-1-

#### STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Student v. Regional School District No. 6

Appearing on behalf of the Parents:	The Parents proceeded <i>pro se</i> with the assistance of an advocate.
Appearing on behalf of the Board:	Attorney Frederick L. Dorsey Siegel, O'Connor, Zangari, O'Donnell & Beck,
P.C.	150 Trumbull Street
	Hartford, CT 06103
Appearing before:	Attorney Mary Elizabeth Oppenheim Hearing Officer

# FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

### **ISSUES:**

- 1. Whether the Board has offered an appropriate program for the Student.
- 2. If not, what is the appropriate program for the Student, including appropriate related services such as speech and language and occupational therapy?
- 3. Whether the Board has provided qualified staff to provide special education and related services to the Student.
- 4. Whether the Board has failed to develop a transition plan for the Student in a timely manner.
- 5. Whether the Board has failed to develop an IEP for the Student by his third birthday.

# **SUMMARY:**

This matter was initially assigned to Hearing Officer Mary H.B. Gelfman. The Parents requested that this matter be consolidated with Case No. 05-060, as that case involved the Student's twin. The Parents' request was granted by Hearing Officer Gelfman and by the undersigned hearing officer as it was found that the consolidated hearing was the most efficient hearing process for both matters. Hearing Officer Gelfman notified the parties that both matters would be heard by the undersigned hearing officer.

The hearing convened on April 7. At the hearing, the parties reported that both cases in this consolidated hearing were settled. The terms of a comprehensive settlement agreement were reported on the record.

# FINAL DECISION AND ORDER:

The matter is **DISMISSED**, with prejudice.