

Connecticut DOT

Number:

CB - 2016-1

Bureau of Engineering and Construction

Date:

March 7, 2016

CONSTRUCTION BULLETIN

Construction Division Chief

SUPERSEDED BY CD-2021-3

Revisions to the Extension of Time Approval

Memorandum

This Construction Bulletin transmits revisions to the "Extension of Time Approval" Memorandum format, currently in the Construction Manual.

It also provides a template for the back-up documentation required for District approval.

The primary revisions to the Memorandum include the following:

- The Lead Designer from the Office of Engineering will be included in the distribution.
- Standard categories for time extensions have been revised to reflect the ongoing reporting of the Department's Performance Metrics.
- A back-up justification template has been standardized to streamline the approval request.
 - O This mandates the minimum amount of information required in order for District Staff to make an informed decision, thus eliminating the need for draft revisions.
 - o It also includes a Summary Table to provide accurate information for reporting on the Department's Metrics. The total number of calendar days must be broken down by category.

This revision is the direct result of a "LEAN Event – Calendar Day Committee," initiated by the Office of Construction.

This Bulletin supersedes the existing Construction Manual, and the revisions will appear in the forthcoming Construction Manual update. Please direct your staff to immediately implement this change.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Mary K. Baier at (860) 594-3256.

Attachments

Distribution:

Mark Rolfe – James Connery – Donald Ward – Mary Baier – Christopher Angelotti – Anthony Kwentoh – Nelio Rodrigues – Janet L. Mazeau - Sharon Ruzycki

Ravi Chandran – Dean Cerasoli – Lynn Cichowski Kenneth Fargnoli – Eileen Ego Robert Obey – Domenic La Rosa John Dunham – Richard Symonds

Francis Kaminski Mohammed Bishtawi Mark St. Germain Michael Mendick Richard Unkel

James D. Parsons James Paul Michael Washington

Steven DiGiovanna Daniel Stafko Robert Wiecki James Pelletier Vlad Kaminsky

Edwin F. Rodriguez John Lee Matthew Cleary

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

subject:

Sample Memo -

Extension of Time

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Project #:XXXX-XXXX F.A.P.#

Anywhere, CT

date:

DATE

memorandum

Mr. Joseph Smith

Assistant District Engineer Bureau of Engineering and Construction

from:

Howard Jones

Transportation Supervising Engineer

Bureau of Engineering and Construction

Attached is the justification for a 28 calendar day Extension of Time for the completion of work on the subject project, for your review and approval.

XXXX-XXXX Project No.: F.A.P. No.: Anywhere, CT Towns: Current Form/Suppl. Dated _ Standard Specification: \$557,335.00 Original Contract Value: \$530,000.00 To Date Contract Value: March 4, 2009 Award Date: May 18, 2009 Order to Start Date: May 18, 2009 Actual Start Date: 100 days Original Calendar Days: August 25, 2009 Original Completion Date: September 22, 2009 **Actual Completion Date:** 128 days Days Used: 100 days Calendar Days Allowed: Winter Days (or other period time not counted): none none Suspension Days: Previous Time Extension Granted: none 28 days Apparent Time Overrun: 28 days Time Extension Recommended: September 22, 2009 Revised Completion Date: \$0.00 Liquidated Damages Recommended: Please indicate your approval/denial by your signature in the appropriate space. Disapproval:_____ Approval: Mr. Joseph Smith Mr. Joseph Smith Assistant District Engineer Assistant District Engineer Date: ____ Attachments: (listed on page #)

Lead Design TPE - TSE - TE3 cc:

Construction Division Chief - Liaison Engineer w/attachments

District Engineer - Assistant District Engineer - Supervising Engineer - w/attachments

Project Engineer District Finals Section

INTRODUCTION:

In a letter dated August 7, 2009 to the Assistant District Engineer from Joe Contractor a request
was made for an extension of contract time for calendar days. In a subsequent letter dated
September 21, 2009 to District Staff from Joe Contractor, the request for an extension of contract
time was revised to days. The day request was for the delay associated with time spent to
(address a construction activity) that was unknown at the time of bid and at the start of
construction. This time extension request was made in accordance with the Article 1.08.08 of
Standard Specification Form (current) and Supplemental dated

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

This project consisted of the (Description from cover of contract) - in the town/city of Anywhere.
The contract was awarded on actual award date for a value of \$\sqrt{\text{ with }}_\text{original calendar days.}
The contractor was ordered to start on order to start from District letter with an original
completion date of The actual completion date was or anticipated on requiring
additional calendar days.

EVALUATION:

Provide a brief description of the construction activities leading up to and associated with the delay(s). The delay(s) must be evaluated against the Contractor's Schedule Update for the time period being reviewed and determined if the critical path was actually impacted.

The delays were presented as follows:

•	Provide a summary of Attachment A o The Contractor has requested a Time Extension	Days of 30 Calendar Days. However
	review of the (Month) Schedule Update shows In accordance with Article 1.08.08 the contrac	a Critical Path delay of 15 days tor is entitled to the above noted
	Days.	
•	Provide a summary of Attachment B	Days
	Provide a summary of Attachment C	Davs

The total __calendar days listed above appear reasonable. The __calendar days and __calendar days requested above have been verified by the inspectors' daily work reports. Add any other additional information noted from DWR's or memos to confirm the extension of contract time request.

CONCLUSION:

You want to verify that the recommendation concurs with the evaluation.

- For example was the condition shown on the plans?
- Could it have been known at the time of bid?
- How long did the operation impact the critical path for?

Delay Summary	Calendar Days	*Associated Reason (For Metrics) Must be broken down by # of days

^{*}Natural Catastrophes, Changed Conditions, Acts of Government, Design Change, Extra Work Order, Permits, ROW, Temperature Restrictions, Third Party, Utility/Railroad Delay. Please refer to the attached for definitions, which will be in the forthcoming Construction Manual update.

Therefore, in the District's opinion, the delay in the completion of this project was beyond the control of the contractor. Joe Contactor should be granted an additional twenty-eight (28) calendar days (15+ $2\frac{1}{2}$ + 2 + $8\frac{1}{2}$ = 28).

Due to the above noted delay (s), the District is recommending an extension of time of twenty-eight (28) calendar days from August 25, 2009 to September 10, 2009.

ATTACHMENTS:

A chronological list of letters, memos, field directives, reports of meetings detailing the circumstances surrounding the time extension

For Example:

- A Letter to Mr. Assistant District Engineer from Joe Contractor
- B Progress Meeting Report of Meeting
- C Progress meeting Report of Meeting
- D Original Engineer's Time Chart
- E Contractor's Baseline Schedule/Time Chart
- F Contractor's As-Built Schedule

TIME EXTENSION REVIEW TRACKING

TE.	3	•				
1.	Contractor's Complete Request Received:	Date:				
2.	Time Chart Preparation Completed:	Date:				
3.	Draft Submitted by TE III to Office TE III for tracking then to ADE for Review:					
	Date:					
4.	ADE Cursory Review:	Date:				
	5. Office TE III Review of Statement of Project Information, Date and Day Totals, and Reference Correspondence. Date:					
	a) Format correct – introduction, project description, evaluation, recomme dated 3/23/99 requested for PE to ADE.	endation memo				
	b) Material delays – P.O. request to supplier (CA 7-95) included with pact	kage.				
	 Contractor's request submitted prior date of termination of the Contractor's physical responsibility for the project. 					
	d) Reference correspondence is referenced in analysis.					
	e) Check dates.					
•	f) Verify conclusion and that recommendation concurs with the evaluation	on.				
	g) Questions answered on Page 2-51 of the 1998 Construction Manual.					
6.	Returned to TE III for corrections	Date:				
7.	Corrected by TE III, retyped, resubmitted to SE for Signature	Date:				
8.	3. ADE In-Depth Review, ADE Approval/Disapproval (Return to Field) Date:					
9.	Incorporated into Construction Order – 5 days after PE receives approval	Date:				

1-702D Standard Reference for Time Extension Reasons (New Section Version 3.0 April 2016)

The time extension reasons should be included in the conclusion of the analysis.

- (1) Natural Catastrophe: Work delayed as a result of an extraordinary event that could not have been foreseen nor prepared for at the time of bid, such as extreme weather, hurricanes, earthquakes, fires, etc.
- (2) Changed Conditions: Delays caused by differing site conditions (subsurface or latent field conditions), delays resulting from unexpected hazardous material that results in special handling that could not have been anticipated prior to construction.
- (3) Acts of Government: Requests made by a Municipality, State, or Federal Government during construction that was not included in the original contract.
- (4) **Design Change:** A design initiated construction order for work not included in the contract and which is not identified in the other Time Extension categories.
- (5) Extra Work Order: Time allowed for additional work made necessary by the Engineer's changes to the Contract plans or specifications, which was not addressed in the original contract work, with the exception of a Design Change (in #4 identified above).
- (6) Permits: Construction delays due to time required to modify or issue a permit, such as Army Corp., DEEP, United States Coast Guard, Local Conservation Commission, etc.
- (7) ROW: Delays caused by actions of the Office of Rights of Way to acquire access rights to property deemed necessary for contract work.
- (8) Temperature Restriction: Delays due to restriction for temperature sensitive materials, including winter shutdowns, not caused by the Contractor's own scheduling of work.
- (9) Third Party: Any delay caused by the action of a third party not more specifically defined in any other category, such as an owner of adjacent property, strikes, suppliers, etc.
- (10) Utility Railroad Delay: Construction delayed waiting for utility companies to move their facilities or delays caused by railroad companies.