STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935
Fax: (860) 827-2950

August 9, 2002

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder & Worby LLP
90 Maple Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601-5196

RE: **EM-AT&T-086-020701** – AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 71 Moxley Hill Road, Montville, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Fisher:

At a public meeting held on August 1, 2002, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the condition that an inspection of the of the existing coax cables be conducted by a Professional Engineer prior to placement of antennas on the tower. Any necessary structural modifications resulting from the inspection shall be designed by a Professional Engineer.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice received in our office on July 1, 2002. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Mortimer A. Gelston
Chairman

c: Honorable Howard R. Beetham, Jr., Mayor, Town of Montville
Marcia Vaun, Town Planner, Town of Montville
Julie M. Donaldson, Esq., Hurwitz & Sagarin LLC
Stephen J. Humes, Esq., LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae
Kenneth C. Baldwin, Robinson & Cole LLP
Thomas Flynn, Nextel Communications


AT&T 71 Moxley Hill Road, Montville 7-08-02

NOTICE OF INTENT TO MODIFY AN EXISTING TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AT 71 MOXLEY HILL ROAD, MONTVILLE, CONNECTICUT

Pursuant to the Public Utility Environmental Standards Act, Connecticut General Statutes § 16-50g et. seq. ("PUESA"), and Sections 16-50j-72(b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies adopted pursuant to the PUESA, AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T Wireless ("AT&T Wireless") hereby notifies the Connecticut Siting Council of its intent to modify an existing facility located at 71 Moxley Hill Road, Montville, Connecticut (the "Moxley Hill Road Facility"), owned by Wireless Solutions. AT&T Wireless and Wireless Solutions have agreed to share the use of the Moxley Hill Road Facility, as detailed below.

The Moxley Hill Road Facility

The Moxley Hill Road Facility consists of an approximately one hundred ninety (190) foot guyed Lattice tower (the "Tower") and associated equipment currently being used for wireless communications by Sprint, Nextel, the VoiceStream and Verizon. A chain link fence surrounds the Tower compound. The current surrounding land uses are predominantly commercial and the site is buffered by natural vegetation.

AT&T Wireless' Facility

As shown on the enclosed plans prepared by Natcomm, LLC,, including a site plan and tower elevation of the Moxley Hill Road Facility, AT&T Wireless proposes shared use of the Facility by placing antennas on the Tower and equipment cabinets needed to provide personal communications services ("PCS") within the existing fenced compound. AT&T Wireless will install 6 panel antennas at approximately the 130 foot level of the Tower and associated equipment cabinets (2 proposed, 2 future, each 76"H x 30" W x 30" D) located on a concrete pad within the fenced compound. As evidenced in the structural report prepared by Walker Engineering, Inc., annexed hereto as Exhibit A, AT&T has confirmed that the tower is structurally capable of supporting the addition of AT&T Wireless' antennas.

AT&T Wireless' Facility Constitutes An Exempt Modification

The proposed addition of AT&T Wireless' antennas and equipment to the Moxley Hill Road Facility constitutes an exempt "modification" of an existing facility as defined in Connecticut General Statutes Section 16-50i(d) and Council regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. Addition of AT&T Wireless' antennas and equipment to the Tower will not result in an increase of the Tower's height nor extend the site boundaries. Further, there will be no increase in noise levels by six (6) decibels or more at the Tower site's boundary. As set forth in an Emissions Report prepared by Mark G. van der Hoek, Radio Frequency Engineer, annexed hereto as Exhibit B, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density at the Tower site's

boundary will not be increased to or above the standard adopted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection as set forth in Section 22a-162 of the Connecticut General Statutes and MPE limits established by the Federal Communications Commission. For all the foregoing reasons, addition of AT&T Wireless' facility to the Tower constitutes an exempt modification which will not have a substantially adverse environmental effect.

Conclusion

Accordingly, AT&T Wireless requests that the Connecticut Siting Council acknowledge that its proposed modification to the Moxley Hill Road Facility meets the Council's exemption criteria.

Respectfully Submitted,

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
On behalf of AT&T Wireless

cc: Mayor, Town of Montville
RJ Wetzel, Bechtel



ANTENNA CONFIGURATION

2 TOWER ELEVATION

SCALE: 1" = 30'-0"

NOTE:
LATITUDE: 41° 26' 09"
LONGITUDE: 72° 07' 20"
COORDINATES WERE TAKEN WITH A HAND HELD GPS

NOTE:
STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS BY WALKER ENGINEERING, INC. OF A 190' ROHN 80 GUY TOWER MONTVILLE-MOXLEY HILL ROAD, 71 MOXLEY HILL ROAD, MONTVILLE, CT 06351 (CT-236) DATED MAY 31, 2002 BY J. L. WALKER LICENSE NO. 21197

"ISSUED FOR SITING COUNCIL"

Natcomm, LLC
63-2 North Branford Road
Branford, Connecticut 06405
Tel. (203) 488-0580
Fax (203) 488-8587
Consulting Engineers - Project Management
Civil - Structural - Mechanical - Electrical

AT&T
AT&T WIRELESS PCS LLC
12 OMEGA DRIVE
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06907

DRAWING TITLE: SITING COUNCIL

PROJECT INFORMATION:
MONTVILLE
CT-236
71 MOXLEY HILL ROAD
MONTVILLE, CT 06360

LESSOR:
WIRELESS SOLUTIONS
P.O. BOX 284
OLD LYME, CT 06371

DRAWING NO. 907-009-236A-SC2

REVISION NO. A	DRAWN BY: CMS
DATE ISSUED: 06/04/02	CHECKED BY: JJP
SCALE: AS NOTED	APPROVED BY: CFC
	SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
A/E PROJECT NO: 551A	



1 COMPOUND PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 30'-0"

NOTE:
LATITUDE: 41° 26' 09"
LONGITUDE: 72° 07' 20"
COORDINATES WERE TAKEN WITH A HAND HELD GPS

"ISSUED FOR SITING COUNCIL"

Natcomm, LLC
63-2 North Branford Road
Branford, Connecticut 06405
Tel. (203) 488-0580
Fax (203) 488-8587
Consulting Engineers - Project Management
Civil · Structural · Mechanical · Electrical

AT&T
AT&T WIRELESS PCS LLC
12 OMEGA DRIVE
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06907

DRAWING TITLE: SITING COUNCIL

PROJECT INFORMATION:
MONTVILLE
CT-236
71 MOXLEY HILL ROAD
MONTVILLE, CT 06360

LESSOR:
WIRELESS SOLUTIONS
P.O. BOX 284
OLD LYME, CT 06371

DRAWING NO. 907-009-236A-SC1

REVISION NO. A	DRAWN BY: CMS
DATE ISSUED: 06/04/02	CHECKED BY: JJP
SCALE: AS NOTED	APPROVED BY: CFC
	SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
A/E PROJECT NO: 551A	

WALKER ENGINEERING, INC.

8451 DUNWOODY PLACE
NORTHRIDGE 400, BLDG. 8
DUNWOODY, GA 30350
(770) 641-7306 FAX (770) 587-2196

CIVIL • STRUCTURAL

N 33° 59' 13.6" W 84° 20' 26.8"

Mr. Jason J. Pintek
Natcomm, LLC
63-2 North Branford Road
Branford, CT 06405

06/04/02
CT-236.2
Montville



Sub: Structural Analysis of 190-ft ROHN 80 Guy Tower
71 Moxley Hill Road, Montville, CT 06360

Dear Mr. Pintek:

Walker Engineering has performed a Level-Two finite element, P-Δ structural re-analysis of the above noted tower in accordance with your Authorization for Services for the addition of the **AT&T Wireless** proposed antennas outlined below. This analysis consists of determining the forces on the tower caused by existing, proposed, and future loads. The existing, proposed, and future loads were provided by your office.

The subject tower is a 190-ft, three face, guyed-tower, designed and manufactured by ROHN in 1998. The tower manufacturer's drawings, ROHN eng. File No.: 37183AE001, Drawing No.: C980880, dated 04/21/98, were provided by your office. The tower geometry, member sizes, and foundation design loads were obtained from these data and are assumed to be accurate. The tower has also been assumed to be in good condition and capable of supporting its original full design capacity.

Our analysis was performed in accordance with TIA/EIA-222-**F** for an 85 mph[1] base windload, and 75% of the base windload with ½" radial ice, as specified by Natcomm, LLC.

Existing and proposed loads consist of the following:

at 180 ft	Nextel: Nine panel antennas on three gateboom mounts, fed by nine 1-5/8"∅ coax cables.
at 167 ft	Torque arm assembly.
at 160 ft	Sprint: Twelve DB980H65 panel antennas on three gateboom mounts, fed by twelve 1-5/8"∅ coax cables.

[1] The minimum windspeed specified by EIA-222-F for New Condon County, CT is 85 mph.

at 150 ft Voicestream: Twelve panel antennas on three gateboom mounts, fed by twelve 1-5/8"∅ coax cables.

at 140 ft Verizon: Twelve DB874 panel antennas on three gateboom mounts, fed by twelve 1-5/8"∅ coax cables.

at 130 ft **AT&T (*Proposed*):** Six Allgon 7250 panel antennas on three T-frame sector mounts (copy attached), fed by twelve 1-1/4"∅ coax cables.

at 93 ft Torque arm assembly.

Note: Placement of coax cables ***is critical***. The analysis ***assumes*** that the coax cables (existing, future, and proposed) are installed on the tower per the Elevation and Cable Plan Drawing EL-1. Additional waveguide ladders may be required. *Please notify the undersigned prior to altering the cable routing configuration or if the coax configuration is different than the following chart.* Placement of small cables for beacons, ground rods, etc. are not critical.

	Existing:	**Proposed/Future:**
Face A:	9ea 1-5/8"∅ to 180' (Nextel)	12ea 1-1/4"∅ to 130' **(AT&T)** (Install per drawing EL-1)
Face B:	12ea 1-5/8"∅ to 140' (Verizon)	None
Face C:	6ea 1-5/8"∅ to 160' (Sprint) 6ea 1-5/8"∅ to 150' (Voicestream)	6ea 1-5/8"∅ to 160' (Sprint) (Install per drawing EL-1) 6ea 1-5/8"∅ to 150' (Voicestream) (Install per drawing EL-1)

Tower Summary:

This analysis shows that the subject tower **is adequate** to support the existing, future, and proposed loads.

A copy of the full analysis is enclosed. A summary of the controlling load cases is provided below:

Guys	Allowable	Existing/Proposed	% of Design
at 167'	39.85 k	19.41 k	49 %
at 93'	21.20 k	14.89 k	70 %

Tower Element	Combined Stress Index[2]
Legs (Max)	1.00
Diagonal Bracing (Max)	0.53

Foundation Summary:

The forces at the tower mast base and guy anchor foundations are less than the original design loads. The existing tower mast base and guy anchor foundations **are adequate** to support the existing, future, and proposed loads.

Foundation Loads	Design[3]	Existing/ Proposed	% of Capacity
Mast	164.8 k (vert.)	123 k	75 %
Guy	59.2 k (vert.)	43 k	73 %
Anchor	66.1 k (horiz.)	51 k	77 %

As future loads are installed, the tower should be re-evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

The analysis is based on information provided to this office by Natcomm, LLC. If the existing conditions are different than the information in this report, Walker Engineering should be contacted for resolution of any issues.

Walker Engineering appreciates the opportunity to be of service in this matter. Please do not hesitate to give me a call if you have any questions or comments.

Very truly yours,

Jim Walker, P.E.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT · JAMES L WALKER · 21797 · LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

encl.

[2] Ratio of calculated loads verses total allowable loads; should be less than, or equal to, 1.00.
[3] Original foundation reactions from ROHN Drawing No.: C980880, dated 04/21/98.

RF Exposure Analysis for Proposed AT&T Wireless Antenna Facility

907-909-236

June 27, 2002

Prepared by AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.
Mark G. van der Hoek **RF Engineer**

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

This report constitutes an RF exposure analysis for the proposed AT&T Wireless antenna facility to be located at *71 Moxley Hill Road, Montville, CT.* This analysis uses site-specific engineering data to determine the predicted levels of radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic energy in the vicinity of the proposed facility and compares those levels with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits established by the Federal Communications Commission.

2. Site Data

Site Name: ***Montville SE***	
Number of simultaneously operating channels	12
Type of antenna	Allgon 7250.02
Power per channel (Watts ERP)	250.0 Watts
Height of antenna (feet AGL)	130 feet
Antenna Aperture Length	5 feet

3. RF Exposure Prediction

The following equations established by the FCC, in conjunction with the site data, were used to determine the levels of RF electromagnetic energy present in the vicinity of the proposed facility[1]:

$$PowerDensity = \frac{0.64 * N * EIRP(\theta)}{\pi * R^2} \ (mW/cm^2) \qquad \textit{Eq. 1-Far-field}$$

Where, ***N***= Number of channels, ***R***= distance in cm from the RC (Radiation Center) of antenna, and ***EIRP(θ)*** = The isotropic power expressed in milliwatts in the direction of prediction point. This is the correct equation for antennas which have their gain expressed in dBi, which is the usual case for the PCS bands.

$$PowerDensity = \frac{P_{in} / ch * N * 10^3}{2 * \pi * R * h * \alpha / 360} \ (mW/cm^2) \qquad \textit{Eq. 2-Near-field}$$

Where P_{in}/ch = Input power to antenna terminals in watts/ch, R = distance to center of radiation, h = aperture height in meters, α = 3 dB beam-width of horizontal pattern.

[1] RF exposure is measured and predicted in terms of power density in units of milliwatts (mW), a thousandth of a watt, or microwatts (μ W), a millionth of a watt, per square centimeter (cm^2). Data comparing predictive analysis with on site measurements has demonstrated that power density can be effectively predicted at given locations in the vicinity of a wireless antenna facility.

4. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation

In 1985, the FCC established rules to regulate radio frequency (RF) exposure from FCC licensed antenna facilities. In 1996, the FCC updated these rules, which were further amended in August 1997 by a Second Memorandum Opinion and Order. These new rules represent a consensus of the federal agencies responsible for the protection of public health and the environment, including the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH), and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Under the laws that govern the delivery of wireless communications services in the United States, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the FCC has exclusive jurisdiction over RF emissions from personal wireless antenna facilities, which include cellular, PCS, messaging and aviation sites. [2] Pursuant to its authority under federal law, the FCC has established rules to regulate the safety of emissions from these facilities.

5. Comparison with Standards

Exhibit A shows the levels of RF electromagnetic energy as one moves away from the antenna facility. As shown in Exhibit A, the maximum power density is 0.004962 mW/cm^2 which occurs at 140 feet from the antenna facility. The chart in exhibit A also shows that the power density is only .035 % of MPE at a distance of 1 foot. Table 1 below shows the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits established by the FCC. There are different MPE limits for public/uncontrolled and occupational/controlled environments.

Table 1: Maximum Permissible Exposure limits for RF radiation

Frequency	*Public/Uncontrolled*	*Occupational/controlled*	*Maximum power density at Accessible location*
Cellular	.580 mW/cm^2	2.9 mW/cm^2	0.004962 mW/cm^2
PCS	1 mW/cm^2	5 mW/cm^2	

The maximum power density at the proposed facility represents only .81% of the public MPE limit for PCS frequencies.

6. Conclusion

This analysis show that the maximum power density in accessible areas at this location is 0.004962 mW/cm^2, a level of RF energy that is well below the Maximum Permissible Exposure limit established by the FCC.

[2] 47 U.S. C. Section 332 (c) (7)(B)(iv) states that "[n]o State or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Commission's regulations concerning such emissions."

7. FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure



8. Exhibit A

9. For Further Information

Additional information about the environmental impact of RF energy from personal wireless antenna facilities can be obtained from the Federal Communications Commission:

Dr. Robert Cleveland
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Engineering and Technology
Washington, DC 20554

RF Safety Program: 202-418-2464
Internet address: rfsafety@fcc.gov
RF Safety Web Site: www.fcc.gov/oet/rfsafety

10. References

[1] The Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. Section 332 (c)(7)(B)(iv).

[2] *Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation*, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, ET Docket 93-62, 8 FCC Rcd 2849 (1993).

[3] *Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation*, Report and Order, ET Docket 93-62, FCC 96-326, adopted August 1, 1996. 61 Federal Register 41006 (1996).

[4] *Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation*, Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, ET Docket 93-62, adopted August 25, 1997.

[5] *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields*, OET Bulletin 65, August, 1997.







Number of Antenna Systems: 5

Meets FCC Controlled Limits for The Antennas Systems.

Meets FCC Uncontrolled Limits for The Antenna Systems.

Meets 5% of FCC Uncontrolled Limits for The Antenna Systems.

No Further Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Analysis Required.

	Power Density		@Horiz. Dist.
	mW/cm^2	% of limit	feet
Maximum Power Density =	0.004962	0.81	140.00
123.03 times lower than the MPE limit for uncontrolled environment			
Composite Power (ERP) =	24,250.00	Watts	

Antenna System One

	units	Value
Frequency	MHz	1945.00
# of Channels	#	12
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250.00
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	5.60
(Center of Radiator)	feet	130.00
Calculation Point	feet	6.00
(above ground or		0.00
roof surface)		0.00
Antenna Model No.		Allgon 7250.02
Max Ant Gain	dBd	16.50
Down tilt	degrees	2.00
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0.00
Height of aperture	feet	5.11
Ant HBW	degrees	65.00
Distance to Ant_{bottom}	feet	121.45
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Antenna System Two

	units	Value
Frequency	MHz	850.00
# of Channels	#	12
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250.00
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	15.77
(Center of Radiator)	feet	130.00
Calculation Point	feet	6.00
(above ground or		0.00
roof surface)		0.00
Antenna Model No.		DB844H90-XY
Max Ant Gain	dBd	12.00
Down tilt	degrees	0.00
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0.00
Height of aperture	feet	4.00
Ant HBW	degrees	90.00
Distance to Ant_{bottom}	feet	172.00
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Site ID: 907-009-236
Site Name: Montville SE
Site Location: 71 Moxley Hill Road
Montville, CT 06360

Performed By: Mark G. van der Hoek

Date: 6/27/02

Ant System ONE Owner: AT&T
Sector: 1
Azimuth: 0/120/240

Ant System TWO Owner: Nextel
Sector: 0
Azimuth 0







Antenna System Three

	units	Value
Frequency	MHz	1965.00
# of Channels	#	12
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250.00
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	5.86
(Center of Radiator)	feet	160.00
Calculation Point	feet	6.00
(above ground or		0.00
roof surface)		0.00
Antenna Model No.		Allgon 7250.03
Max Ant Gain	dBd	16.30
Down tilt	degrees	0.00
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0.00
Height of aperture	feet	5.11
Ant HBW	degrees	65.00
Distance to Ant_{bottom}	feet	151.45
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Ant System Three Owner: Sprint
Sector: 0
Azimuth 0

Antenna System Four

	units	Value
Frequency	MHz	1945.00
# of Channels	#	16
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250.00
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	5.86
(Center of Radiator)	feet	150.00
Calculation Point	feet	6.00
(above ground or		0.00
roof surface)		0.00
Antenna Model No.		Allgon 7250.03
Max Ant Gain	dBd	16.30
Down tilt	degrees	0.00
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0.00
Height of aperture	feet	5.11
Ant HBW	degrees	65.00
Distance to Ant_{bottom}	feet	141.45
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Ant System Four Owner: VoiceStream
Sector: 0
Azimuth: 0

Antenna System Five

	units	Value
Frequency	MHz	880.00
# of Channels	#	45
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250.00
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	15.77
(Center of Radiator)	feet	140.00
Calculation Point	feet	6.00
(above ground or		0.00
roof surface)		0.00
Antenna Model No.		DB844H90-XY
Max Ant Gain	dBd	12.00
Down tilt	degrees	0.00
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0.00
Height of aperture	feet	4.00
Ant HBW	degrees	90.00
Distance to Ant_{bottom}	feet	132.00
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Ant System Five Owner: Verizon
Sector: 0
Azimuth: 0

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935
Fax: (860) 827-2950

July 23, 2002

Honorable Howard R. Beetham, Jr.
Mayor
Town of Montville
Town Hall
310 Norwich New London Turnpike
Uncasville, CT 06382

RE: **EM-AT&T-086-020701** - AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 71 Moxley Hill Road, Montville, Connecticut.

Dear Mayor Beetham:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

The Council will consider this item at the next meeting scheduled for August 1, 2002, at 2:30 p.m. in Hearing Room Two, Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut.

Please call me or inform the Council if you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

SDP/laf

S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/laf

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Marcia Vlaun, Town Planner, Town of Montville