GL 20 Review of Requests and Tenders	Document ID: 1421
--------------------------------------	-------------------

Revision: 7

Effective Date: 5/31/2019

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro Status: Published

Page 1 of 3

A. PURPOSE:

Customer service is of utmost importance to the Division of Scientific Services; as such, requests, contracts and tenders are reviewed to ensure continued compliance with their requirements. The purpose of this procedure is to describe and define the process by which such review occurs.

B. RESPONSIBILITY:

Director: responsible to review contracts annually and ensure that that all sections of the Division follow contracts as set forth with customers.

Deputy Directors, Assistant Directors and Scientific Services Administrative Manager: ensure that all units within their section follow contracts as set forth with customers. Contracts must be reviewed at least annually to ensure the needs of the customer are being met.

Quality Managers: must ensure that contracts are reviewed as needed, or at a minimum, once annually.

Unit Supervisors/Leads (however titled): must ensure that their unit is meeting the requirements set forth by the Division in contracts with Division customers.

Analysts (however titled): must follow SOPs and inform their supervisor/lead as major deviations from defined procedures/contractual agreements are required.

C. PROCEDURE:

1. Contracts/Tenders/Requests:

- a. As part of the annual Management System Review the customer contract will be reviewed to determine if it still meets the needs of the customers. Each unit is responsible for contractual obligations applicable to that unit, under the general authority and responsibility of the Director.
- b. If there are any specialized contracts, these will be similarly reviewed to ensure the customer's needs are continued to be met.
- c. If contracts for outsourcing of testing (sub-contracting) are obtained, the Quality Section will maintain a list of the acceptable contracted vendors. The contracts will be reviewed annually. This annual review can be performed during the management system review.

2. Evidence Submission to the Laboratories:

- a. When an agency/customer submits evidence to the Division for examination a Request for Analysis form is used. This form is reviewed for appropriateness following Evidence Receiving SOPs. Requested analysis requested will be reviewed to ensure it appropriate to the analysis the DSS offers.
 - When iPrelog is used an RFA is not required. An iPrelog receipt is substituted.

GL 20 Review of Requests and Tenders	Document ID: 1421
	Revision: 7

Effective Date: 5/31/2019

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro Status: Published Page 2 of 3

b. When the evidence is entered into JusticeTrax, a receipt is generated. The receipt indicating what was submitted and has the contract between the Division and the submitting agency printed on it. This receipt is signed by the agent of the customer.

- c. Each specific unit shall, as a function of the contractual agreement with the customer, specify and use appropriate procedures which meet the needs of the customer and which are appropriate for the examination/testing required.
- d. If upon review of a request it is found to be inappropriate such as the testing requested is outdated the customer will be contacted to clarify the needed analysis. This will be documented in the case file.
- e. The contract between the Division and submitting agency states:

Agencies submitting evidence to the Division of Scientific Services for specific analysis agree to allow the Division to determine the appropriate methodology for the evidence submitted and agree to the use of either handwritten or electronic signatures on Division reports. Descriptions of analyses offered by the Division are detailed on our website. If the Division needs to deviate from standard test methodologies you or your agency will be contacted prior to the analysis being performed. The Division reserves the right to use contract laboratories to perform case analysis as needed. This contract serves to inform you as the client of this potential event. In the event a contract laboratory is used the name and address of the contract laboratory will be stated on the laboratory report to the submitting agency. Any concerns or specific requests about the required testing can be discussed with the section Deputy Director or Director prior to case analysis.

- f. By signing the evidence receipt form the customer accepts the terms of the contract.
- g. The DSS's agreement with the customer includes the capability and resources to meet the requirement of the contract.
- h. If an amendment to the contract is required at any stage within the DSS, the customer will be contacted, the amendment will be documented, the amended contract reviewed and the appropriate personnel will be notified.
- i. The evidence receipt will be maintained in the administrative case file maintained by the Evidence Receiving Unit.
- j. When an agency has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the DSS this MOU will supersede the above contract or work in conjunction with that contract based on the details of the MOU.
 - i. For these cases, evidence receipts may not be generated; other methods of documenting the evidence transfer from the customer to the laboratory may be acceptable.
- 3. Deviations/Changes to Contracts (before or after work begins):

GL 20 Review of Requests and Tenders	Document ID: 1421
_	Revision: 7
	Effective Date: 5/31/2019
Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro	Status: Published
,	Page 3 of 3

- a. When a major deviation to a contract must be made, it must be approved by the customer prior to the work being performed. This approval can be obtained verbally, however the information must be documented in the case file.
- b. When the DSS purposes a deviation the deviation must be such that it will not impact the integrity of the casework/validity of the results.
 - i. A major deviation is the use of a non-standard method or anything that causes work to be performed other than that in which the case was originally submitted for.
 - Example: A case was submitted for FB/DNA analysis; during the initial examination materials having the appearance of drugs are found. The customer would be contacted to determine if they wanted drug analysis performed on the found materials.
 - ii. Additionally, a major deviation includes the Division sending the sample to a reference/contract laboratory for a procedure that is not part of the Division's normal scope of testing.
 - iii. A major deviation does not include changes such as instrument of choice or solvent of choice as long as the customer's needs are still met by the change.
 - iv. A major deviation does not include sending the case to a contracted vendor, if the contract vendor is analyzing the case materials for the same type of analysis the evidence was submitted to the Division for.
 - v. Deviation requests are entered into Qualtrax using the Deviation Request workflow. This is used to document the internal approval of a deviation.

4. Contact with Customers:

- a. Any employee who is contacted by or contacts a customer concerning changes to a contract of a case (whether verbal or written) must note the following in the case file:
 - i. date of contact
 - ii. the name of the customer
 - iii. contact information
 - iv. brief overview of the discussion
 - v. what was agreed to
- b. These records will be maintained in the case file for the life of the case file. If the change to the contract is documented as a major change, a copy of the information should also be filed in the administrative file with evidence receiving.