CONNECTICUT TEACHERS' RETIREMENT BOARD TRB BOARD MEETING SEPTEMBER 6, 2023

Clare B.:

I'd like to open the meeting, um, the Wednesday, September 6, 2023 meeting to order. Um, just a few things, uh, we're getting a lot of communication. I forward them to the people who are, uh, probably best able to answer them. Um, and some are about the elections and, you know, um, am I ever going to get a ballot, uh, or, or, you know, who's runnin', when is, when is my stuff coming in the mail, um, can I vote online. Uh, some of them are, uh, is it legal, I mean, 'cause it's not October 1st. And I mean there are a, a, a number of questions and any one of these, um, I, I think ma-, many of them are already addressed on our website and we try to encourage people to use it 'cause it's probably one of the best websites in terms of really getting you clear, accurate information. You can quote anything that's on there. Don't – you can comment on anything that's on there but those are your comments, but you can quote and take from there anything that you want because we would stand by anything that we put on, on our website. Um, so I would encourage people to do that. However, in the area of elections, both Helen and Steve will talk about those at this meeting, so we'll get a clear update as to everything, procedures and where we are and, uh, who's going to be running and whatever. All of those things will be coming to you.

So, um, and then, uh, the IAC, there were some articles in there, um, uh, some researchers at Yale had done a study on our performance over a series of years. Uh, it's not the first time that these things have been done. It was done I think in the springtime. And I believe that our Treasurer, Erick Russell, addressed it at the IAC meeting. He spoke directly to the IAC members and said, well, we went, we sent a team down there because there were inaccuracies in some of this and we wanted, we wanted some of it retracted or we wanted some of it restated or whatever. Um, and it, it, and then it continues to surface, whether it just continues to go around our, uh, you know, the people here or – sorry, this is my cat – uh, and continues to come back and forth. Uh, but I did ask Ted and he said, uh, he, he would give us a little time today to, uh, to give us an update kind of on a response to that but also kind of an update on how things are going.

And just as an aside for people, so, you know that we do the liabilities. Uh, the assets are really managed by the treasurer. He is a sole fiduciary. The Investment Advisory Council has teachers on it and State employees and public members and, uh, their job is just oversight. They kind of look over his shoulder and he, he seeks advice from them. Um, he o-, often seeks their consent in many things; however, he is in fact the sole fiduciary. Um, but as far as the IAC's membership, you have to remember, we have long served on the IAC. Roz Schoonmaker before me, I served many years, uh, on the IAC a-, as well as here. Uh, we have Bill Murray who serves currently and wa-, has been on the IAC for many years, also a board member. And currently we have Bill Myers, uh, who's at this

meeting and serves on our board and also is a member of the IAC. So, somehow, 1 2 that we're, um, we're just not cognizant of, of some of the developments or things 3 out there, no, nothing could be further from the truth. The IAC, uh, a-, and, and 4 Teachers' Retirement Board has a close relationship, and we have, I would say in 5 my memory, always had a member or not and sometimes more than one member, 6 sometimes two teacher members on the IAC representing our teachers' interest, 7 so, uh, just a little clarification. 8 9 Now, uh, I, I'm all done with my remarks. Ted, um, if you wanted to piggyback 10 on me and, and talk. Uh, Ted is the Chief Investment Officer, uh, for the 11 Retirement Systems, Teachers and State Employees in the State of Connecticut. 12 Ted, right? Ted, you have to unmute you. 13 14 Ted W.: Is that better? 15 16 Clare B.: Uh-huh. Excellent, Ted. Excellent. 17 18 Ted W.: Lovely. 19 20 Clare B.: We can hear you. Thanks. 21 22 Thank you so much, Clare. That was, uh, I, I don't think I could have said it Ted W.: 23 better. And by the way, happy belated birthday. 24 25 Clare B.: Thank you. Thank you. We have the same birthday, did you know? 26 27 Ted W.: I know. That's why... 28 29 Clare B.: I... 30 31 Ted W.: ...I, I clearly remember. 32 33 Clare B.: We were talking on that day and realized we had the same birthday, August 18th. 34 Who knew? Go ahead. Ted, you're on. 35 36 Ted W.: Uh, but I think Clare said it well. So, I'd say we have almost a hundred participants on this call. And I'll tell you, my style, I tend to be more, uh, 37 38 conversational. So, I know people will have questions. Clare, and if it's okay with 39 you, if they want to ask questions, I will surely do my best to respond to those. 40 41 Clare B.: Uh, Ted... 42 43 Ted W.: But... 44 45 Clare B.: ...I can really only take the questions from the board members. Okay?,

Ted W.: I mean the board. Right.

3 Clare B.: Right.

5 Ted W.: The board members.

Clare B.: Board members. Okay.

Ted W.: Sorry about that.

11 Clare B.: Great. Super.

Ted W.:

Yes. Uh, from the board members. Um, but, uh, let me just kind of level set. So, I've been at the Public Pension now for two years. So, um, and I know that there's a long history. The pension has been around a long time. I was, prior to this, I was with a, within Los Angeles at LA County's Retirement, which is the lar-, largest county retirement, uh, fund in the country. I was the head of, of, of, of the equities' book for them. And I spent many years in the New York area in the hedge fund world as a managing director and chief strategist. Um, I come from a military background, was a naval lieutenant. Um, Harvard, ha-, have an MBA master's degree in government from Harvard. Um, so, so that's kind of a level set of where I was.

And stepping into this situation, like Clare said, there was a, a report. And the report, um, had some, you know, some things were I think pretty black, black and white here's what it is. There were other things that clearly could have been done better, a lot better. But the bottom line is this, Connecticut's pension system has or could have done a lot better over the last 10, 15 years or so. There's, there's no doubt about it. And I guess you could say that about any public pension. Um, but for me coming in, there were some low-hanging fruit, so, you know, the being able to look at it and take some fresh eyes to, to the, the fund. Um, I think for me it was a lot easier to make the changes and to, to turn the ship the right way.

But what I would say, historically, some of the biggest challenges that we've had has been it's been hard for us to retain, attract and retain talent. Um, just the way the, the system is set up, it's been hard for people to, high-quality people to stay. So, we were sort of like a nice training ground for other public pensions, so they picked up some really good people from, from Connecticut over the years and the Advisory Council made it one of their core goals to curb that issue. And I think they, not only think, they actually made the right step and got, uh, legislature put in place to bring that to an end. So that itself, if you, if you don't have people, the right people in place for any reasonable amount of time, performance will suffer because you always need someone watching various parts of this huge multibillion dollar ship. So, you know, that is not an excuse for, for the historical performance but it clearly had something to do with it. So, it makes, it ma-, makes

it harder for the people who are on the ground if the necessary support is not consistently there.

Um, I, I saw a study done where I think the State of Connecticut had the most, in the last 20 years, had the most CIOs, so the most changes in CIOs. We have, we are, we, if, if not the most, we're clearly up there as far as the highest turnover. You know, at two years, I think I'm, I'm one of the longest serving, so and that's really not a good thing. But I think those things have been taken care of and, and I think we are well on our way to not only building out a super strong, uh, group, I would say the group that we currently have pound for pound is stro-, much stronger than the one I left in California and that was a really high-quality team.

Now, let's move to performance. So, uh, the report talked about performance as of Fiscal Year '22, so June '22, going back in time and you could see the challenging performance that we had. There's a lot more funds out there that you could compare'm to, so I look at it versus a much broader suite of funds of at least \$1 billion. And we've had some really challenging pe-, uh, performance over the long run and the short run. Well, the changes that we've made, we made, I would say at least we started making major changes about three months after I started, so probably December, January. And, and, and, 'cause Bill Murray would, would be able to attest to this but, um, we started making major changes in January 2022, so way before this report even, even was started.

And by the time we got to September, when the IAC crystalized and made new allocation, everything that we could have done in our, in,in the, in the public markets was actually already done. So, I took the approach of, of surveying the Advisory Council, getting a really good feel for where the collective was leaning. And rather than do like most public pensions, wait until the IAC or the board comes together with a final, final product and then start making the changes, which takes a few years, I tried something different, which is I surveyed them way ahead of time. Get a feel for the collective, kept conversations with them and understanding where their nuances were, where their push and pull buttons were and started reshaping the portfolio immediately. So, by the time we got to December, we, we looked as best as we could, other than what we have to do in the private markets, which takes years. So, the job, as far as turning the ship around, started about a year and a half ago. This report that you're, that, that Clare referenced was, was done later last year. So, I was already 10, 11 months into it when they discovered the magic of what we were doing here.

So how does all that manifest itself for the pensioners? Okay. So, fast-forward to this fiscal year, so the numbers haven't come out yet, but they're going to be public next week. There's no big secret. So, we, we ended up, uh, for Teachers I think about 8.5%, 8.6% net. I think the whole about that much, so mid 8's. We were in the top 25% performing public pension in the country. And we got stronger as the time moved on. So, as we were making those changes, our performance got stronger relative to our peers. You'll find that most of our peers,

most of them did not make the 6.9% actuarial rate of return that we use, um, when we're doing things like COLA and, and the like. So, our, our benchmark was 5.9 and we did about 8.5. The last quarter of the fiscal year, we were in the top 1% nationwide. So, I mean we have turned the ship around. We are running at full speed and s-, even since the end of the fiscal year, we continued to rock and roll.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

So, um, uh, you know, like I said to many others, it's not my job to litigate the past or re-litigate the past because it's easy to pay – to play armchair quarterback when you know the actual results and not having 20/20 vision of all the different things that was happening over 20 years. So, I'm sure there were other challenges that went that the IAC, the treasurer or the investment staff went through over 20 years where they may needed, have needed to have certain allocations given that, you know, the pension itself was so underfunded. Um, you know, money coming into the system was nonexistent for a long time, uh, up until recently. So, there are a lot of things I think that went into there. And I'm sure Clare probably has a much better perspective of that than I could ever have. So...

16 17 18

Clare B.: Uh...

Ted W.:

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

...so it's, uh, you know, that's kind of where we are. Um, you know, as far as changes, really from here on now, it's more or less taking care of our private market allocations, which we're increasing. But those take time and we're doing it in a very clear, systematic way. So, we have a pacing plan to do it over a couple years, so those will increase. What's, what's so beautiful about how we're currently positioned and why I'm very comfortable that this run that we have, have had isn't going anywhere is that because we were so under-allocated to private market opportunities when they had, like private equity had a, a remarkable decade. Right now, in a lot of the private markets, those assets are under incredible stress. We're under-allocated. Our peers are over-allocated. Our peers are trying to sell assets in the market and get the best price they can. We're buyers in the market. I, you know, we couldn't ask for a better position to be in 'cause as an investor, you always want to be the buyer when others, when the masses are sellers. So those types of trends don't disappear over one year. These are many, many-year trends and my hope is that we could continue in a systematic way to pick up very cheap assets relative to the value of those assets because we're on the other side of the table from the masses. So that's where we're positioned today. Um, I know, you know, obviously, COLA is not my expertise but...

37 38 39

> Clare B.: Right.

40 41

42 Ted W.: ...you know, when COLA and calculations for that happen next year, it's going to 43

take into account this fiscal year. Obviously, we, we're way ahead of our, um,

actuarial rate of return, so, um, there wou-, there shouldn't...

44 45 46

Clare B.: Ted. I...

1 Ted W.: ...there should not, there should not be any increases, at least as it relates to 2 investment performance reasons but that's it. I'm sorry. 3 4 Clare B.: Okay, Ted. Uh, I did one thing for the board members. I did ask Ted that if you 5 would give us an update on this. Uh, we haven't set a date yet but it's going to be 6 a springtime meeting maybe when he does all of his, um, he has to do all of his 7 quarterly and then semiannually and annually his reports. Uh, he will schedule a 8 time and we'll have it on the agenda so that the board members can get a little 9 view, you know, like a handout so we can kind of see the assets where they're all 10 allocated, what those performances have been this year. Um, and we'll do that, we'll try to do it regularly. As I said, it's, it's just for our own, uh, edification 11 so that we understand, uh, more. We're not experts in that field but at least we 12 13 will, we will know more. 14 15 Ted W.: Of course. 16 17 Clare B.: And so, Ted, you, you agree to that, right? 18 19 Ted W.: Absolutely. 20 21 On your birthday. I knew [inaudible 36:52]. I think I... Clare B.: 22 23 Ted W.: I... 24 25 Clare B.: ...sent him over a barrel and he said, okay, Clare. 26 27 Ted W.: I recall some-, I somewhat recall saying yes. 28 29 That's okay. Thank you. Okay. How about questions for Ted from the board Clare B.: 30 members? Are there? Ted – oh. Go ahead, Lisa. 31 32 Um, I just wanted to say thank you. I found that a very helpful explanation and, Lisa H.: 33 um, it's good to clarify for the public 'cause the public might think that this board 34 has something to do with the investments and we don't. To Clare's point, what 35 our role is to is just communicate what other people are doing so that everyone is on the same page and understands but it's not to do the actual investments, so I 36 thank you for that. And just to clarify from what you're saying, although there 37 38 were some issues, there's no direct impact right now to our members, is that 39 correct? 40 41 Ted W.: Uh, well, as far as the performance itself, the performance is for the last fiscal 42 year has been just, you know, phe-, yeah, we've had phenomenal performance. 43 So, you know, as it relates to the report that Clare was referencing, that's, that's a, 44 that's a year, that's talking about performance a year ago and now we're fast 45 forward to a year-plus later. I mean it's like night and day. But I think, again, it's been a, it's a process I think the, the work that the current treasurer, past treasurer 46

1 and the current IAC has done has been to fix the structural challenges so that the 2 staff can do their job more effectively and I can say that that has been done. Not 3 it's in process, it's actually done. 4 5 Clare B.: Other questions from board members? All right. Ted, I thank you. Um, and we're, 6 we will get back to you. Remember, more anon, we will do this again perhaps, 7 um... 8 9 Ted W.: Of course. 10 11 Clare B.: You, you're going to give us a date, springtime, late springtime. 12 13 Of course. Ted W.: 14 15 Clare B.: Whatever, whatever is good for you, will be good for us. Um, we have, uh, the 16 elections I said it'll come up. That's already on the agenda. Uh, uh, first item on 17 the agenda is the election of a Vice Chair of the Board. It is exclusively a role of 18 the board to elect its own vice chair. Uh, Bill Murray, um, uh, has left us and we 19 currently are without a Vice Chair of the Board. So that's our responsibility. The 20 Chair would entertain a motion, uh, for people who would like to be nominated to 21 the board. You can nominate yourself. You can nominate someone else. They 22 have to be on the board, of course. Um, so Chair would entertain a motion to, uh, 23 accept nominations. 24 25 Joslyn D.: So, I move to nominate, uh, Bill Myers. 26 27 Clare B.: Okay. Bill Myers. Do we have a second? 28 29 Jon M.: Second. 30 31 Clare B.: Okay. Uh, are there other, other nominees to the, uh, to be Vice Chair of the 32 Teacher Retirement Board? All right. Seeing that there are none, uh, then the 33 Board would, uh, uh, we'll do a little vote anyway. The board would ask to try 34 your minds, um, all those in favor of, uh, having Bill Myers as our next, uh, Vice 35 Chair of the Board, uh, signify by saying aye. 36 37 Group: Aye. 38 39 Clare B.: Opposed? Abstentions? Thank you very much. Uh, Bill, congratulations. You are 40 now our new vice chair. And I'll make sure I get a, a list of things that you can 41 run around and start doin'. No. I'm kidding. All right. 42 43 Bill M.: Thank you very much. 44 45 Clare B.: Okay. The, uh, approval of the June 21, 2023 board meeting minutes. They're 46 before you. Board would entertain a motion to approve.

1	Charles H.:	Move to approve.
2 3 4	Clare B.:	Okay. Board – a second.
5 6	Jon M.:	Second.
7 8	Clare B.:	All right. Moved and seconded. Discussion? All those in favor, sig-, signify by saying aye.
9 10 11	Group:	Aye.
12 13	Clare B.:	Opposed?
14 15	Bill M.:	Not an opposition
16 17	Clare B.:	Abstentions?
18 19	Bill M.:	but I'll have to abstain. I wasn't on the board, so.
20 21	Clare B.:	Thank you, Bill.
22 23	Bill M.:	I was at the meeting but abstain.
24 25	Clare B.:	Uh, Charlene, one abstention. We have that on there?
26 27	Carrie C.:	Uh, two abstentions 'cause I was not present at the last meeting.
28 29 30 31	Clare B.:	Thank you, Carrie. Two abstentions, all right, so just note that on there. Um, okay. Item Number 3, approval of premium change effective January 1, 2024 for the health benefit programs offered by the TRB. Helen, this is you, you're up.
32 33 34	Helen S.:	Yep. Terry, did you want to go over the rates or would you like me to go over them?
35 36	Terry D.:	Hi. I'll do it. Sure.
37 38	Helen S.:	Thanks, Terry.
39 40	Terry D.:	How's everyone?
41 42	Clare B.:	Hi, Terry.
43 44 45	Terry D.:	Good to see you. Um, so very small increases for January 1, 2024. The dental is gonna be \$54. The MAPD plan member cost is gonna be \$36 and the med supp is gonna be \$278.

1 2	Clare B.:	And how did those change, Terry? Can you tell us?
3 4 5 6 7	Terry D.:	Yep. Dental went up by \$4, MAPD, uh, this is the member cost, by \$3 and the med supp by \$2.
	Clare B.:	Okay. Very good.
8 9	Helen S.:	Thank you, Terry.
10 11	Clare B.:	Uh
12 13	Terry D.:	Sure.
14 15	Clare B.:	Okay. Any questions for, um, any questions for Terry?
16 17	Lisa H.:	Can you just repeat those costs one more time? Sorry. I
18 19 20 21 22	Terry D.:	Of course. Uh, dental \$54, Medicare Advantage with prescriptions \$36 and med supp with prescriptions \$278.
	Clare B.:	Any questions for, uh, for Terry? Yes, Lisa.
23 24 25 26 27	Lisa H.:	Um, thank you for that. Can you talk to us just about the methodology you used? I know the dollar numbers are small. I'm very grateful for that and thank you for your efforts but, um, it does look like about a 9% increase if you do it by percentages. It's high on some and less than 1% on the supplement.
28 29	Terry D.:	Yep.
30 31	Lisa H.:	So, can you just talk about how
32 33	Terry D.:	Sure.
34 35	Lisa H.:	how you came to this and what discretion, if any, was
36 37	Terry D.:	Sure.
38 39	Lisa H.:	involved?
40 41 42 43 44 45	Terry D.:	Yep. Well, the dental rates, um, dental is self-funded, so those rates were calculated by Segal and it's just claims and admin fee, so that's all the rate represents. And the, uh, Medicare Advantage and Medicare supplement plans are fully insured, and these are the rates from the carrier. Um, and the \$36 is one-third of that rate for the med supp. And then we take the same contribution and a-, and apply it to the full rate for the – I'm sorry – or the MAPD is \$36. And we take that

1 sa-, the same contribution that we give to the Medicare Advantage and apply it to 2 the med supp and that's how we get that differential. 3 4 Lisa H.: Thank you. 5 6 Terry D.: Sure. 7 8 Other questions, questions for Terry? All right. Um, then the Chair would Clare B.: 9 entertain a motion to, uh, approve the premium changes that will be effective this 10 January 2024 for health benefits offered by TRB. Uh, I would entertain a motion 11 to accept. 12 13 Male: Motion. 14 15 Charles H.: So, moved. 16 17 Clare B.: So, moved. Seconded. Uh, discussion? All right. And all those in favor, signify by 18 saying aye. 19 20 Group: Aye. 21 22 Opposed? Abstentions? All right. Thank you very much. Um, Item Number 4 on Clare H.: 23 here, approval of proposed legislation for the, uh, 2024 session. Now, it's 24 basically the same legislation that we put in last year. And you have to remember 25 this, when it comes to TRB legislation, we're – I'm sure you think it's kind of 26 minimal a-, and frankly it is, um, only because our job is to administer the plan. 27 And often the kind of things that we want to address are, uh, perhaps some inequities that we see that require a technical change. And, uh, so we seek 28 29 legislation to get those technical changes and, uh, you know, to, to – and sometimes it's about making compliance with existing laws. Um, so, you know, 30 Helen and her staff work on that to bring them to us. Uh, this is the same package 31 that we had last year. Unfortunately, it did not pass. You have them attached with 32 33 yours. I have'm over here by the computer. Uh, but it's, um, e-, Chapter 61a Education Technology, Section 4d-80, Section 183e, um, Section 183k and 34 Section 183p. And each one of those, as I said, is a very, uh, technical change to 35 the language. Um, and, uh, again, we will be seeking the same, the same 36 legislative package this year. It did not pass last year. We will be seeking the 37 38 same one. Helen, is there something you wanted to add? 39 40 Helen S.: No. We're just, we're just going to move forward with the same from, uh, last 41 year. 42 43 Clare B.: Okay. 44 45 Helen S.: It was very small, so it just sort of got I think lost in some of the other items that 46 were barreled over.

1 Clare B.: Okay. Steve? 2 3 Just, um, can we get a copy of the actual technical language change? I know I Stephen M.: 4 can't pull up mine from last year. Um, and it doesn't have to be immediately but, 5 you know, maybe I just want to review what is the technical languages that we're 6 looking... 7 8 Helen S.: Sure. 9 10 Stephen M.: ...to seek. 11 12 Helen S.: Sure. No problem. 13 14 Clare B.: And can you post that too, Helen? Can we post it up there so people can check it 15 out on the website too? 16 17 Helen S.: Sure. 18 19 Stephen M.: Good. Thank you. 20 21 Clare B.: Excellent. Okay. Uh, other questions? All right. The Chair would entertain... 22 23 Lisa H.: Oh. I have one... 24 25 Clare B.: Oh. Go right ahead. 26 27 Lisa H.: ...question. 28 29 Clare B.: I'm sorry. 30 31 Lisa H.: Um, thank you. Just 'cause we're going to be discussing about elections, um, 32 could you just – again, I don't have access to last year's as well. Can you just 33 speak to 183E, clarification to the retirement election, what lan-, what, um, 34 changes you're, you're proposing we seek? 35 36 Helen S.: It's, uh, a minor change that just that you cannot, uh, retract your retirement once 37 you make your election past the date. So, if you elect to retire on July 1st and July 38 1st has passed, you cannot go back and revoke that retirement. You can always up 39 until the day before the retirement you can, you know, cancel that retirement or 40 amend that retirement but once that benefit starts, there's no way for us to reverse 41 it. So, it's... 42 43 Lisa H.: Perfect. 44 45

...just clarifying it in the package for everybody.

Helen S.:

1 Lisa H.: Perfect. Just the summary there might get confused with the election we're talking 2 about later in the agenda. 3 4 Clare B.: Oh. 5 6 Lisa H.: So just to clarify that it's about benefit election. Thank you. 7 8 Yeah. Helen S.: 9 10 Clare B.: Thank, thank you. Thank you, Lisa. Okay. Anybody else? Any, uh – okay. Uh, the Chair would entertain a motion to approve the proposed legislation for the 11 12 2024 Session language. 13 14 Bill M.: So, moved. 15 16 Second. Jonathan J.: 17 18 Moved and seconded. Discussion? All those in favor, signify by saying aye. Clare H.: 19 20 Group: Aye. 21 22 Opposed? Abstentions? Thank you very much. Okay. The approval of the Clare H.: 23 nomination positions for the four retired teachers. There are four people who 24 qualified. Helen, we're going to leave that I think to you and to Steve 'cause 25 Steve is the Chair of the Elections Committee. Uh, he was the Chair of the last 26 Elections Committee and has the dubious distinction of being reappointed again. 27 You do a good job, Steve and then you, you own it. So, uh, so, uh, the two of you 28 are up now. 29 30 Sure. I would like to have Bruce, uh, just before we start the approval of the Helen S.: nominations for petitions, if Bruce could just, uh, clarify, um, our interpretation of 31 32 the statute before we start. I think there were some questions about that in the 33 past, so I'd like to just reiterate, Bruce, if you don't mind. 34 35 Bruce B.: Can you hear me? 36 37 Helen S.: Yes. 38 39 Clare B.: Yes. We can. 40 41 Bruce B.: Sorry. I got kicked off as a, as a panelist. Um, so the statute requires the appoint-, 42 the election of two retiree members by the retirees and there's other provisions around it. It says that the election must occur by October 1st and that they will be 43 44 effe-, and that their, and that their appointment will be effective October 1st. 45 Giving the timing of the approval and signature of the bill, which occurred in late June, the board was on top of this and actually had procedures in place ready for 46

the bill to be signed. They adopted those procedures and our interpretation of the statute is so long as the election commences by October 1st and the members are, and the new members are seated at the next meeting after the election is complete, we will be in compliance with the statute.

Helen S.: Thanks, Bruce. Steve, would you like to, to start us off?

 Stephen M.:

Yeah. I just, before we go down too far, um, I just want to give a little bit of a background of, of what we've done over the summer to, to keep in compliance and to make sure this is rollin'. Um, so like Bruce said, the, the, the act was passed and then it wasn't signed till, till late June. Um, and since then, uh, we met as a committee, made sure that we had the policy in place to follow along with how we did the process back in the, in the, uh, uh, early spring. Um, and, and the point that, that we were trying to get is a policy that works not only to keep us in compliance with this bill but that would go forward in any election moving forward. Um, so we, we did take some time and looked at that. Um, so what, so that took a c-, a little bit of wee-, a couple of weeks. Um, and then what we did was we put out a request for anybody that wanted to, uh, run for the board and then they had to get signatures. They needed 50 signatures. Uh, and we gave them four weeks to, to get those in. Um, and we felt that four weeks was a good amount of time. We didn't want to go too short, especially during the summer, people on vacation. We didn't want anybody to miss out because too many people are on vacation, so we left it at, at four weeks. Um, so we got those signatures.

The interesting thing here for me at least was once the names came in and all the signatures were dropped off to, um, the TRB, the TRB employees are the ones that check those signatures to verify, number one, that they have 50, there's no duplicates and that these people are in fact, you know, so they vetted them. Um, and I just want to take a moment, the staff over at the TRB really worked on this at a time when, you know, uh, teacher retirement is at its peak. They need to also make sure that the teachers that are going into retirement this summer are in the system and getting their paperwork in so that they don't miss a beat once they stop workin' and they're actually retired. We needed to make sure they were gettin' paid. So, um, Helen, please pass on to, to the rest of the employees that I was impressed. They were able to vet all the names, um, that were turned in within two weeks and I was anticipatin' four, so that, just kudos to them for their work on that.

Um, then we had to, uh, give our, give the names and everybody to the vendors. And it's the same vendor that we had, um, in the s-, in the early spring, so that's YesElections. The good thing was they were already on board. We already had a relationship with them and we did not need to send out for an RFP and, and renegotiate a new, new contract, so we were able to work with them. Um, once all the names were approved by TRB, uh, now the names will be announced and we'll have to select them tonight. Then that goes back to the YesElections and they start looking through all of the signatures, the addresses and the emails of

everybody they have on because then they're going to, um, send out ballots. And those ballots can be mailed in or they could be emailed in. There's going to be instructions online. There'll be a way to catch the YesElections. You can call them, uh, durin' business hours, I think it's typical Eastern time 8 to 5, um, and get your information if you have questions. In the early spring, they were great at answerin' the questions, um, so I don't anticipate any problems there. It will take them a few weeks to get all of their stuff into the computers and outlined and, and away it goes.

So our anticipation is by September 28th, um, that the members can start, the retirees can start no-, uh, not nom-, excuse me, electing their, uh, who they would like to see on, on the Board. They're going to have an option, they can do email or they can do regular postal mail, paper mail. Um, and that's going to run for five weeks. Uh, and the thinking there is that sometimes, you know, we want to do both. Some people are very savvy with the computers and the internet and gettin' emails out, others are not, not so, so good with it, so we want to be able to catch as many people as possible. Um, and we want to give them plenty of time, especially, you know, the postal service may be a couple days late. Maybe somebody is on vacation, they got to get it back to'm. So we just want to make sure that there's plenty of time for people to do this. We're not trying to stonewall or slow anything down. Um, so we anticipate that the elections will be done five weeks after the 28th, which brings us to the November 8th board meeting. And as a board, we would have to, I think we accept who they are but that's when they get announced. Uh, and we did similar back in the spring where we named'm and, and there was the tallies of, of who won, um, and, and I'm anticipatin' kind of the same concept. So, um, I guess the next thing has to happen is Helen can tell us who...

Helen S.: Sure.

31 Stephen M.:

Helen S.:

Stephen M.: ...who...

33 Helen S.: Yep.

Stephen M.: ...the candidates are and then we have to approve as a board, um, that they are eligible.

Sure. Um, we have Maureen Honan, Mary Beth Lang, Rita McDou-, Rita McDougald-Campbell and Edwin Vargas, Jr. are the four, uh, candidates who, uh, have their 50 signatures and who will be running, um, for the two retiree board seats. Um, their bios have been submitted to the vendor. Um, and as Steve explained, we're well on our way, uh, moving forward. And the process should start September 28th. We will mirror the same process as our last election. All of the balloting information samples will be online, uh, for everyone if they have any questions. Again, the vendor will be available from, uh, 9 to 5 for any questions that, uh, retirees may have. Uh, we will post, uh, the candidates and the timeline

1 on the website, uh, later this afternoon or tomorrow morning, depending on time, 2 the time we, uh, wrap up today. Um, also in the document is a link to the election 3 process document, which, uh, has been on our website since the beginning of 4 July, uh, so it will be contained in one area for everybody. So thank you, Steve. 5 6 Stephen M.: Uh... 7 8 Helen S.: And thank you Charlie and thank you Bill. 9 10 Stephen M.: Yeah. I, I just wanted to put that out there. Charles and Bill, myself, Helen, we 11 met on several occasions over the summer, uh, to get this done. And, and Charles 12 and Bill, very much appreciate all of your input. Uh, these two guys have some 13 great insight when it comes to, uh, language and everything and process, so it was 14 very good to be – have, have them helpin' us. 15 16 Clare B.: Steve, just a question, it starts on, uh, Thursday, September 28th, ends then, uh, 17 five weeks later would be Thursday, November 2nd? 18 19 Helen S.: Yep. November 2nd. 20 21 Stephen M.: Yes. 22 23 Clare B.: And then we would approve the, the results on Wednesday, November 8th, 24 correct? 25 26 Stephen M.: Yes. 27 28 Helen S.: Yeah. 29 30 Clare B.: Okay. Okay. I'm good with that. Uh, yeah, thank you, thank you, all of you. Um, 31 questions for people on the, uh, the process, uh, anything, uh? Go ahead, Lisa. 32 33 Lisa H.: First of all, thank you for your efforts on that and I appreciate all the work. And 34 also to staff for checking those signatures, that's a lot of work, so thank you for 35 that. Um, a couple of things you had mentioned. Fixing the process going forward, I assume going forward we'll get the elections done a little bit earlier, 36 37 um, so that they can be seated on October 1 four years from now. And my second question is, um, I just wanted to, uh, request the Chair not put anything on the 38 39 agenda other than administrative stuff in October so that the new board members 40 will have a [inaudible 58:24] a chance to vote on anything substantive come 41 November when they're seated. So, if October could just be kept to administrative 42 issues that would be helpful, and information. 43 44 Clare B.: Uh, okay. Uh, anything else? Uh, any other questions for Steve and Helen? Thank 45 you, Steve.

1 Clare B.: Thanks for chairing this again. And thank... 2 3 Joslyn D.: Um, I, I - oh. 4 5 Helen S.: I think that Joslyn... 6 7 Joslyn D.: Sorry, Clare. 8 9 Clare B.: Oh. Go ahead. 10 11 Joslyn D.: [Inaudible 58:46]. 12 13 Clare B.: Sorry, Joslyn. Go right ahead. 14 15 Joslyn D.: I do. I know this is legislated, um, and this is where – and we're respecting that 16 process but I'm just curious in terms of having two elections, um, in the same 17 year at different times and wondering, you know, the cost. And the, um – I just 18 want people to understand the, the cost and time of running two separate elections 19 for now, I guess it would be four positions but at different times within the same 20 year but different cycles. I just kind of want to understand moving forward as, as 21 we go. Um, excited that we have these new representatives and voice, um, on the board but just curious in terms of, um, moving forward a-, as this cycles on and 22 23 this is going to be a continued practice to have an election that falls in January and 24 then another election that falls in October in the same year. So, I just kind of want 25 to have an understanding of, of cost of what that does for the board in terms of I 26 heard Steve say that, you know, staff had an exceptional amount of time that they 27 had to commit to vetting signatures and making sure, um, those things are in place properly. So, I just kind of want to have an understanding of what that looks like 28 29 for the board and the staff of the board. Um, so that w-, as we move forward, we 30 can be really mindful that we, we have a process that, um, is practical and that, 31 um, ensures that we have, you know, a fair and, and, and supportive process. 32 33 Clare B.: Thank you. Um... 34 35 Helen S.: Jos-, oh. Go ahead, Clare. 36 37 Clare B.: ...you know, Steve, since you're going to be hopefully continuing in this role, um, 38 this would be something I'm sure that you'll have to deal with and Helen as well. 39 Uh, yeah. I, I think that, uh, unfortunately legislation is just never perfect and, um, 40 this is an example of that where everything didn't come out perfectly. Um, and so, 41 uh, yeah. That, that's going to be an issue. You're right, Joslyn. It's not 42 going to be – it's going to be something that the board is going to have to look at, 43 make a legislative cha-, suggestion or change about and vote on too. Yes, you're

I, I, I want to go back to Lisa's original question. Um, in four years, are we going

to have this done by October 1st according to the laws? Uh, uh, we kinda have to.

44 45

46

Stephen M.:

Page 16 of 26

That's what the law is. Um, but because we have the policies in place, we'll be able to start it sooner. The – you know, what was very – I'll keep my personal opinions [inaudible 61:20]. This was a very short window to run an election. And it surprises me that the legislators who all have to run their own elections said it was okay to do in such a short window, um, and, you know, in the amount of time that it takes to line these things up. I don't see why we can't start it slightly sooner but this year we could not start before the law was signed. Uh, I mean we, we did some paperwork, we had some, some brain thought meetings but nothing could officially go forward until it was signed. Um, and that kind of put us behind a little bit. I don't anticipate that unless the timeframe changes in the law in the next few years. Nobody can read the future. But if it doesn't, then I see no reason why we can't start this process in the later spring. Now, trying to line these two up at the same time, I don't know. That's, uh, maybe bigger minds than me at this point that we got to work on that but that would make the most sense to line'm up but, uh, we'll see how that plays out.

Helen S.:

Bill M.:

Clare B.:

Well, originally, it was for June 2024, uh, when it was proposed. It was changed to January 2024, which would have been right on schedule, uh, for the board, um, and the staff. Um, that amendment was uncalled and then there was a new amendment raised for October 2023. We did address with the Appropriations Committee that it would be a challenge for the Agency, seeing as we couldn't begin it until July 1st and that it was all hands on deck here, uh, because we had just finished an election. It's our busiest season of the year with retirement applications and we're working on a \$10 million bond to transition it to an updated software program to make sure those paychecks go out. Uh, so we did, uh, speak to Legislation, uh, and we just worked through what we had to work through with Bruce and our committee.

Clare B.: Okay. Thank you. Any other, uh – okay. Bill Myers?

 Thank you. Just to piggyback Lisa and Steve and Joslyn. We, the board is now, um, and the agency going to be running three different election cycles, one for active teachers, one for retired teachers that are voted on for by active and retired and then the third, which we're going to right now, two more retired teachers voted on by just retired teachers. So, there's really three separate processes and the election committee, subcommittee that was meeting was trying to put together, uh, the plan that, uh, that will work for all three. Uh, as mentioned, legislation in the future might find ways to streamline this but, uh, it will be a budget item to run three instead of just one. And, uh, it'll be a little complicated until it gets sorted out but hopefully it will get sorted out 'cause there's every reason to want to have four active and four retired teachers. It's just right now they're on three different timelines and with three different, uh, groups that are voting, so it's, it's a little tricky. Thank you.

Thank you, Bill. Good explanation. Um, any other comment, uh, on this? Okay. The Board would entertain a motion to approve the nomination petition for these

1 four, uh, retired teachers to be on the ballot and voted for by our teachers 2 beginning, uh, September 28th and ending on November 2nd. The Board would 3 entertain...

4

5 Lisa H.: So moved.

6 7

Clare B.: To, uh, move it. Okay. And second?

some of our members.

8

9 Joslyn D.: I'll second.

10

11 Clare B.: Ah. Second. Thank you. All right. Uh, discussion? All those in favor, signify by

saying aye.

12 13

14 Group: Aye.

Helen S.:

15 16

Opposed? Abstentions? Thank you very much. Uh, okay. The, uh, Agency report, Clare H.:

Helen.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

I'm going to start with open enrollment. Open enroll-, open enroll-, open enrollment will begin this year on October 9th. It will run through, uh, November 17th. The meetings will be virtual. Uh, the schedule will be, schedule will be posted, um, again, by Friday. Uh, we haven't had many plan changes in the past couple of years and having the open enrollment and sessions in person, there wasn't, uh, really enough turnout to keep, um, funding those, uh, sessions all over the state, so we are going to do them virtually. Uh, we do, we did make a decision to, uh, let our members, we have some members who have other dental insurance elsewhere, um, some folks, uh, wanted to decline the dental sur-, insurance, so there's going to be a one-time disenrollment option for anyone who would like to disenroll in the TRB dental plan. They will not be allowed back in. You waive and forfeit your right to come back in. You will not be allowed back in open enrollment. It's a one-time disenrollment. Um, so hopefully that will, will help

32 33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

Um, for the, um, July retirements, we had 375 enroll in the Medicare Advantage, we had 55 enroll in the Medicare supplement. Current stats, we have 28,137 members in our Medicare Advantage program and 4,479, uh, in the Medicare supplement. The mailings are scheduled to go out the last week of September. We will post, uh, the documents on the website as well prior to mailing once we finalize them. Members will get a retirement – uh, not a retirement – a reminder postcard, um, about the open enrollment in the first week of October, uh, so they, uh, will know when those meetings are. Um, everything will be available online and in print, uh, for them to attend one of the open enrollment sessions.

42 43

44 Clare B.:

45 Helen S.: And that's all on that one.

1 Clare B.: Thank you. Approval of the administrator's actions regarding the granting of 2 service... 3 4 Helen S.: Oh. 5 6 Clare B.: ...retirement benefits. I'm sorry. 7 8 Helen S.: Clare, I... 9 10 Helen? Clare B.: 11 12 ...I wanted, I, um, I wanted to, um, talk about the CORE transition first. Helen S.: 13 14 Clare B.: Oh. I'm sorry, Helen. Okay. 15 16 Helen S.: And I have Doug Belden and, and Chris, um, Albritton who've been waiting, uh, 17 with bells on. They're from Accenture. 18 19 Clare B.: Oh. Sorry, Helen. 20 21 Helen S.: Thank you. No, no, no. 22 23 Clare B.: I almost cut you. 24 25 Helen S.: Thank you so much. 26 27 Clare B.: I didn't mean to cut you right out. Sorry. 28 29 Helen S.: No, no, no. I just – we, we wanted to take a moment and, um, introduce, uh, the 30 two of them. They have been working, um, they are the, I would say the main 31 project managers, uh, with Accenture. They are working to – with CORE and 32 TRB and we are moving through the process. I know we keep giving you updates 33 about this project that we're working on and they really are live people. Welcome, 34 Doug. Welcome, Chris. Uh, I didn't know if you just wanted to introduce yourselves and to the board and we can give them just the status on where we are 35 in Phase 1. Um, I've given detailed information about our two-phases but, um, 36 37 feel free. No pressure. I just wanted to put faces and names together on the 38 project. 39 40 Doug B.: Hi, everyone. Doug Belden, um, Accenture. I've been involved, uh, with the State 41 of Connecticut and the CORE-CT system for, uh, close to seven or eight years. I 42 was involved in the State Employees implementation and the Municipal 43 Employees implementation. I'm very pleased to p-, participate in the Teachers 44 and getting the Teachers plan in the same system. Um, I, uh, have an able, uh, 45 project manager in Chris Albritton who's, uh, with me as well and I'll let Chris talk just a little bit about our project. 46

1 2 Chris A.: Thanks to Doug. Um, as Doug said, my name is Chris Albritton. I'm the day-to-3 day project manager for the transition into CORE-CT. Where we are right now is 4 in the ending stages of design for Phase 1. And so with the help of Helen's very 5 talented staff, we were able to document the business rules and how the system 6 will operate to do pension payroll, one of the most key aspects of any retirement 7 system, uh, and their customer service elements. And so those designs are being 8 actively built by our team and we are getting ready to start the data conversion 9 process to bring over all of, uh, TRB's data into CORE-CT and validate that it 10 came over correctly, accurately and complete. Uh, so the team's, uh, uh, you 11 know, and again, TRS staff, uh, directly included are heads down in creating the 12 work products to make this transition happen and aiming towards a February go-13 live date for the pension payroll, customer service, uh, and health elections, uh, 14 functionality. So we're really excited to be part of this and, uh, I think this is 15 going to be a really good transition for TRS. 16 17 Clare B.: Thank you both and I hope you're going to come back as this process continues, 18 uh, to explain things to us again. 19 20 Male: We'll be back. 21 22 Clare B.: We're going to need, this board is going to need more information as we go 23 along, so thank you. 24 25 Helen S.: Yes, we will. And, and, uh, both parties have been wonderful to work with. Um, 26 Doug and Chris' team, um, are available anytime there's a question. Um, I, I can't 27 emphasize enough, um, how talented their team is and the, the working 28 capabilities that we share and our relationship with the comptroller, so it's been 29 going very, very well and we're very excited and very pleased. So thank you very 30 much, Doug and Chris and the team. Um, staff is very excited about the change. 31 32 Clare B.: Go ahead. Thank you. Thank you all. Yes. Uh, Item Number 7, approval of the 33 Administrator's action regarding granting the service retirement benefits for the 34 months of June and July 2023, survivor benefits for the months of June and July 35 2023, and reports and recommendations of the Medical Review Committee 36 regarding applications for disability payments as presented to them at the June 37 and July 2023 meetings. Uh, the Board would entertain a motion to accept all 38 three. 39 40 Keith N.: So moved. 41 42 Clare B.: Moved. A second? 43

Second. Thank you. Uh, discussion? All those in favor, signify by saying aye.

44

45

46

Jon M.:

Clare B.:

Second.

Group: Aye.

Clare H.:

Opposed? Abstentions? All right. Thank you very much. The Matters for Board Information, remember you have retirement statistics for the month, uh, are, are attached to everything that you have. Um, and then before we move on to the public comments, I'd just like to say, um, that we will not meet in October. All right? I think that, you know, Lisa even mentioned herself, uh, maybe just havin' administrative stuff. It is the time in which we're going to be conducting this election and one that has wide interest in on the part of our teaching population. Um, and it's open enrollment and so that's a time when healthcare, which is always seen, is always on our agenda here. Um, th-, those people have to make some decisions so they really have to, uh, be attending those, those meetings, they have to be online and doing that Zoom and, and comparing what's available, comparing what they have and then making some choices if they need to make any changes or choices. So, uh, for our members, they'll be voting and they'll also be, uh, vo-, voting literally with their own healthcare as well. So, uh, it's going to be a very active month for them and also it's the time when both of our large teacher retirement organizations have their fall meetings. I mean that's their big, it is a big time for them, uh, when they're also meeting. So we will not be meeting. Our next meeting will be on November 8th.

I would like to say about, um, the entire, uh, CORE program, remember, we had our own customized, uh, pension, uh, software here called PensionGold. And as Helen used to tell me, it was like 1492. Uh, everything had to be done individually. Everyone had to code everything individually. Uh, and then Helen said, you know, we really need to, uh, modernize and change this. And then there were numerous discussions with Gregg about the fact that we couldn't do this for free and it was going to cost an awful lot of money for the board and the staff to be able to do this. I think it's in the \$10-\$12 million range. It's a lot of money for the State; however, uh, there is a sincere belief that it will really, uh, enable our staff to be, uh, a, a much more seamless system, uh, a much more efficient and effective system. That's all we were doing with PensionGold was counting the days until it didn't work anymore and constantly getting patchwork things done to stop something that wasn't working anymore about it, so a little background in history.

Helen is only giving you the tip of the iceberg on CORE because once this procedure is done and I think Chris and Doug could attest to that, the next will really be that we're not the State. We're not like a single-payer system. We have 169 towns plus all the regions and all of the other, uh, S-, you know, State universities and stuff that participate in our system. It's over 200. Every one of them has to be brought into this new CORE system only once, it's a one-timer but it's going to require active communication, maybe goin' out there, maybe site communication. These are very large districts in some cases, you know, have total departments devoted to benefits and things. So, so they haven't told you that yet. I, I know a bit about this, so I don't want to scare you. But Helen is going to come

1 back to us and Chris and Doug sometime like, like February and say, wow, now 2 we have to show you what is coming next. It's going to be a lot of work for Helen 3 and her staff, so just so you'll all be forewarned about that, um, that's what's 4 coming. A-, as I said, it's a one-timer and I think things will be, uh, tremendously 5 better for us as we move forward once, once this project is, is complete. So, uh, I, 6 I'm all done. Helen, you all set? 7 8 Helen S.: Yeah. I'm, I'm great. Doug and Chris know phase 2 and we'll be moving forward. 9 10 Thank you. Thank you all. Clare B.: 11 12 You got it. Thank you for coming. Helen S.: 13 14 Clare B.: Uh, okay. We'll move on to... 15 16 Female: Okay. 17 18 Clare B.: I'm ready to move on to public comments. Uh, we got Naomi and Javiel. You all 19 set? We got people? We're ready? 20 21 Female: Yes. 22 23 Naomi C.: Yep. We're ready. All right. So we'll just remind everyone that due to the large 24 number of people, you will be restricted to two minutes of speaking. I will start 25 the timer as soon as you start talking and I'll give you a warning when you have 26 30 seconds remaining. 27 28 Clare B.: Thank you. 29 30 So with that, I will start our, with our first, uh, raised hand is Rita. Rita, you have Naomi C.: permission to unmute. 31 32 33 Rita M.: I wanted to make three very quick comments. Um, the first is I think all of us, the 34 Board and all of us are going through some growing pains with this election 35 process because, um, the election that was held back in the winter was the first election that any of us had gone through in, uh, I believe more than 20 years. So 36 it's not as though it's something that has come up very often and so everybody 37 was trying to familiarize, um, themselves with how this was all going to shake 38 39 out. The second thing is I wanted to clarify something that Ms. Sullivan said when 40 she talked about, um, HB 64, um, 6984 I think it was. Um, it was never the, the concept bill was never for it to take place in Jan-, in, uh, July of 2024. The 41 42 original date that was in that bill was July of 2023 but the bill was amended to 43 October 1, 2023 to allow for the board to have more time to put the election into place. Um, and finally, I believe, um, if, if I'm, if I'm thinking clearly and 44 remembering, I believe that the Legisla-, Legisl-, Legislature, um, added 45

1 additional funds, um, for the agency so that they wouldn't be unduly burdened by 2 this election. 3 4 Naomi C.: Thirty-second warning. 5 6 Rita M.: I'm finished. Thank you. 7 8 Clare B.: Thank you. 9 10 Naomi C.: Thank you. Okay. Next, we have Jane. Jane, you have permission to unmute. 11 12 Uh, yes. I would just want to make a comment about the TRB healthcare Jane: 13 insurance. When retired teachers have been asking for, for the past several years 14 since our self-insured supplement from Sterling was lost in 2018 is this, we want to have a choice of a Medicare Supplement Plan G offered through the TRB. A 15 no-copay, no-coinsurance Plan G like the one offered through AARP from 16 17 UnitedHealthcare for \$213, simple as that. Add the \$111 AARP Medicare 18 Prescription Drug Plan and a \$12 vision and hearing ride-, rider and you've got a 19 fully comprehensive \$264 subsidized plan with no copays and no coinsurance. 20 Not only would it be less expensive for the retirees but there would be no copays 21 and no coinsurance, only cheaper because teachers are a healthier group and we live in Connecticut, one of the healthiest states in the nation. Suffice it to say, we 22 23 know it's possible. The problem with the supplement came about by allowing the 24 insurance companies control of the negotiating process. This disadvantaged the 25 original TRB supplement plan and led to the loss of the State subsidy benefits. As 26 Attorney General Tong said in a press release two years ago, the highest level of 27 scrutiny should be sought... 28 29 Naomi C.: Thirty-second warning. 30 31 Jane: ...the highest level of scrutiny should be sought for insurance rate hikes. TRB 32 Medicare programs have long been normalized by stable utilization as evidenced 33 by data gathered over 14 years and cost containment feature of the federal 34 Medicare system guarantees its cost stability. Thank you. 35 36 Naomi C.: Okay. Do we have any other public comments? Please click the raise hand button if you would like to make a public comment. Again, if you would like to make a 37 38 public comment, please click the raise hand button at the bottom of your screen. 39 Okay. Next, we have, uh, Mary Hendrickson. Mary, you have permission to 40 unmute. 41 42 Mary H.: Good afternoon. The intent of HB 6489, which became law as Public Act 2363, 43 was to have two retired teachers placed on the TRB before October 1, 2023. Proof 44 of that is in the bill that Helen Sullivan mentioned. It was initially proposed to be 45 July 15th, as Rita McDougald-Campbell has just stated. That would give time for 46 the agency to do what they needed to do to run this election. In a concession to the CEA lobbyist, the legislators gave the agency more time, allowing the October 1st date. So I'm really dismayed, two things, that there's not going to be a meeting in October, and the second, we will not have the two retired teachers on the board to have an equal representation between active and retired teachers to watch for the health insurance that is going to be discussed at that time, if it's not already underway. So I'm really dismayed that, um, this has happened. And this, this is really annoying to have a two-minute timer in front of us as we speak. It's just, uh, disrespectful to us as educators who would like to have a chance to put something on the record as to what we have seen happen. I and many other retirees have worked diligently for over five years to have this moment, equalizing the representation on the Board between active and retired teachers. So instead of experiencing the joy and the satisfaction of seeing this law become reality and how the [inaudible 82:48]...

Naomi C.: Thirty-second warning.

Mary H.:

Sue H.:

...works, what do I see happening? Delay, more delay and not represented when we need most to be represented. So I'm quite sorry that this has happened this way. Um, I think a lot of retired teachers were hoping to see some fairness and some transparency with the Teachers' Retirement Board.

Naomi C.: Okay. Next, we have Sue and Ken. Sue and Ken, you have permission to unmute.

I'd like to agree with Mary, um, Hendrickson who just spoke. I think she had some very valid points and I too am dismayed with the, um, delay in this election. I'd also like to, um, request that significant announcements be sent out with a mass mailing just as the monthly pension benefit emails are put out to all members. Um, I think we should have gotten some kind of a notification that there was something on the website about the general election process, the nominition, nomination petition phase and the timeline for the election so so many phone calls wouldn't have been made to the TRB directly. Um, I think we're all about communication and the TRB should be representing all teachers equally. Um, I think we need clarification too as to how to find this information on the TRB website. Um, sometimes I scramble alou-, around looking for where the announcements are. I just don't see them and I think a number of other teachers have the same problem. Um, in terms of the health care premium changes, I'd like to know whether, um, the changes were negotiated for the total length of the new contract like they were last time. And if it's more than negotiations for one year, why not release that information ahead of time so we know, um, about the discrepancy...

Naomi C.: Thirty-second warning.

Sue H.: ...between the two offerings. And I think that's about it. Thank you very much.
Naomi C.: Okay. Do we have any other public comments? Please click the raise hand button if you'd like to make a public comment. Again, last call for public comments.

Please click the raise hand button. If we have no more interest in that, no more raised hands, then we can conclude with the public comments.

Clare B.: Thank you. Thank you. Uh, thank you, both of you, for, um, you know, conducting those. Um, just a reminder to the board, um, we will be meeting next on November 8th. Um, and the subject of that, uh, is our valuation. Remember that it is a board responsibility and currently, uh, we now do it every single year. So we will be doing a total valuation, uh, this year. In October, we will have John Garrett with us and John will be giving us, um, uh, I asked him again 'cause we have so many new board members that i-, if he would do a 101 and, you know, a-, as he's done in the past. John is very good at that. He doesn't try to give you too much actuarial, you know, blah blahs so that you're totally lost and out there with the telephone directory trying to find the numbers. He will do a very good job I think of setting you straight and having you have an understanding. This is one of your major responsibilities, to accept the valuation and, uh, I can't think of

anybody better to explain it to you than he is.

I've also asked him to take on another issue and that is the COLA. There are a lot of misconceptions out there. Remember I said to you, it's great to have opinions but just please try to get the facts. And on this case, facts are on our website but, but maybe we need a little walk down historical overview on our COLA and, you know, where it's come from, what it is, what its purpose is, what it does and who has the power to do anything with it. Um, I've asked John Garrett, who is an expert in this field and certainly with us, the Teacher Retirement Board of Connecticut, to do both, so he does the 101, he's also going to do in November the COLA. And we'll be welcoming the new, um, uh, the new retired teacher members at that time.

So I thank everybody for today's meeting. Um, e-, e-, enjoy everything, the holidays coming up, uh, Halloween coming up, the fall coming up, the, um, uh, the autumnal equinox. I'm trying to think of anything. I don't want to miss anything. I don't want to miss anybody out there. Um, uh, the elections, the local elections, local elections, you're right. And we will all meet again, uh, on November 8th. Okay. I thank all of you.

Helen S.: Thanks everybody.

38 Clare B.: Thank you. 39

Female:

Female: Thank you.

44 Female: Great start to the schoolyear.

Thank you.

45 Clare B.: Yes. Thank you.

Thank you. Female: 1 2 3 4

/mlc