



# U.S. Department of Education Determination Letters on State Implementation of the IDEA

June 2007

For the first time, the U.S. Department of Education has issued determination letters on implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) to each state education agency for Part B and to each lead agency for Part C. The determinations, required under the statute, are part of the on-going efforts to improve results for children and youth with disabilities.

As amended in 2004, the IDEA requires the Secretary of Education to make an annual determination as to whether each state is meeting the requirements of the statute. Under the IDEA each state is required to have in place a State Performance Plan (SPP) that evaluates the state's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Parts B or C of the IDEA, and describes how the state will improve its implementation of these programs. Each state must then submit an Annual Performance Report (APR) detailing its progress in meeting the targets it established in its SPP.

The Department approved states' SPPs in 2006 and States submitted their first APRs under the SPPs in February of 2007. The letters the Department issued announce and explain the first determinations made under these new requirements of the IDEA.

# The IDEA details four categories for the Secretary's determination:

- Meets the requirements and purposes of the IDEA
- Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of the IDEA
- Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA
- Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA

#### Data and criteria used to make determinations:

To make the determination for each Part B and Part C program, the Department considered the state's APR, information obtained through monitoring visits, and any other public information. The following factors were considered for each state's determination under each program:

- For each compliance indicator in the APR, whether the state:
  - Demonstrated compliance or that it corrected noncompliance in a timely manner, or
  - o If it did not demonstrate compliance, nonetheless had made progress in ensuring compliance over prior performance in that area.
- For all indicators in the SPP and APR, whether the state provided valid and reliable FFY 2005 data that reflected the measurement for the indicator.
- Whether the state had other IDEA compliance issues that had been identified in the Department's monitoring, audit or other activities, and the state's progress in resolving those problems.

The IDEA identifies specific technical assistance or enforcement actions aligned with each of the determinations, with the exception of "Meets Requirements" that the Department must take under specific circumstances. These actions are consistent with the level of concern signaled by the determination, however, consistent with the IDEA, none of the enforcement actions will be applied to states this year.

Copies of the determination letters for each state will be available on Part B at: <a href="http://www.ed.gov/fund/data/report/idea/partbspap/index.html">http://www.ed.gov/fund/data/report/idea/partbspap/index.html</a>

and, for Part C:

http://www.ed.gov/fund/data/report/idea/partcspap/index.html

Below is a summary of the State results:

### **Part B Determinations**

#### Meets Requirements

Alaska Connecticut Hawaii Michigan Oregon Pennsylvania Tennessee Virginia Wyoming

#### **Needs Assistance**

Alabama
American Samoa
Arizona
Arkansas
Bureau of Indian
Affairs
California

Affairs
California
Delaware
Florida
Georgia
Guam
Idaho
Illinois
Iowa
Kansas
Kentucky
Louisiana
Maine

Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico

Maryland Massachusetts

New York Ohio Oklahoma Palau

Republic of the Marshall Islands Rhode Island South Carolina

South Dakota Texas Utah Vermont West Virginia Wisconsin

## **Needs Intervention**

Colorado Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands Washington, DC Federated States of Micronesia Indiana

North Carolina

North Dakota Puerto Rico

Virgin Islands Washington

# Needs Substantial Intervention

# Part C Determinations

Meets			Needs Substantial
Requirements	Needs Assistance	<b>Needs Intervention</b>	Intervention
Alabama	American Samoa	Arizona	
Alaska	Arkansas	California	
Connecticut	Delaware	Colorado	
Commonwealth of	Florida	Washington, DC	
the Northern	Georgia	Kentucky	
Marianas Islands	Guam	Maine	
Iowa	Hawaii	Michigan	
Maryland	Idaho	Minnesota	
Montana	Illinois	Nevada	
Nebraska	Indiana	New Mexico	
Oklahoma	Kansas	New York	
Oregon	Louisiana	North Dakota	
South Dakota	Massachusetts	Ohio	
Texas	Mississippi	Rhode Island	
Utah	Missouri	South Carolina	
West Virginia	New Hampshire	Tennessee	
Wyoming	New Jersey		
	North Carolina		
	Pennsylvania		
	Puerto Rico		
	Vermont		
	Virginia		
	Virgin Islands		
	Washington		
	Wisconsin		