Per Pupil Expenditure Summary

Disparity	NCEP 2003-04	NCEP 2004-05	NCEP 2005-06	RPENS 2003-04	RPENS 2004-05	RPENS 2005-06
95th Percentile Town	\$12,938	\$13,877	\$14,784	\$10,309	\$11,088	\$11,465
Median Town	\$9,751	\$10,223	\$10,842	\$7,339	\$7,635	\$8,080
5th Percentile Town	\$8,511	\$8,706	\$9,323	\$6,118	\$6,427	\$6,547
95:5 Percentile Ratio	1.52	1.59	1.59	1.69	1.73	1.75
Wealthiest Quintile	\$11,326	\$11,858	\$12,731	\$8,792	\$9,202	\$9,767
Poorest Quintile	\$11,097	\$11,616	\$12,316	\$5,958	\$6,209	\$6,563
Wealthiest:Poorest Quintile Ratio	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.48	1.48	1.49

The table above displays for 2003-04 through unaudited 2005-06 both the traditional Net Current Expenditure Per Pupil (NCEP) and the MER-related Regular Program Expenditures Per Need Student (RPENS). As noted below, these measures of spending have very different statutory definitions that account in part for the different pattern in the weathiest/poorest ratios.

The median town represents the middle town when ranked from lowest to highest. For the purpose of this analysis, the 95th percentile town represents the 9th highest-spending town, and the 5th percentile town represents the 9th lowest-spending town. A 95:5 ratio is a common measure of how great a disparity exists between low- and high-spending towns. The higher the ratio, the greater the disparity that exists between the two points of measurement. From 2003-04 through 2005-06, median NCEP increased by 11.2 percent, while RPENS increased by 10.1 percent. During this same period, the 95:5 ratio for NCEP increased from 1.52 to 1.59. The 95:5 ratio for RPENS increased from 1.69 to 1.75. These figures suggest a widening of the gap between the very highest spending towns and the lowest spending towns.

For the purpose of this analysis, the quintiles represent five equal groupings of resident students based on town wealth as defined in the Education Cost Sharing (ECS) grant. While each quintile contains 20 percent of the resident students in the state, the number of districts may vary among quintiles. Rather than focusing on single-town values as the 95:5 ratio does, the quintile figures focus on significant numbers of students from more than a dozen communities at opposite ends of the wealth spectrum. For 2005-06, the ratio for NCEP was at 1.03, which indicates that the wealthiest group of towns was spending on a per pupil basis more than the poorest group. In previous years, the poorest group of towns had been spending above their wealthiest counterparts. Supplemental federal and state aid that is targeted primarily to the group of poorer communities in prior years had enabled this group to maintain their higher per pupil spending on average with the level of the state's most affluent communities. This is particularly true for some of the larger urban communities, which educate the majority of the state's students in the poorest quintile. The same pattern does not hold for RPENS, primarily because state and federal funds and locally supported special education are discounted from regular program expenditures and the unit of measure in RPENS is weighted need students. Using weighted need students and not counting supplemental state and federal grants has a greater impact on RPENS in the neediest towns because of the relative concentration of student weighting, supplemental state and federal aid and special education in those towns.

Resident Student-Based Quintiles for Table 3 Data Grouped by ECS Town Wealth

Group 1 - Poorest								
015 BRIDGEPORT 064 HARTFORD	080 MERIDEN 089 NEW BRITAIN	093 NEW HAVEN 104 NORWICH	109 PLAINFIELD 151 WATERBURY	163 WINDHAM				
Group 2								
001 ANDOVER 002 ANSONIA 003 ASHFORD 006 BEACON FALLS 017 BRISTOL 019 BROOKLYN 022 CANTERBURY 024 CHAPLIN 028 COLCHESTER 032 COVENTRY	037 DERBY 042 EAST HAMPTON 043 EAST HARTFORD 044 EAST HAVEN 049 ENFIELD 058 GRISWOLD 063 HAMPTON 069 KILLINGLY 071 LEBANON	072 LEDYARD 073 LISBON 078 MANSFIELD 080 MERIDEN 086 MONTVILLE 088 NAUGATUCK 095 NEW LONDON 100 NORTH CANAAN 110 PLAINVILLE	111 PLYMOUTH 112 POMFRET 114 PRESTON 116 PUTNAM 123 SCOTLAND 124 SEYMOUR 133 SPRAGUE 134 STAFFORD 136 STERLING	140 THOMASTON 141 THOMPSON 143 TORRINGTON 146 VERNON 147 VOLUNTOWN 156 WEST HAVEN 162 WINCHESTER 166 WOLCOTT 169 WOODSTOCK				
Group 3								
005 BARKHAMSTED 011 BLOOMFIELD 012 BOLTON 013 BOZRAH 030 COLUMBIA 034 DANBURY 038 DURHAM 039 EASTFORD	041 EAST HADDAM 047 EAST WINDSOR 048 ELLINGTON 053 FRANKLIN 056 GRANBY 059 GROTON 062 HAMDEN 065 HARTLAND	067 HEBRON 077 MANCHESTER 082 MIDDLEFIELD 083 MIDDLETOWN 094 NEWINGTON 099 NORTH BRANFORD 102 NORTH STONINGTON 110 PLAINVILLE	113 PORTLAND 115 PROSPECT 121 SALEM 129 SOMERS 131 SOUTHINGTON 132 SOUTH WINDSOR 138 STRATFORD 139 SUFFIELD	142 TOLLAND 148 WALLINGFORD 153 WATERTOWN 159 WETHERSFIELD 160 WILLINGTON 164 WINDSOR 165 WINDSOR LOCKS				
Group 4								
007 BERLIN 008 BETHANY 009 BETHEL 010 BETHLEHEM 014 BRANFORD 020 BURLINGTON 021 CANAAN 023 CANTON 025 CHESHIRE	026 CHESTER 027 CLINTON 029 COLEBROOK 033 CROMWELL 036 DEEP RIVER 040 EAST GRANBY 045 EAST LYME 052 FARMINGTON	054 GLASTONBURY 061 HADDAM 066 HARWINTON 070 KILLINGWORTH 074 LITCHFIELD 079 MARLBOROUGH 081 MIDDLEBURY 084 MILFORD	085 MONROE 087 MORRIS 091 NEW FAIRFIELD 092 NEW HARTFORD 096 NEW MILFORD 097 NEWTOWN 101 NORTH HAVEN 108 OXFORD	119 ROCKY HILL 126 SHELTON 128 SIMSBURY 130 SOUTHBURY 137 STONINGTON 145 UNION 155 WEST HARTFORD 164 WINDSOR				
Group 5 - Wealthiest								
004 AVON 016 BRIDGEWATER 018 BROOKFIELD 031 CORNWALL 035 DARIEN 046 EASTON 050 ESSEX 051 FAIRFIELD	052 FARMINGTON 055 GOSHEN 057 GREENWICH 060 GUILFORD 068 KENT 075 LYME 076 MADISON 090 NEW CANAAN	098 NORFOLK 103 NORWALK 105 OLD LYME 106 OLD SAYBROOK 107 ORANGE 117 REDDING 118 RIDGEFIELD 120 ROXBURY	122 SALISBURY 125 SHARON 127 SHERMAN 135 STAMFORD 144 TRUMBULL 149 WARREN 150 WASHINGTON 152 WATERFORD	154 WESTBROOK 157 WESTON 158 WESTPORT 161 WILTON 167 WOODBRIDGE 214 WOODBURY				

In order to achieve equal resident student quintile groupings, some towns' students are split between two quintile groups.