



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us

Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

March 27, 2002

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder & Worby LLP
90 Maple Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601-5196

RE: **EM-AT&T-028-020301** - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 31 Chestnut Hill Road, Colchester, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Fisher:


At a public meeting held on March 21, 2002, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated February 28, 2002. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,


Mortimer A. Gelston
Chairman

MAG/RM/laf

- c: Honorable Jenny Contois, First Selectman, Town of Colchester
Liz Rasmussen, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Colchester
Esther McNany, SBA, Inc.
Julie M. Donaldson, Esq., Hurwitz & Sagarin LLC
Stephen J. Humes, Esq., LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935
Fax: (860) 827-2950

March 7, 2002

Honorable Jenny Contois
First Selectman
Town of Colchester
Town Hall
127 Norwich Avenue
Colchester, CT 06415

RE: **EM-AT&T-028-020301** - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 31 Chestnut Hill Road, Colchester, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Contois:


The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

The Council will consider this item at the next meeting scheduled for March 20, 2002, at 10:30 a.m. in Hearing Room One, Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut.

Please call me or inform the Council if you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,



S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/laf

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Liz Rasmussen, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Colchester

AT&T 31 Chestnut Hill Road, Colchester 3/13/02




**NOTICE OF INTENT TO MODIFY AN
EXISTING TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AT
31 CHESTNUT HILL ROAD, COLCHESTER, CONNECTICUT**

Pursuant to the Public Utility Environmental Standards Act, Connecticut General Statutes § 16-50g et. seq. ("PUESA"), and Sections 16-50j-72(b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies adopted pursuant to the PUESA, AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC, by and through its agent AT&T Wireless PCS, Inc., ("AT&T Wireless") hereby notifies the Connecticut Siting Council of its intent to modify an existing facility located at 31 Chestnut Hill Road, Colchester, Connecticut (the "Chestnut Hill Road Facility"), owned by SBA, Inc., ("SBA"). AT&T Wireless and SBA have agreed to share the use of the Chestnut Hill Road Facility, as detailed below.

The Chestnut Hill Road Facility

The Chestnut Hill Road Facility consists of an approximately one hundred eighty (180) foot monopole (the "Tower") and associated equipment currently being used for wireless communications by Sprint and VoiceStream. A chain-link fence surrounds the Tower compound. The current adjacent land uses are industrial and residential.



AT&T Wireless' Facility

As shown on the enclosed plans prepared by Natcomm, LLC , including a site plan and tower elevation of the Chestnut Hill Road Facility, AT&T Wireless proposes shared use of the Facility by placing antennas on the Tower and equipment cabinets needed to provide personal communications services ("PCS") within the existing fenced compound. AT&T Wireless will install panel antennas at approximately the 157 foot level of the Tower and associated equipment cabinets on a concrete pad. As evidenced in the letter of structural integrity prepared by Natcomm, LLC, annexed hereto as Exhibit A, AT&T has confirmed that the tower is structurally capable of supporting the addition of AT&T Wireless' antennas.

AT&T Wireless' Facility Constitutes An Exempt Modification

The proposed addition of AT&T Wireless' antennas and equipment to the Chestnut Hill Road Facility constitutes an exempt "modification" of an existing facility as defined in Connecticut General Statutes Section 16-50i(d) and Council regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. Addition of AT&T Wireless' antennas and equipment to the Tower will not result in an increase of the Tower's height nor extend the site boundaries. Further, there will be no increase in noise levels by six (6) decibels or more at the Tower site's boundary. As set forth in an Emissions Report prepared by Frank Wentink, Radio Frequency Engineer, annexed hereto as Exhibit B, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density at the Tower site's boundary will not be increased to or above the standard adopted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection as set forth in Section 22a-162 of the Connecticut General

Statutes and MPE limits established by the Federal Communications Commission. For all the foregoing reasons, addition of AT&T Wireless' facility to the Tower constitutes an exempt modification which will not have a substantially adverse environmental effect.

Conclusion


Accordingly, AT&T Wireless requests that the Connecticut Siting Council acknowledge that its proposed modification to the Chestnut Hill Road Facility meets the Council's exemption criteria.

Respectfully Submitted,



Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
On behalf of AT&T Wireless

cc: First Selectman, Town of Colchester
Harold Hewett, Bechtel



ANTENNA CONFIGURATION

- 179'± ABOVE T/BASE PLATE
- 167.5'± ABOVE T/BASE PLATE
- 157'± ABOVE T/BASE PLATE
- 147.5'± ABOVE T/BASE PLATE
- 137.5'± ABOVE T/BASE PLATE

- TOP OF MONOPOLE
- RAD CENTER SPRINT ANTENNAS
- RAD CENTER VOICESTREAM ANTENNAS
- PROPOSED RAD CENTER AT&T ANTENNAS
- RAD CENTER FUTURE ANTENNAS
- RAD CENTER FUTURE ANTENNAS

EXISTING 180' MONOPOLE (VALMONT)

- EXISTING SPRINT PCS EQUIPMENT AND ICE BRIDGE
- PROPOSED AT&T 7' X 16' (LEASE AREA) RADIO CABINETS AND CONCRETE PAD
- PROPOSED AT&T GPS AND LMU ANTENNAS
- PROPOSED AT&T UTILITY SUPPORT FARME

SPRINT GPS ANTENNA 75' AGL

EXISTING CHAINLINK FENCE

TOP OF MONOPOLE BASE PLATE

180'-0"

NOTE:
 LATITUDE: 41° 34' 17.4"
 LONGITUDE: 72° 18' 9.1"

2

TOWER ELEVATION

SCALE: 1"=30'

"ISSUED FOR SITING COUNCIL"



Natcomm, LLC
 83-2 North Branford Road
 Branford, Connecticut 06405
 Tel. (203) 488-0580
 Fax (203) 488-8587
 Consulting Engineers • Project Management
 Civil • Structural • Mechanical • Electrical



AT&T WIRELESS PCS LLC
 12 OMEGA DRIVE
 STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06907



DRAWING TITLE:
 SITING COUNCIL

PROJECT INFORMATION:
 COLCHESTER CENTRAL E
 CT-347.1
 CHESTNUT HILL RD
 COLCHESTER, CT 06415

PROPERTY OWNER:
 JOHN PRZYBOROWSKI, JR
 681 NORWICH AVE.
 COLCHESTER, CT 06415

DRAWING NO.	
3CO-CT347.1-SC02-0	
REVISION NO. 0	DRAWN BY: P.A.M.
DATE ISSUED: 02/11/02	CHECKED BY: JJP
SCALE: AS NOTED	APPROVED BY: CFC
SHEET NO. 2 OF 2	
A/E PROJECT NO: 488A	

488ASCD.dwg 2-11-02 2:56:23 pm EST



1 **SITE PLAN**
SCALE: 1" = 20'

"ISSUED FOR SITING COUNCIL"

 <p>Natcomm, LLC 93-2 North Branford Road Branford, Connecticut 06405 Tel. (203) 488-0580 Fax (203) 488-8587 Consulting Engineers • Project Management Civil • Structural • Mechanical • Electrical</p>	 AT&T AT&T WIRELESS PCS LLC 12 OMEGA DRIVE STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06907	DRAWING TITLE: SITING COUNCIL PROJECT INFORMATION: COLCHESTER CENTRAL E CT-347.1 CHESTNUT HILL RD COLCHESTER, CT 06415	DRAWING NO. 3CO-CT347.1-SC01-0								
		PROPERTY OWNER: JOHN PRZYBOROWSKI, JR 681 NORWICH AVE. COLCHESTER, CT 06415	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="font-size: small;">REVISION NO. 0</td> <td style="font-size: small;">DRAWN BY: P.A.M.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="font-size: small;">DATE ISSUED: 02/11/02</td> <td style="font-size: small;">CHECKED BY: J.P.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="font-size: small;">SCALE: AS NOTED</td> <td style="font-size: small;">APPROVED BY: CFC</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="font-size: small;">SHEET NO. 1 OF 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="font-size: small;">A/E PROJECT NO: 488A</td> </tr> </table>	REVISION NO. 0	DRAWN BY: P.A.M.	DATE ISSUED: 02/11/02	CHECKED BY: J.P.	SCALE: AS NOTED	APPROVED BY: CFC	SHEET NO. 1 OF 2	
REVISION NO. 0	DRAWN BY: P.A.M.										
DATE ISSUED: 02/11/02	CHECKED BY: J.P.										
SCALE: AS NOTED	APPROVED BY: CFC										
SHEET NO. 1 OF 2											
A/E PROJECT NO: 488A											

488A(03) DWG 2-11-02 10:53:30 AM EST



NATCOMM, LLC

FEB 19 2002

Consulting Engineers

February 11, 2002

Mr. Don Huntley
Bechtel Telecommunications
210 Pomeroy Avenue, Suite 201
Meriden, CT 06450

Re: *AT&T CT-347 (Colchester Central East)*
Chestnut Hill Road
Colchester, CT 06415

Natcomm Project No. 488C

We have reviewed the proposed AT&T antenna installation at the above referenced site. The purpose of the review is to determine the adequacy of an existing 180 ft. monopole to support the proposed antennas. The review considered the effects of wind load, dead load, ice load and seismic forces in accordance with TIA/EIA-222-F and Connecticut State Building Code. Structural design documents prepared by Valmont Microflex job/quote #19539-99 dated November 5, 1999, tower inspection report SBA (Site ID # CT02220-S) prepared by Spectrum Management, LLC and dated 7/13/01, and antenna height verification provided by SBA at the design visit of 11/15/01 were used as reference material along with tower loading information furnished by SBA.

The existing antenna configuration is as follows:

- Sprint: Six (6) DB980H90E (Decibel) mounted on a 14 ft. low profile platform at an elevation of 179. ft.
- Voicestream: Three (3) RR901702DP (EMS) mounted on a 10'-10" platform with handrails at an elevation of 167.5 ft.

(For the purpose of this report we are considering Twelve (12) DB896 (Decibel) mounted on a 14 ft. low profile platform at each of the above levels per the Valmont design.)

The proposed additional antenna loading is as follows:

- AT&T: Six (6) 7250.03 (Allgon) mounted on universal T-ARM mounts at an elevation of 157 ft.


The future antenna loading is as follows:


- Future carrier: Twelve (12) DB896 (Decibel) mounted on a 14 ft. low profile platform at an elevation of 147.5 ft.
- Future carrier: Twelve (12) DB896 (Decibel) mounted on a 14 ft. low profile platform at an elevation of 137.5 ft.

Based on the information provided, the existing structure meets all the requirements of the TIA/EIA-222-F standards for a basic wind speed of 85mph with 1/2 inch radial ice.

In conclusion, the existing 180 ft. monopole is adequate to support the proposed AT&T antennas.

If there are any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to call.

Regards,

Carlo F. Centore, P.E.
Senior Project Manger





**RF Exposure Analysis for Proposed
AT&T Wireless Antenna Facility**

907-009-347

02/19/02

**Prepared by AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.
Frank Wentink RF Engineer**

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SITE DATA	3
3. RF EXPOSURE PREDICTION	3
4. FCC GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATING THE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF RF RADIATION 4	
5. COMPARISON WITH STANDARDS.....	4
6. CONCLUSION	4
7. FCC LIMITS FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE	5
8. EXHIBIT A.....	6
9. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.....	7
10. REFERENCES.....	7

1. Introduction

This report constitutes an RF exposure analysis for the proposed AT&T Wireless antenna facility to be located at *Chestnut Hill Rd.* This analysis uses site-specific engineering data to determine the predicted levels of radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic energy in the vicinity of the proposed facility and compares those levels with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits established by the Federal Communications Commission.

2. Site Data

Site Name: <i>Colchester Central East</i>	
Number of simultaneously operating channels	16
Type of antenna	Allgon 7250.02
Power per channel (Watts ERP)	250.0 Watts
Height of antenna (feet AGL)	157 feet
Antenna Aperture Length	5 feet

3. RF Exposure Prediction

The following equations established by the FCC, in conjunction with the site data, were used to determine the levels of RF electromagnetic energy present in the vicinity of the proposed facility¹:

$$PowerDensity = \frac{0.64 * N * EIRP(\theta)}{\pi * R^2} (mw/cm^2) \quad Eq. 1-Far-field$$

Where, N = Number of channels, R = distance in cm from the RC (Radiation Center) of antenna, and $EIRP(\theta)$ = The isotropic power expressed in milliwatts in the direction of prediction point.

$$PowerDensity = \frac{P_{in} / ch * N * 10^3}{2 * \pi * R * h * \alpha / 360} (mw/cm^2) \quad Eq. 2-Near-field$$

Where P_{in}/ch = Input power to antenna terminals in watts/ch, R = distance to center of radiation, h = aperture height in meters, α = 3 dB band-width of horizontal pattern.

¹ RF exposure is measured and predicted in terms of power density in units of milliwatts (mW), a thousandth of a watt, or microwatts (μ W), a millionth of a watt, per square centimeter (cm^2). Data comparing predictive analysis with on site measurements has demonstrated that power density can be effectively predicted at given locations in the vicinity of a wireless antenna facility.

4. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation

In 1985, the FCC established rules to regulate radio frequency (RF) exposure from FCC licensed antenna facilities. In 1996, the FCC updated these rules, which were further amended in August 1997 by a Second Memorandum Opinion and Order. These new rules represent a consensus of the federal agencies responsible for the protection of public health and the environment, including the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety (NIOSH), and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Under the laws that govern the delivery of wireless communications services in the United States, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the FCC has exclusive jurisdiction over RF emissions from personal wireless antenna facilities, which include cellular, PCS, messaging and aviation sites.² Pursuant to its authority under federal law, the FCC has established rules to regulate the safety of emissions from these facilities.

5. Comparison with Standards

Exhibit A shows the levels of RF electromagnetic energy as one moves away from the antenna facility. As shown in Exhibit A, the maximum power density is $0.67 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ which occurs at 180 feet from the antenna facility. The chart in exhibit A also shows that the power density is only $0.03 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at a distance of 4 feet. Table 1 below shows the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits established by the FCC. There are different MPE limits for public/uncontrolled and occupational/controlled environments.

Table 1: Maximum Permissible Exposure limits for RF radiation

<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Public/Uncontrolled</i>	<i>Occupational/controlled</i>	<i>Maximum power density at Accessible location</i>
Cellular	$580 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$	$2,900 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$	$0.67 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$
PCS	$1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$	$5,000 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$	

The maximum power density at the proposed facility represents only 0.07% of the public MPE limit.


6. Conclusion

This analysis show that the maximum power density in accessible areas at this location is $0.67 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, a level of RF energy that is well below the Maximum Permissible Exposure limit established by the FCC.

² 47 U.S. C. Section 332 (c) (7)(B)(iv) states that “[n]o State or local government or instrumentality thereof may regulate the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions to the extent that such facilities comply with the Commission’s regulations concerning such emissions.”




7. FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure

FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)
Plane-wave Equivalent Power Density



8. Exhibit A

Heading



Number of Antenna Systems: 3
Meets FCC Controlled Limits for The Antennas Systems.

Meets FCC Uncontrolled Limits for The Antenna Systems.

Meets 5% of FCC Uncontrolled Limits for The Antenna Systems.

No Further Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Analysis Required.

Power Density @ Horiz. Dist. µW/cm ²	feet
Maximum Power Density = 0.67	0.07
1,500.63 times lower than the MPE limit for uncontrolled environment	180.00
Composite Power (ERP) = 12,000.00 Watts	

Site ID: 907-009-347
Site Name: Colchester Central East
Site Location: Chestnut Hill Road, Colchester, CT 06415

Performed By: Frank Wentink
Sector: 1
Azimuth: 0

Ant System ONE Owner: AT&T
Sector: 1
Azimuth: 0

Ant System TWO Owner: Omnipoint
Sector: 1
Azimuth: 0

Ant System Three Owner: Sprint
Sector: 1
Azimuth: 0

Antenna System One

Frequency	MHz	Value
# of Channels	#	16
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	5.59680285
Center of Calculation Point (above ground or roof surface)	feet	157
Point ground or surface	feet	0
Model No.		Aligon 7250.02
Max Ant Gain	dBd	16.5
Down tilt	degrees	0
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0
Height of aperture	feet	5.11
Ant. HBW	degrees	65
Distance to Ant _{horiz}	feet	154.445
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Antenna System Two

Frequency	MHz	Value
# of Channels	#	16
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	9.076951369
Center of Calculation Point (above ground or roof surface)	feet	167.5
Point ground or surface	feet	0
Model No.		FRD01702
Max Ant Gain	dBd	14.4
Down tilt	degrees	0
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0
Height of aperture	feet	4.66
Ant. HBW	degrees	90
Distance to Ant _{horiz}	feet	165.17
WOS?	Y/N?	n

Antenna System Three

Frequency	MHz	Value
# of Channels	#	16
Max ERP/Ch	Watts	250
Max Pwr/Ch Into Ant.	Watts	7.725738581
Center of Calculation Point (above ground or roof surface)	feet	179
Point ground or surface	feet	0
Model No.		D9880G80
Max Ant Gain	dBd	15.1
Down tilt	degrees	0
Miscellaneous Att.	dB	0
Height of aperture	feet	5
Ant. HBW	degrees	90
Distance to Ant _{horiz}	feet	176.5
WOS?	Y/N?	n

9. For Further Information

Additional information about the environmental impact of RF energy from personal wireless antenna facilities can be obtained from the Federal Communications Commission:

Dr. Robert Cleveland
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Engineering and Technology
Washington, DC 20554

RF Safety Program: 202-418-2464
Internet address: rfsafety@fcc.gov
RF Safety Web Site: www.fcc.gov/oet/rfsafety

10. References

- [1] The Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. Section 332 (c)(7)(B)(iv).
- [2] *Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation*, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, ET Docket 93-62, 8 FCC Rcd 2849 (1993).
- [3] *Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation*, Report and Order, ET Docket 93-62, FCC 96-326, adopted August 1, 1996. 61 Federal Register 41006 (1996).
- [4] *Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radio frequency Radiation*, Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, ET Docket 93-62, adopted August 25, 1997.
- [5] *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields*, OET Bulletin 65, August, 1997.

CUDDY & FEDER & WORBY LLP

90 MAPLE AVENUE
WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

(914) 761-1300

TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405

www.cfwwlaw.com

500 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110
(212) 944-2841
TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER
300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE
FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524
(845) 896-2229
TELECOPIER (845) 896-3672

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT
NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

CUDDY & FEDER
1971-1995

WILLIAM S. NULL
DAWN M. PORTNEY
ELISABETH N. RADOW
NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)
ROBERT L. WOLFE
DAVID E. WORBY

Of Counsel
MICHAEL R. EDELMAN
ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT)
ROBERT L. OSAR (also TX)
MARYANN M. PALERMO
ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER
LOUIS R. TAFFERA

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT)
CHARLES T. BAZYDLO (also NJ)
THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC)
THOMAS M. BLOOMER
JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI
KENNETH J. DUBROFF
ROBERT FEDER
CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT)
ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT)
SUSAN E.H. GORDON
KAREN G. GRANIK
JOSHUA J. GRAUER
WAYNE E. HELLER (also CT)
KENNETH F. JURIST
MICHAEL L. KATZ (also NJ)
JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT)
DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT)
BARRY E. LONG

February 28, 2002

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members
of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

Re: AT&T Wireless Notice of Exempt Modification
850 West Main Street, Branford, Connecticut
586 Danbury Road, New Milford, Connecticut
31 Chestnut Hill Road, Colchester, Connecticut
39 Wig Hill Road, Chester, Connecticut
41 Manitock Road, Waterford, Connecticut
30 Old Country Road, Stafford, Connecticut
131 A Bishop Hill Crossing Road, Griswold, Connecticut



Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of AT&T Wireless, we respectfully enclose an original and twenty copies of its notice of exempt modification with respect to the above mentioned facilities, together with a check for \$500.00 for each facility, the filing fee. We would appreciate it if these matters were


CUDDY & FEDER & WORBY LLP

February 28, 2002

Page 2

placed on the next available agenda for acknowledgment by the Council. Should the Council or staff have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Linda Grant".

Linda Grant

cc: Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.