ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION DIRECTIVE

Bureau Chief/Chief Enginee	er

Value Engineering Program Revision

This Directive addresses changes to the Value Engineering Program administered by the Office of Construction.

Monetary Thresholds:

The current monetary thresholds are in line with the MAP 21 Authorization Law and Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 23 CFR Part 627. Under MAP-21, Value Engineering studies are required for highway projects on the National Highway System (NHS) with an estimated cost of \$50 million or more, and all bridge projects on the NHS with an estimated cost of \$40 million or more.

The Department has recently reviewed expanding the current Value Engineering program. Based on successes of past Value Engineering Workshops, it was determined that lowering the monetary thresholds would capture additional value for the Department's Capital Program.

The *revised* thresholds will be as follows:

- Highway projects: the threshold is lowered from \$50 to \$25 million, regardless of funding.
- Bridge projects: the threshold is lowered from \$40 to \$20 million, regardless of funding.
- All other projects including Federal Transit, Federal Rail Authority and Construction Manager/General Contractor projects: the threshold will be \$20 million, regardless of funding.
- Design-Build projects will continue to be exempt from the Value Engineering program.

ECD-2021-2 March 8, 2021

Project Criteria:

Certain types of projects may not lend themselves to a Value Engineering study.

A Committee will be created by the Chief Engineer to meet bi-annually to review projects that are recommended to have a VE study under this revision. The Department's Value Engineering Coordinator will prepare a list of projects to be discussed at the review meeting. The list will be based on the most recent Obligation Report and will include the project number and a brief description of the project. The list will be submitted at least one week prior to the meeting.

The committee will consist of a member from each of the following disciplines:

Office of Highway Design Office of Bridge Design Office of Rails Office of Facilities Design Office of Traffic Office of Construction

A representative from Property and Facilities Services and Maintenance will sit on the panel for buildings projects, and a representative from Bus will sit on the Committee for bus facility projects.

Additionally, any Value Engineering Proposal developed during the VE Workshop with an estimated cost savings of \$500,000.00 or more that is not accepted for incorporation into the Project design will require sign-off by the Chief Engineer or Engineering Administrator. A sample sign-off form is attached.

Value Engineering Proposal Rejection Form

Value Engineering is a systematic and organized approach for providing necessary project functions at the lowest life cycle cost. Per Department of Transportation guidelines, the Chief Engineer or Engineering Administrator must sign off on any Value Engineering proposal with an estimated cost savings of \$500,000.00 or more that was not accepted for incorporation into the project design. The VE recommendations in Table 1 list the proposals that satisfy these criteria.

	Table 1: Rejecte	d VE proposals with potenti	al cost	t savi	ings	of \$5	500,00	00.00 or mo	OOC Design
VE Proposal			FH	FHWA Functional Benefit*					Decision Review
ID	Description	Reason for rejection (Or attach a page to the end of this form)	Safety	Operations	Environment	Construction	Other	VE Team Estimated Change of Cost	Agree or Further Discussion
							<u> </u>		
Each y	vear, State Transportation Ag	encies (STA) are required to report VE 1	recomme	ndatior	ıs to F	HWA.	In addi	tion to cost imp	lications, FHW.
	the STA to evaluate each app Safety: Recommendations Operations: Recommendations Environment: Recommen Construction: Recommen	encies (STA) are required to report VE to proved recommendation in terms of: that mitigate or reduce hazards on the futions that improve real-time service and dations that successfully avoid or mitiga dations that improve work zone condition treadily categorized by the above per	acility /or local, te impac ns or exp	corria ts to na edite ti	lor, or utural d	region and or	al leve cultura	ls of service of t	
equires • • •	the STA to evaluate each app Safety: Recommendations Operations: Recommenda Environment: Recommen Construction: Recommen Other: Recommendations	proved recommendation in terms of: that mitigate or reduce hazards on the fitions that improve real-time service and dations that successfully avoid or mitigal dations that improve work zone condition not readily categorized by the above per	acility /or local, te impac ns or exp	corria ts to na edite ti	lor, or utural d	region and or iect de	al leve cultura livery.	ls of service of t	
equires • • •	the STA to evaluate each app Safety: Recommendations Operations: Recommenda Environment: Recommen Construction: Recommen Other: Recommendations	proved recommendation in terms of: that mitigate or reduce hazards on the f tions that improve real-time service and dations that successfully avoid or mitiga dations that improve work zone conditio	acility /or local, te impac ns or exp	corria ts to na edite ti	lor, or utural d	region and or	al leve cultura livery.	ls of service of t	