

Connecticut School Discipline Collaborative May 29, 2019 – 9:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. Connecticut State Department of Education 450 Columbus Blvd., Hartford Plaza Level Rooms C and D

Agenda

Meeting Objectives:

- To gain further insight into the science of implementation to improve outcomes.
- To use the Interest Work Groups to engage in deep discourse to determine:
 - The next steps to develop/revise **effective policies** to reduce racial and ethnic disproportionality in school discipline.
 - The next steps to provide **systems of support and practices** to reduce racial and ethnic disproportionality in school discipline.

Sign-in and Networking

Welcoming Remarks

• Dr. Dianna R. Wentzell, Commissioner of Education

Discipline Collaborative Updates

• Charlene Russell-Tucker, Chief Operating Officer, CSDE

Data Update: Your Questions Answered

• Keryn Felder, Education Consultant, CSDE Performance Office

Data Tool Launch: Connecticut Report Cards

• Ajit Gopalakrishnan, Chief Performance Officer, CSDE

Guest Speaker: *Effective Implementation – What does it take? Introduction to Implementation Science*

• Caryn Ward, Ph.D., University of North Carolina Chapel Hill

Interest Groups Next Steps

- Charlene Russell-Tucker, Chief Operating Officer, CSDE
 - o Discipline and Systems of Support
 - o Discipline and Racial Disproportionality
 - o Discipline Policy Development/Implementation
- Janet NY Zarchen, Consultant, State Education Resource Center (SERC)
 - o Activity
 - Sharing

Next Year

- Charlene Russell-Tucker, Chief Operating Officer, CSDE
 - o Next Meeting Date: Fall 2019

Purpose of the Connecticut School Discipline Collaborative

The Connecticut School Discipline Collaborative will:

- 1. Advise the State Department of Education on issues, policies and practices relating to school discipline;
- 2. Evaluate and recommend plans for statewide school discipline reform initiatives to the State Board of Education;
- Review effective practices carried out in Connecticut and other states to increase alternatives to exclusionary discipline and determine the feasibility of carrying out those practices in this state; and
- 4. Develop or identify tools for district and school personnel to implement alternatives to exclusionary discipline.