

2016 State Fire Safety Code Public Comments

Item #	Proponent	Comment	Response	Action
1	Sheila Taridona; DAS/DCS/OEDM	Comment regarding various editorial corrections	Concur	Corrections Made
2	BO Joseph Summers; Town of Marlborough	Several comments - Correlation of NFPA standard editions between the Fire Safety, Fire Prevention and Building Code	Concur	References have been reviewed and changed to correlate between the three codes
3	Bob Torbin; OmegaFlex	Comment regarding the bonding of corrugated stainless steel tubing (CSST) and a potential conflict between the 2015 NFPA 54, Fuel Gas Code, and the 2012 International Residential Code.	Conflict acknowledged between the codes.	References have been reviewed and changed to 2012 NFPA 54 to correlate between the two codes
4	Peter Elliot; Perkins Eastman	In 425.1.1 – Exception, it would be appreciated if clarification can be made regarding the independent stairway or ramp. It was explained to me in an inquiry at OSBI that the independent stairway refers to the primary means of egress in multi-occupant spaces. Older students may use the dedicated stair or ramp as a secondary means in the case that their primary means is blocked and vice versa. In other words, the intent of the exception does not imply a doubling of the means of egress for shared multi-occupant spaces.	The intent of the exception is to allow classrooms for youngest students on other than the level of exit discharge, but only in the case that the space to be used has a dedicated egress for those students. Will review next cycle.	None.

2016 State Fire Safety Code Public Comments

Item #	Proponent	Comment	Response	Action
5	BO Raymond Steadman Town of Bloomfield	In reference to our amendment 1011.1.1, the currently required accessible exit sign is about 10 times more expensive than a similar "non-accessible" exit sign. The new statute is now mandating a sign that does not exist to my knowledge and will cause either large financial hardships to create custom signage	Cost implication noted. The requirement is statutory necessitating inclusion this code.	None
6	Cliff Weed; Shoreline Fire & Engineering Services	Comment requesting the restoration of Section 503, Fire apparatus access roads.	By statute, CGS 29-293, fire department access is to be addressed by the CT State Fire Prevention Code and local ordinance.	None
7	Joseph Versteeg; Versteeg Associates	Comment seeking to increase the use period for temporary tents, air-inflated, air-supported and tensioned membrane structures from 180 days to 240 consecutive days in Section 3103.5 to	We will consider this in the next cycle.	None
8	Jerry F Bauer Jr; Roybal & Sons Fire Equipment Co.	Numerous comments requesting Section 906 without any amendments thus restoring requirements for portable fire extinguishers	Portable fire extinguisher requirements were transferred to the CT State Fire Prevention Code in 2012 and are only referenced in the Fire Safety Code to assist the designer	None
8	Timothy O'Sullivan; Fire Equipment Headquarters Inc	Numerous comments requesting Section 906 without any amendments thus restoring requirements for portable fire extinguishers	Portable fire extinguisher requirements were transferred to the CT State Fire Prevention Code in 2012 and are only referenced in the Fire Safety Code to assist the designer	None

2016 State Fire Safety Code Public Comments

Item #	Proponent	Comment	Response	Action
8	Craig Voelkert; Fire Equipment Manufacturers' Association	Numerous comments requesting Section 906 without any amendments thus restoring requirements for portable fire extinguishers	Portable fire extinguisher requirements were transferred to the CT State Fire Prevention Code in 2012 and are only referenced in the Fire Safety Code to assist the designer	None
8	John Fratus; Shipman's Fire Equipment Co.	Numerous comments requesting Section 906 without any amendments thus restoring requirements for portable fire extinguishers	Portable fire extinguisher requirements were transferred to the CT State Fire Prevention Code in 2012 and are only referenced in the Fire Safety Code to assist the designer	None
8	Andrew Del Carmine; Fire Prevention Service Inc	Numerous comments requesting Section 906 without any amendments thus restoring requirements for portable fire extinguishers	Portable fire extinguisher requirements were transferred to the CT State Fire Prevention Code in 2012 and are only referenced in the Fire Safety Code to assist the designer	None
8	Michael Fratello; Fire Prevention Services	Numerous comments requesting Section 906 without any amendments thus restoring requirements for portable fire extinguishers	Portable fire extinguisher requirements were transferred to the CT State Fire Prevention Code in 2012 and are only referenced in the Fire Safety Code to assist the designer	None
9	FM Michael Lester; Town of Columbia	Seeks to discontinue the use of the ICC codes for new construction and rely only on NFPA 101 for both new and existing.	This has been in existence since 2005 and presented to Codes & Standards several times to no avail.	None