

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Student v. Woodstock Board of Education

Appearing on behalf of the Parent: Courtney Spencer, Esq.
Law Office of Courtney Spencer, LLC
100 Riverview Center, #120
Middletown, CT 06457

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Julia Wilde, Esq.
Shipman & Goodwin, LLP
One Constitution Plaza
Hartford, CT 06103-1919

Appearing before: Patrick L. Kennedy, Esq.
Hearing Officer

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

ISSUES:

1. Did the District commit procedural violations amounting to a denial of FAPE for the 2017-18 school year, for the portion of the year commencing April 21, 2018, including the 2018 ESY?
2. Did the District fail to offer an appropriate program to the Student for the 2017-18 school year, for the portion of the year commencing April 21, 2018, including the 2018 ESY?
3. Did the District commit procedural violations amounting to a denial of FAPE for the 2018-19 school year including the 2019 ESY?
4. Did the District fail to offer an appropriate program to the Student for the 2018-19 school year including the 2019 ESY?
5. Did the District commit procedural violations amounting to a denial of FAPE for the 2019-20 school year?
6. Did the District fail to offer an appropriate program to the Student for the 2019-20 school year?
7. If the District has denied FAPE to the Student, is a residential placement at Grove School an appropriate program for the Student?

8. If the District has denied FAPE to the Student and Grove School is an appropriate placement, should the District be ordered to place the Student at Grove School for the 2019-20 school year?
9. If the District has denied FAPE to the Student, should compensatory education be ordered?

SUMMARY AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY:

Case 20-0424 was commenced by the Parents by request received by the Board on April 21, 2020. A prehearing conference was held on May 1, 2020. At the prehearing conference, the decision date was determined to be July 2, 2020. No hearing date was set as the matter was reported settled.

On May 1, 2020, the undersigned hearing officer was advised by the attorney for the Parents that the matter should be dismissed without prejudice.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

The matter is dismissed without prejudice.