

December 15th, 2017

Melanie A. Bachman Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re:	Notice of Exempt Modification – Antenna Swap and RRU Add
Property Address:	53-73 Slater St. Manchester, CT 06040
Applicant:	AT&T Mobility, LLC

#### Dear Ms. Bachman:

On behalf of AT&T, please accept this application as notification pursuant to R.C.S.A. §16-50j-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. §16- 50j-72(b) (2).

AT&T currently maintains a wireless telecommunications facility consisting of six (6) wireless telecommunication antennas at an antenna center line height of 145-feet on an existing 155-foot monopole, owned by Crown Castle at 12 Gill St. Suite 5800, Woburn, MA 01801. AT&T now intends to swap (3) 4' Kathrein 800-10121 for (3) 6' CCI OPA-65R-LCUU-H6Panel Antennas, each swap occurring in position [1] all sectors for a total of three (3) antennas being swapped. AT&T also wishes to add (1) RRUS-E2 on position [1] all sectors, for a total of (3) RRUS E2s. Lastly, AT&T also intends to swap (2) LGP21401 TMA's for (2) CCI TPX-070821 Triplexers on position [1] in all sectors, for a total of (6) triplexers to be mounted on the existing antenna mount.

Per the attached Certificate of Approval of Special Exception, issued by the Town of Manchester Planning and Zoning Commission, the construction of the above mentioned tower was approved by the Town of Manchester on August 17th, 1998.

In addition, attached is a summary of the planned modifications including power density calculations reflecting the change in AT&T's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration.

Please accept this letter pursuant to Regulation of Connecticut State Agencies §16-50j-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-510j-72(b) (2). In accordance with R.C.S.A., a copy of this letter is being sent to James Davis, Zoning Enforcement Officer – Town of Manchester, Building Department, 494 Main St., Manchester, CT 06045 and Jay Moran, Manchester Board of Directors, 41 Center St. P.O. Box 191, Manchester, CT 06045. A copy of this letter is also being sent to the property owner One Hundred Twenty One Connecticut Avenue Associates, LLC, 9 Lake Lane, Ellington, CT 06029 and to the tower company, Crown Castle, 3 Corporate Park Drive Suite 101, Clifton Park, NY 12065.

The following is a list of subsequent decisions by the Connecticut Siting Council:

- **EM-AT&T-077-020321** AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 53-73 Slater Avenue, Manchester, Connecticut.
- EM-CING-048-077-132-151-151-070717 New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located at 101 Burbank Road, Ellington; 53 Slater Street, Manchester; 391 Niederwerfer Road, South Windsor; Farmdale Drive, Waterbury; and 229 Sheffield Street, Waterbury, Connecticut.
- EM-CING-077-091116 New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing



telecommunications facility located at 53 Slater Street, Manchester, Connecticut.

- EM-CING-077-120713 New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 53 Slater Street, Manchester, Connecticut.
- EM-CING-077-140415 New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 53 Slater Street, Manchester, Connecticut.
- EM-AT&T-077-160223 AT&T notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 53 Slater Street, Manchester, Connecticut.
- EM-AT&T-077-160818 AT&T notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 53 Slater Street, Manchester, Connecticut.
- **EM-AT&T-077-170418** AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 53 Slater Street, Manchester, Connecticut

The planned modifications to AT&T's facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. §16-50j-72(b) (2).

- 1. The proposed modifications will not result in an increase in the height of the existing tower. AT&T's replacement antennas will be installed at the 145-foot level of the 155-foot monopole.
- 2. The proposed modifications will not involve any changes to ground-mounted equipment and, therefore, will not require and extension of the site boundary.
- 3. The proposed modifications will not increase the noise levels at the facility by six decibels or more, or to levels that exceed state and local criteria.
- 4. The operation of the modified facility will not increase radio frequency (RF) emissions at the facility to a level at or above the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) safety standard. A cumulative worst-case RF emissions calculation for AT&T's modified facility is provided in the RF Emissions Compliance Report, included in <u>Tab 2</u>.
- 5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.
- 6. The tower and its foundation can support AT&T's proposed modifications. (See Structural Analysis Report included in <u>Tab 3</u>).

For the foregoing reasons, AT&T respectfully submits that the proposed modifications to the above referenced telecommunications facility constitutes an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. §16-50j-72(b) (2).

Sincerely,

Huchunder

Romina Kirchmaier

CC w/enclosures: James Davis, Zoning Officer, Town of Manchester Jay Moran, Mayor – Town of Manchester One Hundred Twenty One Connecticut Avenue Associates, LLC, Land Owner Crown Castle, Tower Company

Town of Manchester

41 Center Street • P.O. Box 191

Manchester, Connecticut 06045-0191

STEPHEN T. CASSANO, MAYOR JOSH M. HOWROYD, DEPUTY MAYOR JOSEPH D. NEGRI, SECRETARY

> DIRECTORS TIMOTHY H. BECKER THOMAS P. CROCKETT EDWARD HACHADOURIAN JOAN E. HUMPHREY-McMAHON JAMES E. MORANCEY CLIFTON E. THOMPSON

RICHARD J. SARTOR. GENERAL MANAGER

CERTIFIED LETTER August 24, 1998

Mr. Thomas F. Flynn, III Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. 9 Barnes Industrial Road South Wallingford, CT 06492

Re: Sprint Spectrum LP - 53 Slater Street - Special Exception (S-147)

Dear Mr. Flynn:

As agent for the applicant please be advised that at its meeting of August 17, 1998, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a telecommunications tower under Article IV, Section 19.05 of the zoning regulations for 53 Slater Street with modifications and the condition that a caveat addressing co-location requirements be submitted for staff review and filed on the land records by the applicant prior to any construction. The approval is for activities as shown on plans entitled, "SPRINT PCS; SITE ID #CT03XC211; MANCHESTER, CT, 06040," dated February 2, 1998, revised April 27, 1998, sheets YHA211Z1, YHA211Z2, YHA211Z3, YHA211Z4, YHA211Z5 by Clough, Harbour & Associates, LLP, Job. No. 23224.

The required plan modifications are detailed in the attached staff memorandum from Nick Francione to Lynne Pike DiSanto, dated August 17, 1998.

Once all the required modifications have been incorporated into the plans, please submit one set of sealed and signed washoff mylar plans and four paper copies, sealed and signed, to this office for stamping and signature. If you would like a stamped set of plans for the applicant's records, please submit an additional set of paper copies. You may want to submit two paper copies for review before submitting the mylars. We will notify you of any necessary revisions.

Also enclosed is the Certificate of Approval of Special Exception for the above referenced application. As agent for the applicant please be advised that this certificate must be recorded in the land records in the office of the Town Clerk before the Special Exception is lawfully effective. If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 647-3044.

Sincerely,

Lýnne Pike DiSanto, AICP Senior Planner

LPD/s U:\CERTS\17AUG98\S-147.WPD

cc: Engineering Department Water & Sewer Department Assessor-Town of Manchester Zoning Enforcement Officer

An Equal Opportunity Employer

# TOWN OF MANCHESTER PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION



## **CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL OF SPECIAL EXCEPTION**

Owner of record:	Raglin Associates, c/o Sullivan Tile Dist.		
Property Address:	53 Slater Street		
Applicant:	Sprint Spectrum LP		
Regulation(s) cited: Article IV Section 19.05			

## SPECIAL EXCEPTION GRANTED:

with modifications and the condition that a caveat addressing co-location requirements be submitted for staff review and filed on the land records by the applicant prior to any construction.

- \* ALL SITE WORK APPROVED BY THIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION MUST BE COMPLETED BY AUGUST 17, 2003 (5 yrs. From approval date). FAILURE TO COMPLETE ALL WORK WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIOD WILL RESULT IN AUTOMATIC EXPIRATION OF THE APPROVAL.
- \* THIS CERTIFICATE MUST BE RECORDED IN THE LAND RECORDS IN THE OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK BEFORE THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION IS LAWFULLY EFFECTIVE.

**CERTIFIED:** 

rank De

Secretary Planning and Zoning Commission

\*DATE ADOPTED: <u>August 17, 1998</u>

FILE NO. S-147





Date: September 25, 2017

 Charles McGuirt Crown Castle
 3530 Toringdon Way Suite 300 Charlotte, NC 28277
 704.405.6607 Paul J. Ford and Company 250 E Broad St, Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 221-6679 mherbert@pifweb.com

#### Subject: Structural Analysis Report

Carrier Designation:	AT&T Mobility Co-Locate	
-	Carrier Site Number:	CTL05307
	Carrier Site Name:	Manchester - Slater St.
Crown Castle Designation:	Crown Castle BU Number:	876347
	Crown Castle Site Name:	BUCKLAND MALL
	Crown Castle JDE Job Number:	461791
	Crown Castle Work Order Number:	1464570
	Crown Castle Application Number:	405822 Rev. 4
Engineering Firm Designation:	Paul J. Ford and Company Project Number:	37517-1326.003.7805
Site Data:	53 Slater Street, MANCHESTER, Hartford Co Latitude <i>41° 48' 18"</i> , Longitude <i>-72° 32' 1"</i> 155 Foot - Monopole Tower	ounty, CT

Dear Charles McGuirt,

*Paul J. Ford and Company* is pleased to submit this **"Structural Analysis Report"** to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 1085464, in accordance with application 405822, revision 4.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC7: Existing + Reserved + Proposed Equipment Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing/reserved loading, respectively. **Sufficient Capacity** 

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3-second gust wind speed of 125 mph converted to a nominal 3-second gust wind speed of 97 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix N as required for use in the ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas", with ANSI/TIA-222-G-1-2007 and ANSI/TIA-222-G-2-009 Addenda per Exception #5 of Section 1609.1.1. Risk Category II, Exposure Category C and Topographic Category 1 were used in this analysis.

We at *Paul J. Ford and Company* appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted by:

Michelle Herbert A



tnxTower Report - version 7.0.5.1



Date: September 25, 2017

Charles McGuirt **Crown Castle** 3530 Toringdon Way Suite 300 Charlotte, NC 28277 704.405.6607

Paul J. Ford and Company 250 E Broad St. Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 221-6679 mherbert@pjfweb.com

#### Subject: **Structural Analysis Report**

Carrier Designation:	AT&T Mobility Co-Locate			
-	Carrier Site Number:	CTL05307		
	Carrier Site Name:	Manchester - Slater St.		
Crown Castle Designation:	Crown Castle BU Number:	876347		
-	Crown Castle Site Name:	BUCKLAND MALL		
	Crown Castle JDE Job Number:	461791		
	Crown Castle Work Order Number:	1464570		
	Crown Castle Application Number:	405822 Rev. 4		
Engineering Firm Designation:	Paul J. Ford and Company Project Number:	37517-1326.003.7805		
Site Data:	53 Slater Street, MANCHESTER, Hartford County, CT Latitude <i>41° 48' 18"</i> , Longitude <i>-72° 32' 1"</i> 155 Foot - Monopole Tower			

Dear Charles McGuirt,

Paul J. Ford and Company is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 1085464, in accordance with application 405822, revision 4.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC7: Existing + Reserved + Proposed Equipment Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing/reserved loading, respectively. Sufficient Capacity

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3-second gust wind speed of 125 mph converted to a nominal 3-second gust wind speed of 97 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix N as required for use in the ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas", with ANSI/TIA-222-G-1-2007 and ANSI/TIA-222-G-2-2009 Addenda per Exception #5 of Section 1609.1.1. Risk Category II, Exposure Category C and Topographic

We at Paul J. Ford and Company appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted by:

Category 1 were used in this analysis.

**Michelle Herbert** Structural Designer

tnxTower Report - version 7.0.5.1

September 25, 2017 CCI BU No 876347 Page 2

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### 1) INTRODUCTION

#### 2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

#### 3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 3 - Documents Provided

- 3.1) Analysis Method
- 3.2) Assumptions

#### 4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 4 - Section Capacity (Summary) Table 5 – Tower Components vs. Capacity 4.1) Recommendations

#### 5) APPENDIX A

tnxTower Output

#### 6) APPENDIX B

**Base Level Drawing** 

#### 7) APPENDIX C

Additional Calculations

#### 1) INTRODUCTION

This tower is a 155 ft Monopole tower designed by SUMMIT in February of 2002. The tower was originally designed for a wind speed of 80 mph per TIA/EIA-222-F.

#### 2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3-second gust wind speed of 125 mph converted to a nominal 3-second gust wind speed of 97 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix N as required for use in the ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas", with ANSI/TIA-222-G-1-2007 and ANSI/TIA-222-G-2-2009 Addenda per Exception #5 of Section 1609.1.1. Risk Category II, Exposure Category C and Topographic Category 1 were used in this analysis.

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
		3	cci antennas	OPA-65R-LCUU-H6			
112.0 14		6	cci antennas	TPX-070821	1 2	3/8 3/4	
	145.0	3	ericsson	RRUS 11			
		3	ericsson	RRUS 32			
143.0		3	ericsson	RRUS 32 B2			
		3	kathrein	782 10253			
		3	quintel	QS66512-2			
		1	raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F			

#### Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

September 25, 2017 CCI BU No 876347 Page 4

	Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
			3	alcatel lucent	TD-RRH8x20-25			
			3	argus	LPX310R w/ MP			
			3	rfs celwave	APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/ MP			
		155.0	3	rfs celwave	APXVTM14-C-120 w/ MP			
			3	samsung	WIMAX DAP HEAD	2	5/8	
	155.0		1	tower mounts	Miscellaneous [NA 510-1]	3 5	1-1/4	1
			1	tower mounts	Platform Mount [LP 1201-1]	3	5/16	
			1	andrew	VHLP1-23			
		151.0	1	andrew	VHLP2-11			
		151.0	1	andrew	VHLP2.5-18			
			3	dragonwave	HORIZON COMPACT			
			3	alcatel lucent	800MHz 2X50W RRH W/FILTER			
	153.0	153.0	3	alcatel lucent	PCS 1900MHz 4x45W- 65MHz			1
			1	tower mounts	Pipe Mount [PM 601-3]			
	445.0	147.0 3		ericsson	RRUS 11			
	145.0	145.0	1	tower mounts	Pipe Mount [PM 601-3]			1
			3	cci antennas	DTMABP7819VG12A	_		
			3	ericcson	RRUS 32 B30			
		145.0	3	ericsson	RRUS-11			3
			3	kathrein	800 10121			
	143.0		6	kathrein	860 10025			
			1	raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F	1	3/8	
143.0		143.0	1	tower mounts	T-Arm Mount [TA 702-3]	2 6 1	3/4 1-1/4 Condtui	1
			3	ericsson	AIR -32 B2A/B66AA w/ MP	1	1-5/8	2
	122.0	122.0	3	ericsson	KRC 118 057/1 w/ MP			
	133.0	155.0	3	ericsson	RRUS 11 B12	1	1-1/4	1
			1	tower mounts	Platform Mount [LP 403-1]			
			3	alcatel lucent	RRH2X60-AWS			
			3	alcatel lucent	RRH2x60-700			
			3	andrew	LNX-6512DS-T0M w/ MP			
	113.0	113.0	3	antel	BXA-70063/6CFx2 w/ MP	14	1-5/8	1
			6	commscope	SBNHH-1D65B w/ MP			
			1	rfs celwave	DB-T1-6Z-8AB-0Z			
			1	tower mounts	Platform Mount [LP 1201-1]			
	60.0	60.0	1	tower mounts Side Arm Mount ISO 701-11				3

## Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

Notes:

1) 2) 3)

Existing Equipment Reserved Equipment Equipment To Be Removed

#### 3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

#### **Table 3 - Documents Provided**

Document	Remarks	Reference	Source	
4-GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS	FDH, 1204605EG1, 06/12/2012	1533476	CCISITES	
4-TOWER FOUNDATION DRAWINGS/DESIGN/SPECS	PJF, 329298-597, 09/11/1998	1615406	CCISITES	
4-TOWER MANUFACTURER DRAWINGS	PJF, A02-T0021, 02/18/2002	2068033	CCISITES	

#### 3.1) Analysis Method

tnxTower (version 7.0.5.1), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various loading cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

#### 3.2) Assumptions

- 1) Tower and structures were built in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- 2) The tower and structures have been maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specification.
- 3) The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2 and the referenced drawings.

This analysis may be affected if any assumptions are not valid or have been made in error. Paul J. Ford and Company should be notified to determine the effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

September 25, 2017 CCI BU No 876347 Page 6

#### 4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Section No.	Elevation (ft)	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P (K)	SF*P_allow (K)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
L1	155 - 115.5	Pole	TP29.31x22x0.25	1	-11.53	1507.55	55.3	Pass
L2	115.5 - 79.25	Pole	TP35.51x28.11x0.31	2	-21.34	2469.71	80.7	Pass
L3	79.25 - 43.75	Pole	TP41.46x34.06x0.38	3	-30.60	3485.55	86.0	Pass
L4	43.75 - 0	Pole	TP48.8x39.73x0.44	4	-47.45	4858.33	85.9	Pass
							Summary	
						Pole (L3)	86.0	Pass
						RATING =	86.0	Pass

#### Table 4 - Section Capacity (Summary)

#### Table 5 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity

Notes	Component	Elevation (ft)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
1	Anchor Rods	0	87.3	Pass
1	Base Plate	0	70.7	Pass
1	Base Foundation Structural Steel	0	52.6	Pass
1	Base Foundation Soil Interaction	0	10.0	Pass

Notes: 1)

See additional documentation in "Appendix C – Additional Calculations" for calculations supporting the % capacity consumed.

#### 4.1) Recommendations

The monopole and its foundation have sufficient capacity to carry the proposed loading configuration. No modifications are required at this time.

155 Ft Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Project Number 37517-1326.003.7805, Application 405822, Revision 4 September 25, 2017 CCI BU No 876347 Page 7

## **APPENDIX A**

## **TNXTOWER OUTPUT**





SmartLink, LLC on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC Site FA – 10071100 Site ID – CT5307 (5C) USID – 25942 Site Name – Manchester North Site Compliance Report

53-73 Slater Street Manchester, CT 06040

Latitude: N41-48-17.97 Longitude: W72-32-00.96 Structure Type: Monopole

Report generated date: November 10, 2017 Report by: Jake Jordan Customer Contact: Romina Kirchmaier

# AT&T Mobility, LLC will be compliant when the remediation recommended in Section 5.2 or other appropriate remediation is implemented.

Sitesafe logo is a registered trademark of Site Safe, Inc. All rights reserved.



# **Table of Contents**

1	GENERAL SITE SUMMARY	2
1	.1 Report Summary	2
2	SCALE MAPS OF SITE	3
3	ANTENNA INVENTORY	5
4	EMISSION PREDICTIONS	6
5	SITE COMPLIANCE	9
5	5.1 Site Compliance Statement	9
5	Actions for Site Compliance	9
6	REVIEWER CERTIFICATION	10
APF	PENDIX A – STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS	11
APF	PENDIX B – REGULATORY BACKGROUND INFORMATION	12
F	CC Rules and Regulations	.12
С	DSHA Statement	13
APF	PENDIX C – SAFETY PLAN AND PROCEDURES	14
APF	PENDIX D – RF EMISSIONS	15
APF	PENDIX E – ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS	16
C	General Model Assumptions	16
U D	Jse of Generic Antennas Definitions	16 17
APF	PENDIX F – REFERENCES	19



#### **General Site Summary** 1

## 1.1 Report Summary

AT&T Mobility, LLC	Summary
Access to Antennas Locked?	No
RF Sign(s) @ access point(s)	None
RF Sign(s) @ antennas	None
Barrier(s) @ sectors	None
Max cumulative simulated RFE	<1% General Public Limit
level on the Ground	
FCC & AT&T Compliant?	Will Be Compliant

The following documents were provided by the client and were utilized to create this report:

RFDS: NEW-ENGLAND\_CONNECTICUT\_CTV5307\_2018-LTE-Next-Carrier\_LTE-5C\_dr701e\_2051A0AD0V\_10071100\_25942\_04-24-2017\_Final-Approved\_v1.00

CD's: 10071100\_AE201\_171018\_CTL05307\_REV 1\_S&S

**RF Powers Used: RFDS** 



#### 2 Scale Maps of Site

The following diagrams are included:

Site Scale Map

J J Elevation View AT&T Mobility, LLC Contribution

## Site Scale Map: Manchester North





R. N.



#### Antenna Inventory 3

The following antenna inventory on this and the following page, were obtained by the customer and were utilized to create the site model diagrams:

Ant ID	Operator	Antenna Make & Model	Туре	TX Freq (MHz)	Az (Deg)	Hor BW (Deg)	Ant Len (ft)	Ant Gain (dBd)	2G GSM Radio(s)	3G UMTS Radio(s)	4G Radio(s)	Total ERP (Watts)	x	Y	z
1	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	850	50	61	6	12.46	0	1	0	239.3	25.2'	30.2'	142'
1	AT&T MOBILITY LLC (Proposed)	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	737	50	66	6	11.66	0	0	1	1475	25.2'	30.2'	142'
1	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	1900	50	60	6	14.86	0	1	0	753.4	25.2'	30.2'	142'
2	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	1900	50	68	6	14.16	0	0	1	2182.7	38.2'	33.6'	142'
2	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	737	50	69	6	11.46	0	0	1	119.4	38.2'	33.6'	142'
2	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	850	50	63	6	10.96	0	0	1	1000	38.2'	33.6'	142'
2	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	2300	50	64	6	14.56	0	0	1	2182.7	38.2'	33.6'	142'
3	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	850	170	61	6	12.46	0	1	0	238.8	38.6'	33.2'	142'
3	AT&T MOBILITY LLC (Proposed)	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	737	170	66	6	11.66	0	0	1	1475	38.6'	33.2'	142'
3	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	1900	170	60	6	14.86	0	1	0	736.2	38.6'	33.2'	142'
4	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel QS66512-2	Panel	737	170	69	6	11.46	0	0	1	1119.4	35.1'	20.1'	142'
4	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	850	170	63	6	10.96	0	0	1	1000	35.1'	20.1'	142'
4	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	1900	170	68	6	14.16	0	0	1	2182.7	35.1'	20.1'	142'
4	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	2300	170	64	6	14.56	0	0	1	2182.7	35.1'	20.1'	142'
5	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	850	290	61	6	12.46	0	1	0	238.2	34.5'	19.9'	142'
5	AT&T MOBILITY LLC (Proposed)	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	737	290	66	6	11.66	0	0	1	1475	34.5'	19.9'	142'
5	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	CCI Antennas OPA-65R-LCUU-H6	Panel	1900	290	60	6	14.86	0	1	0	687.1	34.5'	19.9'	142'
6	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	737	290	69	6	11.46	0	0	1	1119.4	25'	29.5'	142'
6	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	850	290	63	6	10.96	0	0	1	1000	25'	29.5'	142'
6	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	1900	290	68	6	14.16	0	0	1	2182.7	25'	29.5'	142'
6	AT&T MOBILITY LLC	Quintel Q\$66512-2	Panel	2300	290	64	6	14.56	0	0	1	2182.7	25'	29.5'	142'

NOTE: X, Y and Z indicate relative position of the bottom of the antenna to the origin location on the site, displayed in the model results diagram. Specifically, the Z reference indicates the bottom of the antenna height above the main site level unless otherwise indicated. The distance to the bottom of the antenna is calculated by subtracting half of the length of the antenna from the antenna centerline. Effective Radiated Power (ERP) is provided by the operator or based on Sitesafe experience. The values used in the modeling may be greater than are currently deployed. For other operators at this site the use of "Generic" as an antenna model or "Unknown" for a wireless operator means the information with regard to operator, their FCC license and/or antenna information was not available nor could it be secured while on site. Other operator's equipment, antenna models and powers used for modeling are based on obtained information or Sitesafe experience.



## 4 Emission Predictions

In the RF Exposure Simulations below all heights are reflected with respect to main site level. In most rooftop cases this is the height of the main rooftop and in other cases this can be ground level. Each different height area, rooftop, or platform level is labeled with its height relative to the main site level. Emissions are calculated appropriately based on the relative height and location of that area to all antennas.

The Antenna Inventory heights are referenced to the same level.

## RF Exposure Simulation For: Manchester North Elevation View



R. N.

## RF Exposure Simulation For: Manchester North AT&T Mobility, LCC Contribution





SitesafeTC Version:1.0.0.0 - 0.0.0.266 Sitesafe OET-65 Model Near Field Boundary: 1.5 \* Aperture Reflection Factor: 1 Single Level (0)

(Feet) 0 4.7 9. www.sitesafe.com Site Name:Manchester North 11/6/2017 12:35:53 PM





## 5 Site Compliance

## 5.1 Site Compliance Statement

Upon evaluation of the cumulative RF emission levels from all operators at this site, RF hazard signage and antenna locations, Sitesafe has determined that:

AT&T Mobility, LLC will be compliant when the remediation recommended in Section 5.2 or other appropriate remediation is implemented.

The compliance determination is based on General Public RFE levels derived from theoretical modeling, RF signage placement, and the level of restricted access to the antennas at the site.

Modeling is used for determining compliance and the percentage of MPE contribution.

### 5.2 Actions for Site Compliance

Based on FCC regulations, common industry practice, and our understanding of AT&T Mobility, LLC RF Safety Policy requirements, this section provides a statement of recommendations for site compliance. Recommendations have been proposed based on our understanding of existing access restrictions, signage, and an analysis of predicted RFE levels.

AT&T Mobility, LLC will be made compliant if the following changes are implemented:

#### Gate

Information 1 sign required.

#### **Monopole Base**

Ensure site access is locked. Yellow Caution 2 sign required.



## **6** Reviewer Certification

The reviewer whose signature appears below hereby certifies and affirms:

That I am an employee of Sitesafe, Inc., in Arlington, Virginia, at which place the staff and I provide RF compliance services to clients in the wireless communications industry; and

That I am thoroughly familiar with the Rules and Regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as well as the regulations of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), both in general and specifically as they apply to the FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio-frequency Radiation; and

That I have thoroughly reviewed this Site Compliance Report and believe it to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge as assembled by and attested to by Jake Jordan.

### November 10, 2017



## Appendix A – Statement of Limiting Conditions

Sitesafe has provided computer generated model(s) in this Site Compliance Report to show approximate dimensions of the site, and the model is included to assist the reader of the compliance report to visualize the site area, and to provide supporting documentation for Sitesafe's recommendations.

Sitesafe may note in the Site Compliance Report any adverse physical conditions, such as needed repairs, that Sitesafe became aware of during the normal research involved in creating this report. Sitesafe will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because Sitesafe is not an expert in the field of mechanical engineering or building maintenance, the Site Compliance Report must not be considered a structural or physical engineering report.

Sitesafe obtained information used in this Site Compliance Report from sources that Sitesafe considers reliable and believes them to be true and correct. Sitesafe does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties. When conflicts in information occur between data collected by Sitesafe provided by a second party and data collected by Sitesafe, the data will be used.



## Appendix B – Regulatory Background Information

#### FCC Rules and Regulations

In 1996, the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) adopted regulations for the evaluating of the effects of RF emissions in 47 CFR § 1.1307 and 1.1310. The guideline from the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology is Bulletin 65 ("OET Bulletin 65"), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, Edition 97-01, published August 1997. Since 1996 the FCC periodically reviews these rules and regulations as per their congressional mandate.

FCC regulations define two separate tiers of exposure limits: Occupational or "Controlled environment" and General Public or "Uncontrolled environment". The General Public limits are generally five times more conservative or restrictive than the Occupational limit. These limits apply to *accessible* areas where workers or the general public may be exposed to Radio Frequency (RF) electromagnetic fields.

Occupational or Controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and where those persons exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

An area is considered a Controlled environment when access is limited to these aware personnel. Typical criteria are restricted access (i.e. locked or alarmed doors, barriers, etc.) to the areas where antennas are located coupled with proper RF warning signage. A site with Controlled environments is evaluated with Occupational limits.

All other areas are considered Uncontrolled environments. If a site has no access controls or no RF warning signage it is evaluated with General Public limits.

The theoretical modeling of the RF electromagnetic fields has been performed in accordance with OET Bulletin 65. The Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits utilized in this analysis are outlined in the following diagram:



## FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



#### Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (MPE)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time  E  <sup>2</sup> ,  H  <sup>2</sup> or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f <sup>2</sup> )*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500			f/300	6
1500-			5	6
100,000				

#### Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (MPE)

		• •		
Frequency	Electric	Magnetic	Power	Averaging Time  E  <sup>2</sup> ,
Range	Field	Field	Density (S)	H  <sup>2</sup> or S (minutes)
(MHz)	Strength (E)	Strength	(mW/cm²)	
	(V/m)	(H) (A/m)		
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	(180/f²)*	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500			f/1500	30
1500-			1.0	30
100,000				
f = frequ	ency in MHz	*Plane-v	vave equivale	ent power density

### **OSHA Statement**

The General Duty clause of the OSHA Act (Section 5) outlines the occupational safety and health responsibilities of the employer and employee. The General Duty clause in Section 5 states:

(a) Each employer –

- shall furnish to each of his employees employment and a place of employment which are free from recognized hazards that are causing or are likely to cause death or serious physical harm to his employees;
- (2) shall comply with occupational safety and health standards promulgated under this Act.
- (b) Each employee shall comply with occupational safety and health standards and all rules, regulations, and orders issued pursuant to this Act which are applicable to his own actions and conduct.

OSHA has defined Radiofrequency and Microwave Radiation safety standards for workers who may enter hazardous RF areas. Regulation Standards 29 CFR § 1910.147 identify a generic Lock Out Tag Out procedure aimed to control the unexpected energization or start up of machines when maintenance or service is being performed.



## Appendix C – Safety Plan and Procedures

The following items are general safety recommendations that should be administered on a site by site basis as needed by the carrier.

<u>General Maintenance Work</u>: Any maintenance personnel required to work immediately in front of antennas and / or in areas indicated as above 100% of the Occupational MPE limits should coordinate with the wireless operators to disable transmitters during their work activities.

**Training and Qualification Verification:** All personnel accessing areas indicated as exceeding the General Population MPE limits should have a basic understanding of EME awareness and RF Safety procedures when working around transmitting antennas. Awareness training increases a workers understanding to potential RF exposure scenarios. Awareness can be achieved in a number of ways (e.g. videos, formal classroom lecture or internet based courses).

**Physical Access Control:** Access restrictions to transmitting antennas locations is the primary element in a site safety plan. Examples of access restrictions are as follows:

- Locked door or gate
- Alarmed door
- Locked ladder access
- Restrictive Barrier at antenna (e.g. Chain link with posted RF Sign)

**<u>RF Signage</u>**: Everyone should obey all posted signs at all times. RF signs play an important role in properly warning a worker prior to entering into a potential RF Exposure area.

Assume all antennas are active: Due to the nature of telecommunications transmissions, an antenna transmits intermittently. Always assume an antenna is transmitting. Never stop in front of an antenna. If you have to pass by an antenna, move through as quickly and safely as possible thereby reducing any exposure to a minimum.

<u>Maintain a 3 foot clearance from all antennas</u>: There is a direct correlation between the strength of an EME field and the distance from the transmitting antenna. The further away from an antenna, the lower the corresponding EME field is.

**Site RF Emissions Diagram:** Section 4 of this report contains an RF Diagram that outlines various theoretical Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) areas at the site. The modeling is a worst case scenario assuming a duty cycle of 100% for each transmitting antenna at full power. This analysis is based on one of two access control criteria: General Public criteria means the access to the site is uncontrolled and anyone can gain access. Occupational criteria means the access is restricted and only properly trained individuals can gain access to the antenna locations.



## Appendix D – RF Emissions

The RF Emissions Simulation(s) in this report display theoretical spatially averaged percentage of the Maximum Permissible Exposure for all systems at the site unless otherwise noted. These diagrams use modeling as prescribed in OET Bulletin 65 and assumptions detailed in Appendix E.

The key at the bottom of each RF Emissions Simulation indicates percentages displayed referenced to FCC General Public Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits. Color coding on the diagram is as follows:

- Areas indicated as Gray are predicted to be below 5% of the MPE limits. Gray represents areas more than 20 times below the most conservative exposure limit.
- ) Green represents areas are predicted to be between 5% and 100% of the MPE limits. Green areas are accessible to anyone.
- ) Blue represents areas predicted to exceed the General Public MPE limits but are less than Occupational limits. Blue areas should be accessible only to RF trained workers.
- ) Yellow represents areas predicted to exceed Occupational MPE limits. Yellow areas should be accessible only to RF trained workers able to assess current exposure levels.
- ) Red represents areas predicted to have exposure more than 10 times the Occupational MPE limits. **Red indicates that the RF levels must be reduced prior to access.** An RF Safety Plan is required which outlines how to reduce the RF energy in these areas prior to access.



## Appendix E – Assumptions and Definitions

#### **General Model Assumptions**

In this site compliance report, it is assumed that all antennas are operating at **full power at all times**. Software modeling was performed for all transmitting antennas located on the site. Sitesafe has further assumed a 100% duty cycle and maximum radiated power.

The modeling is based on recommendations from the FCC's OET-65 bulletin with the following variances per AT&T guidance. Reflection has not been considered in the modeling, i.e. the reflection factor is 1.0. The near / far field boundary has been set to 1.5 times the aperture height of the antenna and modeling beyond that point is the lesser of the near field cylindrical model and the far field model taking into account the gain of the antenna.

The site has been modeled with these assumptions to show the maximum RF energy density. Areas modeled with exposure greater than 100% of the General Public MPE level may not actually occur, but are shown as a prediction that could be realized. Sitesafe believes these areas to be safe for entry by occupationally trained personnel utilizing appropriate personal protective equipment (in most cases, a personal monitor).

#### **Use of Generic Antennas**

For the purposes of this report, the use of "Generic" as an antenna model, or "Unknown" for an operator means the information about a carrier, their FCC license and/or antenna information was not provided and could not be obtained while on site. In the event of unknown information, Sitesafe will use our industry specific knowledge of equipment, antenna models, and transmit power to model the site. If more specific information can be obtained for the unknown measurement criteria, Sitesafe recommends remodeling of the site utilizing the more complete and accurate data. Information about similar facilities is used when the service is identified and associated with a particular antenna. If no information is available regarding the transmitting service associated with an unidentified antenna, using the antenna manufacturer's published data regarding the antenna's physical characteristics makes more conservative assumptions.

Where the frequency is unknown, Sitesafe uses the closest frequency in the antenna's range that corresponds to the highest Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE), resulting in a conservative analysis.



## Definitions

**5% Rule** – The rules adopted by the FCC specify that, in general, at multiple transmitter sites actions necessary to bring the area into compliance with the guidelines are the shared responsibility of all licensees whose transmitters produce field strengths or power density levels at the area in question in excess of 5% of the exposure limits. In other words, any wireless operator that contributes 5% or greater of the MPE limit in an area that is identified to be greater than 100% of the MPE limit is responsible taking corrective actions to bring the site into compliance.

**Compliance** – The determination of whether a site is safe or not with regards to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Radiation from transmitting antennas.

Decibel (dB) – A unit for measuring power or strength of a signal.

**Duty Cycle** – The percent of pulse duration to the pulse period of a periodic pulse train. Also, may be a measure of the temporal transmission characteristic of an intermittently transmitting RF source such as a paging antenna by dividing average transmission duration by the average period for transmission. A duty cycle of 100% corresponds to continuous operation.

*Effective (or Equivalent) Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP)* – The product of the power supplied to the antenna and the antenna gain in a given direction relative to an isotropic antenna.

**Effective Radiated Power (ERP)** – In a given direction, the relative gain of a transmitting antenna with respect to the maximum directivity of a half wave dipole multiplied by the net power accepted by the antenna from the connecting transmitter.

**Gain (of an antenna)** – The ratio of the maximum intensity in a given direction to the maximum radiation in the same direction from an isotropic radiator. Gain is a measure of the relative efficiency of a directional antennas as compared to an omni directional antenna.

**General Population/Uncontrolled Environment** – Defined by the FCC, as an area where exposure to RF energy may occur to persons who are **unaware** of the potential for exposure and who have no control of their exposure. General Population is also referenced as General Public.

**Generic Antenna** – For the purposes of this report, the use of "Generic" as an antenna model means the antenna information was not provided and could not be obtained while on site. In the event of unknown information, Sitesafe will use our industry specific knowledge of antenna models to select a worst case scenario antenna to model the site.

*Isotropic Antenna* – An antenna that is completely non-directional. In other words, an antenna that radiates energy equally in all directions.

**Maximum Measurement** – This measurement represents the single largest measurement recorded when performing a spatial average measurement.

**Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)** – The maximum levels of RF exposure a person may be exposed to without harmful effect and with acceptable safety factor.

**Occupational/Controlled Environment** – Defined by the FCC, as an area where Radio Frequency Radiation (RFR) exposure may occur to persons who are **aware** of the



potential for exposure as a condition of employment or specific activity and can exercise control over their exposure.

**OET Bulletin 65** – Technical guideline developed by the FCC's Office of Engineering and Technology to determine the impact of Radio Frequency radiation on Humans. The guideline was published in August 1997.

**OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration)** – Under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, employers are responsible for providing a safe and healthy workplace for their employees. OSHA's role is to promote the safety and health of America's working men and women by setting and enforcing standards; providing training, outreach and education; establishing partnerships; and encouraging continual process improvement in workplace safety and health. For more information, visit www.osha.gov.

**Radio Frequency (RF)** – The frequencies of electromagnetic waves which are used for radio communications. Approximately 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

**Radio Frequency Exposure (RFE)** – The amount of RF power density that a person is or might be exposed to.

**Spatial Average Measurement** – A technique used to average a minimum of ten (10) measurements taken in a ten (10) second interval from zero (0) to six (6) feet. This measurement is intended to model the average power density an average sized human will be exposed to at a location.

**Transmitter Power Output (TPO)** – The radio frequency output power of a transmitter's final radio frequency stage as measured at the output terminal while connected to a load.



## Appendix F – References

The following references can be followed for further information about RF Health and Safety.

Sitesafe, Inc. http://www.sitesafe.com FCC Radio Frequency Safety http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/radio-frequency-safety National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) http://www.ncrponline.org Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., (IEEE) http://www.ieee.org American National Standards Institute (ANSI) http://www.ansi.org Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) http://www.epa.gov/radtown/wireless-tech.html National Institutes of Health (NIH) http://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/agents/emf/ Occupational Safety and Health Agency (OSHA) http://www.osha.gov/SLTC/radiofrequencyradiation/ International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) http://www.icnirp.org World Health Organization (WHO) http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/ National Cancer Institute http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Risk/cellphones American Cancer Society (ACS) http://www.cancer.org/docroot/PED/content/PED 1 3X Cellular Phone Towers.asp?sit earea=PED European Commission Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph\_risk/committees/04\_scenihr/docs/scenihr o 022.pdf Fairfax County, Virginia Public School Survey http://www.fcps.edu/fts/safety-security/RFEESurvey/ UK Health Protection Agency Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation http://www.hpa.org.uk/webw/HPAweb&HPAwebStandard/HPAweb C/1317133826368 Norwegian Institute of Public Health http://www.fhi.no/dokumenter/545eea7147.pdf

StartAnter	naData	It is advis	able 1	to provid	e an ID (	(ant 1) for a	all antennas															
		(MHz)	Tra	ans -	Trans	Coax	Coax	Other	Input	Calc			(ft)	(*	ft)	(ft)		(ft)	dBd	BWdth	Uptime	ON
ID	Name	Freq	Ρον	wer (	Count	Len	Туре	Losses	Power	Power	Mfg	Model	Х	Y	<i>'</i>	Z	Туре	Aper	Gair	n Pt Dir	Profile	flag
1	AT&T MO	B 85	0 13	3.58305		1	0		13.5830	5	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	25.15	30.3	L7	142 Panel		6	12.46 61;50	100%	ON•
1	AT&T MO	B 73	<b>7</b> 1	100.645		1	0		100.64	5	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	25.15	30.3	L7	142 Panel		6	11.66 66;50	100%	ON•
1	AT&T MO	B 190	0 24	4.60382		1	0		24.6038	2	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	25.15	30.3	L7	142 Panel		6	14.86 60;50	100%	ON•
2	AT&T MO	B 190	0 83	3.75293		1	0		83.7529	3	Quintel	QS66512	-2	38.17	33.6	53	142 Panel		6	14.16 68;50	100%	ON•
2	AT&T MO	B 73	87 8.	.533801		1	0		8.53380	1	Quintel	QS66512	-2	38.17	33.6	53	142 Panel		6	11.46 69;50	100%	ON•
2	AT&T MO	B 85	60 80	0.16781		1	0		80.1678	1	Quintel	QS66512	-2	38.17	33.6	53	142 Panel		6	10.96 63;50	100%	ON•
2	AT&T MO	B 230	0 76	6.38358		1	0		76.3835	В	Quintel	QS66512	-2	38.17	33.6	53	142 Panel		6	14.56 64;50	100%	ON•
3	AT&T MO	B 85	0 13	3.55183		1	0		13.5518	3	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	38.63	33.3	L8	142 Panel		6	12.46 61;170	100%	ON•
3	AT&T MO	B 73	<b>7</b> 1	100.645		1	0		100.64	5	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	38.63	33.3	18	142 Panel		6	11.66 66;170	100%	ON•
3	AT&T MO	B 190	0 24	4.04372		1	0		24.0437	2	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	38.63	33.3	18	142 Panel		6	14.86 60;170	100%	ON•
4	AT&T MO	B 73	7 79	9.98343		1	0		79.9834	3	Quintel	QS66512	-2	35.07	20.0	)7	142 Panel		6	11.46 69;170	100%	ON•
4	AT&T MO	B 85	60 80	0.16781		1	0		80.1678	1	Quintel	QS66512	-2	35.07	20.0	)7	142 Panel		6	10.96 63;170	100%	ON•
4	AT&T MO	B 190	0 83	3.75293		1	0		83.7529	3	Quintel	QS66512	-2	35.07	20.0	)7	142 Panel		6	14.16 68;170	100%	ON•
4	AT&T MO	B 230	0 76	6.38358		1	0		76.3835	В	Quintel	QS66512	-2	35.07	20.0	)7	142 Panel		6	14.56 64;170	100%	ON•
5	AT&T MO	B 85	0 13	3.52062		1	0		13.5206	2	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	34.51	19.9	94	142 Panel		6	12.46 61;290	100%	ON•
5	AT&T MO	B 73	<b>7</b> 1	100.645		1	0		100.64	5	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	34.51	19.9	94	142 Panel		6	11.66 66;290	100%	ON•
5	AT&T MO	B 190	0 22	2.43887		1	0		22.4388	7	CCI Anter	n OPA-65R	-L	34.51	19.9	94	142 Panel		6	14.86 60;290	100%	ON•
6	AT&T MO	B 73	7 79	9.98343		1	0		79.9834	3	Quintel	QS66512	-2	24.95	29.5	54	142 Panel		6	11.46 69;290	100%	ON•
6	AT&T MO	B 85	60 80	0.16781		1	0		80.1678	1	Quintel	QS66512	-2	24.95	29.5	54	142 Panel		6	10.96 63;290	100%	ON•
6	AT&T MO	B 190	0 83	3.75293		1	0		83.7529	3	Quintel	QS66512	-2	24.95	29.5	54	142 Panel		6	14.16 68;290	100%	ON•
6	AT&T MO	B 230	0 76	6.38358		1	0		76.3835	8	Quintel	QS66512	-2	24.95	29.5	54	142 Panel		6	14.56 64;290	100%	ON•
<b>StartSymb</b>	olData																					

## **53 SLATER STREET**

Location	53 SLATER STREET	Mblu	56/ 5140/ 53/ /
Acct#	514000053	Owner	ONE HUNDRED TWENTY ONE CONN-
Assessment	\$1,897,100	Appraisal	\$2,710,000
PID	14616	<b>Building Count</b>	4

#### **Current Value**

Appraisal					
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total		
2016	\$1,951,900	\$758,100	\$2,710,000		
	Assessment				
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total		
2016	\$1,366,400	\$530,700	\$1,897,100		

#### **Owner of Record**

Owner	ONE HUNDRED TWENTY ONE CONN-	Sale Price	\$1,180,000
	ECTICUT AVENUE ASSOCIATES LLC	Certificate	С
Address	9 LAKE LANE	Book & Page	2683/ 224
	ELLINGTON, CT 06029	Sale Date	07/17/2003
		Instrument	33

## **Ownership History**

Ownership History					
Owner	Sale Price	Certificate	Book & Page	Instrument	Sale Date
ONE HUNDRED TWENTY ONE CONN-	\$1,180,000	С	2683/ 224	33	07/17/2003
RAGLIN ASSOCIATES LLC	\$0		2132/ 338		12/02/1999

## **Building Information**

## Building 1 : Section 1

	<b>Building Attributes</b>	
Less Depreciation:	\$236,200	
Replacement Cost		
<b>Replacement Cost:</b>	\$393,597	
Living Area:	6,333	
Year Built:	1987	

Field	Description
STYLE	Pre-Eng Garage
MODEL	Ind/Comm
Grade	Average
Stories:	1
Occupancy	4
Exterior Wall 1	Pre-finsh Metl
Exterior Wall 2	Brick Veneer
Roof Structure	Gable/Hip
Roof Cover	Enam Mtl Shing
Interior Wall 1	Wall Brd/Wood
Interior Wall 2	Minim/Masonry
Interior Floor 1	Concr-Finished
Interior Floor 2	
Heating Fuel	Gas
Heating Type	Forced Air-Duc
АС Туре	Partial
Bldg Use	Industrial 96
Total Rooms	
Total Bedrms	00
Total Baths	0
1st Floor Use:	300
Heat/AC	Heat/AC Packag
Frame Type	Steel
Baths/Plumbing	Average
Ceiling/Wall	Ceil & Min Wl
Rooms/Prtns	Average
Wall Height	14
% Comn Wall	0

## Building 2 : Section 1

Building Attributes : Bldg 2 of 4		
Less Depreciation:	\$799,800	
Replacement Cost		
Replacement Cost:	\$1,332,996	
Living Area:	24,306	
Year Built:	1987	

Field	Description				
STYLE	Pre-Eng Garage				
MODEL	Ind/Comm				
Grade	Average				

## **Building Photo**



(http://images.vgsi.com/photos2/ManchesterCTPhotos//\00\03\4

## **Building Layout**



	<u>Legend</u>		
Code Description		Gross Area	Living Area
BAS	First Floor	5,219	5,219
AOF	Office, (Average)	1,114	1,114
WDK	WDK Wood Deck		0
		6,475	6,333

Stories:	1	
Occupancy	6	
Exterior Wall 1	Pre-finsh Metl	
Exterior Wall 2	Brick Veneer	
Roof Structure	Gable/Hip	
Roof Cover	Enam Mtl Shing	
Interior Wall 1	Minim/Masonry	
Interior Wall 2		
Interior Floor 1	Concr-Finished	
Interior Floor 2		
Heating Fuel	Gas	
Heating Type	Forced Air-Duc	
АС Туре	Partial	
Bldg Use	Industrial 96	
Total Rooms		
Total Bedrms	00	
Total Baths	0	
1st Floor Use:	300	
Heat/AC	Heat AC Split	
Frame Type	Steel	
Baths/Plumbing	Average	
Ceiling/Wall	Susp Ceil & WI	
Rooms/Prtns	Average	
Wall Height	18	
% Comn Wall	0	





(http://images.vgsi.com/photos2/ManchesterCTPhotos//\00\03\4

#### **Building Layout**



	<u>Legend</u>		
Code Description		Gross Area	Living Area
BAS	First Floor	18,510	18,510
AOF	Office, (Average)	5,796	5,796
		24,306	24,306

## Building 3 : Section 1

Grade

Stories:

Year Built:	1987	
Living Area:	10,32	0
Replacement Cost:	\$538,	394
Replacement Cost		
Less Depreciation:	\$323,	000
Building	g Attribu	ites : Bldg 3 of 4
Field		Description
STYLE		Pre-Eng Garage
MODEL		Ind/Comm

Average

1

Occupancy	6	
Exterior Wall 1	Pre-finsh Metl	
Exterior Wall 2	Brick Veneer	
Roof Structure	Gable/Hip	
Roof Cover	Enam Mtl Shing	
Interior Wall 1	Minim/Masonry	
Interior Wall 2		
Interior Floor 1	Concr-Finished	
Interior Floor 2		
Heating Fuel	Electric	
Heating Type	Hot Air-no Duc	
АС Туре	None	
Bldg Use	Industrial 96	
Total Rooms		
Total Bedrms	00	
Total Baths	0	
1st Floor Use:	300	
Heat/AC	None	
Frame Type	Steel	
Baths/Plumbing	Average	
Ceiling/Wall	Ceil & Min WI	
Rooms/Prtns	Average	
Wall Height	18	
% Comn Wall	0	

## **Building Photo**



(http://images.vgsi.com/photos2/ManchesterCTPhotos//\00\03\4

## **Building Layout**



Building Sub-Areas (sq ft) Legen			
Code Description		Gross Area	Living Area
BAS	BAS First Floor		10,320
		10,320	10,320

## Building 4 : Section 1

Year Built:	2008
Living Area:	12,000
Replacement Cost:	\$596,640
Replacement Cost	
Less Depreciation:	\$459,400

Building Attributes : Bldg 4 of 4			
Field Description			
STYLE	Pre-Eng Garage		
MODEL	Ind/Comm		
Grade	Average		
Stories:	1		
Occupancy	6		

Exterior Wall 1	Pre-finsh Metl	
Exterior Wall 2	Concr/Cinder	
Roof Structure	Gable/Hip	
Roof Cover	Enam Mtl Shing	
Interior Wall 1	Minim/Masonry	
Interior Wall 2		
Interior Floor 1	Concr-Finished	
Interior Floor 2		
Heating Fuel	Gas	
Heating Type	Hot Air-no Duc	
АС Туре	None	
Bldg Use	Industrial 96	
Total Rooms	00	
Total Bedrms	00	
Total Baths	0	
1st Floor Use:		
Heat/AC	None	
Frame Type	Steel	
Baths/Plumbing	Average	
Ceiling/Wall	Ceil & Min WI	
Rooms/Prtns	Average	
Wall Height	18	
% Comn Wall	0	





(http://images.vgsi.com/photos2/ManchesterCTPhotos//\00\03\4

## **Building Layout**



Building Sub-Areas (sq ft) Lege			
Code Description		Gross Area	Living Area
BAS	First Floor	12,000	12,000
		12,000	12,000

▶

.

#### **Extra Features**

Extra Features				Legend
Code	Description	Size	Value	Bldg #
A/C	Partial AC	5796 S.F.	\$7,000	2

#### Land

Land Use		Land Line Valua	Land Line Valuation	
Use Code	300	Size (Acres)	4.96	
Description	Industrial 96	Frontage	0	
Zone	IND	Depth	0	
Neighborhood	5000	Assessed Value	\$530,700	

## Outbuildings

Outbuildings Lege						<u>Legend</u>
Code	Description	Sub Code	Sub Description	Size	Value	Bldg #
PAV1	Paving Asphalt			13350 S.F.	\$30,000	4
PAV1	Paving Asphalt			37000 S.F.	\$27,800	1
FN3	Fence 6' Chain			300 L.F.	\$3,500	1
PAV2	Paving Concrete			96 S.F.	\$400	4
SHDT	Telephone Shed			319 S.F.	\$31,600	1
FN4	Fence 8' Chain			54 L.F.	\$1,600	1
SHDT	Telephone Shed			319 S.F.	\$31,600	1

## **Valuation History**

Appraisal				
Valuation Year Improvements Land Total				
2015	\$1,689,400	\$725,100	\$2,414,500	
2010	\$1,766,600	\$760,300	\$2,526,900	
2005	\$871,200	\$540,700	\$1,411,900	

Assessment				
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total	
2015	\$1,182,600	\$507,600	\$1,690,200	
2010	\$1,236,700	\$532,300	\$1,769,000	
2005	\$609,900	\$378,500	\$988,400	

(c) 2016 Vision Government Solutions, Inc. All rights reserved.



SITE NAME: MANCHESTER NORTH FA NUMBER: 10071100 SITE NUMBER: CTL05307 **CROWN BU# 876347** 5C - MRCTB022441 53-73 SLATER STREET MANCHESTER, CT 06040 HARTFORD COUNTY



CUMINITION THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF SMARTLINK, LLC. . IT IS UNLAWFUL FOR ANY PERSON TO AMEND ANY ASPECT OF THESE DRAWINGS UNLESS THEY HAVE THE APPROVAL OF THE LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IN WRITING

**PROJECT TEAM** 

#### CLIENT REPRESENTATIVE

COMPANY:	SMARTLINK, LLC
ADDRESS:	85 RANGEWAY ROAD, BUILDING 3, SUITE 102
CITY, STATE, ZIP:	NORTH BILLERICA, MA 02862-2105
CONTACT:	TODD OLIVER
PHONE:	(774) 369-3613
E-MAIL:	TODD.OLIVER@SMARTLINKLLC.COM
SITE ACQUISITIO	N
COMPANY	SMARTLINK LLC

#### COMPANY

	. , .
ADDRESS:	85 RANGEWAY ROAD, BUILDING 3, SUITE 102
CITY, STATE, ZIP:	NORTH BILLERICA, MA 02862-2105
CONTACT:	SHARON KEEFE
PHONE:	(978) 930-3918
E-MAIL:	SHARON.KEEFE@SMARTLINKLLC.COM
ENGINEER	
COMPANY:	MASER CONSULTING CONNECTICUT
ADDRESS:	331 NEWMAN SPRINGS ROAD, SUITE 203

CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL: RED BANK, NJ 07701-5699 PETROS TSOUKALAS (856) 797-0412 x4102 PTSOUKALAS@MASERCONSULTING.COM

#### CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

COMPANY:	SMARTLINK, LLC.
ADDRESS:	85 RANGEWAY ROAD, BUILDING 3, SUITE 102
CITY, STATE, ZIP:	NORTH BILLERICA, MA 02862-2105
CONTACT:	MARK DONNELLY
PHONE:	(617) 515-2080
E-MAIL:	MARK DONNELLY@SMARTLINKLLC.COM



APPLICANT/LESSEE	
eat&t	
NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC 550 COCHITUATE RD. FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701	
PROPERTY/TOWER OWNE	<u>R:</u>
NAME: ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP: SITE ID#:	CROWN CASTLE INTERNATIONAL 12 GILL STREET, SUITE 5800 WOBURN, MA 01801 876347
LATITUDE:	41.8049919° N
LONGITUDE:	72.5335989° W
LAT./LONG. TYPE:	NAD 83
AREA OF CONSTRUCTION:	EXISTING OUTDOOR EQUIPMENT AND MONOPOLE
ZONING/JURISDICTION:	CITY OF MANCHESTER
CURRENT USE/PROPOSED USE:	UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY
HANDICAP REQUIREMENTS:	FACILITY IS UNMANNED AND NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION. HANDICAPPED ACCESS NOT REQUIRED.
CONSTRUCTION TYPE:	IIB
USE GROUP:	U



SHEET

T-I

GN-I

A-1

A-2

A-3

A-4

A-5

G-1

#### **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW AND INSPECT THE EXISTING FACILITY GROUNDING SYSTEM (AS DESIGNED AND INSTALLED) FOR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE NEC (AS ADOPTED BY THE AHJ), THE SITE-SPECIFIC (UL, LPI, OR NFPA) LIGHTING PROTECTION CODE, AND GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH TELCORDIA AND TIA GROUNDING STANDARDS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT ANY VIOLATIONS OR ADVERSE FINDINGS TO THE CONTRACTOR FOR RESOLUTION.
- 2. ALL GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS (INCLUDING TELECOMMUNICATION, RADIO, LIGHTNING PROTECTION, AND AC POWER GES'S) SHALL BE BONDED TOGETHER, AT OR BELOW GRADE, BY TWO OR MORE COPPER BONDING CONDUCTORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 3. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM IEEE FALL-OF-POTENTIAL RESISTANCE TO EARTH TESTING (PER IEEE 1100 AND 81) FOR GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AND INSTALL SUPPLEMENTAL GROUND ELECTRODES AS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A TEST RESULT OF 50 HMS OR LESS.
- 4. THE SUBCONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROPERLY SEQUENCING GROUNDING AND UNDERGROUND CONDUIT INSTALLATION AS TO PREVENT ANY LOSS OF CONTINUITY IN THE GROUNDING SYSTEM OR DAMAGE TO THE CONDUIT.
- METAL CONDUIT AND TRAY SHALL BE GROUNDED AND MADE ELECTRICALLY CONTINUOUS WITH LISTED BONDING FITTINGS OR BY BONDING ACROSS THE DISCONTINUITY WITH #6 AWG COPPER WIRE UL APPROVED GROUNDING TYPE CONDUIT CLAMPS.
- 6. METAL RACEWAY SHALL NOT BE USED AS THE NEC REQUIRED EQUIPMENT GROUND CONDUCTOR. STRANDED COPPER CONDUCTORS WITH GREEN INSULATION, SIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC, SHALL BE FURNISHED AND INSTALLED WITH THE POWER CIRCUITS TO BTS EQUIPMENT.
- EACH BTS CABINET FRAME SHALL BE DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO THE EQUIPMENT GROUND RING WITH GREEN INSULATED SUPPLEMENTAL EQUIPMENT GROUND WIRES, 6 AWG STRANDED COPPER OR LARGER FOR INDOOR BTS; 2 AWG STRANDED COPPER FOR OUTDOOR BTS.
- 8. CONNECTIONS TO THE GROUND BUS SHALL NOT BE DOUBLED UP OR STACKED. BACK TO BACK CONNECTIONS ON OPPOSITE SIDES OF THE GROUND BUS ARE PERMITTED.
- 9. ALL EXTERIOR GROUND CONDUCTORS BETWEEN EQUIPMENT/GROUND BARS AND THE GROUND RING, SHALL BE #2 AWG SOLID TINNED COPPER UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 10. ALUMINUM CONDUCTOR OR COPPER CLAD STEEL CONDUCTOR SHALL NOT BE USED FOR GROUNDING CONNECTIONS.
- USE OF 90° BENDS IN THE PROTECTION GROUNDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE AVOIDED WHEN 45° BENDS CAN BE ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED. ALL BENDS SHALL BE MADE WITH 12" RADIUS OR LARGER.
- 12. EXOTHERMIC WELDS SHALL BE USED FOR ALL GROUNDING CONNECTIONS BELOW GRADE.
- 13. ALL GROUND CONNECTIONS ABOVE GRADE (INTERIOR) SHALL BE FORMED USING HIGH PRESS CRIMPS EXCEPT FOR GROUND BAR CONNECTION FROM MGB TO OUTSIDE EXTERIOR GROUND SHALL ALL BE CADWELD CONNECTIONS.
- 14. COMPRESSION GROUND CONNECTIONS MAY BE REPLACED BY EXOTHERMIC WELD CONNECTIONS.
- 15. ICE BRIDGE BONDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE EXOTHERMICALLY BONDED TO THE TOWER GROUND BAR.
- 16. APPROVED ANTIOXIDANT COATINGS (I.E. CONDUCTIVE GEL OR PASTE) SHALL BE USED ON ALL COMPRESSION AND BOLTED GROUND CONNECTIONS.
- 17. ALL EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR GROUND CONNECTIONS SHALL BE COATED WITH A CORROSION RESISTANT MATERIAL
- MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND NON-ELECTRICAL METAL BOXES, FRAMES AND SUPPORTS SHALL BE BONDED TO THE GROUND RING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 19. BOND ALL METALLIC OBJECTS WITHIN 6 FT OF MAIN GROUND WIRES WITH 1-#2 AWG TIN-PLATED COPPER GROUND CONDUCTOR.
- 20. GROUND CONDUCTORS USED IN THE FACILITY GROUND AND LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEMS SHALL NOT BE ROUTED THROUGH METALLIC OBJECTS THAT FORM A RING AROUND THE CONDUCTOR, SUCH AS METALLIC CONDUITS, METAL SUPPORT CLIPS OR SLEEVES THROUGH WALLS OR FLOORS. WHEN IT IS REQUIRED TO BE HOUSED IN CONDUIT TO MEET CODE REQUIREMENTS OR LOCAL CONDITIONS, NON-METALLIC MATERIAL SUCH AS PVC PLASTIC CONDUIT SHALL BE USED. WHERE USE OF METAL CONDUIT IS UNAVOIDABLE (E.G. NON-METALLIC CONDUIT PROHIBITED BY LOCAL CODE) THE GROUND CONDUCTOR SHALL BE BONDED TO EACH END OF THE METAL CONDUIT.
- 21. ALL NEW STRUCTURES WITH A FOUNDATION AND/OR FOOTING HAVING 20 FT. OR MORE OF 1/4" IN. OR GREATER ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE REINFORCING STEEL MUST HAVE IT BONDED TO THE GROUND RING USING AN EXOTHERMIC WELD CONNECTION USING #2 AWG SOLID BARE TINNED COPPER GROUND WIRE, PER NEC 250.50.
- 22. FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWING, THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY:
  - CONTRACTOR SMARTLINK

     SUBCONTRACTOR GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION)
     OWNER 

     AT&T (NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC)
- 23. ALL SITE WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS AND PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
- 24. DRAWINGS PROVIDED HERE ARE NOT TO BE SCALED AND ARE INTENDED TO SHOW OUTLINE ONLY.
- 25. ALL MATERIALS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS, AND ORDINANCES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE NOTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL ORDERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK.

- 26. ALL WORK CARRIED OUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS AND LOCAL IURISDICTIONAL CODES. ORDINANCES AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.
- 27. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 28. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.
- 29. IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 30. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES. ANY DAMAGED PART SHALL BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF OWNER.
- 31. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTILITY LOCATING SERVICES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 32. ALL EXISTING ACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC, AND OTHER UTILITIES WHERE ENCOUNTERED IN THE WORK, SHALL BE PROTECTED AT ALL TIMES, AND WHERE REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE RELOCATED AS DIRECTED BY THE RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER. EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE USED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR WHEN EXCAVATING OR DRILLING PIERS AROUND OR NEAR UTILITIES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SAFETY TRAINING FOR THE WORKING CREW. THIS WILL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO A) FALL PROTECTION B) CONFINED SPACE C) ELECTRICAL SAFETY D) TRENCHING & EXCAVATION.
- 33. ALL EXISTING INACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC AND OTHER UTILITIES, WHICH INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE REMOVED AND/OR CAPPED, PLUGGED OR OTHERWISE DISCONTINUED AT POINTS WHICH WILL NOT INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, AS DIRECTED BY THE RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER, AND SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER AND/OR LOCAL UTILITIES.
- 34. THE AREAS OF THE OWNER'S PROPERTY DISTURBED BY THE WORK AND NOT COVERED BY THE TOWER, EQUIPMENT OR DRIVEWAY SHALL BE GRADED TO A UNIFORM SLOPE AND STABILIZED TO PREVENT EROSION.
- 35. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, IF REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION, SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE LOCAL GUIDELINES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.
- 36. NO FILL OR EMBANKMENT MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED ON FROZEN GROUND. FROZEN MATERIALS, SNOW OR ICE SHALL NOT BE PLACED IN ANY FILL OR EMBANKMENT.
- 37. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE COMPACTED AND BROUGHT TO A SMOOTH UNIFORM GRADE PRIOR TO FINISHED SURFACE APPLICATION.
- 38. THE SITE SHALL BE GRADED TO CAUSE SURFACE WATER TO FLOW AWAY FROM THE BTS EQUIPMENT AND TOWER AREAS.
- 39. IF NECESSARY, RUBBISH, STUMPS, DEBRIS, STICKS, STONES AND OTHER REFUSE SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AND DISPOSED OF LEGALLY.
- 40. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SITE SIGNAGE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION FOR SITE SIGNAGE.
- 41. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION.
- 42. PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS, THE BIDDING SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE CELL SITE TO FAMILIARIZE WITH THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND TO CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. ANY DISCREPANCY FOUND SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 43. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ACTUAL ROUTING OF CONDUIT, POWER AND TI CABLES, GROUNDING CABLES AS SHOWN ON THE POWER, GROUNDING AND TELCO PLAN DRAWING. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE EXISTING TRAYS AND/OR SHALL ADD NEW TRAYS AS NECESSARY. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE ACTUAL ROUTING WITH THE CONTRACTOR.
- 44. ALL CONCRETE REPAIR WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 301.
- 45. ANY NEW CONCRETE NEEDED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE AIR-ENTRAINED AND SHALL HAVE 4000 PSI STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS.
- 46. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL WORK SHALL BE DETAILED, FABRICATED AND ERECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AISC SPECIFICATIONS. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE ASTM A36 (Fy = 36 ksi) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. PIPES SHALL BE ASTM A53 TYPE E (Fy = 36 ksi). ALL STEEL EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED. TOUCHUP ALL SCRATCHES AND OTHER MARKS IN THE FIELD AFTER STEEL IS ERECTED USING A COMPATIBLE ZINC RICH PAINT.
- 47. CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH SPECIFICATIONS AND "GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AT&T MOBILITY SITES."
- 48. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK. ALL DIMENSIONS OF EXISTING CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS MUST BE VERIFIED. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONTRACTOR OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL OR PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.
- 49. THE EXISTING CELL SITE IS IN FULL COMMERCIAL OPERATION, ANY CONSTRUCTION WORK BY SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DISRUPT THE EXISTING NORMAL OPERATION. ANY WORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE COORDINATED WITH CONTRACTOR. ALSO, WORK SHOULD BE SCHEDULED FOR AN APPROPRIATE MAINTENANCE WINDOW USUALLY IN LOW TRAFFIC PERIODS AFTER MIDNIGHT.
- 50. SINCE THE CELL SITE IS ACTIVE, ALL SAFETY PRECAUTIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING AROUND HIGH LEVELS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION. EQUIPMENT SHOULD BE SHUTDOWN PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORK THAT COULD EXPOSE THE WORKERS TO DANGER. PERSONAL RF EXPOSURE MONITORS ARE ADVISED TO BE WORN ALERT OF DANGEROUS EXPOSURE LEVELS.





UWNERSHIP OF DUCUMENTS: OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF SMARTLINK, LLC.. IT IS UNLAWFUL FOR ANY PERSON TO AMEND ANY ASPECT OF THESE DRAWINGS UNLESS THEY HAVE THE APPROVAL OF THE LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IN WRITING.



UWHERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS. OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF SMARTLINK, LLC. . IT IS UNLAWFUL FOR ANY PERSON TO AMEND ANY ASPECT OF THESE DRAWINGS UNLESS THEY HAVE THE APPROVAL OF THE LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IN WRITING.

ITENNA Z <b>M</b> UTH	ANT. CL. ELEV (ft.)	RRUS CONFIGURATION	STATUS
50°	145'	(1) RRUS-E2	NEW
50°	145'	(2) RRUS-11 (1) RRUS-32 B2 (1) RRUS-32	REMAIN REMAIN REMAIN
170°	145'	(1) RRUS-E2	NEW
170°	145'	(2) RRUS-11 (1) RRUS-32 B2 (1) RRUS-32	REMAIN REMAIN REMAIN
290°	145'	(1) RRUS-E2	NEW
290°	145'	(2) RRUS-11 (1) RRUS-32 B2 (1) RRUS-32	REMAIN REMAIN REMAIN





UWINERSHIP OF DUDUMENTS. OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF SMARTLINK, LLC.. IT IS UNLAWFUL FOR ANY PERSON TO AMEND ANY ASPECT OF THESE DRAWINGS UNLESS THEY HAVE THE APPROVAL OF THE LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IN WRITING.



#### CABLE BRIDGE DETAIL NOT TO SCALE

8. HEIGHT OF POST SHALL BE 10'-6" MAX. ABOVE GROUND LEVEL.

A S

- 7. DEVIATIONS FROM ICE BRIDGE FOUNDATIONS REQUIRE ENGINEERING APPROVAL.

- 6. DEVIATIONS FROM STANDARDS FOR COMPONENT INSTALLATIONS ARE PERMITTED WITH THE RESPECTIVE MANUFACTURER'S APPROVAL.
- 5. ICE BRIDGES MAY BE CONSTRUCTED WITH COMPONENTS FROM OTHER MANUFACTURERS, PROVIDED THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION GUIDELINES ARE FOLLOWED.
- 4. CUT BRIDGE CHANNEL SECTIONS SHALL HAVE RAW EDGES TREATED WITH A MATERIAL TO RESTORE THESE EDGES TO THE ORIGINAL CHANNEL, OR EQUIVALENT, FINISH.
- WHEN USING COMPONENTS, SUPPORT SHOULD BE PROVIDED AS CLOSE AS POSSIBLE TO THE ENDS OF ICE BRIDGES, WITH A MAXIMUM CANTILEVER DISTANCE OF 2 FEET FROM THE SUPPORT TO THE FREE END OF THE ICE BRIDGE.
- 2. WHEN USING COMPONENTS FOR SPLICING BRIDGE CHANNEL SECTIONS, THE SPLICE SHOULD BE PROVIDED AT THE SUPPORT, IF POSSIBLE, OR AT A MAXIMUM OF 2 FEET FROM THE SUPPORT.











CUT POST LENGTH TO SUIT BY REMOVING UNCAPPED END

24" WIDE ICE BRIDGE – CUT ICE BRIDGE CHANNEL LENGTH TO SUIT (SEE NOTES)

- SUPPORT BRACKET

3" STANDARD PIPE OR EQUIVALENT

- CONCRETE PIER (F<sub>C</sub>'=4,000 PSI MINIMUM)





**RRUS E2 DETAIL** NOT TO SCALE

7'-0"

- NOTES:
- 1. ALL FASTENERS ARE 1/2"Ø. ALL DRILLED HOLES SHALL BE 9/16"Ø.
- 2. MOUNT RRU'S TO UNISTRUT WITH 3/8"Ø UNISTRUT BOLTING HARDWARE AND SPRING NUTS. TYPICAL FOUR (4) PER DEVICE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY.

#### **RRUS MOUNTING DETAIL**

NOT TO SCALE

Asser consulting -connecticut				
Landscape Architects   Environmental Scientists  Copyright © 2017. Plaser Consulting Connected: All Rights Reserved. This drawing and all  waves connected or swhom its corridat The drawing my one to copied, resuld, dictioned  datafbacted or relied upon the original transmission when the express written conserved of  Planer Consulting Connected.				
😂 at&t				
NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC 550 COCHITUATE ROAD FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701				
Smartlink B5 RANGEWAY ROAD BUILDING 3, SUITE 102 NOR THE BUI LEFICA MA 02862 2105				
TEL: (774) 369-3613				
ROTECT YOURSEF ALSTATE REQUIRE NOTIFICATION OF PERMANG TO DETURE THE BATHS SURRAC ANYWHER IN ANY STATE NOTIFICATION OF THE STATE FOR STATE SPECIFIC DIRECT PHONE NUMBERS VISIT:				
SCALE : JOB NUMBER : AS SHOWN 17946001A				
· · · · · · ·				
I         I0/18/17         FOR CONSTRUCTION         AJC         PET           0         I0/2/17         ISSIAN         AJC         PET				
PETROS TOUKALAS CONNECTICUTIVACIÓN VINIERA LIGAS NOVIMER: PEN 450				
IT IS A VICIATION OF AW FOR ANY ERSON UNLESS THEY ARE WITING UNLERNING UNDERNING UNDERNING UNDERNING UNDERNING RESPONSIBLE LICHTFORM PROFESSION UNDERNING AUTOR THIS UDCUMENT.				
SITE NAME:				
MANCHESTER NORTH FA# 10071100 SITE # CTL05307				
53-73 SLATER STREET MANCHESTER, CT 06040 HARTFORD COUNTY				
331 NEWHAN SPRINGS ROAD SUITE 203 Red Bank, NI 07701-5699 Phone: 732.383.1950 Fax: 732.383.1954 email: solutions@maserconsulting.com				
DETAILS				
SHEET NUMBER : A-4				





BASED ON RF ENGINEERING DESIGN ENTITLED "NEW-ENGLAND\_CONNECTICUT\_CTV5307\_2018-LTE-Next-Carrier\_LTE-5C\_dr701e\_2051A0AD0V\_10071100\_25942\_04-24-2017\_Final-Approved\_v1.00", LAST UPDATED 08/16/17

#### **RF PLUMBING DIAGRAMS**





UMMENSHIP OF DOCUMENTS: OTHER PROJECTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION OF SMARTLINK, LLC.. IT IS UNLAWFUL FOR ANY PERSON TO AMEND ANY ASPECT OF THESE DRAWINGS UNLESS THEY HAVE THE APPROVAL OF THE LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IN WRITING.

## **Romina Kirchmaier**

From: Sent: To: Subject: TrackingUpdates@fedex.com Wednesday, December 20, 2017 2:34 PM Romina Kirchmaier FedEx Shipment 771025867085 Delivered

#### Your package has been delivered Tracking # 771025867085 Ship date: Delivery date: Mon, 12/18/2017 Wed, 12/20/2017 2:32 pm Romina Kirchmaier **121 Connecticut Avenue** Smartlink LLC North Billerica, MA 01862 Associates Delivered US 9 Lake Lane ELLINGTON, CT 06029 US **Shipment Facts** Our records indicate that the following package has been delivered. Tracking number: 771025867085 Status: Delivered: 12/20/2017 2:32 PM Signed for By: Signature not required CTL05307 - CSC **Reference:** Signed for by: Signature not required **Delivery location:** ELLINGTON, CT **Delivered to:** Residence FedEx Express Saver Service type: Packaging type: FedEx Envelope Number of pieces: 1 1.00 lb. Weight: Special handling/Services: **Deliver Weekday Residential Delivery**

1

Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 1:34 PM CST on 12/20/2017.

All weights are estimated.

To track the latest status of your shipment, click on the tracking number above.

Standard transit is the date and time the package is scheduled to be delivered by, based on the selected service, destination and ship date. Limitations and exceptions may apply. Please see the FedEx Service Guide for terms and conditions of service, including the FedEx Money-Back Guarantee, or contact your FedEx Customer Support representative.

© 2017 Federal Express Corporation. The content of this message is protected by copyright and trademark laws under U.S. and international law. Review our **privacy policy**. All rights reserved.

Thank you for your business.

## **Romina Kirchmaier**

From: Sent: To: Subject: TrackingUpdates@fedex.com Wednesday, December 20, 2017 3:54 PM Romina Kirchmaier FedEx Shipment 771025956356 Delivered

### Your package has been delivered Tracking # 771025956356 Ship date: Delivery date: Mon, 12/18/2017 Wed, 12/20/2017 3:51 pm Romina Kirchmaier Paul Pedicone Smartlink LLC North Billerica, MA 01862 Crown Castle Delivered US 3 Corporate Park Dr. Suite 101 CLIFTON PARK, NY 12065 US **Shipment Facts** Our records indicate that the following package has been delivered. Tracking number: 771025956356 Status: Delivered: 12/20/2017 3:51 PM Signed for By: E.VADNEY CTL05307 - CSC **Reference:** Signed for by: E.VADNEY CLIFTON PARK, NY **Delivery location: Delivered to:** Receptionist/Front Desk Service type: FedEx Express Saver Packaging type: FedEx Envelope Number of pieces: 1 1.00 lb. Weight: Special handling/Services: Deliver Weekday

Standard transit:



1

Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 2:54 PM CST on 12/20/2017.

All weights are estimated.

To track the latest status of your shipment, click on the tracking number above.

Standard transit is the date and time the package is scheduled to be delivered by, based on the selected service, destination and ship date. Limitations and exceptions may apply. Please see the FedEx Service Guide for terms and conditions of service, including the FedEx Money-Back Guarantee, or contact your FedEx Customer Support representative.

© 2017 Federal Express Corporation. The content of this message is protected by copyright and trademark laws under U.S. and international law. Review our privacy policy. All rights reserved.

Thank you for your business.

## **Romina Kirchmaier**

From: Sent: To: Subject: TrackingUpdates@fedex.com Wednesday, December 20, 2017 12:19 PM Romina Kirchmaier FedEx Shipment 771025794741 Delivered

#### Your package has been delivered Tracking # 771025794741 Ship date: Delivery date: Mon, 12/18/2017 Wed, 12/20/2017 12:15 pm Romina Kirchmaier Smartlink LLC James Davis North Billerica, MA 01862 Town of Manchester Delivered US 494 Main St. MANCHESTER, CT 06045 US **Shipment Facts** Our records indicate that the following package has been delivered. Tracking number: 771025794741 Status: Delivered: 12/20/2017 12:15 PM Signed for By: P.PEEK **Reference:** CTL05307 - CSC Filing P.PEEK Signed for by: **Delivery location:** MANCHESTER, CT **Delivered to:** Receptionist/Front Desk Service type: FedEx Express Saver Packaging type: FedEx Envelope Number of pieces: 1 Weight: 1.00 lb. Special handling/Services: **Deliver Weekday** Standard transit: 12/21/2017 by 4:30 pm

1

Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 11:19 AM CST on 12/20/2017.

All weights are estimated.

To track the latest status of your shipment, click on the tracking number above.

Standard transit is the date and time the package is scheduled to be delivered by, based on the selected service, destination and ship date. Limitations and exceptions may apply. Please see the FedEx Service Guide for terms and conditions of service, including the FedEx Money-Back Guarantee, or contact your FedEx Customer Support representative.

© 2017 Federal Express Corporation. The content of this message is protected by copyright and trademark laws under U.S. and international law. Review our privacy policy. All rights reserved.

Thank you for your business.

FAQs > (http://faq.usps.com/?articleId=220900)

# USPS Tracking<sup>®</sup>

## Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9500111241027352169922

On Time

**Expected Delivery on** 

## WEDNESDAY

**20** DECEMBER 2017 (i) by 8:00pm (i)

# **⊘** Delivered

December 20, 2017 at 7:41 am DELIVERED, PO BOX MANCHESTER, CT 06045

Get Updates 🗸

Text & Email Updates	$\checkmark$
Tracking History	$\checkmark$
Product Information	$\checkmark$

See Less 🔨

# Can't find what you're looking for?

Remove X

## The easiest tracking number is the one you don't have to know.

With Informed Delivery<sup>®</sup>, you never have to type in another tracking number. Sign up to:

- See images\* of incoming mail.
- Automatically track the packages you're expecting.
- Set up email and text alerts so you don't need to enter tracking numbers.
- Enter USPS Delivery Instructions<sup>™</sup> for your mail carrier.

## Sign Up

## (https://reg.usps.com/entreg/RegistrationAction\_input?

\*NOTE: Black and white (grayscale) images show the outside, front of letter-sized envelopes and mailpieces that are processed **and the start of the** 

(https://www.usps.com/)

HELPFUL LINKS	ON ABOUT.USPS.COM	OTHER USPS SITES	LEGAL INFORMATION
Contact Us	About USPS Home	Business Customer Gateway	Privacy Policy
(https://www.usps.com/help/welc	o(httph///adpout.usps.com/)	(https://gateway.usps.com/)	(http://about.usps.com/who-we-
Site Index	Newsroom	Postal Inspectors	are/privacy-policy/privacy-policy-
(https://www.usps.com/globals/si	tthttp://about.usps.com/news/weld	c(ntte.st//m)ostalinspectors.uspis.go	₩)ghlights.htm)
index.htm)	USPS Service Updates	Inspector General	Terms of Use
FAQs (http://faq.usps.com/)	(http://about.usps.com/news/serv	i(#dttp://www.uspsoig.gov/)	(http://about.usps.com/termsofuse.htm)
	alerts/welcome.htm)	Postal Explorer	FOIA
	Forms & Publications	(http://pe.usps.gov/)	(http://about.usps.com/who-we-
	(http://about.usps.com/forms-	National Postal Museum	are/foia/welcome.htm)
	publications/welcome.htm)	(http://www.postalmuseum.si.edu	/No FEAR Act EEO Data
	Government Services	Resources for Developers	(http://about.usps.com/who-we-
	(https://www.usps.com/gov-	(https://www.usps.com/webtools/	/www.intelatmact/welcome.htm)
	services/gov-services.htm)		
	Careers		
	(http://about.usps.com/careers/w	elcome.htm)	

Copyright © 2017 USPS. All Rights Reserved.



(https://twitter.com/usps)



(http://www.pinterest.com/uspsstamps/)

