Table 3

## Per Pupil Expenditure Summary

Disparity	NCEP 2000-01	NCEP 2001-02	Audited NCEP 2002-03	RPENS 2000-01	RPENS 2001-02	Audited RPENS 2002-03
95th Percentile Town	\$11,045	\$11,835	\$12,257	\$8,717	\$9,278	\$9,817
Median Town	\$8,538	\$8,890	\$9,307	\$6,533	\$6,838	\$7,121
5th Percentile Town	\$7,403	\$7,745	\$8,103	\$5,462	\$5,711	\$5,951
95:5 Percentile Ratio	1.49	1.53	1.51	1.60	1.62	1.65
Wealthiest Quintile	\$9,768	\$10,256	\$10,842	\$7,628	\$8,040	\$8,412
Poorest Quintile	\$10,170	\$10,607	\$10,955	\$5,646	\$5,835	\$5,936
Wealthiest:Poorest Quintile Ratio	0.96	0.97	0.99	1.35	1.38	1.42

The table above displays for 2000-01 through unaudited 2002-03 both the traditional net current expenditure per pupil (NCEP) and the MER-related regular program expenditures per need student (RPENS). As noted below, these measures of spending have very different statutory definitions that account in part for the different pattern in the weathiest/poorest ratios.

The median town represents the middle town when ranked from lowest to highest. For the purpose of this analysis, the 95th percentile town represents the 9th highest-spending town, and the 5th percentile town represents the 9th lowest-spending town. A 95:5 ratio is a common measure of how great a disparity exists between low- and high-spending towns. The higher the ratio, the greater the disparity that exists between the two points of measurement. From 2000-01 through 2002-03, median NCEP increased by 9.0 percent, while RPENS increased by 8.9 percent. During this same period, the 95:5 ratio for NCEP increased from 1.49 to 1.51. The 95:5 ratio for RPENS increased from 1.60 to 1.65. These figures suggest a widening of the gap between the very highest spending towns and the lowest spending towns.

For the purpose of this analysis, the quintiles represent five equal groupings of resident students based on town wealth as defined in the Education Cost Sharing (ECS) grant. While each quintile contains 20 percent of the resident students in the state, the number of districts may vary among quintiles. Rather than focusing on single-town values as the 95:5 ratio does, the quintile figures focus on significant numbers of students from more than a dozen communities at opposite ends of the wealth spectrum. For 2002-03, the ratio for NCEP was at 0.99, which indicates that the poorest group of towns was essentially spending on a per pupil basis the same as the wealthiest group. In previous years the poorest group of towns had been spending above their wealthiest counterparts. Supplemental federal and state aid that is targeted primarily to the group of poorer communities has enabled this group to at least maintain their per pupil spending on average with the level of the state's most affluent communities. This is particularly true for some of the larger urban communities which educate the majority of the state's students in the poorest quintile. The same pattern does not hold for RPENS, primarily because state and federal funds and locally supported special education are discounted from regular program expenditures and the unit of measure in RPENS is weighted need students. Using weighted need students and not counting supplemental state and federal grants has a greater impact on RPENS in the neediest towns because of the relative concentration of student weighting, supplemental state and federal aid and special education in those towns.

## Resident Student-Based Quintiles for Table 3 Data Grouped by ECS Town Wealth

Group 1 - Poorest											
015 BRIDGEPORT 058 GRISWOLD	064 HARTFORD 089 NEW BRITAIN	093 NEW HAVEN 095 NEW LONDON	104 NORWICH 109 PLAINFIELD	151 WATERBURY 163 WINDHAM							
Group 2											
001 ANDOVER 002 ANSONIA 003 ASHFORD 017 BRISTOL 019 BROOKLYN 022 CANTERBURY 024 CHAPLIN 028 COLCHESTER 032 COVENTRY	037 DERBY 039 EASTFORD 043 EAST HARTFORD 044 EAST HAVEN 048 ELLINGTON 049 ENFIELD 058 GRISWOLD 063 HAMPTON 069 KILLINGLY	071 LEBANON 072 LEDYARD 073 LISBON 078 MANSFIELD 080 MERIDEN 086 MONTVILLE 088 NAUGATUCK 110 PLAINVILLE	112 POMFRET 114 PRESTON 116 PUTNAM 121 SALEM 123 SCOTLAND 124 SEYMOUR 133 SPRAGUE 134 STAFFORD 136 STERLING	140 THOMASTON 141 THOMPSON 143 TORRINGTON 146 VERNON 147 VOLUNTOWN 156 WEST HAVEN 162 WINCHESTER 166 WOLCOTT 169 WOODSTOCK							
Group 3											
006 BEACON FALLS 012 BOLTON 013 BOZRAH 020 BURLINGTON 027 CLINTON 029 COLEBROOK 030 COLUMBIA 038 DURHAM 041 EAST HADDAM	042 EAST HAMPTON 045 EAST LYME 047 EAST WINDSOR 053 FRANKLIN 056 GRANBY 059 GROTON 062 HAMDEN 065 HARTLAND 067 HEBRON	077 MANCHESTER 079 MARLBOROUGH 082 MIDDLEFIELD 083 MIDDLETOWN 092 NEW HARTFORD 094 NEWINGTON 096 NEW MILFORD 099 NORTH BRANFORD 100 NORTH CANAAN	102 NORTH STONINGTON 110 PLAINVILLE 113 PORTLAND 115 PROSPECT 129 SOMERS 131 SOUTHINGTON 132 SOUTH WINDSOR 138 STRATFORD 139 SUFFIELD	142 TOLLAND 148 WALLINGFORD 153 WATERTOWN 160 WILLINGTON 164 WINDSOR							
Group 4											
005 BARKHAMSTED 007 BERLIN 008 BETHANY 009 BETHEL 010 BETHLEHEM 011 BLOOMFIELD 014 BRANFORD 021 CANAAN	023 CANTON 025 CHESHIRE 026 CHESTER 033 CROMWELL 034 DANBURY 036 DEEP RIVER 040 EAST GRANBY 054 GLASTONBURY	060 GUILFORD 061 HADDAM 066 HARWINTON 070 KILLINGWORTH 074 LITCHFIELD 084 MILFORD 085 MONROE 087 MORRIS	091 NEW FAIRFIELD 097 NEWTOWN 101 NORTH HAVEN 108 OXFORD 119 ROCKY HILL 126 SHELTON 128 SIMSBURY 130 SOUTHBURY	137 STONINGTON 139 SUFFIELD 145 UNION 154 WESTBROOK 155 WEST HARTFORD 159 WETHERSFIELD 165 WINDSOR LOCKS 168 WOODBURY							
Group 5 - Wealthiest											
004 AVON 016 BRIDGEWATER 018 BROOKFIELD 031 CORNWALL 035 DARIEN 046 EASTON 050 ESSEX 051 FAIRFIELD	052 FARMINGTON 055 GOSHEN 057 GREENWICH 068 KENT 075 LYME 076 MADISON 081 MIDDLEBURY 090 NEW CANAAN	098 NORFOLK 103 NORWALK 105 OLD LYME 106 OLD SAYBROOK 107 ORANGE 117 REDDING 118 RIDGEFIELD 120 ROXBURY	122 SALISBURY 125 SHARON 127 SHERMAN 130 SOUTHBURY 135 STAMFORD 144 TRUMBULL 149 WARREN 150 WASHINGTON	152 WATERFORD 157 WESTON 158 WESTPORT 161 WILTON 167 WOODBRIDGE							

In order to achieve equal resident student quintile groupings, some towns' students are split between two quintile groups.