

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Appearing on behalf of the Parent: Attorney Ann E. Rose  
Law Office of Ann E. Rose  
6 Nelson Lane  
Newtown, CT 06470-1203

Appearing on behalf of the Board: Attorney Rebecca Santiago  
Shipman & Goodwin, LLP  
One Constitution Plaza  
Hartford, CT 06106-1919

Appearing before: Robert L. Skelley, Esq.  
Hearing Officer

**FINAL DECISION AND ORDER**

**ISSUES:**

1. Did the program provided by the Danbury Board of Education (“Board”) for the 2013-2014 academic years provide the Student a free and appropriate public education (“FAPE”)?
2. Did the extended school year (“ESY”) provided by the Board to the Student for the summer of 2013 provide the Student a FAPE?

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY:**

The Parent filed this complaint on July 15, 2013. The resolution period was scheduled to end on August 14, 2013. Due to scheduling issues, the pre-hearing conference was held on August 19, 2013. The initial due process hearing date was set for September 19, 2013. At the time of the pre-hearing conference the Parties believed that they were close to resolving the matter. On September 9, 2013 the Parties had reached an agreement in principle and requested to waive the requirement to submit exhibits and witness lists in preparation for the September 19, 2013 due process hearing. On September 11, 2013, the Parties memorialized their agreement and agreed to withdraw the complaint, which Counsel for the Parents subsequently did, withdrawing the complaint with prejudice.

**FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:**

On September 11, 2013, Counsel for the Parents withdrew the complaint, with prejudice, citing resolution with the Board. With no further issues remaining in this matter, it is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.