# Part A: Task Research Template

### Name:

Grade:	Task Title: Source:	Task Title: Source:				
Domain & Cluster	Content Standard(s)	Mathematical Practice(s)				
Domain: Cluster:		<ol> <li>Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.</li> <li>Reason abstractly and quantitatively.</li> <li>Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others.</li> </ol>				
		<ol> <li>Model with mathematics.</li> <li>Use appropriate tools strategically.</li> <li>Attend to precision.</li> <li>Look for and make use of structure.</li> <li>Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning.</li> </ol>				

Shifts of the Common Core State Standards					
Focus	Coherence	Rigor			
Find your grade here.	Wiring Document	Circle all that apply			
	Learning Trajectories				
*HS teachers, this does not apply.	http://www.corestandards.org/				
Major	Builds from	Conceptual Understanding			
		<ul> <li>Key words to look for in standards:</li> </ul>			
		Understand, Interpret, Recognize,			
		Describe, Explain			
Supporting	Connects to				
		Procedural Fluency			
		Key word to look for in the standards:     Eluently			
Additional	Builds up to…	Thionay			
		Application			
		• Key words to look for in standards: Solve			
		real-world and mathematical problems,			
		Apply			

# Part B: Task Analysis Template

The purpose of the Task Analysis tool is to support teachers in selecting worthwhile tasks. While a task may not meet every Criteria of a Worthwhile Task, teachers should use their judgment to determine if the task meets enough of the criteria to be a useful instructional task or if the task should be improved to better meet specific criteria.

Task Analysis						
Criteria of Worthwhile Task	teria of Worthwhile Task Ratin		ting		Notes on how to enhance or improve	
					the task	
1. Is grade-level appropriate	1	2	3	4		
Does the task align to the grade-level standard?						
2. Makes connections between concept and procedures	1	2	3	4		
What conceptual understandings are embedded in this task that						
students should takeaway as a result of doing this task?						
Does the task support students in understanding the concept(s)						
upon which a procedure is based?						
What misunderstandings or roadblocks may be surfaced by the						
task?	4					
3. Makes connections between different mathematical	1	2	3	4		
topics						
What other cluster(s) or standard(s) does the task directly						
connect or potentially connect to?	4			4		
4. Requires reasoning (nonalgorithmic thinking)	1	2	3	4		
Does the task require students to do more than just reproduce a						
procedure?						
what misunderstandings of roadblocks may be surfaced by the						
5. Connects to real situations that are familiar and	1	2	3	1		
5. Connects to real situations that are raminal and	1	2	3	4		
Deep the task connect methometical concents and procedures						
to their real world applications?						
What contextual features of the task must the students						
understand in order to successfully engage in the task?						
6. Is appropriately challenging and accessible (engages	1	2	3	4		
students' interests and intellect)	-	_	-	-		
What modifications or accommodations may need to be in						
place to support learning by all students (e.g., ELLs, students						
w/ IEPs or 504s as well as students whose understanding is						
beyond the task)?						
7. Provides multiple ways to demonstrate understanding	1	2	3	4		
of the mathematical concepts and procedures						
How might students solve the problem? What prior knowledge						
might they apply to the task?						
Is there more than one approach students could take to solve						
the task? Is there more than one solution to the task?						
8. Requires students to illustrate or explain mathematical	1	2	3	4		
ideas						
What representations could be used to model the mathematical						
concepts and procedures embedded in this task?						
How will students explain or justify their thinking?						

Adapted from Bay-Williams, J.M. McGatha, M., Kobbet, B., & Wray, J. (2014). *Mathematics Coaching: Resources and Tools for Coaches and Leaders, K-12*. Boston: Pearson

1 = The quality in the task is not evident, or it is not possible to address this quality with the task

2 = The quality is evident in minor ways or incorporating it is possible.

3 = The quality is evident in the task

4 = The quality is central to the task and is important to the success of the lesson.

## Part C: Task Rewrite Template

Created by:	
Original task Title / New task title	
Grade:	
Standard:	
Original Task:	

#### Rewritten or revised task

#### Questions to think about ...

- What features of the original task do you like?
- What is the mathematical content of the original problem?
- What aspects of the original task make the students think and struggle?
- What can be taken out or modified to create constructive struggling?
- What steps does the original task give the students that they could come up with on their own?
- Which of the 8 Standards for Mathematical Practice does the original task contain?
- What can we modify to make sure that the Standards for Mathematical Practice are included?
- Are the numbers in the original task purposeful, or could you change them to serve a specific purpose?
- What features of the original task could be changed or improved?
- What features could be added to the original task?
- What features could be deleted from the original task?
- How could you open up the original task so that there are multiple approaches or solutions?
- Is there a real-world context you could use that would give students a reason to solve this original task?
- How can you incorporate a feature that requires students to illustrate or explain their thinking?

#### Task extensions