# January 3, 2002

### STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

Richard Greene Senior Wireless Designer Edward and Kelcey One Church Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor New Haven, CT 06510

RE:

EM-AT&T-005-018-031-055-068-092-111-125-153-162-168-011121 - Edwards and Kelcey on behalf of AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located at twelve sites throughout the State of Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Greene:

At a public meeting held on January 3, 2002, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify the Litchfield-Kent (L04); Mohawk Mountain (L12); Pine Meadows (L14); and North Kent (L17) sites of the proposed twelve existing telecommunications facilities, eight of which were previously approved on December 17, 2001, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notices dated November 20, 2001, December 10, 2001, and December 21, 2001. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. These facilities have also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours

Mortimer A. Gelston

Chairman

MAG/laf

See attached list

#### List Attachment:

Honorable Dolores R. Schiesel, First Selectman, Town of Kent Judith Wick, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Kent Honorable James P. O'Leary, First Selectman, Town of Goshen Martin Connor, Town Planner, Town of Goshen Honorable Michael D. Fox, First Selectman, Town of Barkhamsted Karl Nilsen, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Barkhamsted Honorable P. Robert Moeller, First Selectman, Town of Sharon Elizabeth H. Casey, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Sharon Honorable Gorden M. Ridgway, First Selectman, Town of Cornwall Ruth Mucahy, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Cornwall

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT



CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

December 13, 2001

Ten Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

Richard Greene Senior Wireless Designer Edward and Kelcey One Church Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor New Haven, CT 06510

RE:

EM-AT&T-005-018-031-055-068-092-111-125-153-162-168-011121 - Edwards and Kelcey on behalf of AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located at twelve sites throughout the State of Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Greene:

At a public meeting held on December 11, 2001, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify eight of the proposed twelve existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies. The Litchfield-Kent (L04); Mohawk Mountain (L12); Pine Meadows (L14); and North Kent (L17) sites will be presented at a future Council meeting after requested information is received.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated November 20, 2001. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. These facilities have also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Mortimer a Galaton

Very truly yours,

Mortimer A. Gelston

Chairman

MAG/laf

c: See attached list

Honorable John F. Arcelaschi, Mayor, Town of Winchester Anthony Cannavo, Planning and Zoning Chairman, Town of Winchester Margaret A. Johnson, Town Manager, Town of Winchester Honorable Rosalie G. Loughran, Chairman of the Town Council, Town of Watertown Mary Barton, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Watertown Charles T. O'Conner, Jr., Town Manager, Town of Watertown Honorable David C. Mischke, Mayor, Town of Plymouth William Kuehn, Town Planner, Town of Plymouth Honorable Richard W. Crane, First Selectman, Town of Woodbury Christopher S. Wood, Town Planner, Town of Woodbury Honorable Arthur J. Peitler, Mayor, Town of New Milford David, N. Hubbard, Planning and Econ. Director, Town of New Milford Honorable Dolores R. Schiesel, First Selectman, Town of Kent Judith Wick, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Kent Honorable James P. O'Leary, First Selectman, Town of Goshen Martin Connor, Town Planner, Town of Goshen Honorable Katherine L. Rieger, First Selectman, Town of New Hartford Karl Nilsen, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of New Hartford Honorable Michael D. Fox, First Selectman, Town of Barkhamsted Karl Nilsen, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Barkhamsted Honorable P. Robert Moeller, First Selectman, Town of Sharon Elizabeth H. Casey, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Sharon Honorable Martin J. Foncello, Jr., First Selectmen, Town of Brookfield Clare Ann Walsh, Land Use Enforcement Officer, Town of Brookfield Heather Paton, Land Use Office, Town of Brookfield

#### CUDDY & FEDER & WORBY LLP

#### 90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

(914) 761-1300 TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405

> 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 944-2841 TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

www.cfwlaw.com

WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) 896-2229 TELECOPIER (845) 896-3672

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT CUDDY & FEDER 1971-1995

WILLIAM S. NULL
DAWN M. PORTNEY
ELISABETH N. RADOW
NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)
ROBERT L. WOLFE
DAVID E. WORBY

Of Counsel
MICHAEL R. EDELMAN
ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT)
ROBERT L. OSAR (also TX)
MARYANN M. PALERMO
ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER
LOUIS R. TAFFERA

#### VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT)

THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC)

THOMAS M. BLOOMER

JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI

KENNETH J. DUBROFF

SUSAN E.H. GORDON

KAREN G. GRANIK JOSHUA J. GRAUER WAYNE E. HELLER (also CT)

KENNETH F. JURIST

BARRY E. LONG

MICHAEL L. KATZ (also NJ)

DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT)

Re:

JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT)

ROBERT FEDER

CHARLES T. BAZYDLO (also NJ)

CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT)

ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT)

Robert Mercier
Siting Analyst
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

AT&T Exempt Modification Filings For Facilities In Litchfield Country

Dear Mr. Mercier:

On behalf of Litchfield Acquisition Corporation d/b/a AT&T Wireless ("AT&T") enclosed please find additional information that you had requested with respect to the Notice of Exempt Modifications that were filed with the Council by Edwards & Kelcey on November 27, 2001:

- 1. As noted in our December 10, 2001 correspondence with respect to AT&T Site L04, Bulls Bridge Road, Kent, Edwards & Kelcey and AT&T have confirmed that based on their information, AT&T's antennas are at 152' centerline on this existing tower facility with SCLP (Cingular) located at the 170' level (this is consistent with the Council's inventory).
- 2. AT&T Site L12 Mohawk State Forest, West Goshen AT&T Wireless believes that this tower is owned by AT&T Long Lines which no longer has a corporate relationship with AT&T Wireless.
- 3. AT&T Site L14, 127 New Hartford Road, Barkhamsted Annexed hereto is a revised report by RF Emissions Experts, dated December 19, 2001 with AT&T, Nextel and Cingular included as approved by the Council in 1998. As you may know, Sprint is

#### **CUDDY & FEDER & WORBY LLP**

December 21, 2001 Page 2

currently processing an application for an amended certificate and has included calculations in their filing for all carriers should a modified facility be approved by the Council.

4. AT&T Site L17, Herb Road, Sharon – Annexed hereto is a revised report by RF Emissions Experts, dated December 20, 2001 including AT&T, Nextel and Cingular as approved by the Council in 1998. At this time the State Police are not proposing to use the tower and as such have been excluded from the calculations provided by AT&T.

We would appreciate it if these notices were placed on the next available agenda of the Council for acknowledgement.

Thank you for your continued assistance. Please do not hesitate to contact me, should you require any additional information or have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Christopher B. Fisher

cc: Carmen Chapman, AT&T
Richard Greene, E&K
Darryl Hendrickson, Bechtel



Engineering Consulting Construction Value Engineering Real Estate Services



November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2001

Mr. Mortimer A. Gelston, Chairman Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT. 06051

RE: Notice of Intent to modify an existing telecommunication facility at 20 Antolini Road New Hartford, CT. (Site ID: L16).

Dear Mr. Gelston:

On behalf of AT&T Wireless, Edwards and Kelcey is enclosing 20 copies of an RF study that was recently done on the above site as well as  $20 - \frac{1}{2}$  size drawing of our modifications to the site.

The changes we are proposing will have no visual changes to the site. One antenna will be changed out and replaced with a new one, same shape, size and weight. New radio equipment will be installed in an enclosed shelter.

The drawings were stamped by a structural engineer on the cover stating that no changes were required for this site.

In conclusion Edwards and Kelcey on behalf of AT&T Wireless Service Petition for a declaratory ruling that no amendment to the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and public need is required for modifications to a facility located at 20 Antolini Road in New Hartford, CT.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter

Very truly yours,

**EDWARDS AND KELCEY** 

Richard Greene

Senior Wireless Designer

Cc: Rob Davis

One Church Street, 3rd Floor New Haven, Connecticut 06510

Voice 203.772.1710 Fax 203.772.1701 www.ekcorp.com EM-AT&T-005-018-031-055-068-092-096-111-125-153-

162-168-011121



Analysis and Report of RF Exposure Levels and Compliance with FCC Regulations

Nepaug Site 20 Antolini Road New Hartford, CT Site ID: L16

Prepared for

AT&T Wireless

November 16, 2001



EDWARDS AND KELCEY 299 Madison Avenue - PO Box 1936 Morristown, NJ 07962-1936

Tel: 973-267-8830 Fax: 973-267-3555 Email: gburylo@ekmail.com Internet: http://www.ekcorp.com

#### PROPRIETARY - AT&T WIRELESS AND EDWARDS AND KELCEY

This document has been prepared for AT&T Wireless for its use in demonstrating RF compliance, as necessary, to federal, state and/or local authorities, and/or site landlords. Distribution beyond that described is prohibited without the express written consent of Edwards and Kelcey.



#### FCC RF COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS FOR

#### **AT&T Wireless**

**New Hartford, CT Monopole** 

This site compliance report is organized as follows:

- Site Technical Data
- Analysis Method and Assumptions
- The FCC RF Radiation Exposure Regulations
- Applicable Formulas
- Analysis Results
- Conclusion

# SITE TECHNICAL DATA (replacing one existing 800 MHz antenna per sector with one 1900 MHz antenna per sector – data reflects additional 1900 MHz system)

Facility type	Existing 90 ft. Monopole
Transmit frequency band (proposed additional band)	1965 - 1975 MHz
Replacement Antenna type	Allgon 7262
Antenna major dimension (length)	4.3 ft.
Maximum antenna gain	14.0 dBd
Antenna centerline height	90 ft. above ground level
Total number of 1900 MHz antennas added	3 (1 per sector)
Number of 1900 MHz channels per antenna	2 channels
Maximum ERP per channel	150 watts
Maximum antenna downtilt	0 degrees
Existing carriers on monopole	See report

#### ANALYSIS METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

Type of analysis	Maximum / ground-level
Area analyzed	0' to 500' from monopole
Classification of area	Uncontrolled (gen. pop.)
FCC Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limit	1.000 mW/ cm <sup>2</sup> (1900 MHz)
Mathematical model	Point source, far field
Assumed ground reflection factor	100%
Assumed human height	6'0"
Vertical antenna discrimination included	from Ant. Mfr. data

#### THE FCC RF RADIATION EXPOSURE REGULATIONS

This RF exposure analysis is based on the current FCC guidelines for human exposure to RF fields, which represent the consensus of federal agencies responsible for RF safety matters. Those agencies include the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA), the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In formulating its guidelines, the FCC also considered input from the public and technical community – notably the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE).

The FCC's RF exposure guidelines are incorporated in Section 1.1301 *et seq* of its Rules and Regulations. Those guidelines specify maximum permissible exposure (MPE) levels for both occupational and general population exposure on a continuous basis, as well as averaging times for each of those categories when and if exposure exceeds the specified continuous exposure limits. (The concept of averaging time will be ignored in this analysis, as the results show the potential exposure levels are far below those permitted even for continuous exposure.)


The specified continuous exposure MPE limits are based on known variation of human body susceptibility in different frequency ranges, and a Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of 4 watts per kilogram, which is universally considered to accurately represent human capacity to dissipate incident RF energy (in the form of heat). The occupational MPE guidelines incorporate a safety factor of 10 or greater with respect to RF levels known to represent a health hazard, and an additional safety factor of five is applied to the MPE limits for general population exposure. Thus the general population MPE limit has a built-in safety factor of more than 50. Continuous exposure at levels equal to or below the applicable MPE limits is considered to result in no adverse health effects on humans.

The reason for *two* tiers of MPE limits is based on an understanding and assumption that members of the general public are unlikely to have had appropriate RF safety training and may not be aware of the exposures they receive; occupational exposure in controlled environments, on the other hand, is assumed to involve individuals who have had such training, are aware of the exposures, and know how to maintain a safe personal work environment.

The FCC's RF exposure limits are expressed in two equivalent forms, using alternative units of field strength (expressed in volts per meter, or V/m), and power density (expressed in milliwatts per square centimeter, or mW/cm²). The more popularly used reference unit is power density, as it is more easily understood. One milliwatt per square centimeter is approximately the energy impinging on an area roughly one-fourth the size of a dime from a light bulb emitting ten thousand times less than the energy of a common 100-watt bulb. The table below lists the FCC limits for both occupational and general population exposure to different radio frequencies.

Frequency Range (F) (MHz )	Occupational Exposure ( mW/cm²)	General Public Exposure ( mW/cm²)
0.3 - 1.34	100	100
1.34 - 3.0	100	180 / F <sup>2</sup>
3.0 - 30	900 / F <sup>2</sup>	180 / F <sup>2</sup>
30 - 300	1.0	0.2
300 - 1,500	F/300	F / 1500
1,500 - 100,000	5.0	1.0

The figure below provides a graphical illustration of both the FCC's occupational and general population MPE limits.



FCC MPE limits – graphical representation

The FCC makes it clear that the MPE limits apply only in accessible areas. Fundamentally, in areas that are considered normally inaccessible, the exposure issue is moot.

#### APPLICABLE FORMULAS

According to FCC OET Bulletin 65, different mathematical models apply to different distances around an antenna. At the height of the antenna, the breakpoint is the "far-field distance", calculated as the ratio of the square of the major dimension of the antenna divided by the signal wavelength. Beyond the far-field distance at the height of the antenna, as well as at ground-level underneath the antenna, a "far-field point source" model applies; within that distance, a "near-field cylindrical model applies. The subsections below provide background on the two applicable models in the 1900 MHz band.

#### Far-Field Point Source Model

(1) S [mW/cm<sup>2</sup>] =  $(4 * EIRP_{max} * VertAntDisc(\phi)) / (4 * \pi * R^2_{cm})$ 

(2) FCC MPE limit =  $1.000 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ 

(3) MPE% = 100 \* (S / 1.000)

where:

S = Calculated power density

4 (in numerator) = 100% field ground reflection effect

(has  $[1 + 1]^2 = 4$  effect on power density)

EIRP<sub>max</sub> = Maximum effective isotropically radiated power

(Note: EIRP is 64% higher than ERP, which is

referenced to a half-wave dipole)

 $VertAntDisc(\phi)$  = Numeric factor for antenna discrimination (EIRP

reduction) in the vertical plane, applicable at downward angle  $\phi$  to a 6' human standing on ground, calculated at distances from 0' to 500' away from the antenna

R = Straight-line distance from antenna to 6' human

MPE% = Calculated exposure level, as a percentage of the FCC

MPE limit for continuous exposure of the general

population

#### Near-Field Cylindrical Model

- (1)  $S [mW/cm^2] = (P_i * ACF / (2 \pi R h))$
- (2) FCC MPE limit =  $1.000 \text{ mW/cm}^2$
- (3) MPE% = 100 \* (S / 1.000)

where:

S = Calculated power density

P<sub>i</sub> = Total power input to the antenna, in mW

ACF = Antenna correction factor (adjustment to near-field power density calculation to compensate for the antenna mounting height above ground level and resulting partial-body exposure; see Richard Tell article

listed in the References)

R = Straight-line distance from antenna to 6' human

h = Subtended height of the antenna, in cm

MPE% = Calculated exposure level, as a percentage of the FCC

MPE limit for continuous exposure of the general

population

#### ANALYSIS RESULTS - GROUND-LEVEL

AT&T Wireless will replace one existing antenna (in each of three sectors) presently transmitting in the 800 MHz band, with one new antenna that will transmit in the 1900 MHz band. This analysis will reflect the additional RF emissions from the replacement antennas.

The table on the following page summarizes the results of the calculations using the site data, method and far-field point source formula described above. Note that the information on the vertical antenna discrimination has been taken from the antenna manufacturer's specification sheets. In addition, note that while the tabular distances are listed in feet, the calculations translate these units into centimeters, to match the FCC specification of MPE units. Also note that the value for 'G dist' is the distance along the ground in feet, from the base of the monopole.

	1900 MHz	Antenna A	rray (AT&	T Wireless)	
G dist	R dist	V angle	V disc	mW/cm <sup>2</sup>	GPMPE%
0	81.0	90.0	0.025	0.0006	0.065
20	83.4	76.1	0.025	0.0006	0.061
40	90.3	63.7	0.025	0.0005	0.052
60	100.8	53.5	0.020	0.0003	0.033
80	113.8	45.4	0.020	0.0003	0.026
100	128.7	39.0	0.020	0.0002	0.020
120	144.8	34.0	0.020	0.0002	0.016
140	161.7	30.1	0.020	0.0001	0.013
160	179.3	26.9	0.020	0.0001	0.010
180	197.4	24.2	0.020	0.0001	0.009
200	215.8	22.0	0.020	0.0001	0.007
220	234.4	20.2	0.020	0.0001	0.006
240	253.3	18.6	0.040	0.0001	0.010
260	272.3	17.3	0.040	0.0001	0.009
280	291.5	16.1	0.040	0.0001	0.008
300	310.7	15.1	0.040	0.0001	0.007
320	330.1	14.2	0.040	0.0001	0.006
340	349.5	13.4	0.040	0.0001	0.005
360	369.0	12.7	0.040	0.0000	0.005
380	388.5	12.0	0.040	0.0000	0.004
400	408.1	11.4	0.040	0.0000	0.004
420	427.7	10.9	0.040	0.0000	0.004
440	447.4	10.4	0.040	0.0000	0.003
460	467.1	10.0	1.000	0.0008	0.077
480	486.8	9.6	1.000	0.0007	0.071
500	506.5	9.2	1.000	0.0007	0.066

**Table 1.** AT&T Wireless 1900 MHz ground level RF power density & percent-of-MPE calculations

On November 14, 2001 Edwards & Kelcey conducted on-site RF exposure measurements. These measurements were performed using a Narda model 8722 RF probe and Narda model 8718 RF meter. Both the probe and meter are capable of broadband RF measurements, covering a range of 300 kHz to 50 GHz. The measuring equipment is designed to automatically register measured total RF exposure levels and report them as percentages of the FCC's overall occupational MPE limit. The attached site plan shows measured MPE levels for general population.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The calculations presented above demonstrate that the maximum potential exposure level around the existing monopole induced by the 1900 MHz AT&T Wireless system is 0.0008 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, which represents 0.077% of the FCC limits for exposure of the general population.

The worst case ground level measurement around the site was determined to be 4.15% of the FCC limit (see attached). When added to the additional level expected from the proposed AT&T Wireless 1900 MHz system of 0.077%, the resultant cumulative level of 4.227% is still safe for continuous exposure of the general population based on FCC standards.

Therefore, the addition of the AT&T Wireless 1900 MHz system to the existing facility will not create a significant risk of cumulative exposure to RF emissions to the general population. And, according to the calculations, the AT&T Wireless facility is in compliance with the FCC regulations (FCC OET Bulletin 65) concerning the control of potential RF exposure.

#### **CERTIFICATION**

This report was prepared by George Burylo, Director – Engineering Services. The undersigned certifies that the analysis provided herein is consistent with the applicable FCC Rules and Regulations and accepted industry practice.

George Burylo

Director – Engineering Services

November 16, 2001

#### REFERENCES

47 CFR, FCC Rules and Regulations, Section 1.1301 et seq.

FCC Second Memorandum Opinion and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 97-303), In the Matter of Procedures for Reviewing Requests for Relief From State and Local Regulations Pursuant to Section 332(c)(7)(B)(v) of the Communications Act of 1934 (WT Docket 97-192), Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation (ET Docket 93-62), and Petition for Rulemaking of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association Concerning Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Preempt State and Local Regulation of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Transmitting Facilities, released August 25, 1997.

FCC First Memorandum Opinion and Order, ET Docket 93-62, *In the Matter of Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation*, released December 24, 1996.


FCC Report and Order, ET Docket 93-62, In the Matter of Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, released August 1, 1996.

FCC Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) Bulletin 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Edition 97-01, August 1997.

FCC Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) Bulletin 56, "Questions and Answers About Biological Effects and Potential Hazards of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields", Fourth Edition, August 1999.

Richard Tell, "CTIA's EME Design and Operation Considerations for Wireless Antenna Sites", November 15, 1996.

Site Data




Designed by:
Drawn by:
Checked by:
Approved by:


Approved by:


APPROVED TO SERVE TO

AT&T
WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

SITE ADDRESS:
20 ANTOLINI ROAD
NEW HARTFORD, CT 06057










# AT&T WIRELESS SERVICES, LLC

**SITE NUMBER: L16 SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD** 



#### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING AT&T ANTENNAS WITH NEW GSM ANTENNAS & ASSOCIATED ITEMS AS SHOWN IN THE ATTACHED DRAWINGS

SITE ADDRESS: 20 ANTOLINI ROAD NEW HARTFORD, CT 06057

SOUTH END FIRE DISTRICT ANN ANTOLINI ROAD NEW HARTFORD, CT 06057 PROPERTY OWNER:

CONTACT PERSON: ROY STEEVES

SCOPE OF WORK:

APPLICANT: AT&T 15 EAST MIDLAND AVE PARAMUS, NJ 07652

LATITUDE: 41.82805 LONGITUDE: -73.01611 787

JURISDICTION: NEW HATFORD SITING COUNCIL TAX I.D. NUMBER: LOT 42-B, BLOCK 7

CURRENT USE: WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY PROPOSED USE: NO CHANGE

ZONING DISTRICT: STRUCTURE HEIGHT:

**ELEVATION:** 

ANTENNA RAD CENTER: 90' RF DATASHEET: 11/15/2001 (REV. 2)

RF ENGINEER: TONY HOUWELING LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES

ANTENNA LOCATION: ON MONOPOLE EQUIPMENT LOCATION: SHELTER EQUIPMENT ROOM GENERAL CONTRACTOR: EK TECHNOLOGY

THOMAS E. SMITH 299 MADISON AVENUE MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962 973-267-8830

(973) 386-8621

THOMAS R. CABANA 11/4/0/ DATE



EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC. 1247 WARD AVENUE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011 CONTACT: ROB DAVIS PHONE: (401) 272-1969

#### SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16

20 ANTOLINI ROAD NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT




15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652

	DATE		REVISIONS	BY		P6.dd
0	09/10/01	ISSUED I	FOR CONSTRUCTION	 OPO	PDC	
_			BILL OF MATERIALS	 OPO	PDC	

L16 - NEW HARTFORD

SHEET 24623-313 CT-L16-01



NOTE:
COMPLETENESS AND ACCURACY OF LOCATION AND DEPTH OF
UNDERGROUND UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES CANNOT BE
GUARANTEED. LOCATION AND DEPTH OF ALL UNDERGROUND
UTILITIES AND FACILITIES MUST BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO ANY
EARTH MOVING ACTIVITIES.

SITE PLAN NOT TO SCALE

L16 - NEW HARTFORD

SITE LAYOUT

JOB NO. DRAWING NUMBER CT-L16-02 24623-313

Edwards McKelcey EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC. 1247 WARD AVENUE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011 CONTACT: ROB DAVIS PHONE: (401) 272-1969


SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16 20 ANTOLINI ROAD NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT



AT&T 15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652

				10	DAILEN		
١.	DATE		REVISIONS		84	СНК	ΑP
	09/10/01	ISSUED FO	R CONSTRUCTION		OPO	PDC	L
			ILL OF MATERIALS		OPD		
							1

2



#### **GENERAL NOTES:**

- ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS OF NATIONAL STATE, CITY, AND LOCAL CODES, STANDARDS, AND AMENDMENTS.
- 2. INFORMATION SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS WAS OBTAINED FROM INFORMATION AND DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY CONTRACTOR. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONTRACTOR OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL OR PROCEEDING WITH
- 3. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK. ALL DIMENSIONS OF EXISTING CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS ARE INTENDED AS CUIDELINES ONLY AND MUST BE VERIFIED.
- ALL ITEMS OTHER THAN WHAT IS NOTED IN THE BILL OF MATERIALS FOR ANTENNAS, WILL BE PROVIDED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR.
- 5. IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CAN NOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION FOR APPROVAL BY
- 6. ALL MATERIAL SHALL BE FURNISHED AND WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF SPECIFICATIONS LISTED BELOW.
- 7. FIELD ROUTE ALL CONDUITS, CABLES, ETC. AS REQUIRED. CONFIRM THE EXACT ROUTING WITH THE ON-SITE CONTRACTOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PRIOR TO THE START OF WORK.
- 8. ALL DAMAGE TO THE EXISTING STRUCTURE DURING THE CELL SITE UPGRADE MUST BE MADE GOOD TO THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION CONDITION OR BETTER.
- REMOVE AND CLEAN UP ANY DEBRIS OR MATERIAL FROM THE SITE THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF THE CONTRACT UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK AS DIRECTED BY THE
- 10. THIS CELL SITE IS IN FULL COMMERCIAL OPERATION, THE SUBCONTRACTOR IS NOT TO DISRUPT THE EXISTING SITE'S NORMAL OPERATION. ANY WORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE COORDINATED WITH CONTRACTOR AND SCHEDULED FOR AN APPROPRIATE MAINTENANCE WINDOW USUALLY IN LOW TRAFFIC PERIODS AFTER MIDNIGHT.
- 11. SINCE THIS SITE IS ACTIVE, ALL SAFETY PRECAUTIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING AROUND HIGH LEVELS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION. EQUIPMENT SHOULD BE SHUTDOWN PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORK THAT COULD EXPOSE THE WORKERS TO DANGER. PERSONAL RF EXPOSURE MONITORS ARE ADVISED TO BE WORN TO ALERT OF ANY DANGEROUS EXPOSURE LEVELS.
- 12. WHEN OTHER CARRIERS ARE PRESENT ON THE SAME STRUCTURE MAINTAIN 10' HORIZONTAL SEPARATION AND 5' VERTICAL SEPARATION BETWEEN CARRIERS.

SUBCONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE & INSTALL 3 DP 20 AMP BREAKERS. SUBCONTRACTOR TO FIELD ROUTE 6-#10 STRANDED WIRES AND 1-#10 STRANDED GREEN INSULATED GROUND WIRE 1-INCH EMT FROM AC PANEL TO A 8X8X4-INCH JUNCTION BOX NEAR EQUIPMENT LOCATION. SUBCONTRACTOR TO RUN FLEX CONDUIT FROM JUNCTION BOX TO 3-FEET ABOVE GROUND.

SUBCONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE, INSTALL, & FIELD ROUTE (1.5 MBIT/s) CAT SE T-1 LINE FROM THE NETWORK INTERFACE UNIT (NIU) TO LUCENT BTS CABINET PER DETAIL 1016A. CONNECTION TO CABINET WILL BE MADE BY LUCENT.

15. GROUND:

SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE PIG TAIL WITH 2-HOLE LUG (DETAIL 508) FOR GROUNDING THE LUCENT GSM (BTS AND POWER) CABINET FRAMES TO EXISTING HALO GROUND RING USING #6 AWG STRANDED AND INSULATED GREEN COPPER WIRE WITH COMPRESSION TYPE CONNECTOR. IF CONNECTION TO HALO IS NOT FEASIBLE, PROVIDE #6 AWG STRANDED & INSULATED GREEN COPPER WIRE FROM LUCENT GSM (BTS AND POWER) CABINET FRAMES TO MASTER GROUND BAR (DETAIL 509) AND TERMINATE WITH 2-HOLE LUG PER DETAIL 508. PROVIDE 2 GROUNDS PER CABINET. CONNECTION TO CABINET WILL BE MADE BY LUCENT.

16. CLEARANCE:

REVISIONS

GSM CABINET SHOULD HAVE A MINIMUM OF 36-INCH FRONT CLEARANCE.


#### REFERENCE SPECIFICATIONS:

- 1. 24623-033-3PS-A00Z-00002, SCOPE OF WORK (EXHIBIT "D") FOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES.
- 2. 24623-033-3PS-A00Z-00005, (EXHIBIT "E") FOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES.

DPD PDC

DPD PDC

BY CHK APP



L16 - NEW HARTFORD

EQUIPMENT ROOM LAYOUT AND NOTES

DATE SCALE AS SHOWN

DESIGNED

11/16/01 REVISED BILL OF MATERIALS

0 09/10/01 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION

SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16 20 ANTOLINI ROAD

NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT



15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652

5

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011

PHONE: (401) 272-1969

CONTACT: ROB DAVIS

EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC.

WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259


6


1247 WARD AVENUE

3

2

DRAWING NUMBER JOB NO. CT-L16-03 24623-313






1. SEE SHEET 05 FOR SPECIFIC ANTENNA/COAX CONFIGURATION.

(2 (04)

2. ROUTE NEW COAX CABLES ALONGSIDE EXISTING CABLES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON PLANS.

TYPICAL ANTENNA ORIENTATION PLAN NOT TO SCALE



THOMAS R. CABANA /// 19 DATE

**Edwards** 

EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC. 1247 WARD AVENUE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011 CONTACT: ROB DAVIS PHONE: (401) 272-1969

SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16

20 ANTOLINI ROAD NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

4



15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652


SCALE AS SHOWN		HOWN	DESIGNED		DRAWN		
NO.	DATE		REVISIONS			снк	APP'0
0	09/10/01	ISSUED	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION			PDC	
1	11/16/01	REVISED	BILL OF MATERIALS		OPI	PDC	
						+	
	ļ					┦—	

L16 - NEW HARTFORD

ELEVATION AND ANTENNA AZIMUTH

JOB NO. 24623-313 CT-L16-04

## BILL OF MATERIALS



1. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE ACTUAL LENGTH IN THE FIELD BEFORE INSTALLATION

2. TAG (SEE DETAIL 5 ON SHEET 06) & COLOR CODE ALL MAIN CABLES AT LOCATIONS PER AWS TOWER/ANTENNA CABLE MARKING STANDARD: TOP OF TOWER END OF MAIN COAX BOTTOM OF TOWER SHELTER EXTERIOR AT CABLE ENTRY PORT WAVE GUIDE PORT SHELTER INTERIOR AT CABLE ENTRY PORT DIRECTLY BEFORE AND AFTER RF EQUIPMENT (DUPLEXERS, DIPLEXERS, ETC.) END OF INTERIOR JUMPERS AT BTS EQUIPMENT

- 3. ANTENNAS SHALL BE PROCURED AND INSTALLED WITH DOWN TILT MOUNTING BRACKETS SUPPLIED BY ANTENNA MANUFACTURER
- 4. PRIOR APPROVAL IS REQUIRED BEFORE PERFORMING ANY WORK ON EXISTING CELL SITE EQUIPMENT
- 5. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL GROUNDING KITS AND WEATHER PROOFING KITS.
- 6. INFORMATION FROM RF DATA SHEETS, REV. 1 (6/26/01).

THOMAS R. CABANA /1/19/01 DATE

L16 - NEW HARTFORD

EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC.

EXISTING SECTOR

GROUNDING KIT

**EXISTING** 

(TOP OF

GROUND BAR

STRUCTURE

SEE DETAIL)

2 07 07

EXISTING GROUND BAR (BOTTOM OF STRUCTURE)

> EQUIPMENT SHELTER/ROOM

GROUND TO ---

Ø

Tv1

8

6

6

GSM BTS

TOMA BTS

Tx1/Rx1

3/4 VDP ANTENNA CONFIGURATION

Tx2/Rx2

ANTENNA

TDMA

Tx1/Rx1

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011 CONTACT: ROB DAVIS PHONE: (401) 272-1969

SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16 20 ANTOLINI ROAD

NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

WATER PROOFING

KIT, TYP.

FXISTING

GROUND

BAR (INTERIOR)



15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652

-		UOWN.	DESIGNED	DDAWN			- 1
<b>VO</b> .	DATE		REVISIONS		37	СНК	APP'0
0	09/10/01	ISSUED F	OR CONSTRUCTION	0	PD	PDC	
1	11/16/01	REVISED 8	BILL OF MATERIALS	0	PD	PDC	
				 +	-		

CT-L16-05

ANTENNA SCHEMATIC AND BILL OF MATERIALS

24623-313

1247 WARD AVENUE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259

5


EXISTING SECTOR

INFW

Tx1/Rx1 Rx2/Tx2

ANTENNA

3




RJ48C 8 PIN CONNECTOR


\* TI CABLE MUST BE COS DATACOM (NO SUBSTITUTION) NOTES:

- 1. THE CABLE IS SUITABLE FOR LUCENT FLEXENT SITE GSM BTS.
- 2. THE CABLE IS A STRAIGHT-THROUGH CABLE WITH IDENTICAL CONNECTOR IF MODULAR PLUG USED AT BOTH ENDS.
- 3. PAIRS 3&4 NOT SHOWN/ USED FOR RJ48C BUT ARE TERMINATED IN MODULAR PLUG PER ANSI/TIA/EIA (T568B).










ONE		_
LINE	DIAGRAM	(2)
NOT TO	SCALE	06



TAG SHALL BE MADE OF STEEL OR EQUIVALENT AND ATTACHED TO CABLE WITH CORROSION PROOF WIRE.

TAG LABELING	(5)
NOT TO SCALE	(06)

EXISITNG 200A PANEL								
DESCRIPTION	BREAKER	CIRCUIT	CIRCUIT	BREAKER	DESCRIPTION			
LIVAC	50	1	2	20	, TOWER LIGHTS			
HVAC	50	3	4	15	SMOKE			
REC 1	30	5	6	30	REC 2			
REC 1	30	7	8	30	NCO 2			
REC 3	30	9	10	30	REC 4			
NEC 5	50	11	12		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
REC 5	30	13	14	30	SPARE			
		15	16		J			
SPARE	30	17	18	20	NEW GSM			
31 7112		19	20		NEW COM			
SPARE	30	21	22	20	NEW GSM			
3		23	24					
SPARE	30	25	26	20	NEW GSM			
		27	28					
CORD REEL	15	29	30	15	INT/EXT LIGHT			
INTERIOR RECP	20	31	32	20	INT RECEPTACLES			
SPARE		33	34		SPARE			
SPARE		35	36		SPARE			
SPARE		37	38		SPARE			
SPARE		39	40	30	SPARE			
SPARE		41	42	50	SI AILE			
		SURGE A	RRESTER					

NOTE: SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD AND MAKE ADJUSTMENTS IF NECESSARY

PANEL SCHEDULE NOT TO SCALE

ANTENNA MOUNT DETAIL NOT TO SCALE Edwards

EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC. 1247 WARD AVENUE WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011 CONTACT: ROB DAVIS PHONE: (401) 272-1969

SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16 20 ANTOLINI ROAD

NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT


AT&T 15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652


						_	
_							
ī	11/16/01	REVISED	BILL OF MATERIALS		DPD	PDC	
)	09/10/01	ISSUED	FOR CONSTRUCTION		DPD	PDC	
0.	DATE	REVISIONS			BY	снк	APP'D
CA	CALE AS SHOWN		DESIGNED		DRAWN		

THOMAS R. CABANA 11/19/01 DATE

L16 - NEW HARTFORD STANDARD

DETAILS 24623-313 CT-L16-06







#### NOTE:

- DO NOT INSTALL CABLE GROUND KIT AT A BEND AND ALWAYS DIRECT GROUND WIRE DOWN TO GROUND BAR.
- 2. GROUNDING KIT SHALL BE TYPE AND PART NUMBER AS SUPPLIED OR RECOMMENDED BY CABLE MANUFACTURER.
- 3. WEATHER PROOFING SHALL BE TYPE AND PART NUMBER AS SUPPLIED OR RECOMMENDED BY CABLE MANUFACTURER.

CONNECTION OF CABLE GROUND KIT TO ANTENNA CABLE (513A) NOT TO SCALE





INSTALLATION OF GROUND WIRE TO GROUND BAR (508A)
NOT TO SCALE



#### LEGEND

- 1- COPPER GROUND BAR, 1"X 4"X 20", NEWTON INSTRUMENT CO. CAT. NO. 8-6142 OR EQUAL. HOLE CENTERS TO MATCH NEMA
- 2- INSULATORS, NEWTON INSTRUMENT CAT. NO. 3061-4
- 3- 5/8" LOCKWASHERS, NEWTON INSTRUMENT CO. CAT. NO. 3015-8
- WALL MOUNTING BRACKET, NEWTON INSTRUMENT CO. CAT NO. A-6056
- 5- 5/8-11 X 1" HHCS BOLTS, NEWTON INSTRUMENT CO. CAT NO. 3012-1





1. 4 MAX. LOOPED ARRESTORS

SURGE ARRESTOR GROUNDING DETAIL (527)



THOMAS R: CABANA P.E. No. 21784

24623-313

L16 - NEW HARTFORD

STANDARD DETAILS JOB NO.

CT-L16-07

Edwards EDWARDS AND KELCEY, INC.

1247 WARD AVENUE

WEST CHESTER, PA 19380-4259

E & K PROJ.#: 020015.011 CONTACT: ROB DAVIS PHONE: (401) 272-1969

SITE NAME: NEW HARTFORD SITE#: L16

20 ANTOLINI ROAD NEW HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT



AT&T 15 EAST MIDLAND AVE. PARAMUS, NJ 07652

DPD PDC 1 11/16/01 REVISED BILL OF MATERIALS 0 09/10/01 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION REVISIONS BY CHK APP'D DATE SCALE AS SHOWN DESIGNED DRAWN

3

2