

The site has been modeled with these assumptions to show the maximum RF energy density. Sitesafe believes this to be a *worst-case* analysis, based on best available data. Areas modeled to predict emissions greater than 100% of the applicable MPE level may not actually occur, but are shown as a *worst-case* prediction that could be realized real time. Sitesafe believes these areas to be safe for entry by occupationally trained personnel utilizing appropriate personal protective equipment (in most cases, a personal monitor).

Thus, at any time, if power density measurements were made, we believe the real-time measurements would indicate levels below those depicted in the RF emission diagram(s) in this report. By modeling in this way, Sitesafe has conservatively shown exclusion areas – areas that should not be entered without the use of a personal monitor, carriers reducing power, or performing real-time measurements to indicate real-time exposure levels.

### **Use of Generic Antennas**

For the purposes of this report, the use of "Generic" as an antenna model, or "Unknown" for an operator means the information about a carrier, their FCC license and/or antenna information was not provided and could not be obtained while on site. In the event of unknown information, Sitesafe will use our industry specific knowledge of equipment, antenna models, and transmit power to model the site. If more specific information can be obtained for the unknown measurement criteria, Sitesafe recommends remodeling of the site utilizing the more complete and accurate data. Information about similar facilities is used when the service is identified and associated with a particular antenna. If no information is available regarding the transmitting service associated with an unidentified antenna, using the antenna manufacturer's published data regarding the antenna's physical characteristics makes more conservative assumptions.

Where the frequency is unknown, Sitesafe uses the closest frequency in the antenna's range that corresponds to the highest Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE), resulting in a conservative analysis.



### Definitions

**5% Rule** – The rules adopted by the FCC specify that, in general, at multiple transmitter sites actions necessary to bring the area into compliance with the guidelines are the shared responsibility of all licensees whose transmitters produce field strengths or power density levels at the area in question in excess of 5% of the exposure limits. In other words, any wireless operator that contributes 5% or greater of the MPE limit in an area that is identified to be greater than 100% of the MPE limit is responsible taking corrective actions to bring the site into compliance.

**Compliance** – The determination of whether a site is safe or not with regards to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Radiation from transmitting antennas.

Decibel (dB) - A unit for measuring power or strength of a signal.

**Duty Cycle** – The percent of pulse duration to the pulse period of a periodic pulse train. Also, may be a measure of the temporal transmission characteristic of an intermittently transmitting RF source such as a paging antenna by dividing average transmission duration by the average period for transmission. A duty cycle of 100% corresponds to continuous operation.

*Effective (or Equivalent) Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP)* – The product of the power supplied to the antenna and the antenna gain in a given direction relative to an isotropic antenna.

*Effective Radiated Power (ERP)* – In a given direction, the relative gain of a transmitting antenna with respect to the maximum directivity of a half wave dipole multiplied by the net power accepted by the antenna from the connecting transmitter.

**Gain (of an antenna)** – The ratio of the maximum intensity in a given direction to the maximum radiation in the same direction from an isotropic radiator. Gain is a measure of the relative efficiency of a directional antennas as compared to an omni directional antenna.

**General Population/Uncontrolled Environment** – Defined by the FCC, as an area where exposure to RF energy may occur to persons who are **unaware** of the potential for exposure and who have no control of their exposure. General Population is also referenced as General Public.

**Generic Antenna** – For the purposes of this report, the use of "Generic" as an antenna model means the antenna information was not provided and could not be obtained while on site. In the event of unknown information, Sitesafe will use our industry specific knowledge of antenna models to select a worst case scenario antenna to model the site.

*Isotropic Antenna* – An antenna that is completely non-directional. In other words, an antenna that radiates energy equally in all directions.

*Maximum Measurement* – This measurement represents the single largest measurement recorded when performing a spatial average measurement.

*Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)* – The maximum levels of RF exposure a person may be exposed to without harmful effect and with acceptable safety factor.

**Occupational/Controlled Environment** – Defined by the FCC, as an area where Radio Frequency Radiation (RFR) exposure may occur to persons who are **aware** of the



potential for exposure as a condition of employment or specific activity and can exercise control over their exposure.

**OET Bulletin 65** – Technical guideline developed by the FCC's Office of Engineering and Technology to determine the impact of Radio Frequency radiation on Humans. The guideline was published in August 1997.

**OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration)** – Under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, employers are responsible for providing a safe and healthy workplace for their employees. OSHA's role is to promote the safety and health of America's working men and women by setting and enforcing standards; providing training, outreach and education; establishing partnerships; and encouraging continual process improvement in workplace safety and health. For more information, visit www.osha.gov.

*Radio Frequency (RF)* – The frequencies of electromagnetic waves which are used for radio communications. Approximately 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

**Radio Frequency Exposure (RFE)** – The amount of RF power density that a person is or might be exposed to.

**Spatial Average Measurement** – A technique used to average a minimum of ten (10) measurements taken in a ten (10) second interval from zero (0) to six (6) feet. This measurement is intended to model the average power density an average sized human will be exposed to at a location.

*Transmitter Power Output (TPO)* – The radio frequency output power of a transmitter's final radio frequency stage as measured at the output terminal while connected to a load.



# Appendix F – References

The following references can be followed for further information about RF Health and Safety.

Sitesafe, Inc. http://www.sitesafe.com FCC Radio Frequency Safety http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/radio-frequency-safety National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) http://www.ncrponline.org Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., (IEEE) http://www.ieee.org American National Standards Institute (ANSI) http://www.ansi.org Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) http://www.epa.gov/radtown/wireless-tech.html National Institutes of Health (NIH) http://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/agents/emf/ Occupational Safety and Health Agency (OSHA) http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/radiofrequencyradiation/ International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) http://www.icnirp.org World Health Organization (WHO) http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/ National Cancer Institute http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Risk/cellphones American Cancer Society (ACS) http://www.cancer.org/docroot/PED/content/PED\_1\_3X\_Cellular\_Phone\_Towers.asp?sit earea=PED European Commission Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph\_risk/committees/04\_scenihr/docs/scenihr\_o\_022.pdf Fairfax County, Virginia Public School Survey http://www.fcps.edu/fts/safety-security/RFEESurvey/ UK Health Protection Agency Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation http://www.hpa.org.uk/webw/HPAweb&HPAwebStandard/HPAweb\_C/1317133826368 Norwegian Institute of Public Health http://www.fhi.no/dokumenter/545eea7147.pdf