STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Student v. Glastonbury Board of Ed

Appearing on behalf of the Parent: Attorney Jennifer Laviano

Law Offices of Jennifer Laviano, LLC

76 Route 37 South

Sherman, Connecticut 06784

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Attorney Leander Dolphin

Shipman & Goodwin, LLP One Constitution Plaza Hartford, Connecticut 06103

Appearing before: Jane Ford Shaw, Esq.

Hearing Officer

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

ISSUES:

- 1. Did the Board deny Student a free appropriate public education ("FAPE") for the 2016-17 school year, including related services and ESY?
- 2. Did the Board deny Student FAPE for the 2017-18 school year, including related services and ESY?
- 3. If the Board did not provide Student FAPE for the 2017-18 school year, is the Institute for Professional Practice ("IPP") the appropriate program?
- 4. If the Board did not provide and/or offer the Student FAPE for the 2016-17 and 2017-18, should the Student be reimbursed for the tuition and related expenses of the IPP?
- 5. Is compensatory education an appropriate remedy?

PROCEDURAL HISTORY/SUMMARY:

The student is entitled to receive a free and appropriate public education in the least restrictive environment as defined in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act ("IDEA") §20 U. S. C. 1401 et seq. and Connecticut General Statutes § 10-76a et seq.

The parents filed the Due Process Hearing Complaint on November 13, 2017. The Hearing Officer was appointed on November 14, 2017. A prehearing conference was held on November 20, 2017. Hearing dates of January 18, 19, 22, 2018 were scheduled and continued to March 23, 2018. The parents withdrew their complaint with prejudice.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

The matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.