East Lyme Public Schools Administrator Evaluation and Support Plan



SUPERINTENDENT

Mr. Jeffrey Newton

ELPS BOE MEMBERS	ELPS PDEC MEMBERS
Eric Bauman (Chairperson)	Shayne Anderson-Assistant Principal
Jaime Barr Shelburn (Secretary)	Laura Ashburn-High School Teacher
Alisa Bradley	Amy Bogert-Elementary Paraeducator
Jill Carini	Lauren Ennis-Middle School Teacher
William Derry	Kimberly Davis-Director of Student Services
Olivia Fairchild	Grace DeGrooth-Elementary Teacher
Esteban Garcia	Heather Delouchry-Elementary Teacher
Leigh Gianakos	Timothy Dowd-High School Teacher
Vivek Purohit	Gil Gallant-Elementary Instructional Coach
Catherine Steel	Keryl Hallissey-Occupational Therapist
	Laura Hanley-High School Teacher
	Mariah Jeffry-Meehan-High School Paraeducator
	Pietra McNamara-Middle School Paraeducator
	Michelle Palumbo-Elementary SPED Teacher
	Shannon Saglio-Middle School Instructional
	Coach
	Danielle Schoman-Elementary Principal
	Julie Shvetz-High School Assistant Principal
	Annaliese Spaziano-Assistant Superintendent
	Diane Swan-Elementary Teacher

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	4
Purpose and Rationale of the Evaluation System	4
Core Design Principles	
Orientation to Administrator Evaluation & Support Plan	
ADMINISTRATOR EVALUATION SYSTEM	5
Standards & Criteria for Leaders	
Goal Setting, Professional Practice, and Feedback	5
Growth Criteria	
<u> Fiered Support & Corrective Support Planning</u>	
Dispute Resolution	10
Dispute Resolution	10
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC)	11
	11
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting	11 11
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting	11 11
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting	11 11 12 13
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting APPENDICES Appendix A: Administrators Beginning of Year Goal Setting Appendix B: Administrators Middle of the Year Check In Appendix C: Administrator End of Year Reflection	11 12 13 14 15
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting APPENDICES Appendix A: Administrators Beginning of Year Goal Setting Appendix B: Administrators Middle of the Year Check In Appendix C: Administrator End of Year Reflection	11 12 13 14 15
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting APPENDICES Appendix A: Administrators Beginning of Year Goal Setting Appendix B: Administrators Middle of the Year Check In Appendix C: Administrator End of Year Reflection Appendix D: Site Visit Planning & Feedback Form	11 12 13 14 15 16
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting APPENDICES Appendix A: Administrators Beginning of Year Goal Setting Appendix B: Administrators Middle of the Year Check In Appendix C: Administrator End of Year Reflection Appendix D: Site Visit Planning & Feedback Form Appendix E: Tiered Support Plan	11 12 13 14 15 16 17
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC) Local and State Reporting APPENDICES Appendix A: Administrators Beginning of Year Goal Setting Appendix B: Administrators Middle of the Year Check In Appendix C: Administrator End of Year Reflection Appendix D: Site Visit Planning & Feedback Form	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

MISSION STATEMENT FOR EAST LYME PUBLIC SCHOOLS

East Lyme Public Schools (ELPS) will inspire, engage, and educate each student to become a contributing citizen and a responsible, independent, and critical thinker.

Introduction

The East Lyme Public School's administrator evaluation and support plan is designed to help each administrator strengthen his/her practice to improve student learning. The emphasis of this plan is on reflection and growth for all members of our learning community.

Purpose and Rationale of the Administrator Evaluation & Support Plan

When administrators succeed, schools thrive. Research has proven that effective leadership is critical to the success of a school. To support our administrators, we need to:

- Clearly define excellent leadership practices and outcomes;
- Provide accurate, useful information about administrators' strengths and areas for development; and
- Offer opportunities for professional growth and recognition.

Guiding Principles

The design of this administrator evaluation model is based on the following State of Connecticut guidelines and principles:

- Allow for differentiation of roles (for example for leaders: assistant superintendents, director of pupil services, various leaders in central office, principal, assistant principal;.
- Simplify and reduce the burden (eliminate technical challenges, paperwork, steps).
- **Focus on things that matter** (identify high leverage goal focus areas).
- Connect to best practices aimed at the development of the whole child (including, but not limited to, academic, social, emotional, and physical development).
- Focus on leader growth and agency (meaningfully engage professionals by focusing on growth and practice in partnership with others aligned to a strategic focus).
- **Meaningful connections to professional learning** (provide multiple pathways for participants to improve their own practice in a way that is meaningful and impactful).
- Specific, timely, accurate, actionable, and reciprocal feedback.

CT Guidelines for Leader Evaluation and Support

The design of the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation and Support 2023 (CT Guidelines 2023) are representative of research-based effective practice and include six elements.

- Standards and criteria
- Goal setting process
- Professional practice and educator growth
- Evaluator/observer/stakeholder feedback and engagement
- Process elements
- Dispute resolution

Orientation to the Administrator Evaluation & Support Process

Orientation on the administrator evaluation and support process shall take place prior to the start of the process, no later than October 1st. The orientation shall include:

- High leverage goal setting and professional learning plans
- Use of rubrics and standards
- Observation of practice/Review of practice
- Tiered supports
- Dispute resolution

Annual training for evaluators as required by C.G.S. 10-151b will include engaging in and providing reciprocal feedback tied to standards and evidence of professional practice.

Standards and Criteria for Administrators

The primary goal of the administrator evaluation and support system is to strengthen individual pedagogy and collective practices to increase student learning, growth, and achievement. East Lyme Public Schools will utilize the National Professional Standards for School Leaders. The ELPS PDEC has a process to review the standards and ensure a single point competency rubric (appendix f) accompanies the standards. The rubric serves as support for self- evaluation, dialogue, and feedback.

Goal Setting, Professional Practice and Evaluator Feedback and Engagement

The evaluation and support model is designed as a continuous learning process. The goal of the continuous learning process is to provide administrators with continuous learning opportunities for professional growth through self-directed analysis and reflection, planning, implementation, and collaboration. Regular dialogue and feedback, coupled with the opportunity to reflect on and advance practice, drive the continuous learning process. In this process, the administrator serves as the learner who actively engages in and directs their learning and feedback. The evaluator serves as a learning partner who supports the administrator through the learning and growth process. Within the process, the administrator collaborates and serves as a reflective practitioner to determine mutually agreed upon administrator goals, professional practice and administrator growth, and observation and feedback focus.

During each school year, an administrator will have a minimum of two opportunities for a reciprocal discussion of what is happening in the or school or district, a sharing of evidence of professional learning and impact on growth, and identification of needs and mutually agreed upon next steps. The meetings are approached in a spirit of continuous improvement, reflection, and collaboration. Dialogue is important, however, there must be a balance of written and verbal feedback provided between check-ins based on

observations and site visits as required by the district plan.

Below is an outline with the associated steps, reflections, and linked resources associated with each step of the process to assist administrators and evaluators through the process. All administrators are assigned a primary evaluator (092 or 093) who has completed comprehensive orientation on this model and relevant rubric.

Goal Setting & Conferences Overview

Beginning of the Year Goal Setting & Planning

- Self-Reflect utilizing the single point competency rubric and/or professional standards
- Review School-Based Evidence/Data
- Determine if you are working individually or with a group

Goals/Rational, Alignment, & Action Plans

- Set one student learning goal (can be 1, 2 or 3 year goal)
- Set one professional growth goal (can be 1-, or 2, or 3-year goal)
- Develop action steps for each goal

Goal Setting Conferences

- Beginning of the Year Conference:
 - o Must be completed by mid-November
 - O Can be done individually or as a group
- *Mid-Year Conference:*
 - Must be completed by end of March
 - o Can be done individually or as a group
 - o Review and discuss currently collected evidence towards student goal and professional learning goal
 - o Discuss evidence, reflection, and feedback from evaluator
 - o Adjust and revise as needed
 - This conference is optional for educators in the professional cohort; however, mid-year reflections and feedback must be submitted via our electronic portal.
- End of Year Conference:
 - o Must be completed by end of June
 - o Must be done individually
 - o Review and discuss currently collected evidence towards student goal and professional learning goal
 - o Discuss evidence, reflection, and feedback from evaluator
 - o Evaluator provides written summative feedback, and guides next steps
 - o Annual Summary Sign-Off

Goal Setting (Completed by mid-November)

The initial goal setting meeting includes a dialogue between the administrator and their evaluator around the administrator's initial self-reflection, which is based on a review of evidence and an analysis of their classroom/caseload and their own practice. Administrators are required to set two goals:

1. <u>Student Learning Goal</u>: This goal should be madeas it relates to the needs of students within the building/department and can be a 1, 3 or 3 year goal..

2. Professional Growth Goal: This goal can be a 1, or 2 or 3-year goal related to an administrator's

6

individualized professional learning needs.

The administrator and evaluator come to mutual agreement on high leverage professional practice, multiple measures of evidence (at least two measures), and action steps. Goal setting includes mutual agreement on high leverage professional practice goals, including multiple measures of organizational health, administrator and educator growth, and achievement. Self-reflection and annual summary are based on agreed upon standards and goals between the administrator and the evaluator. Goals and feedback are based on evidence, site visits, and artifacts of professional practice aligned to agreed upon standards.

Midyear Check-in (Completed by end of February):

The midyear check-in consists of reciprocal dialogue between the administrator and evaluator and includes an administrator's self-reflection on their progress toward their goal(s) so far. The reflection shall include an analysis of the impact of their learning on their practice, student learning, growth and achievement and the school community.

- Administrators self-reflect and review multiple and varied qualitative and quantitative indicators of evidence of impact on administrator's goals: student learning & professional growth
- The evaluator provides specific, standards-based feedback based on evidence, site visits, and artifacts of professional practice aligned to agreed upon standards on the single point rubric.
- The midyear conversation is a progress check-in. The midyear check-in provides an opportunity to discuss evidence, learning, and next steps. It is at this point that revisions to the administrator's goal(s) may be considered based on multiple measures of evidence.
- Administrators in the professional cohort can choose to have an in person mid-year meeting, or simply submit their reflections via our electronic portal. This would be mutually determined by both the administrator and evaluator.

End-of-Year Reflection/Summative Review (Completed by June 1)

End-of-year reflection provides an opportunity for the administrator and evaluator to engage in reciprocal dialogue, similar to the midyear check-in, to discuss progress toward the administrator's goals. A written end-of-year summary includes the impact of new learning on administrator practice and growth, impact on student learning, growth and achievement, school community, strengths and concerns, and possible next steps for the upcoming year. Analysis of evidence from the end-of-year summary is important for the administrator's subsequent self-assessment and goal setting revisions or new goal.

• The evaluator provides a concise summary based upon evidence related to the mutually agreed upon administrator goal(s) and identified standards and will make a distinction regarding the administrator's successful completion of the professional learning process. The evaluator provides specific, standards-based feedback based on evidence, site visits, and artifacts of professional practice aligned to agreed upon standards on the single point rubric.

Observation of Professional Practice and Feedback

Observation of professional practice or site visits occur throughout the continuous learning process. The identified high leverage goal(s) provides a focus for strategic evidence collection and feedback. Evaluators provide administrators with feedback based on evidence, standards, and the educator's goal(s); ensure timely access to planned support(s); and collect evidence of administrator practice and progress toward goal(s) through multiple sources of evidence including site visits, feedback, written or verbal, that is provided within five school days

"Feedback is defined as a dynamic, dialogic process that uses evidence to engage a learner, internally or with a learning partner, in constructing knowledge about practice and self. Its primary purpose is learning that guides change" (Killion, 2019).

Quality feedback:

- Is based on multiple and varied quantitative and qualitative indicators of evidence, standards, and goal(s)
- Is personalized
- Is learning-focused or growth-oriented
- Provides questions for reflection to refine or revise strategies
- Expands understanding of one's experiences and their implications for future experiences
- Provides reflective opportunities to rework, refine, and reorder knowledge, attitudes, skills, and/ or practices
- Is timely, frequent and reciprocal

Definition of Cohorts

Initial/Provisional

Who:

- New to leadership role (e.g., principal from assistant principal etc.; first four years)
- New to LEA (first two years)

What:

- Two observations of targeted professional practice and/or site visits (at least one with a pre-conference)
- Feedback written and verbal within five school days
- Additional observations of professional practice and/or site visits as mutually agreed upon or deemed necessary

Professional

Who:

• Leaders who have successfully completed the initial/provisional phase in current LEA

What

- Two observations of targeted professional practice and/or site visits
- Feedback written and verbal within five school days
- Additional observations of professional practice and/or site visits as mutually agreed upon or deemed necessary

Growth Criteria

Successful completion of the learning process is determined through multiple forms of evidence and reflection that is demonstrated by:

- o Reflection supported with evidence of the impact of the administrator's new learning on their practice/goal
- The impact the administrator's new learning and practice had on the administrator's practice, organizational growth, educator growth, and student outcomes.
- Next steps moving forward

Tiered Support

All administrators require access to high-quality, targeted professional learning support to improve practice over time. Administrators and their evaluators thoughtfully consider and apply three tiers of support, as appropriate, within an evaluation process. All three tiers of support must be implemented prior to developing a corrective plan.

A pattern of persistent lack of growth and reflection or resistance to growth-oriented feedback should lead to advancing levels of support with a defined process for placing an administrator on a Corrective Support

Plan with indicators of success for transitioning out of it. Evaluators must use and document all three tiers of support before developing a Corrective Support Plan. The Corrective Support Plan shall be developed in consultation with the evaluator, administrator, and their exclusive bargaining representative if applicable.

Tier 1

It is the expectation that all administrators consistently access opportunities for professional growth within their district. Tier 1 supports are broadly accessible professional learning opportunities for all, inclusive of, but not limited to, collegial conversations, school site visits, available district resources (e.g., books, articles, videos, etc.), formal professional learning opportunities developed and designed by your district PDEC and other administrator supports (e.g., leadership coaching). These resources should be identified through a goal setting process by mutual agreement.

Tier 2

In addition to Tier 1, Tier 2 supports are more intensive in duration, frequency, and focus (e.g., observation of specific leadership practices, etc.) that can be either suggested by the administrator and/or recommended by an evaluator.

Tier 3

In addition to Tier 1 and Tier 2, Tier 3 supports are responsive to unresolved, previously discussed concerns that are collaboratively discussed and may be assigned by an evaluator. Tier 3 supports clearly articulated areas of focus, duration of time, and criteria for success, and may include a decision to move to a Structured Support Plan. Tier 3 supports shall be developed in consultation with the evaluator, administrator and their exclusive bargaining representative for certified administrators chosen pursuant to C.G.S. §10-153b. The start date and duration of time an educator is receiving this level of support should be clearly documented.

Structured Support Plan

A pattern of persistent lack of growth and reflection or resistance to growth-oriented feedback should lead to advancing levels of support with a defined process for placing an educator on a Structured Support Plan with indicators of success for transitioning out of it. Evaluators must use and document all three tiers of support before developing a Structured Support Plan. The Structured Support Plan shall be developed in consultation with the educator and their exclusive bargaining representative for certified administrators chosen pursuant to C.G.S. §10-153b. The structured support plan is separate from the normal administrator growth model. The corrective support plan must include:

- Clear objectives specific to the well documented area of concern;
- Resources, support, and interventions to address areas of concern;
- Timeframes for implementing the resources, support and interventions; and
- Supportive actions from the evaluator

At the conclusion of the Structured Support Plan period, a number of outcomes are possible as determined in consultation with the evaluator, administrator and bargaining unit representative.

Dispute Resolution

9

The purpose of the dispute resolution process is to secure at the lowest possible administrative level equitable solutions to disagreements, which from time to time may arise related to the evaluation process. The right of appeal is available to all in the evaluation and support system. As our evaluation and support system is

designed to ensure continuous, constructive, and cooperative processes among professional administrators, educators/administrators and their evaluators are encouraged to resolve disagreements informally.

Ultimately, should an administrator disagree with the evaluator's assessment and feedback, the parties are encouraged to discuss these differences and seek common understanding of the issues. As a result of these discussions, the evaluator may choose to adjust the report but is not obligated to do so. The administrator being evaluated has the right to provide a statement identifying areas of concern with the goals/ objectives, evaluation period, feedback, and/or professional development plan, which may include the individual professional learning plan or a Structured Support Plan.

Any such matters will be handled as expeditiously as possible, and in no instance will a decision exceed 30 workdays from the date the administrator initiated the dispute resolution process. Confidentiality throughout the resolution process shall be conducted in accordance with the law.

Process

The educator being evaluated shall be entitled to collective bargaining representation at all levels of the process. Within three school days of articulating the dispute in writing to his/her/their evaluator, the leader being evaluated, and the evaluator will meet with the objective of resolving the matter informally.

Level One – Evaluator:

If a sincere effort has been made between the administrator and evaluator to settle the disagreement on an informal basis and the disagreement has not been resolved, the administrator shall present written notification to his/her supervisor that the Conflict Resolution Procedure is being implemented. This notification should specify the nature of the disagreement and the results of previous discussions. The Conflict Resolution Form is available for this purpose.

If the matter is not resolved within three (3) school days, the evaluator shall make a statement on the Conflict Resolution Form for use on Level Two.

Level Two – Assistant Superintendent (If not Evaluator)/Superintendent (If Evaluator is Assistant Superintendent)

The assistant superintendent shall confer with the evaluator and administrator. The assistant superintendent shall attempt to resolve the matter as quickly as possible by meeting with the administrator and evaluator within a period not to exceed three (3) school days. The administrator may request a change in evaluator. If the disagreement is not resolved to the administrator's satisfaction, the assistant superintendent shall make a statement on the Conflict Resolution Form for use on Level Three.

Level Three - Superintendent of Schools and/or Designee:

If the administrator is not satisfied with the decision at Level Two, or if no decision has been rendered according to the plan, the administrator may request in writing a review of concerns, documentation or process. This request shall be sent to the Office of the Superintendent and the President of the ELAA within 10 school days of the Level 2 meeting. The Superintendent and the President of the ELAA will meet with the administrator requesting review and the evaluator within 15 school days of the written request of the administrator. The Superintendent and the ELAA president will attempt to resolve the conflict by discussing options with the evaluator and the administrator. This process must be resolved by June 30th of the academic year the process was initiated.

If a resolution is not achieved through this process, the Superintendent of Schools and/or designee shall make the final decision. An evaluation is not subject to the grievance procedure in the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

The Role of the Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC)

The PDEC serves as the collaborative decision maker using the consensus protocol to create, revise, and monitor the evaluation and support model, as well as the professional learning plan.

Pursuant to Connecticut General Statute 10-220a and Public Act 23-159 Section 11 (b) (3), each local and regional board of education must establish a professional development and evaluation committee (PDEC) to include at least one teacher and one administrator, selected by the exclusive bargaining representative for certified employees, at least one paraeducator selected by their exclusive bargaining representative, and other personnel as the local board deems appropriate. It is vital that individuals selected as delegates for administrators, teachers, and other school personnel are representative of the various classifications within the groups.

The duties of PDECs shall include, but are not limited to:

- participation in the development or adoption of a teacher and administrator evaluation and support program for the district, pursuant to section 10-151b;
- the development, evaluation, and annual updating of a comprehensive local professional development plan for certified employees of the district; and
- the development and annual updating of a comprehensive local professional development plan for paraeducators of the district.

The educator and administrator evaluation and support program shall be developed through mutual agreement between the local or regional board of education and the PDEC. If the local or regional board of education and the PDEC are unable to come to mutual agreement, they shall consider the model educator and administrator evaluation and support program adopted by the State Board of Education and may, through mutual agreement, adopt such model educator and administrator evaluation and support programs.

If the local or regional board of education and the PDEC are unable to mutually agree on the adoption of the State Board of Education's model program, then the local or regional board of education shall adopt and implement an educator and administrator evaluation and support program developed by such board, provided that the program is consistent with the CT Guidelines 2023 adopted by the State Board of Education.

Local and State Reporting

The superintendent shall report:

- 1. The status of administrator evaluations to the local or regional board of education on or before June 1 of each year; and
- 2. The status of the implementation of the administrator evaluation and support program, including the frequency of evaluations, the number of administrators who have not been evaluated, and other requirements as determined by the Department of Education, to the Commissioner of Education on or before September 15 of each year.

For purposes of this section, the term "administrator" shall include each professional employee of a board of education, below the rank of superintendent, who holds a certificate or permit issued by the State Board of Education.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: ADMINISTRATORS BEGINNING OF YEAR GOAL SETTING

Goal #1 Student Learning Goal

	8	
Analysis	What does your building-based	
	data indicate in terms of student	
	learning needs? What does the	
	faculty in your	
	building/department need to	
	support student learning?	
Goal	Student Learning Goal	
Action Plan	What steps will you take to	
	achieve this goal?	
Improvement	How does this goal tie to the	
Plan(s)	school/district improvement	
	plan?	

Goal #2 Professional Learning Goal

dodi #2 i Toressional Learning dodi		
Analysis	What professional learning do you	
	need to support your growth as an	
	administrator?	
Goal	Professional Growth Goal	
Action Plan	What steps will you take to	
	achieve this goal? (Year 1, Year 2)	
Improvement	How does this goal tie to the	
Plan(s)	school/district improvement	
	plan?	

Goal Setting Conference

Coar setting conner enter	
Goal Approved	Yes No
Evaluator Comments	
Administrator Signature	
Evaluator Signature	
Date	

APPENDIX B: MIDYEAR REFLECTION

Goal	#1
V IV JOI	++ I

Reflection	Reflect on your progress towards this goal.	
Goal #2		
Reflection	Reflect on your progress towards this goal.	

Mid-Year Conference

			Tiered Support	Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3
Mid-\	ear Feed	back-Completed by Evalu	uator	
Commendations	Sing	le-Point Competency	Recommen	dations
Administrator Signature				
Evaluator Signature				
Date				

APPENDIX C: END OF YEAR REFLECTION

C	41
เรดล	#1

Reflection	Reflect on your progress towards this goal.	
Goal #2		
Reflection	Reflect on your progress towards this goal.	

End of Year Goal Conference

End of Year Goal Conference				
			Tiered Support	Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3
Mid-	Year Feedba	ck-Completed by Ev	valuator	
Commendations	Single-F	Point Competency	Recon	nmendations
Administrator Signature				
Evaluator Signature				
Date				

APPENDIX D: SITE VISIT FEEDBACK FORM

Administrator E	valuation Site Visi	t Feedback & Refl	ection Form	
Name:		Location:		
Administrator Role:		Administr	ator Goal/Observation Focus:	
All Adm	ninistrators: (Post-0	Conference Requi	red)	
Additional	Site Visit (Pre-/Po	st-Conference Op	tional)	
Pre-Site V	isit Form-Complet	ed by the Adminis	strator	
Meeting Plan and/or Context	Upload	and provide hype	erlink here, as appropriate	
	Pre-Conference	Questions		
What are your goals/intended outcomes for the activity or visit you are leading?				
What do you anticipate as potential challenges?				
What, in particular, are you looking to focus on?				
F	ost-Observation/	Visit Reflection		
	Completed by the	Administrator		
What aspects of the visit/observation do you feel went well?				
What areas do you think could be improved or approached differently next time?				
What are your next steps based on our visit today?				
Post-Observation/Visit Conference Feedback Completed by the Evaluator				
Commendations	Single-Point Completed by		Recommendations	

APPENDIX E: CORRECTIVE ACTION SUPPORT PLAN TEMPLATE

<u> </u>	
Evidence for the need for a Corrective	
Support Plan	

16

Objective of the Corrective Support Plan		
Suggested Resources		
Timeframes Length of Corrective Support Plan (typically 6-8 weeks) How will success be measured?		
Supportive Actions	Administrator Will:	Evaluator Will:
End of Plan Check-In	Was the administrator successful in meeting the outcomes? Y N	Next Steps:

APPENDIX F: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC & FEEDBACK FORM

Evaluation Commendations:

STANDARD 1 M	IISSION, VISION, AND CORE VALUES
	d enact a shared mission, vision, and core values of high-quality
education and academic success and well-being of e	
Self-Reflection:	ach stadent.
Sen renection.	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
	THICS AND PROFESSIONAL NORMS
·	ding to professional norms to promote each student's academic success
and well-being	
Self-Reflection:	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
	<u></u>
STANDARD 3. EQU	JITY AND CULTURAL RESPONSIVENESS
	ucational opportunity and culturally responsive practices to promote
each student's academic success and well-being	reactional opportunity and culturally responsive practices to promote
Self-Reflection:	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
STANDARD 4. CURRIC	CULUM, INSTRUCTION, AND ASSESSMENT
1	ntellectually rigorous and coherent systems of curriculum, instruction,
and assessment to promote each student's academ	ic success and well-being.
Self-Reflection:	
	F
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
STANDARD 5 COMMUN	NITY OF CARE AND SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS
	caring, and supportive school community that promotes the academic
success and well-being of each student.	caring, and supportive school community that promotes the academic
Self-Reflection:	18
Jen-Kenection.	

Evaluator Recommendations:

STANDARD (6. PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY OF SCHOOL PERSONNEL
Effective educational leaders develop the	professional capacity and practice of school personnel to promote each student's
academic success and well-being	
Self-Reflection:	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
STANDARD 7. F	PROFESSIONAL COMMUNITY FOR TEACHERS AND STAFF
Effective educational leaders foster a prof	fessional community of teachers and other professional staff to promote each
student's academic success and well-being	g.
Self-Reflection:	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
STANDARD 8 ME	EANINGFUL ENGAGEMENT OF FAMILIES AND COMMUNITY
	lies and the community in meaningful, reciprocal, and mutually beneficial ways to
promote each student's academic success	
Self-Reflection:	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
Evaluation commendations:	Evaluation recommendations.
	NDARD 9. OPERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT
I.	ool operations and resources to promote each student's academic success and well
being. Self-Reflection:	
Jen-Kenedion.	
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:
	CTANDADD 40 COULOU IMPDOVEMENT
	STANDARD 10. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT
Effective educational leaders act as agents well-being.	s of continuous improvement to promote each student's academic success and
Self-Reflection:	
	19
Evaluation Commendations:	Evaluator Recommendations:

APPENDIX G: DISPUTE RESOLUTION FORM

Superintendent and/or Designee Name:

Level One:		
Administrator Name:		
Evaluator Name:		
Date:		
In the space below, please specify	the nature of the disagree iss	ment and the results of previous discussions to resolve this
Meeting Date		
Conflict Resolved?	Yes	No
Statement by evaluator of the outco	ome of the meeting:	
~		
Signatures attest that the conflict ha	as been read by the follow	ving and discussed:
A 1		
Administrator's Signature		
Supervisor's Signature		
Must be filed with a level two repr Administrator Name:	esentative within 3 days	s of the level one meeting.
Evaluator Name:		
Level Two Representative Names		
Date:	•	
Date.		
In the space below, please specify	the nature of the disagree iss	ment and the results of previous discussions to resolve this
Meeting Date:		
Conflict Resolved?	Yes	No
Statement by Level 2 Representative		1.10
statement by Level 2 Iteliasenaar		
Signatures attest that the conflict ha	as been read by the follow	ving and discussed:
		1
Administrator's Signature		
Supervisor's Signature		
Level Two Signature		
evel Three:		
Must be filed with the Superintend	dent and/or Designee wi	thin 15 school days of the level one meeting. 2
A description of the DV		
Administrator Name:		
Evaluator Name:		

Date:			
In the space below, please spe	ecify the nature of the disagreen		of previous discussions to resolve this
	_		
Meeting Date:			
Conflict Resolved?	Yes		No
Statement by Superintendent a	and/or Designee:		
Signatures attest that the conf	lict has been read by the following	ing and discussed:	
Administrator's Signature			
Supervisor's Signature			
Superintendent and/or Design	iee		
Union Representation			
Other			

Appendix E: Glossary of Professional Learning Opportunities – Leader

High quality professional learning enhances both leader practice and outcomes for each and every educator and student. High quality professional learning integrates research on effective adult learning and uses interactive, flexible designs to achieve intended outcomes.

advanced coursework: Courses offered at a college, university, or other institution, in person or online, which further educator skills and/or provide professional training.

case study: A team that engages in a case study using information in a student's cumulative folder or other documented information with the intention of determining next steps, i.e., IEP review or attendance records.

coaching: A process based on trust in which professional colleagues work together to reflect on current practices; expand, refine, and build new skills; share ideas; teach one another; conduct classroom research; or solve problems.

examination of student work: Individuals or groups of educators review samples of work from various students. They identify strengths, areas for improvement, and design instructional plans as a result of the examination.

job-embedded: Any activity that is tied in with authentic classroom practice. May include, but is not limited to:

- Examining student data
- Mentoring
- Book study (see below)
- Co-planning
- Investigating print and online resources
- Self-reflection
- Visitations/observations within a school

mentoring: A relationship between a less experienced educator and a more experienced mentor, in which the mentor provides guidance and feedback regarding practice.

peer observation: An opportunity for administrators to observe each other during classroom instruction. Administrators may want to observe peers to see a new teaching strategy in action, learn a new model of instruction, or analyze classroom processes and procedures.

personal professional reading: Individual, self-driven reading and processing of texts in order to improve one's own teaching practice.

professional literature study: Structures and collaborative processes in which individuals or groups of professionals engage in the examination and discussion of a relevant and informative text. The purpose of this study is to promote continuous learning, professional development, and the exchange of ideas and best practices within a specific field or industry. By engaging in a professional book study, individuals can deepen their understanding of key concepts, stay current in their field, and enhance their ability to apply new knowledge to their professional practice. This collaborative and structured approach to learning helps foster a culture of continuous improvement and professional growth within a community of practitioners.

protocols: A learning tool that is rule-based. Often implemented to aid in new learning for groups or individuals. May include article discussions, case studies, book reviews, and other procedures used in its workshops and other learning designs.

school visits: Observation of practice or teaching at a different school or institution to gain new knowledge, ideas, or activities.

student shadow: Follow a particular student during the academic day for a designated time, for a particular identified purpose, i.e., engagement.

walkthroughs: A team of administrators who visit classrooms to find evidence for a particular problem of practice. This evidence is reviewed, and next steps are determined as a result of this practice.

web-based learning: Use of online resources or learning activities to develop new learning or techniques for the classroom.

workshops: Meetings where participants are involved in group discussions or learning experiences and are normally organized around one or more theme areas. Workshops allow participants with differing values and priorities to build a common understanding of the problems and opportunities confronting them. May take place at school or outside.

East Lyme Public Schools Educator Evaluation and Support Plan



SUPERINTENDENT

Mr. Jeffrey Newton

ELPS BOE MEMBERS	ELPS PDEC MEMBERS
MEMBERS	
Eric Bauman (Chairperson)	Shayne Anderson- Assistant Principal
Jaime Barr Shelburn	Laura Ashburn- High School Teacher
(Secretary)	Amy Bogert- Elementary Paraeducator
Alisa Bradley	Lauren Ennis- Middle School Teacher
Jill Carini	Kimberly Davis- Director of Student Services
William Derry	Grace DeGrooth- Elementary Teacher
Olivia Fairchild	Heather Delouchry- Elementary Teacher
Esteban Garcia	Timothy Dowd- High School Teacher
Leigh Gianakos	Gil Gallant- Elementary Instructional Coach
Vivek Purohit	Keryl Hallissey-Occupational Therapist
Catherine Steel	Laura Hanley- High School Teacher
	Mariah Jeffry-Meehan-High School Paraeducator
	Pietra McNamara- Middle School Paraeducator
	Michelle Palumbo-Elementary Special Education Teacher
	Shannon Saglio- Middle School Instructional Coach
	Danielle Schoman- Elementary Principal
	Julie Shvetz- High School Assistant Principal
	Annaliese Spaziano-Assistant Superintendent
	Diane Swan-Elementary Teacher

Table of Contents

NTRODUCTION	4
Purpose and Rationale of the Evaluation System	4
Core Design Principles	
Orientation to Administrator Evaluation & Support Plan	4
••	
ADMINISTRATOR EVALUATION SYSTEM	5
Standards & Criteria for Leaders	5
Goal Setting, Professional Practice, and Feedback	5
Growth Criteria	8
<u> Гiered Support & Corrective Support Planning</u>	9
Dispute Resolution	10
THE ROLE OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION COMMITTEE (PDEC)	11
Local and State Reporting	
	
Appendices	12
Appendix A: Educator Beginning of Year Goal Setting	
Appendix B: Educator Middle of the Year Check In	
Appendix C: Educator End of Year Reflection	
Appendix D: Observation Planning, Reflection & Feedback Form	
Appendix E: Lesson Plan Template	
Appendix F: Structured Support Plan	17
Appendix G: Dispute Resolution Forms	21
Appendix H: Glossary of Professional Learning Opportunities	23
Appendix I: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC-TEACHER	
Appendix J: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC-SPEECH & SOCIAL WORKER	
Appendix K: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC-SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST	

MISSION STATEMENT FOR EAST LYME PUBLIC SCHOOLS

East Lyme Public Schools (ELPS) will inspire, engage, and educate each student to become a contributing citizen and a responsible, independent, and critical thinker.

Introduction

The East Lyme Public School's educator evaluation and support plan is designed to help each teacher strengthen his/her practice in order to improve student learning. The emphasis of this plan is on reflection and growth for all members of our learning community.

Purpose and Rationale of the Educator Evaluation and Support Plan

When teachers succeed, students succeed. Research has proven that no school-level factor matters more tostudents' success than high-quality teachers. To support our teachers, we need to:

- clearly define excellent practice and results;
- give accurate, useful information about teachers' strengths and development areas; and
- provide opportunities for growth and recognition.

Guiding Principles

The design of this teacher evaluation model is based on the following State of Connecticut guidelines and principles:

- Allow for differentiation of roles (for example for leaders: assistant superintendents, director of pupil services, various leaders in central office, principal, assistant principal; or for educators: teachers, counselors, instructional coaches, student support staff).
- Simplify and reduce the burden (eliminate technical challenges, paperwork, steps).
- **Focus on things that matter** (identify high leverage goal focus areas).
- Connect to best practices aimed at the development of the whole child (including, but not limited to, academic, social, emotional, and physical development).
- Focus on leader growth and agency (meaningfully engage professionals by focusing on growth and practice in partnership with others aligned to a strategic focus).
- **Meaningful connections to professional learning** (provide multiple pathways for participants to improve their own practice in a way that is meaningful and impactful).
- Specific, timely, accurate, actionable, and reciprocal feedback.

CT Guidelines for Leader Evaluation and Support

The design of the Connecticut Guidelines for Educator Evaluation and Support 2023 (CT Guidelines 2023) are representative of research-based effective practice and include six elements.

- Standards and criteria
- Goal setting process
- Professional practice and educator growth
- Evaluator/observer/stakeholder feedback and engagement
- Process elements
- Dispute resolution

Orientation to the Educator Evaluation & Support Process

Orientation on the educator evaluation and support process shall take place prior to the start of the process, no later than October 1st. The orientation shall include:

- High leverage goal setting and professional learning plans
- Use of rubrics and standards
- Observation of practice/Review of practice
- Tiered supports
- Dispute resolution

Annual training for evaluators as required by C.G.S. 10-151b will include engaging in and providing reciprocal feedback tied to standards and evidence of professional practice.

Standards and Criteria for Educators

The primary goal of the educator evaluation and support system is to strengthen individual pedagogy and collective practices to increase student learning, growth, and achievement. Educator practice discussions are based on a set of national or state performance standards set by professional organizations and mutually agreed upon by the PDEC. The following professional practice standards ground this model's framework. The ELPS PDEC has a process to review the standards and ensure a single point competency rubric accompanies the standards. The rubric serves as support for self- evaluation, dialogue, and feedback. Self-Reflection and Annual Summary are based on agreed upon standards and goals.

NASP Professional Standards

NSWS Professional Standards

OTR Standards

ASCA School Counseling Standards

CCT Rubric for Effective Teaching 2017

CCT Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017

Learning Forward's Professional Learning Standards (2022)

The Continuous Learning Process: Goal Setting, Professional Practice and Evaluator Feedback and Engagement

The evaluation and support model is designed as a continuous learning process. The goal of the continuous learning process is to provide educators with ongoing learning opportunities for professional growth through self-directed analysis and reflection, planning, implementation, and collaboration. Regular dialogue and feedback, coupled with the opportunity to reflect on and advance practice, drive the continuous learning process. In this process, the educator serves as the learner who actively engages in and directs their learning and feedback. The evaluator serves as a learning partner who supports the educator through the learning and growth process. Within the process, the educator collaborates and serves as a reflective practitioner to determine mutually agreed upon educator goals, professional practice and educator growth, and observation and feedback focus.

During each school year, a minimum of two check-ins provide an opportunity for a reciprocal discussion of what is happening in the classroom or school, a sharing of evidence of professional learning and impact on growth, and identification of needs and mutually agreed upon next steps. The meetings are approached in a spirit of continuous improvement, reflection, and collaboration. Dialogue is important, however, there must be a balance of written and verbal feedback provided between check-ins based on observations and reviews of practice as required by the district plan.

Below is a graphic with the associated steps, reflections, and linked resources associated with each step of the process to assist educators and evaluators through the process. All educators are assigned a primary evaluator (092) who has completed comprehensive orientation on this model and relevant rubric.

Goal Setting & Conferences Overview

Beginning of the Year Goal Setting & Planning

- Self-Reflect utilizing the single point competency rubric and/or professional standards
- Review Classroom Evidence
- Determine if you are working individually or with a group

Goals/Rational, Alignment, & Action Plans

- Set one student learning goal (can be 1, 2 or 3-year goal)
- Set one professional development goal (can be 1, 2, or 3 year goal)
- Develop action steps for each goal

Goal Setting Conferences

- Beginning of the Year Conference:
 - o Must be completed by mid-November
 - o Can be done individually or as a group
- *Mid-Year Conference:*
 - o Must be completed by end of February
 - o Can be done individually or as a group
 - o Option for teachers in professional cohort upon mutual agreement between teacher and administrator
 - o Review and discuss currently collected evidence towards student goal and professional learning goal
 - o Discuss evidence, reflection, and feedback from evaluator

- o Adjust and revise as needed
- This conference is optional for educators in the professional cohort; however, mid-year reflections and feedback must be submitted via our electronic portal.
- End of Year Conference:
 - o Must be completed by first week in June
 - o Must be done individually
 - o Review and discuss currently collected evidence towards student goal and professional learning goal
 - o Discuss evidence, reflection, and feedback from evaluator
 - o Evaluator provides written summative feedback, and guides next steps
 - o Annual Summary Sign-Off

Goal Setting (Completed by mid-November)

The initial goal setting meeting includes a dialogue between the educator and their evaluator around the educator's initial self-reflection, which is based on a review of evidence and an analysis of their classroom/caseload and their own practice. Educators are required to set two goals. These goals need to be mutually agreed upon and can be one, two-, or three-year goals:

- 3. <u>Student Learning Goal</u>: This goal should relate to the needs of our student population.
- 4. <u>Professional Learning Goal</u>: This goal should be related to a teacher's individualized professional learning needs. The purpose of this goal is to identify and support an area for educator practice and growth and student learning, growth, and achievement.

The educator and evaluator come to mutual agreement on high leverage professional practice, multiple measures of evidence (at least two measures), and action steps. Goal setting includes mutual agreement on high leverage professional practice goals, including multiple measures of student learning, growth & achievement. Goals and feedback are based on evidence, observations, and artifacts of professional practice aligned to agreed upon standards.

For beginning educators in the Teacher Education and Mentoring (TEAM) Program, consideration for alignment between professional learning and their TEAM modules would enhance their learning and practice and is highly recommended.

Midyear Check-in (Completed by end of February):

The midyear check-in consists of reciprocal dialogue between the educator and evaluator and includes an educator's self-reflection on their progress toward their goal(s) so far. The reflection shall include an analysis of the impact of their learning on their practice, student learning, growth and achievement and the school community.

- Educators self-reflect and review multiple and varied qualitative and quantitative indicators of evidence of impact on educator's goals: student learning & professional growth
- The evaluator provides specific, standards-based feedback related to the educator's goal. Observation feedback and evidence aligned to the single point rubric.
- The midyear conversation is a progress check-in. The midyear check-in provides an opportunity to discuss evidence, learning, and next steps. It is at this point that revisions to the educator's goal(s) may be considered based on multiple measures of evidence.

• Educators in the professional cohort can choose to have an in person mid-year meeting, or simply submit their reflections via our electronic portal. This would be mutually determined by both the educator and evaluator.

End-of-Year Reflection/Summative Review (May 1st through the last day of school)

End-of-year reflection provides an opportunity for the educator and evaluator to engage in reciprocal dialogue, similar to the midyear check-in, to discuss progress toward the agreed upon educator's standards and goals. A written end-of-year summary includes the impact of new learning on educator practice and growth, impact on student learning, growth and achievement, school community, strengths and concerns, and possible next steps for the upcoming year. Analysis of evidence from the end-of-year summary is important for the educator's subsequent self-assessment and goal setting revisions or new goal.

The evaluator provides a concise summary based upon evidence related to the mutually agreed upon educator goal(s) and identified standards and will make a distinction regarding the educator's successful completion of the professional learning process. Feedback will be provided on the single point competency rubric specific to that educators' role and responsibilities.

Observation of Professional Practice and Feedback

Observations occur throughout the continuous learning process. The identified high leverage goal(s) provides a focus for strategic evidence collection and feedback. Evaluators provide educators with specific feedback based on evidence, standards, and the educator's goal; ensure timely access to planned support(s); and continue to collect evidence of educator practice and progress toward goal(s) through multiple sources of evidence, including observation. Feedback, written or verbal, is provided within five school days.

"Feedback is defined as a dynamic, dialogic process that uses evidence to engage a learner, internally or with a learning partner, in constructing knowledge about practice and self. Its primary purpose is learning that guides change" (Killion, 2019).

Quality feedback:

- Is based on multiple and varied quantitative and qualitative indicators of evidence, standards, and goal(s)
- Is personalized
- Is learning-focused or growth-oriented
- Provides questions for reflection to refine or revise strategies
- Expands understanding of one's experiences and their implications for future experiences
- Provides reflective opportunities to rework, refine, and reorder knowledge, attitudes, skills, and/ or practices
- Is timely, frequent and reciprocal

Definition of Cohorts

Initial/Provisional

Who:

- New to profession (first 4 years)
- New to LEA/Fast Track (tenured in former district/first 2 years)

What:

- Two formal observations* of Professional Practice (minimum 45 minutes in length) with pre and post meetings. Verbal and written feedback within five school days.
- Additional observations of professional practice as mutually agreed upon or deemed necessary by evaluator.

*One formal observation of professional practice may be substituted for an information observation upon mutual agreement

Professional

Who:

• Educators who have successfully completed initial/provisional in their current LEA

What:

- Two informal observations of Professional Practice (minimum 30 minutes in length) with written feedback within five school days. Post conferences may be requested by either party for any observation.
- Additional observations of professional practice as mutually agreed upon or deemed necessary by evaluator.

Growth Criteria

An educator is determined to have successfully completed the learning process by demonstrating:

- Reflection supported with evidence of the impact of the educators' new learning on their practice/goal.
- The impact the educators' new learning and practice had on student learning, growth, and/or achievement, supported by evidence.
- Next steps

Tiered Support

All educators require access to high-quality, targeted professional learning support to improve practice over time. Educators and their evaluators thoughtfully consider and apply three tiers of support, as appropriate, within an evaluation process. All three tiers of support must be implemented prior to developing a Structured Support Plan.

A pattern of persistent lack of growth and reflection or resistance to growth-oriented feedback should lead to advancing levels of support with a defined process for placing an educator on a Corrective Support Plan with indicators of success for transitioning out of it. Evaluators must use and document all three tiers of support before developing a Corrective Support Plan. The Corrective Support Plan shall be developed in consultation with the evaluator, educator, and their exclusive bargaining representative if applicable.

Tier 1

All educators should consistently access opportunities for professional growth in their district. Tier 1 supports are broadly accessible professional learning opportunities for all, inclusive of, but not limited to, collegial professional

conversations, classroom visits, available district resources (e.g., books, articles, videos etc.), formal professional learning opportunities developed and designed by district PDEC, and other general support for all educators (e.g., instructional coaching). These resources should be identified through a goal setting process by mutual agreement.

Tier 2

In addition to Tier 1, Tier 2 supports are more intensive in duration, frequency, and focus (e.g., engaging in a professional learning opportunity, observation of specific classroom practices, etc.) that can be either suggested by the educator and/or recommended by an evaluator.

Tier 3

In addition to Tier 1 and Tier 2, Tier 3 supports are responsive to unresolved, previously discussed concerns and are developed in collaboration with the educator and may be assigned by the evaluator. Tier 3 supports have clearly articulated areas of focus, duration of time, and criteria for success, and may include a decision to move to a Corrective Support Plan. Tier 3 supports shall be developed in consultation with the evaluator, educator, and their exclusive bargaining representative for certified educators chosen pursuant to C.G.S. §10-153b. The start date and duration of time an educator is receiving this level of support should be clearly documented.

Structured Support Plan

A pattern of persistent lack of growth and reflection or resistance to growth-oriented feedback should lead to advancing levels of support with a defined process for placing an educator on a Structured Support Plan with indicators of success for transitioning out of it. Evaluators must use and document all three tiers of support before developing a Structured Support Plan. The Corrective Support Plan shall be developed in consultation with the educator and their exclusive bargaining representative for certified teachers chosen pursuant to C.G.S. §10-153b. The structured support plan is separate from the normal educator growth model. The corrective support plan must include:

- Clear objectives specific to the well documented area of concern;
- Resources, support, and interventions to address areas of concern;
- Timeframes for implementing the resources, support and interventions; and
- Supportive actions from the evaluator

Dispute Resolution

Days shall mean workdays. Both parties may agree, however, to meet during breaks at mutually agreed upon times.

The purpose of the dispute resolution process is to secure at the lowest possible administrative level equitable solutions to disagreements, which from time to time may arise related to the evaluation process. The right of appeal is available to all in the evaluation and support system. As our evaluation and support system is designed to ensure continuous, constructive, and cooperative processes among professional educators, educators/leaders and their evaluators are encouraged to resolve disagreements informally.

This process provides a method of impartial adjudication for:

- Disagreements regarding mutual goal setting
- Evaluator feedback on performance and/or practice

- Final summative report
- Interpretation of the supervision/evaluation document and/or articulated timelines

Ultimately, should an educator disagree with the evaluator's assessment and feedback, the parties are encouraged to discuss these differences and seek common understanding of the issues. As a result of these discussions, the evaluator may choose to adjust the report but is not obligated to do so. The educator being evaluated has the right to provide a statement identifying areas of concern with the goals/ objectives, evaluation period, feedback, and/or professional development plan, which may include the individual professional learning plan or a Structured Support Plan.

Any such matters will be handled as expeditiously as possible, and in no instance will a decision exceed 30 workdays from the date the educator initiated the dispute resolution process. Confidentiality throughout the resolution process shall be conducted in accordance with the law.

Process

The educator being evaluated shall be entitled to collective bargaining representation at all levels of the process. Within three school days of articulating the dispute in writing to his/her/their evaluator, the educator being evaluated, and the evaluator will meet with the objective of resolving the matter informally.

Level One – Evaluator:

If a sincere effort has been made between the teacher and evaluator to settle the disagreement on an informal basis and the disagreement has not been resolved, the teacher shall present written notification to his/her supervisor that the Conflict Resolution Procedure is being implemented. This notification should specify the nature of the disagreement and the results of previous discussions. The Conflict Resolution Form is available for this purpose.

If the matter is not resolved within three (3) school days, the evaluator shall make a statement on the Conflict Resolution Form for use on Level Two.

Level Two – Principal (If not Evaluator)/Assistant Superintendent (If Evaluator is Principal)

The principal shall confer with the evaluator and teacher. The principal shall attempt to resolve the matter as quickly as possible by meeting with the teacher and evaluator within a period not to exceed three (3) school days. The teacher may request a change in evaluator. If the disagreement is not resolved to the teacher's satisfaction, the principal shall make a statement on the Conflict Resolution Form for use on Level Three.

Level Three - Superintendent of Schools:

If the teacher is not satisfied with the decision at Level Two, or if no decision has been rendered according to the plan, the teacher may request in writing a review of concerns, documentation or process. This request shall be sent to the Office of the Superintendent and the President of the ELTA within 10 school days of the Level 2 meeting. The Superintendent and the President of the ELTA will meet with the teacher requesting review and the evaluator within 15 school days of the written request of the teacher. The Superintendent and the ELTA president will attempt to resolve the conflict by discussing options with the evaluator and the teacher. This process must be resolved by June 30th of the academic year the process was initiated.

If a resolution is not achieved through this process, the Superintendent of Schools shall make the final decision. An evaluation is not subject to the grievance procedure in the Collective Bargaining Agreement.

The Role of the Professional Development and Evaluation Committee (PDEC)

The PDEC serves as the collaborative decision maker using the consensus protocol to create, revise, and monitor the evaluation and support model, as well as the professional learning plan.

Pursuant to Connecticut General Statute 10-220a and Public Act 23-159 Section 11 (b) (3), each local and regional board of education must establish a professional development and evaluation committee (PDEC) to include at least one teacher and one administrator, selected by the exclusive bargaining representative for certified employees, at least one paraeducator selected by their exclusive bargaining representative, and other personnel as the local board deems appropriate. It is vital that individuals selected as delegates for administrators, teachers, and other school personnel are representative of the various classifications within the groups.

The duties of PDECs shall include, but are not limited to:

- participation in the development or adoption of a teacher evaluation and support program for the district, pursuant to section 10-151b;
- the development, evaluation, and annual updating of a comprehensive local professional development plan for certified employees of the district; and
- the development and annual updating of a comprehensive local professional development plan for paraeducators of the district.

The educator and leader evaluation and support program shall be developed through mutual agreement between the local or regional board of education and the PDEC. If the local or regional board of education and the PDEC are unable to come to mutual agreement, they shall consider the model educator and leader evaluation and support program adopted by the State Board of Education and may, through mutual agreement, adopt such model educator and leader evaluation and support programs.

If the local or regional board of education and the PDEC are unable to mutually agree on the adoption of the State Board of Education's model program, then the local or regional board of education shall adopt and implement an educator and leader evaluation and support program developed by such board, provided that the program is consistent with the CT Guidelines 2023 adopted by the State Board of Education.

Local and State Reporting

The superintendent shall report:

- 3. the status of teacher evaluations to the local or regional board of education on or before June 1 of each year; and
- 4. the status of the implementation of the teacher evaluation and support program, including the frequency of evaluations, the number of teachers who have not been evaluated, and other requirements as determined by the Department of Education, to the Commissioner of Education on or before September 15 of each year.

For purposes of this section, the term "teacher" shall include each professional employee of a board of education, below the rank of superintendent, who holds a certificate or permit issued by the State Board of Education.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: EDUCATOR BEGINNING OF YEAR GOAL SETTING

Goal #1 Student Learning Goal

OGGI II I GUGGETTE	Learning Goal	
Analysis	Describe your class/students/classroom learning community. Set the context for what you chose to work on this year and why. What do you see as the needs of your learners and/or families that you want to meet? Reflect on your initial data and collection of evidence to support your goal.	
Goal	Student Learning Goal	
Action Plan	What steps will you take to achieve this goal?	
Improvement Plan(s)	How does this goal tie to the school/district improvement plan?	

Goal #2 Professional Learning Goal

	onar zearring coar	
Analysis	What professional learning do you need	
	to support your growth as an	
	educator? Refer to your professional	
	standards to support self-reflection.	
Goal	Professional Learning Goal	
Action Plan	What steps will you take to achieve this	
	goal? (Year 1, Year 2)	
Improvement	How does this goal tie to the	
Plan(s)	school/district improvement plan?	

Goal Setting Conference

Goal Approved	Yes	No	Tiered Support	Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3
Evaluator Comments				
Educator Signature				
Evaluator Signature				
Date				

APPENDIX B: MIDYEAR REFLECTION

Goal #1 Student Learning Goal

Evaluator Signature

Date

Reflection	Reflect on your prog	gress				
	towards this goal.					
Goal #2 Professiona	al Learning Goal					
Reflection	Reflect on your prog	gress				
	towards this goal.					
Mid-Year Conference	ce c					
					Tiered Support	Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3
Evidence (of Strengths	Single-F	id-Year Feedback Point Competencies ted by the Evaluator	E	vidence for Grow	th and/or Next Steps
		Comple	ted by the Evaluator			
Educator Signature						

APPENDIX C: END OF YEAR REFLECTION

Goal #1	Student	Learning	Goal
OOui mi	Juduciii	LCarring	OGGI

Reflection	Reflect on your progress	
	towards this goal.	

Goal #2 Professional Learning Goal

Reflection	Reflect on your progress towards this goal.	
------------	---	--

End of Year Conference

Elia di Teal Collierence						
		Tiered S	Support	Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3		
	Mid	l-Year Feedback	(
Evidence of Strengths	Single-Point (Competencies	Evidence for	Growth and/or Next Steps		
	Completed by	the Evaluator				
Educator Signature						
Evaluator Signature						
Date						

APPENDIX D: OBSERVATION PLANNING, REFLECTION & FEEDBACK FORMS

Educator Evaluation Formal Observation Feedback Form				
Name:			Time/Location:	
Grade/Role:			Discipline/Focus:	
Initial/Provisional (Pre-/Post-Conference Required) Additional Observation of Professional Practice (Pre-/Post-Conference Optional)				
Comple	Pre-Obser ted by the Educato		equired)	
Lesson Plan/Meeting Plan	Upload and provide hyperlink here			
Pre-Conference Notes including the identified competency focus for the observation				
	Post-Observation Completed by			
What worked and how do you know?				
What didn't work and how do you know? What might be some shifts you make moving forward?				
What have you learned (about your practice and your learners based on what evidence) and how will you apply that learning in the future?	t			
Post-Observation Conference Feedback				
Evidence of Strengths	Single-Point Competencies Completed by the Evaluator Evidence for Growth and/or Next St			

Educator Evalu	iation Informal Obse	ervation Feedbac	k Form
Name:		Time/Location:	
Grade/Role:			Discipline/Focus:
	Post-Observation R Completed by the E		
What worked and how do you know?			
What didn't work and how do you know? What shifts might you make moving forward?			
What have you learned (about your practice and your learners based on what evidence) and how will you apply that learning in the future?			
Post-0	Observation Confere	ence Feedback	
Evidence of Strengths	Single-Point Co Completed by t		Evidence for Growth and/or Next Steps

APPENDIX E: LESSON PLAN TEMPLATE

LESSON PLAN TEMPLATE Explicit Instruction Lesson Template

Content Area/Topic(s):	Date:
Clear Objective	
State lesson's goal and your expectations	
clearly. Review prior skills and knowledge before	
instruction.	
Focus instruction on critical content.	
1 ocus moti detion on critical content.	
What Tier 2-3 vocabulary should I explicitly	
teach?	
Modeling	
Provide step-by-step demonstrations.	
Use clear, concise language. Use consistently.	
Provide a range of examples and non-	
examples.	
Teacher:	
Student(s):	
Guided Practice	
Provide guided and supported practice (group/1:1).	
Provide distributed and cumulative practice.	
r rovide distributed and cumulative practice.	
Teacher:	
Student(s):	
Supporting Practices	
Require frequent responses.	
Monitor student performance closely.	
Provide immediate, corrective feedback.	
Teacher:	
Student(s):	
Independent Practice	
(rotations, centers, skill practice to include)	
Formative Assessment Anecdotal Notes	
Individual Item(s) from Independent Practice	
Exit Slip	
LAIL SIIP	
Closing/Extensions	

APPENDIX F: STRUCTURED SUPPORT PLAN TEMPLATE

Plan

Tier 2 / Tier 3

Evidence for the need for a Corrective Support

Objective of the Corrective Support Plan		
Suggested Resources		
Timeframes Length of Corrective Support Plan (typically 6-8 weeks) How will success be measured?		
Supportive Actions	Educator Will:	Evaluator Will:
APPENDIX G: DISPUTE RESOLUTION FORM	Was the educator successful in meeting the outcomes? Y N	Next Steps:
Level One:		
Educator Name:		
Evaluator Name:		
Date:		

In the space below, please sp	pecify the nature of the disagre	eement and the results of previous discussions to resolve this issue
· · · · ·		•
Maating Data		
Meeting Date Conflict Resolved?	Yes	No
Statement by evaluator of the		INO
Statement by evaluator of the	butcome of the meeting:	
Signatures attest that the confl	ict has been read by the follow	ving and discussed:
5	J	8
Educator's Signature		
Supervisor's Signature		
Level Two:		
Must be filed with a level two	representative within 3 days	s of the level one meeting.
D N		
Educator Name:		
Evaluator Name: Level Two Representative N	amai	
Date:	ame:	
Date.		
In the space below, please sr	pecify the nature of the disagre	eement and the results of previous discussions to resolve this issue
71 1		1
Meeting Date:	<u> </u>	
Conflict Resolved?	Yes	No
Statement by Level 2 Represen	ntative:	
C'anatana attact da at da a an C		
Signatures attest that the confl	ict has been read by the follow	ving and discussed:
Educator's Signature		
Supervisor's Signature		
Level Two Signature		
Devel 1 we signature		
Level Three:		
Must be filed with the Superin	ntendent and/or Designee wi	thin 15 school days of the level one meeting.
Administrator Name:		
Evaluator Name:		
Superintendent and/or Desig	gnee Name:	
Date:		
		22

In the space below, please sp	pecify the nature of the disagree	ement and the results of	previous discussions to resolve this issue
M. C. D.	T		
Meeting Date:	<u> </u>		
Conflict Resolved?	Yes		No
Statement by Superintendent a	and/or Designee:		
•			
Signatures attest that the confl	ict has been read by the follow	ing and discussed:	
Administrator's Signature			
Supervisor's Signature			
Superintendent and/or Designo	ee		
Union Representation			
Other	·		·

Appendix H: Glossary of Professional Learning Opportunities – Teacher

High quality professional learning enhances both leader practice and outcomes for each and every educator and student. High quality professional learning integrates research on effective adult learning and uses interactive, flexible designs to achieve intended outcomes.

advanced coursework: Courses offered at a college, university, or other institution, in person or online, which further educator skills and/or provide professional training.

case study: A team that engages in a case study using information in a student's cumulative folder or other documented information with the intention of determining next steps, i.e., IEP review or attendance records.

coaching: A process based on trust in which professional colleagues work together to reflect on current practices; expand, refine, and build new skills; share ideas; teach one another; conduct classroom research; or solve problems.

examination of student work: Individuals or groups of educators review samples of work from various students. They identify strengths, areas for improvement, and design instructional plans as a result of the examination.

job-embedded: Any activity that is tied in with authentic classroom practice. May include, but is not limited to:

- Examining student data
- Mentoring
- Book study (see below)
- Co-planning
- Investigating print and online resources
- Self-reflection
- Visitations/observations within a school

mentoring: A relationship between a less experienced educator and a more experienced mentor, in which the mentor provides guidance and feedback regarding practice.

peer observation: An opportunity for teachers to observe each other during classroom instruction. Teachers may want to observe peers to see a new teaching strategy in action, learn a new model of instruction, or analyze classroom processes and procedures.

personal professional reading: Individual, self-driven reading and processing of texts in order to improve one's own teaching practice.

professional literature study: Structures and collaborative processes in which individuals or groups of professionals engage in the examination and discussion of a relevant and informative text. The purpose of this study is to promote continuous learning, professional development, and the exchange of ideas and best practices within a specific field or industry. By engaging in a professional book study, individuals can deepen their understanding of key concepts, stay current in their field, and enhance their ability to apply

new knowledge to their professional practice. This collaborative and structured approach to learning helps foster a culture of continuous improvement and professional growth within a community of practitioners.

protocols: A learning tool that is rule-based. Often implemented to aid in new learning for groups or individuals. May include article discussions, case studies, book reviews, and other procedures used in its workshops and other learning designs.

school visits: Observation of practice or teaching at a different school or institution to gain new knowledge, ideas,

or activities.

student shadow: Follow a particular student during the academic day for a designated time, for a particular identified purpose, i.e., engagement.

walkthroughs: A team of leaders who visit classrooms to find evidence for a particular problem of practice. This evidence is reviewed, and next steps are determined as a result of this practice.

web-based learning: Use of online resources or learning activities to develop new learning or techniques for the classroom.

workshops: Meetings where participants are involved in group discussions or learning experiences and are normally organized around one or more theme areas. Workshops allow participants with differing values and priorities to build a common understanding of the problems and opportunities confronting them. May take place at school or outside.

Appendix I: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC-TEACHER

Domain 1: Classroom Environment, Student Engagement and Commitment to Learning		
Recommendations	Competency	Commendations
	Establishes and manages routines and transitions resulting in	
	maximized instructional time and classroom management.	
	Uses room arrangement, materials, and displays to create an inviting	
	climate and maximize student learning.	
	Interactions between teacher and students are positive and respectful	
	and the teacher regularly promotes positive social interactions among	
	students.	
	Establishes a learning environment that is respectful of students'	
	cultural, social and/or developmental differences.	
	Creates a learning environment in which most students are willing to	
	take risks and respond to questions and challenges and feel safe to	
	make and learn from mistakes.	
	Establishes and reinforces appropriate expectations for learning for all	
	students, which are reinforced, resulting in little to no interference with	
	student learning.	
	Teaches, models, and/or positively reinforces social skills and/or builds	
	students' capacity to self-regulate and take responsibility for their	
	actions.	
	Domain 2: Planning for Active Learning	
	Plans content that directly addresses Connecticut Core Standards and/or	
	other appropriate content standards and curriculum.	
	Uses multiple sources of appropriate data to determine individual	
	students' prior knowledge and skills to plan targeted, purposeful	
	instruction that advances the learning of students.	
	Plans instruction that integrates literacy strategies that incorporate	
	academic vocabulary.	
	Plans for a high level of student–teacher interaction via the use of	
	questioning (i.e., oral responses, written responses, or action responses)	
	that helps students focus on the lesson content, provides opportunities	
	for student elaboration, assists in checking for understanding, and	
	keeps students active and attentive.	
	Selects or designs instructional strategies, tasks, and questions that	
	promote student cognitive engagement.	
	Plans lessons that are logically sequenced and support an appropriate	
	level of challenge using differentiated instruction.	
	Selects or designs resources and/or flexible groupings that cognitively	
	engage students and support connections between concepts.	
	Plan assessment strategies to check for understanding throughout the	
	lesson.	

Anticipates misconceptions, ambiguities, or challenges and plans ways
to address these.
Plans strategies to engage students in using assessment criteria to self- monitor and/or reflect upon their own progress.
Identifies opportunities for students to be involved in developing or
interpreting criteria for student success.
Domain 3: Instruction for Active Learning
Begins the lesson with a clear statement of the lesson's goals and
expectations. Clearly explains what is to be learned and why it is
important.
Communicates specific observable and measurable criteria for student
success.
Reviews prior skills and knowledge before beginning instruction.
Provides a review of relevant information. Verifies that students have the
prerequisite skills and knowledge to learn the skill being taught in the
lesson.
Focuses instruction on critical content. Teaches skills, strategies,
academic vocabulary terms, concepts and rules that will support student
learning in the future and match the students' instructional needs.
Uses explicit instruction strategies to model skills to support lesson
outcomes.
Uses clear and concise language that meets the needs of the students. (i.e. ELL, SpEd)
Provides an adequate range of examples and/or non-examples to establish
when and when not to apply a skill, strategy, concept, or rule.
Provides guided and supported practice.
Implements instruction that provides multiple opportunities for students to
develop independence as learners.
Employs differentiated strategies, that cognitively engage students in
constructing new and meaningful learning.
Provides opportunities for students to generate their own questions and
problem-solving strategies.
Uses resources and flexible groupings that cognitively engage students in
demonstrating new learning in multiple ways.
Delivers instruction at an appropriate pace to optimize instructional time.
Use a rate of presentation that is at an appropriate pace and includes a
reasonable amount of time for students' thinking/processing, especially
when they are learning new material.
Carefully monitors and listens to students' responses, to verify student
mastery as well as make timely adjustments in instruction.
Promotes students' self-monitoring and self-assessment to improve their
learning to plan for and check for understanding.
Provides immediate affirmative and corrective feedback that is accurate,
actionable and helps students advance their learning.

Adjusts instruction as necessary in response to individual and group
performance.
Provides opportunities for students to independently select strategies that
will be effective for them as individuals.
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership
Uses ongoing reflective practices to initiate professional dialogue with colleagues.
Engages in collective practices to address learning, school and
professional needs.
Uses supervisor or peer feedback to improve professional practices.
Engages in relevant professional learning and applies new learning to practice.
Collaborates with colleagues to improve teaching and learning.
Consistently exhibits professional responsibility and ethical practices in accordance with the Connecticut Code of Professional Responsibility for Teachers and Board of Education Policies.
Shares responsibility for grade level and schoolwide activities.
Contributes ideas, expertise, or leadership to the overall mission of the school.
Is accurate with documentation in a timely manner.
Performs designated duties and assignments.
Domain 5: Family & Community Outreach
Proactively communicates with families about learning expectations and student academic or behavioral performance.
Develops positive relationships with families to promote student success
while being respectful of family and community culture, values, and beliefs.
Responds promptly to parent concerns and makes parents feel welcome in the school.
Uses conferences and report cards to give parents feedback on their children's progress.

Adapted from the Connecticut Common Core of Teaching, 2017

Appendix J: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC-SOCIAL WORKER & SPEECH

Commendations	Competency	Recommendations
	Interactions between service provider and students are	
	consistently positive and respectful and the provider	
	regularly promotes positive social interactions among	
	students.	
	Maintains a learning environment that is consistently	
	respectful of all students' cultural, social and/ or	
	developmental differences.	
	Maintains a learning environment that is consistently	
	respectful of all students' cultural, social and/ or	
	developmental differences.	
	Creates and/or promotes a learning environment in	
	which most students are willing to take intellectual	
	risks.	
	Establishes and consistently reinforces high expectations	
	for learning for all students.	
	Establishes high standards of behavior, which are	
	consistently reinforced resulting in little or no	
	interference with student learning.	
	When necessary, explicitly teaches, models, and/or	
	positively reinforces social skills; routinely builds	
	students' capacity to self- regulate and take	
	responsibility for their actions.	
	Establishes routines and effectively manages transitions	
	resulting in maximized service delivery	
	Domain 2: PLANNING FOR ACTIVE LEARNING	
	Plans prevention/intervention that directly aligns with	
	appropriate Connecticut content standards and/or	
	discipline-specific state and national guidelines.	
	Plans prevention/intervention using evidence-based	
	practice, student need and appropriate level of	
	challenge.	
	Uses multiple sources of data to determine individual	
	students' prior knowledge and skills to plan targeted,	
	purposeful prevention/ intervention that advances the	
	learning of students.	
	Plans prevention/intervention that includes multiple	
	opportunities for students to connect to school setting	
	and larger world.	
	Plans instructional strategies, tasks and questions that	
	promote student cognitive engagement through problem-	
	solving, critical or creative thinking, discourse or	

inquiry-based learning and/or application to other
 situations.
Selects or designs resources and/or flexible groupings
that actively engage students in real world, global and/or
career connections that support new learning
Plans specific criteria for student success; and plans
opportunities for students to self-assess using the
criteria.
Plans assessment strategies to elicit specific evidence of
intended prevention/ intervention outcomes at critical
points throughout the prevention/intervention plan.
Domain 3: SERVICE DELIVERY
 Clearly communicates learning expectations to students
and sets a specific purpose for prevention/intervention
and helps students to see how the learning is aligned
with CT Core Standards, and/or discipline specific state
and national guidelines.
Prevention/intervention delivery demonstrates flexibility
and sensitivity to targeted outcomes
Clearly delivers prevention/intervention in a logical and
purposeful progression, is evidence-based, attentive to
student needs and at an appropriate level of challenge to
advance learning of all students.
Delivers prevention/intervention that consistently
integrates into the school setting and larger worlds.
Employs differentiated strategies, tasks and questions
that actively engage students in constructing new and
meaningful learning through appropriately integrated
disciplines pecific tools that promote problem solving,
critical and creative thinking, purposeful discourse
and/or inquiry.
Uses resources and flexible groupings that actively
engage students in demonstrating new learning in
multiple ways, including application of new learning to
make real world, career or global connections.
Implements prevention/intervention that provides
multiple opportunities for students to develop
independence as learners and share resp
Communicates specific criteria for success and provides
multiple opportunities for students to self-asses
Assesses student learning with focus on progress
towards the prevention/intervention in order to monitor
individual and group progress toward achievement of
the intended prevention/intervention outcomes.
menaca prevention morvention outcomes.

	Provides individualized, descriptive feedback that is
	accurate, actionable and helps students advance their
	learning.
	Adjusts delivery of prevention/intervention strategies as
	necessary in response to individual and group
	performance.
DOMAIN	4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND LEADERSHIP
	Self-evaluates and reflects on practice and the impact on
	learners; identifies areas for improvement and takes
	effective action to improve professional practice.
	Willingly accepts supervisor or peer feedback and
	recommendations and makes effective changes in
	practice.
	Engages in relevant professional learning and seeks
	opportunities to strengthen skills and apply new learning
	to practice.
	Collaborates with colleagues to improve service delivery
	and learning.
	Consistently exhibits professional responsibilities and
	ethical practices in accordance with the Connecticut
	Code of Professional Responsibility for Teachers.
	Records/data are complete, organized and accurate.
	Confidential information is stored in a secured location.
	Actively engages with colleagues, learners or families to
	develop and/or sustain a positive school climate.
	Proactively communicates with stakeholders and
	develops positive relationships with stakeholders to
	promote learner success.
	Interacts with stakeholders in a culturally responsive
	manner DOMAIN 5: FAMILY & COMMUNITY OUTREACH
	DOMAIN 5: FAMILY & COMMUNITY OUTREACH
	Proactively communicates with families about learning
	expectations and student academic or behavioral
	performance.
	Develops positive relationships with families to promote
	student success while being respectful of family and
	community culture, values, and beliefs.
	Responds promptly to parent concerns and makes
	parents feel welcome in the school.
	Uses conferences and report cards to give parents
	feedback on their children's progress.
Adapted from The Connection	t Core of Tagghay Pubric for Effective Sanvice Delivery 2017

Adapted from The Connecticut Core of Teacher, Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017

Appendix L: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC-SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST

Commendations	Competency	Recommendations
Domain 1	ENVIRONMENT, STUDENT ENGAGEMENT AND COMMITMENT T	O LEARNING
	Interactions between service provider and learners are	
	consistently positive and respectful. The provider consistently	
	promotes positive social interactions.	
	Establishes a learning environment that is consistently	
	respectful of learners' cultural, social and/or developmental	
	differences.	
	Consistently creates or promotes a learning environment in	
	which learners are willing to take risks, respond to questions	
	and challenges, and feel safe to make and learn from mistakes.	
	Establishes and consistently reinforces high and realistic	
	expectations for learning/growth and development.	
	Establishes appropriate standards of behavior that are	
	consistently reinforced, supporting a productive learning	
	environment.	
	Consistently teaches, models, or positively reinforces social skills	
	and builds learners' capacity to self-regulate and take	
	responsibility for their actions.	
	Implements and manages effective routines and transitions that	
	maximize service delivery time.	
	Domain 2: PLANNING FOR ACTIVE LEARNING	
	Facilitates design of curricula and/or programs to help students	
	develop effective behaviors, such as self-regulation and self-	
	monitoring, planning/organization, empathy, and healthy	
	decision-making.	
	Plans prevention/intervention using evidence-based practice,	
	student need and appropriate level of challenge.	
	Presents key, relevant assessment findings to students and	
	families in a clear, concise, non-technical, respectful manner,	
	and engages them in constructive conversation to promote	
	student learning and development.	
	Seeks and uses data to inform planning and delivery of services.	
	The school psychologist shares the data with others as	
	appropriate to enhance the services provided to students and	
	stakeholders.	
	Strategically selects from a variety of assessment methods to	
	assess student learning, behavior, and development to account	
	for student differences in culture, language, level of functioning,	
	and referral concerns.	
	Facilitates or assists with data collection to determine the	
	effectiveness of interventions devised in collaboration with	
	colleagues and/or of recommendations proposed in assessment	
	of students.	

Plans prevention/intervention that includes multiple	
opportunities for students to connect to school setting and	
larger world.	
Develops objectives that are targeted and specific to the needs	
of learners.	
Selects or designs plans that include strategies, tasks and	
questions that promote opportunities for active learner	
engagement.	
Selects or designs a variety of resources and/or flexible	
groupings that actively engage learners in demonstrating new	
learning.	
Uses knowledge of learners' abilities, developmental level,	
cultural, linguistic and/or experimental background to select	
and interpret assessment information.	
Identifies objective and measurable criteria for assessing learner	
success.	
Consistently uses a problem-solving process to effectively	
collaborate and consult with colleagues through shared	
planning, implementation and evaluation of appropriate and	
targeted academic, behavioral and social/emotional	
interventions at the individual, classroom, or school level.	
Domain 3: SERVICE DELIVERY	I .
Facilitates delivery of curricula and/or programs to help	
students develop effective behaviors, such as self-regulation	
and self-monitoring, planning/ organization, empathy, and	
healthy decision-making.	
Employs systematic decision-making to address the	
antecedents, consequences, functions, and potential causes of	
behavioral difficulties that may impede learning or	
socialization.	
Works with others to implement evidence-based routines and	
interventions that maintain a safe physical, social and intellectual environment where students take academic and	
pro-social risks and most behaviors that interfere with learning	
are addressed.	
Uses a variety of strategies to participate actively and	
appropriately in the school community through effective	
communication, collaboration and information sharing with	
students	
Delivers prevention/ intervention that consistently integrates	
into the school setting and larger worlds.	
Uses multiple resources to actively engage learners in new	
learning and facilitate connections between concepts and/or	
across settings.	
Employs differentiated strategies, tasks and questions that	
actively engage students in constructing new and meaningful	
learning through appropriately integrated discipline-specific	

İ	- 0	
	student success while being respectful of family and community	
	Develops positive relationships with families to promote	
	expectations and student academic or behavioral performance.	
	Proactively communicates with families about learning	
	DOMAIN 5: FAMILY & COMMUNITY OUTREACH	
	Interacts with stakeholders in a culturally responsive manner	
	success.	
	positive relationships with stakeholders to promote learner	
	Proactively communicates with stakeholders and develops	
	and/or sustain a positive school climate.	
	Actively engages with colleagues, learners or families to develop	
	Confidential information is stored in a secured location.	
	Records/data are complete, organized and accurate.	
	Professional Responsibility for Teachers.	
	practices in accordance with the Connecticut Code of	
	Consistently exhibits professional responsibilities and ethical	
	learning.	
	Collaborates with colleagues to improve service delivery and	
	practice.	
	opportunities to strengthen skills and apply new learning to	
	Engages in relevant professional learning and seeks	
	recommendations and makes effective changes in practice.	
	Willingly accepts supervisor or peer feedback and	
	action to improve professional practice.	
	Self-evaluates and reflects on practice and the impact on learners; identifies areas for improvement and takes effective	
	DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND LEADERSHIP	
	Adjusts to service delivery in response to learners' performance or engagement in tasks.	
	social/behavioral outcomes.	
	actionable, and supports the improvement toward academic or	
	Provides feedback that is specific, timely, accurate, and	
	the intended purpose/objective	
	critical points in order to assess progress toward achievement of	
	Monitors learning with focus on eliciting evidence of learning at	
	learner success.	
	Communicates specific observable and measurable criteria for	
	and challenges them to succeed.	
	supports the development of their mental and physical health;	
	students to identify their strengths, interests, and needs;	
	experiences for groups, classrooms and individuals that guide	
	In collaboration with others, consistently implements learning	
	thinking, purposeful discourse and/or inquiry.	
I	tools that promote problem-solving, critical and creative	

Responds promptly to parent concerns and makes parents feel welcome in the school.	
Uses conferences and report cards to give parents feedback on their children's progress.	

Adapted from The Connecticut Core of Teaching, Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017 and The Connecticut Core of Teaching, Evidence Guide Illustrative Examples of School Psychologists, 204

APPENDIX M: SINGLE POINT COMPETENCY RUBRIC- SCHOOL COUNSELOR

Commendations	Competency	Recommendations
Do	omain 1: Planning and Assessme	ent
	Create school counseling	
	program	
	beliefs, vision and mission	
	statements aligned with the	
	school	
	and district	
	Identify gaps in achievement,	
	attendance, discipline,	
	opportunity	
	and resources	
	Develop annual student	
	outcome	
	goals based on student data	
	Develop and implement action	
	plans aligned with annual	
	student	
	outcome goals and student	
	data	
	Assess and report program	
	results	
	to the school community	
	Use time appropriately	
	according	
	to national recommendations	
	and	
	student/school data	
	Establish agreement with the	
	principal and other	
	administrators	
	about the school counseling	
	program	
	Establish and convene an	
	advisory	
	council for the school	
	counseling	

	program			
	Use appropriate school			
	counselor			
	performance appraisal			
	process			
Domain 2: Direct and Indirect Student Services				
	Design and implement			
	instruction			
	aligned to ASCA Student			
	Standards:			
	Mindsets & Behaviors for			
	Student			
	Success in large-group,			
	classroom,			
	small-group and individual			
	settings			
	Provide appraisal and			
	advisement			
	in large-group, classroom,			
	small group and individual			
	settings			
	Provide short-term counseling			
	in			
	small-group and individual			
	settings			
	Make referrals to appropriate			
	school and community			
	resources			
	Consult to support student			
	achievement and success			
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities and Teacher Leadership				
	r	ľ		
	Self-evaluates and reflects on			
	practice and the impact on			
	learners; identifies areas for			
	improvement and takes effective			
	action to improve professional			

	practice.	
	Willingly accepts supervisor or	
	peer feedback and	
	recommendations and makes	
	effective changes in practice.	
	Engages in relevant professional	
	learning and seeks opportunities	
	to strengthen skills and apply	
	new learning to practice.	
	Collaborates with colleagues to	
	improve service delivery and	
	learning.	
	Consistently exhibits	
	professional responsibilities and	
	ethical practices in accordance	
	with the Connecticut Code of	
	Professional Responsibility for	
	Teachers.	
	Records/data are complete,	
	organized and accurate.	
	Confidential information is	
	stored in a secured location.	
	Actively engages with	
	colleagues, learners or families	
	to develop and/or sustain a	
	positive school climate.	
	Proactively communicates with	
	stakeholders and develops	
	positive relationships with	
	stakeholders to promote learner	
	success.	
	Interacts with stakeholders in a	
	culturally responsive manner	
Dom	ain 4: Family & Community Out	reach
	Proactively communicates with	
	families about learning	
	expectations and student	
	academic or behavioral	
	performance.	
	1	

Develops positive relationships	
with families to promote student	
success while being respectful of	
family and community culture,	
values, and beliefs.	
Responds promptly to parent	
concerns and makes parents feel	
welcome in the school.	

Adapted from ASCA School Counselor Professional Standards & Competencies & The Connecticut Core of Teaching, Rubric for Effective Service Delivery 2017