Document ID: 1414

Revision: 1

Effective Date: 8/29/2014

Status: Published Page **1** of **6**

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro

A. **PURPOSE:**

Technical reviews are performed on all case files prior to reports being issued. Technical reviews are performed by a qualified analyst to verify that the conclusions made in the case are reasonable and are supported through the documentation in the case file.

Administrative reviews are performed on all case files prior to the reports being issued. Administrative reviews are used to assure that the case demographics are correct and that there are not typographical errors.

B. RESPONSIBILITY:

Technical reviews can be completed by any analyst who is competent in the specific field the case encompasses. The technical review cannot be performed by the analyst or any analyst (for cases which include multiple analysts) for the case.

Administrative reviews can be performed by any analytical staff member (however titled) or by those in support positions (however titled).

C. **DEFINITIONS**:

Qualified analyst: any individual who has competency in the field the case requires. This individual may be proficiency tested in the field.

Analyst(s): the individual(s) who performed the case work, or who generated the final case report.

Technical Reviewer: The co-signer of the final laboratory report. Responsible to assure that the data in the case file folder supports the report findings and that the proper laboratory procedures were followed. The technical reviewer can not be the primary analyst(s) in the case.

Administrative Reviewer: an individual who reviews the case file for non-technical errors (typographical in nature) the administrative reviewer can not be the primary analyst(s) in the case.

Primary Analyst(s): any analyst(s) assigned to perform case work on a specific case.

Report Author or Co-Author: the primary analyst, or any analyst responsible for generating data for an assigned case. An individual who verifies a critical finding such as a technical reviewer, is not a Co-author of a case.

Report Co-Signer: The individual that performs the technical review of a case.

D. **PROCEDURE:**

1. Analysts are expected to review their own case work prior to submitting it for technical review. They should verify that the required documentation is present in the case file and they should

Document ID: 1414

Revision: 1

Effective Date: 8/29/2014

Status: Published Page 2 of 6

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro

review the case report for typographical errors or transcription errors. Ultimately the contents and completeness of the case file is the responsibility of the case analyst(s). Once a case file is passed from the analyst to a reviewer any changes made to the report must be tracked. Draft reports used in the review process will remain in the case file with any appropriate annotations. The draft report should be treated as other case documentation with case number and analysts initials present on the page(s), additionally any cross outs or notes must be initialed by the person making the change.

- a. Laboratory personnel that issue findings or testify in court based on another examiners work must review and document the review of the generated data. The documentation of the review will generally be demonstrated by the initialing of the specific paperwork related to the analysis.
- 2. The following are general guidelines as to the information that needs to be checked on each case. Some sections may find it necessary to change what is reviewed during which review (administrative or technical) based on the needs of the section. For example a section in which the case description is important may decide that the review of the description is an important part of the technical review instead of the administrative review. In these cases the section specific SOPs detail what is to be reviewed during each review.
 - a. What cannot be changed is that the technical reviewer must be competent in the discipline being reviewed and must be familiar with the technical procedures of the laboratory.
 - b. For administrative reviews the reviewer cannot be the primary analyst(s) of the case.
- 3. **Technical Review** This is a review of the case (draft) report and the documentation that supports the findings presented. The technical information that supports the case findings will be reviewed to assure that the results listed in the case reports are supported by the documentation.
 - a. Technical reviews of examination data or test reports shall not be conducted by the author or co-authors (primary analyst(s)) of report or examination data of the case.
 - b. An individual reviewing a case for technical review will:
 - i. Assure that the documentation in the case is adequate to support the conclusion made by the analyst(s).
 - ii. Check all calculations made that affect the reported conclusions. Verify that transcription of the data is correct.
 - iii. Assure that the analysis performed is adequate to support the reported conclusion.
 - (a) If associations are made assure that the association is clearly documented and assure that the association on the report is properly qualified.
 - (b) If the results are not definitive (such as with inconclusive findings) assure that the reason for reporting the result is documented in the case file.

State of Connecticut Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection
Division of Scientific Services

Documents outside of Qualtrax are considered uncontrolled.

Document ID: 1414

Revision: 1

Effective Date: 8/29/2014

Status: Published Page 3 of 6

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro

- iv. Assure that proper controls and/or calibration requirements as set forth in the SOPs used were met.
- c. Assure that the appropriate worksheets were used and are completed as required by section specific SOPs.
- d. Assure that information required by the specific section SOPs is included as required.
- e. Review the findings, be it observations, photographs, instrumental data ect...to determine if the minimum requirements to make the reported conclusions are met.
- f. Review the draft report and assure that the findings represented on the report are accurate, complete, and represent what was documented in the case file.
- g. Review the case report and assure that all required information is contained in the report, including:
 - i. Title
 - ii. Name and address of the laboratory
 - iii. Name and of the submitting agency
 - iv. Laboratory case number
 - v. Methods use in analysis of the case materials
 - vi. Evidence description
 - vii. Date of case receipt to laboratory
 - viii. Items analyzed (with a reference to a sampling plan if applicable) with a statement to the effect that the results only relate to the items tested
 - ix. Results with appropriate units of measure if applicable
 - x. Name and title of the analyst(s) and co-signer (technical reviewer)
 - xi. Presence of the opinion/conclusion statement.
 - (a) For DNA section: This report reflects the test results, conclusions, interpretations and/or the findings of the analyst as indicated by their signature below.
 - (b) For all other sections: This report reflects the test results, conclusions, interpretations and/or the findings of the analyst and technical reviewer as indicated by their signature below.
- h. Review the Request for Analysis form DPS 997C and any other documentation submitted by the client to assure that the work performed is what was required of the submitted agency.

CI	10	Caca	Reviews	
(TI)	וח	Case	Reviews	

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro

Document ID: 1414

Revision: 1

Effective Date: 8/29/2014

Status: Published

Page **4** of **6**

i. To document that the technical review was performed the Technical Reviewer will complete a Case Review sheet specific to that section, sections may choose to not use a review sheet but to initial every page in the case file at the time of the technical review.

- i. If using a Case Review sheet the technical reviewer may still choose to initial pages in the case file that they feel are important to the conclusions made.
- ii. Any notes added to case documentation will be initialed by the technical reviewer.
- j. Document that the review has been performed per individual section specific requirements. By documenting the technical review the reviewer agrees that the findings documented in the report are supported by the case data in the file. All technical reviews will be minimally documented in LIMS by updating the milestone in Justice Trax.
 - i. Documentation of the technical review in the case file can be achieved by including a notation in the case file such as on a technical review checklist or the use of milestone stickers to track the case progression.
- k. Generate and sign the final report if the report is acceptable. The technical reviewer is the cosigner of case reports
- 1. Upon reviewing the case file, any issues, transcription errors, errors in calculations etc... must be noted to properly document the reviewer's opinion. The case file is then returned to either the analyst or the analyst's supervisor to have the issues addressed prior to the case being marked as Technically Reviewed in Justic Trax. Individual sections may have preferences in the flow of the case reviews; therefore it will be at the discretion of the section supervisor if they want case issues being given back directly to the analyst or to have them flow through them.
- m. Individual laboratories have the option of generating Technical Review check sheets; these must be approved through the Quality Management Team prior to being used and must be detailed in the section specific SOP. When used these must stay in the case file to demonstrate that the review was performed.
- n. Draft reports must stay in the case file. This is to allow for the tracking of changes to the case report after it is marked draft complete by the analyst. If there are no changes to the draft report and that draft report becomes the final report (as occurs in some sections) the technical reviewer must document that this occurred, since the absence of the draft report alone is not definitive documentation that no changes were needed. Additionally if any notes were made by the technical reviewer they must become a permanent part of the case documentation; notes must be initialed by the person adding them to the case.
 - i. Note: * In the Toxicology laboratory cases are worked in batches. While batch summary pages are presented in each case file, the original batch information for the controls and calibrators are stored in the laboratory. Individual batches are technically reviewed prior

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro

Document ID: 1414

Revision: 1

Effective Date: 8/29/2014

Status: Published

Page **5** of **6**

to the data being accepted for reporting. In toxicology cases, there may be several batch technical reviewers, one for each batch produced for the case. The final technical reviewer will be the one to assure the above listed steps; however the final technical reviewer will not be required to re-review all the batch data that is not contained in the case file (i.e. calibrators and controls which are stored within the Toxicology laboratory with the batch sheets.)

- 4. **Administrative Review** This is a review of general case information performed by someone other than those authoring/co-authoring the case. Since the information being reviewed in an Administrative Review is not analytical in nature the person performing this review is not required to be competent in the testing method.
 - a. Administrative reviews are performed on all case reports prior to their release.
 - b. An Administrative Reviewer will:
 - i. Check each page of the case file and assure that the initials of the analyst and the case number are on each page in the file. (Note: there are certain documents that can be added to a file after the release of the case that will require the case number but not necessarily the initials of the analyst; these documents will be administrative in nature).
 - ii. Check the case documentation to assure that the initials of the Tecnhical reviewer are on the technical pages. See note above for Toxicology case files.
 - iii. Review the demographics of the case: this includes at a minimum submitting agency, agency case number, name of source, address of source (if applicable).
 - iv. Review the case for typographical errors. The administrative reviewer will read the case report to look for typographical or major grammatical errors in the final report.
 - v. If the Administrative reviewer finds an issue, they will return the case file to the analyst for correction. If the administrative reviewer can fix the issue (such as addition of a case number) they can do so without involving the case analyst. Section supervisors may require all issues be addressed to them and not the analyst; this will be left to the discretion of the section supervisor.
 - vi. The reviewer will document that the review was performed, the method of achieving this will be section specific. By documenting the administrative review the reviewer indicates that they have reviewed the case for the items listed above and everything was as expected.
 - c. It is recognized that individual sections may combine the responsibilities for the Technical and Administrative review based on the sections personnel requirements. Such combined functionality is detailed in individual section SOP's.

Document ID: 1414

Revision: 1

Effective Date: 8/29/2014

Status: Published Page **6** of **6**

Approved by Director: Dr. Guy Vallaro

5. Outsourcing Review –

An outsourcing case review will be performed on reports from contract laboratories where the contract laboratories report is being submitted directly to the submitting agency. This review will take place of the traditional Technical and Administrative reviews listed above.

Case management or the assigned reviewer will:

- a. Verify evidence returned from the contract laboratory is what was sent.
- b. Verify that the report matches the laboratory case number and represents what was submitted to the contract laboratory.
- c. Verify that the requested testing was performed.
- d. Mark the appropriate request in Justice Trax as draft complete.

