



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Subcommittee Meeting
Wednesday December 27, 2023
9:00 A.M.
VIA ZOOM
AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER

ACTION ITEMS

1. Connecticut State Community College Police Department, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
2. Department of Consumer Protection Gaming Division, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
3. Department of Motor Vehicles Commercial Vehicle Safety Division, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
4. Essex Police Department, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
5. Mashantucket Police Department, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
6. Metropolitan District Commission Police Department, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
7. North Branford Police Department, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
8. Norwich Police Department, Tier I, POST-C State Accreditation.
9. Vernon Police Department, Tier III, POST-C State Accreditation.

INFORMATION ITEMS

OLD BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

1. CALEA LE 1 and CALEA Advanced agencies.
2. Extension requests.

ADJOURNMENT

ZOOM link reminder: Join Zoom Meeting <https://ctdespp.zoom.us/j/83235479594>

SKN:skn



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Connecticut State Community College Police Department - Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Connecticut State Community College Police Department has approximately twenty-four (24) sworn Police Officers and is under the command of Chief Chute. The agency is responsible for safety, law enforcement and emergency preparedness for Connecticut's twelve (12) community colleges across eighteen (18) locations, with about five thousand (5,000) employees and close to sixty thousand (60,000) credit and non-credit students each year.

This agency combined multiple police agencies from the state's community colleges into one police department and worked diligently to update and compile written directives into one standardized manual. A team of state assessors reviewed the files. The agency's files were found to be "in compliance" or "not applicable by function" with the standards set forth in the 2022 Accreditation Standards Manual, 6th edition.

On December 14th, 2023, state assessors met and completed an on-site visit and performed a physical inspection of the facility. The assessors concluded that the Connecticut State Community College Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POST-C Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Connecticut State Community College Police Department.

PM:skn

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Connecticut State Community College

Date of Onsite Visit: 12//14/2023

Accreditation Outcome: Met POSTC State Accreditation Tier I

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	NA
1.1.3	NA
1.1.4	NA
1.1.5	NA
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	20%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	NA
1.3.3	NA
1.3.4	NA
1.3.5	NA
1.3.6	NA
1.3.7	NA
1.3.8	NA
1.3.9	NA
1.3.10	NA
1.3.11	NA
1.3.12	NA
1.3.13	NA
1.3.14	NA
1.3.15	NA
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	NA
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	12%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	NA
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	92%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	In Compliance
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	In Compliance
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	In Compliance
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	21
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	In Compliance
1.8.2	In Compliance
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	In Compliance
1.9.7	In Compliance
1.9.8	NA
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	NA
1.9.11	In Compliance
1.9.12	NA
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	81%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	NA
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	NA
1.10.6	NA
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	50%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	NA
1.11.3	NA
1.11.4	NA
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	40%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
	NA
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	0%

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	In Compliance
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	NA
1.13.9	NA
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	100%

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	Sabine K Myenhuis

POST Staff Approval:

Sabine K. Myenhuis

Agency Staff Acceptance:


Manuel Ochoa

POST Staff Printed Name:

S. K. Myenhuis

Agency Staff Printed Name:

Manuel Ochoa



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Department of Consumer Protection Gaming Division- Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Department of Consumer Protection Gaming Division is under the direction of Kristopher Gilman. The agency has approximately three (3) full-time sworn officers. The agency is responsible for the regulation of all legal gambling in the State of Connecticut.

A review of the agency's written directives was conducted by a team of state assessors. The agency was well prepared for the assessment and had few files which required modification. The modifications were completed, and the agency's files were found to be "in compliance" or "not applicable by function" with the standards set forth in the 2022 Accreditation Standards Manual, 6th edition.

On December 7, 2023, state assessors met and completed an on-site visit and performed a physical inspection of the facility. The assessors concluded that the Department of Consumer Protection Gaming Division has established policies and practices consistent with POST-C Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Department of Consumer Protection Gaming Division.

PM:skn

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Department of Consumer Protection Gaming Division 11/17/2023

Date of Onsite Visit: 11/28/2023

Accreditation Outcome: 100% In Compliance

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	N/A by Function
1.1.2	N/A by Function
1.1.3	N/A by Function
1.1.4	N/A by Function
1.1.5	In compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In compliance
1.2.2	In compliance
1.2.3	In compliance
1.2.4	In compliance
1.2.5	In compliance
1.2.6	N/A by Function
1.2.7	N/A by Function
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In compliance
1.3.2	N/A by Function
1.3.3	N/A by Function
1.3.4	N/A by Function
1.3.5	N/A by Function
1.3.6	N/A by Function
1.3.7	N/A by Function
1.3.8	N/A by Function
1.3.9	N/A by Function
1.3.10	N/A by Function
1.3.11	N/A by Function
1.3.12	N/A by Function
1.3.13	N/A by Function
1.3.14	N/A by Function
1.3.15	N/A by Function
1.3.16	N/A by Function
1.3.17	N/A by Function
Standards In Compliance	17
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	In Compliance
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	N/A
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	N/A
1.6.5	N/A by Function
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	N/A by Function
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	N/A by Function
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	N/A by Function
1.7.9	N/A by Function
1.7.10	N/A by Function
1.7.11	N/A by Function
1.7.12	N/A by Function
1.7.13	N/A by Function
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	21
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	N/A by Function
1.8.2	N/A
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	N/A by Function
1.9.7	N/A by Function
1.9.8	In Compliance
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	N/A by Function
1.9.11	N/A by Function
1.9.12	N/A by Function
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	N/A by Function
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	6
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	N/A
1.11.3	N/A
1.11.4	N/A by Function
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
Standards In Compliance	N/A
Percent In Compliance	0 #DIV/0!

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	N/A by Function
1.13.4	N/A by Function
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	N/A by Function
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	N/A by Function
1.13.9	N/A by Function
Standards In Compliance	9
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	N/A by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	100%

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	
Sabine K Nyenhuis, POSTC	

POST Staff Approval:

Sabine K Nyenhuis

POST Staff Printed Name:

Sabine K Nyenhuis

Agency Staff Acceptance:

Kristofer Gilman

Agency Staff Printed Name:

Kristofer Gilman



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Connecticut Department of Motor Vehicles Commercial Vehicle Safety Division -Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Connecticut Department of Motor Vehicles Commercial Vehicle Safety Division is under the command of Chief Christopher J. Smith. The agency has (46) forty-six sworn officers. Motor Vehicle Inspectors are P.O.S.T. certified (Police Officers Standards and Training) law enforcement officers with powers of arrest.

A team of state assessors reviewed the agency's written directives files and had a few files which required modifications. All required corrections were accomplished prior to the on-site visit. The agency's files were found to be "in compliance" or "not applicable by function" with the standards set forth in the 2022 Accreditation Standards Manual, 6th edition.

On January 26, 2023, State assessors met and completed an on-site visit and physical inspection of the facility. The assessment team concluded that the Connecticut Department of Motor Vehicles Commercial Vehicle Safety Division has established policies and practices consistent with POST-C Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Connecticut Department of Motor Vehicles Commercial Vehicle Safety Division.

PM:skn

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Agency: Department of Motor Vehicles

Date of Onsite Visit: January 26, 2023

Accreditation Outcome: Met POST-C Tier I Accreditation

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.5	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	9
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.5	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.14	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.15	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	8
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	In Compliance
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	12
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	In Compliance
1.8.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.7	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.8	In Compliance
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	In Compliance
1.9.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.12	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	8
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
Standards In Compliance	Not Applicable by Function
Percent In Compliance	NDIV/OI

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.13.9	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	NA by Function
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	100%

Accreditation Team Assessors	
Lead Assessor: Sabine K Nyenhuis	
Jessica Blank	

POST Staff Approval: Sabino K Nyenhuis

POST Staff Printed Name: Sabine K Nyenhuis

Agency Staff Acceptance: Chief Christopher Smith #7889

Agency Staff Printed Name: Chief Christopher Smith



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Essex Police Department - Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Essex Police Department is under the command of First Selectperson Norman Needleman. The agency has six (6) sworn officers and works in conjunction with the Connecticut State Police Resident Trooper Program.

The agency's written directives were reviewed by a team of state assessors. The agency's files were found to be "in compliance" or "not applicable by function" with the standards set forth in the 2022 Accreditation Standards Manual, 6th edition.

On December 12th, 2023, state assessors met and completed an on-site visit and performed a physical inspection of the facility. The assessors concluded that the Essex Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POST-C Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Essex Police Department.

PM:skn

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Essex Police Department

Date of Onsite Visit: 12/12/2023

Accreditation Outcome: 100% Compliance

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.5	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	6
Percent In Compliance	

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.5	In Compliance
1.3.6	In Compliance
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.14	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.15	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	9
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	Not applicable by Function
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	18
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	In Compliance
1.9.7	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	In Compliance
1.9.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.12	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	12
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	Not Applicable by Function
1.10.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.4	In Compliance
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	In Compliance
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.13.9	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	0%

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	
Jessica Blank	
Sabine K Nyenhuis	


POST Staff Approval:

Sabine K Nyenhuis

POST Staff Printed Name:

Sabine K Nyenhuis

Agency Staff Acceptance:



Agency Staff Printed Name:

Norman Needleman



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Mashantucket Police Department - Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Mashantucket Police Department is under the command of Chief Kristen DiMauro. The agency has approximately thirty-seven (37) full-time sworn officers. The Mashantucket Police Department is responsible for an area of approximately 1,250 acres. The Mashantucket Police Department ensures peace, offers protection, and safeguards all individuals and properties within the territorial limits of the Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation.

On November 14, 2023, a State Assessor met and completed an on-site visit after a team of State Assessors reviewed the agency's directives of Tier I State Accreditation Standards.

This agency was well prepared for the assessment and had a few files which required modification. The modifications consisted of updating policy or adding clarity to an existing directive. All required information and/or corrections were accomplished remotely.

The assessment team has concluded, based upon the file review and physical inspection of the facility, that the Mashantucket Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POSTC Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Mashantucket Police Department.

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	In Compliance
1.1.3	In Compliance
1.1.4	In Compliance
1.1.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	In Compliance
1.3.3	In Compliance
1.3.4	In Compliance
1.3.5	In Compliance
1.3.6	In Compliance
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	In Compliance
1.3.11	In Compliance
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	In Compliance
1.3.14	In Compliance
1.3.15	In Compliance
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	17
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	In Compliance
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	In Compliance
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	In Compliance
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	22
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	In Compliance
1.9.7	In Compliance
1.9.8	In Compliance
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	In Compliance
1.9.11	In Compliance
1.9.12	In Compliance
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	In Compliance
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	6
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.4	In Compliance
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	In Compliance
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	In Compliance
1.13.9	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	8
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Accreditation Team	
Assistant	
Lead Assessor	
Deputy Director Diana Hatfield	
Lieutenant Adrian Nova	
Chief Brian Wright	
Androlio Swiji	
Jessica Blank	

POST Staff Approval: *[Signature]*

Agency Staff Acceptance: *DC Kristen Dimarco*

POST Staff Printed Name: Jessica Blank 11/15/23

Agency Staff Printed Name: DC Kristen Dimarco 11/17/23



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: The Metropolitan District Commission Police Department- Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Metropolitan District Commission Police Department is under the command of Chief Henry Martin. The agency has three (3) sworn officers. The Metropolitan District Commission is responsible for several locations throughout the state of Connecticut throughout multiple cities and towns.

This agency was well prepared for the assessment and had a few files which required modification. The modifications consisted mostly of adding clarity to existing directives. The agency's files were found to be "in compliance" or "not applicable by function" with the standards set forth in the 2022 Accreditation Standards Manual, 6th edition.

On November 30th, 2023, state assessors met and completed an on-site visit after a team of state assessors reviewed the agency's directives of Tier I Accreditation Standards. The assessment team concluded that, based on the file review and physical inspection of the facility, that the Metropolitan District Commission Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POST-C Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Metropolitan District Commission Police Department.

PM:skn

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Agency: Metropolitan District Commission

Date of Onsite Visit: November 30 2023

Accreditation Outcome: Tier I Accreditation

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.1.5	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.5	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.14	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.15	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.16	Not Applicable by Function
1.3.17	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	In Compliance
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	In Compliance
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	In Compliance
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.12	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	Not Applicable by Function
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	17
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	In Compliance
1.8.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.7	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.9	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.10	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.11	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.12	Not Applicable by Function
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	8
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	In Compliance
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	Not Applicable by Function
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	Not Applicable by Function
1.13.9	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	1
Percent In Compliance	100%

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor: Sabine K Nyenhuis	
Don Bridge	

POST Staff Approval:

Sabine K Nyenhuis

Agency Staff Acceptance:

Henry Martin, Jr

POST Staff Printed Name:

Sabine K. Nyenhuis

Agency Staff Printed Name:

HENRY MARTIN, JR



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: North Branford Police Department - Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The North Branford Police Department is under the command of Chief Kevin Halloran. The agency has approximately twenty-four (24) full-time sworn officers. The North Branford Police Department is responsible for an area of approximately 27 square miles and a population, according to the latest census, of approximately 14,500 residents.

On July 16, 2023, an on-site assessment was performed, resulting in the North Branford Police Department being in-progress of achieving Tier I status. Following this, the agency has been diligently updating and maintaining their files. As of November 15, 2023, a State Assessor completed a file review of the agency's directives for Tier I State Accreditation Standards.

During the November review, there were only a few files which required modification. The modifications consisted of updating policy or adding clarity to an existing directive. All required information and/or corrections were accomplished remotely.

The assessment team has concluded, based upon the file review and physical inspection of the facility, that the North Branford Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POSTC Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the North Branford Police Department.

Tier 1 Accreditation Outcome Report

Agency: North Branford Police Department Date of Onsite Visit:

Accreditation Outcome: Met POST Tier 1 standards

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	In Compliance
1.1.3	In Compliance
1.1.4	In Compliance
1.1.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	In Compliance
1.3.3	In Compliance
1.3.4	In Compliance
1.3.5	In Compliance
1.3.6	In Compliance
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	In Compliance
1.3.11	Not In Compliance
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	In Compliance
1.3.14	In Compliance
1.3.15	In Compliance
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	94%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	In Compliance
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	In Compliance
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	22
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	In Compliance
1.8.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	In Compliance
1.9.7	In Compliance
1.9.8	In Compliance
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	In Compliance
1.9.11	In Compliance
1.9.12	In Compliance
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	In Compliance
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	6
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.4	In Compliance
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%


Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!


Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	In Compliance
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	In Compliance
1.13.9	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	9
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!


Accreditation Team Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	Jessica Blank
Assessor:	
Assessor:	
Assessor:	

POST Staff Approval: 

Agency Staff Acceptance: 

POST Staff Printed Name: Jessica Blank 11/15/23

Agency Staff Printed Name: James Lovelace 11/15/23



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Norwich Police Department - Tier I Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Norwich Police Department is under the command of Chief Patrick Daley. The agency has approximately eighty-three (83) full-time sworn officers. The Norwich Police Department is responsible for an area of approximately 29 square miles and a population, according to the latest census, of approximately 40,000 residents.

On November 16, 2023, a State Assessor met and completed an on-site visit after a team of State Assessors reviewed the agency's directives of Tier I State Accreditation Standards.

This agency was well prepared for the assessment and had few files which required modification. The modifications consisted of updating policy or adding clarity to an existing directive. All required information and/or corrections were accomplished remotely and prior to the actual on-site visit.

The assessment team has concluded, based upon the file review and physical inspection of the facility, that the Norwich Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POSTC Tier I State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier I Connecticut State Accreditation to the Norwich Police Department.

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Agency: Norwich Police Department

Accreditation Outcome: Met POSTC Tier 1 standards

Date of Onsite Visit: November 16, 2023

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	In Compliance
1.1.3	In Compliance
1.1.4	In Compliance
1.1.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	In Compliance
1.3.3	In Compliance
1.3.4	In Compliance
1.3.5	In Compliance
1.3.6	In Compliance
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	In Compliance
1.3.11	In Compliance
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	In Compliance
1.3.14	In Compliance
1.3.15	In Compliance
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	94%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	Not Applicable by Function
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	In Compliance
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	In Compliance
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	22
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	In Compliance
1.8.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	In Compliance
1.9.7	In Compliance
1.9.8	In Compliance
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	In Compliance
1.9.11	In Compliance
1.9.12	In Compliance
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	In Compliance
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	6
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.3	Not Applicable by Function
1.11.4	In Compliance
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	In Compliance
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	In Compliance
1.13.9	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	9
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.15.1	Not Applicable by Function
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	
Captain Manuel Colto	
Deputy Chief Sonia Watson	
Juliette Parker	
Officer Cherie Dery	
Sergeant Matthew Casslere	

POST Staff Approval: _____

Agency Staff Acceptance: _____

[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]

POST Staff Printed Name: _____

Agency Staff Printed Name: _____

Jessica Kravak 11/16/23
Patrick J. Daley



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION
Police Officer Standards and Training Council
Connecticut Police Academy

To: Members of POST Council

From: Chief Paul Melanson, Chairman
POST-C Accreditation Committee

Subject: Vernon Police Department - Tier III Accreditation

Date: December 27, 2023

The Vernon Police Department is under the command of Chief John Kelley. The agency has approximately forty-five (45) full-time sworn officers. The Vernon Police Department is responsible for an area of approximately 18 square miles and a population, according to the latest census, of approximately 30,000 residents.

On November 21, 2023, a State Assessor met and completed an on-site visit after a team of State Assessors reviewed the agency's directives of Tier I, II, III State Accreditation Standards.

This agency was well prepared for the assessment and had few files which required modification. The modifications consisted of updating policy or adding clarity to an existing directive. All required information and/or corrections were accomplished remotely and prior to the actual on-site visit.

The assessment team has concluded, based upon the file review and physical inspection of the facility, that the Vernon Police Department has established policies and practices consistent with POSTC Tier III State Law Enforcement Accreditation Standards.

Council Motion:

The Police Officer Standards and Training Accreditation Division requests POST Council to make the following motion:

Award Tier III Connecticut State Accreditation to the Vernon Police Department.

Tier I Accreditation Outcome Report:

Agency: Vernon Police Department

Date of Onsite Visit: November 21, 2023

Accreditation Outcome: Met POSTC Tier 1 Standards

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	In Compliance
1.1.3	In Compliance
1.1.4	In Compliance
1.1.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	7
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	In Compliance
1.3.3	In Compliance
1.3.4	In Compliance
1.3.5	In Compliance
1.3.6	In Compliance
1.3.7	In Compliance
1.3.8	In Compliance
1.3.9	In Compliance
1.3.10	In Compliance
1.3.11	In Compliance
1.3.12	In Compliance
1.3.13	In Compliance
1.3.14	In Compliance
1.3.15	In Compliance
1.3.16	In Compliance
1.3.17	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	17
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.4.1	In Compliance
1.4.2	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	2
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.5.1	In Compliance
1.5.2	In Compliance
1.5.3	In Compliance
1.5.4	In Compliance
1.5.5	In Compliance
1.5.6	In Compliance
1.5.7	In Compliance
1.5.8	In Compliance
1.5.9	In Compliance
1.5.10	In Compliance
1.5.11	In Compliance
1.5.12	In Compliance
1.5.13	In Compliance
1.5.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	13
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.6.1	In Compliance
1.6.2	In Compliance
1.6.3	In Compliance
1.6.4	In Compliance
1.6.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	5
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.7.1	In Compliance
1.7.2	In Compliance
1.7.3	In Compliance
1.7.4	In Compliance
1.7.5	In Compliance
1.7.6	In Compliance
1.7.7	In Compliance
1.7.8	In Compliance
1.7.9	In Compliance
1.7.10	In Compliance
1.7.11	In Compliance
1.7.12	In Compliance
1.7.13	In Compliance
1.7.14	In Compliance
1.7.15	In Compliance
1.7.16	In Compliance
1.7.17	In Compliance
1.7.18	In Compliance
1.7.19	In Compliance
1.7.20	In Compliance
1.7.21	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	21
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.8.1	In Compliance
1.8.2	In Compliance
1.8.3	In Compliance
1.8.4	In Compliance
1.8.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	4
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.9.1	In Compliance
1.9.2	In Compliance
1.9.3	In Compliance
1.9.4	In Compliance
1.9.5	In Compliance
1.9.6	In Compliance
1.9.7	In Compliance
1.9.8	In Compliance
1.9.9	In Compliance
1.9.10	In Compliance
1.9.11	In Compliance
1.9.12	In Compliance
1.9.13	In Compliance
1.9.14	In Compliance
1.9.15	In Compliance
1.9.16	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	16
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.10.1	In Compliance
1.10.2	In Compliance
1.10.3	In Compliance
1.10.4	In Compliance
1.10.5	In Compliance
1.10.6	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	6
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.11.1	In Compliance
1.11.2	In Compliance
1.11.3	In Compliance
1.11.4	In Compliance
1.11.5	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	3
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 12	
Standard	Compliance Status
Not Applicable by Function	
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 13	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.13.1	In Compliance
1.13.2	In Compliance
1.13.3	In Compliance
1.13.4	In Compliance
1.13.5	In Compliance
1.13.6	In Compliance
1.13.7	In Compliance
1.13.8	In Compliance
1.13.9	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	9
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 14	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.14.1	In Compliance
1.14.2	In Compliance
1.14.3	In Compliance
1.14.4	In Compliance
1.14.5	In Compliance
1.14.6	In Compliance
1.14.7	In Compliance
1.14.8	In Compliance
1.14.9	In Compliance
1.14.10	In Compliance
1.14.11	In Compliance
1.14.12	In Compliance
1.14.13	In Compliance
1.14.14	In Compliance
Standards In Compliance	14
Percent In Compliance	100%

Chapter 15	
Standard	Compliance Status
Not Applicable by Function	
Standards In Compliance	0
Percent In Compliance	#DIV/0!

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	
Sergeant Radek Sitachelski	
Chief Michael Whalen	
Sergeant Shawn Barry	
Sergeant Jennie Rivera	
Lieutenant David Milner, Sergeant Frank Koshes	
Sergeant Tracy Dunne, Lieutenant Donald Allen	

POST Staff Approval:



Agency Staff Acceptance:

POST Staff Printed Name:

Jessica Brank 11/21/23

Agency Staff Printed Name:

John Kelley 11/21/23

Tier II Accreditation Outcome Report

Agency: Vernon Police Department

Date of Onsite Visit: November 21, 2023

Accreditation Outcome: Met POSTC Standards for Tier II

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	In Compliance
1.1.3	In Compliance
1.1.4	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	4
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	7
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	In Compliance
1.3.3	In Compliance
1.3.4	In Compliance
1.3.5	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	5
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.4.1	In Compliance
2.4.2	In Compliance
2.4.3	In Compliance
2.4.4	In Compliance
2.4.5	In Compliance
2.4.6	In Compliance
2.4.7	In Compliance
2.4.8	In Compliance
2.4.9	In Compliance
2.4.10	In Compliance
2.4.11	In Compliance
2.4.12	In Compliance
2.4.13	In Compliance
2.4.14	In Compliance
2.4.15	In Compliance
2.4.16	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	16
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.5.1	In Compliance
2.5.2	In Compliance
2.5.3	In Compliance
2.5.4	In Compliance
2.5.5	In Compliance
2.5.6	In Compliance
2.5.7	In Compliance
2.5.8	In Compliance
2.5.9	In Compliance
2.5.10	In Compliance
2.5.11	In Compliance
2.5.12	In Compliance
2.5.13	In Compliance
2.5.14	In Compliance
2.5.15	In Compliance
2.5.16	In Compliance
2.5.17	In Compliance
2.5.18	In Compliance
2.5.19	In Compliance
2.5.20	In Compliance
2.5.21	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	21
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.6.1	In Compliance
2.6.2	In Compliance
2.6.3	In Compliance
2.6.4	In Compliance
2.6.5	In Compliance
2.6.6	In Compliance
2.6.7	In Compliance
2.6.8	In Compliance
2.6.9	In Compliance
2.6.10	In Compliance
2.6.11	In Compliance
2.6.12	In Compliance
2.6.13	In Compliance
2.6.14	In Compliance
2.6.15	In Compliance
2.6.16	In Compliance
2.6.17	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	17
Percent in Compliance	100%

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	
Sergeant Radek Sztachelski	
Chief Michael Whalen	
Sergeant Shawn Barry	
Sergeant Jennie Rivera	
Lieutenant David Miner, Sergeant Frank Koshes	
Sergeant Tracy Dunne, Lieutenant Donald Allen	

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.7.1	In Compliance
2.7.2	In Compliance
2.7.3	In Compliance
2.7.4	In Compliance
2.7.5	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	5
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.8.1	In Compliance
2.8.2	In Compliance
2.8.3	In Compliance
2.8.4	In Compliance
2.8.5	In Compliance
2.8.6	In Compliance
2.8.7	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	0
Percent in Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.9.1	In Compliance
2.9.2	In Compliance
2.9.3	In Compliance
2.9.4	In Compliance
2.9.5	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	5
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.10.1	In Compliance
2.10.2	In Compliance
2.10.3	In Compliance
2.10.4	In Compliance
2.10.5	In Compliance
2.10.6	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	6
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.11.1	In Compliance
2.11.2	In Compliance
2.11.3	In Compliance
2.11.4	In Compliance
2.11.5	In Compliance
2.11.6	In Compliance
2.11.7	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	7
Percent in Compliance	100%

POST Staff Approval:

[Signature]

Agency Staff Acceptance:

[Signature]

POST Staff Printed Name:

Jesenia Blana 11/21/23

Agency Staff Printed Name:

John Kelley 11/21/23

Tier II Accreditation Outcome Report

Agency: Vernon Police Department

Date of Onsite Visit: November 21, 2023

Accreditation Outcome: Met POSTC Standards for Tier III

Chapter 1	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.1.1	In Compliance
1.1.2	In Compliance
1.1.3	In Compliance
1.1.4	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	4
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 2	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.2.1	In Compliance
1.2.2	In Compliance
1.2.3	In Compliance
1.2.4	In Compliance
1.2.5	In Compliance
1.2.6	In Compliance
1.2.7	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	7
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 3	
Standard	Compliance Status
1.3.1	In Compliance
1.3.2	In Compliance
1.3.3	In Compliance
1.3.4	In Compliance
1.3.5	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	5
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 4	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.4.1	In Compliance
2.4.2	In Compliance
2.4.3	In Compliance
2.4.4	In Compliance
2.4.5	In Compliance
2.4.5	In Compliance
2.4.7	In Compliance
2.4.8	In Compliance
2.4.9	In Compliance
2.4.10	In Compliance
2.4.11	In Compliance
2.4.12	In Compliance
2.4.13	In Compliance
2.4.14	In Compliance
2.4.15	In Compliance
2.4.16	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	16
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 5	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.5.1	In Compliance
2.5.2	In Compliance
2.5.3	In Compliance
2.5.4	In Compliance
2.5.5	In Compliance
2.5.6	In Compliance
2.5.7	In Compliance
2.5.8	In Compliance
2.5.9	In Compliance
2.5.10	In Compliance
2.5.11	In Compliance
2.5.12	In Compliance
2.5.13	In Compliance
2.5.14	In Compliance
2.5.15	In Compliance
2.5.16	In Compliance
2.5.17	In Compliance
2.5.18	In Compliance
2.5.19	In Compliance
2.5.20	In Compliance
2.5.21	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	21
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 6	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.6.1	In Compliance
2.6.2	In Compliance
2.6.3	In Compliance
2.6.4	In Compliance
2.6.5	In Compliance
2.6.6	In Compliance
2.6.7	In Compliance
2.6.8	In Compliance
2.6.9	In Compliance
2.6.10	In Compliance
2.6.11	In Compliance
2.6.12	In Compliance
2.6.13	In Compliance
2.6.14	In Compliance
2.6.15	In Compliance
2.6.16	In Compliance
2.6.17	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	17
Percent in Compliance	100%

Accreditation Team	
Assessors	
Lead Assessor:	
Sergeant Radek Sztachelski	
Chief Michael Whalen	
Sergeant Shawn Barry	
Sergeant Jennie Rivera	
Lieutenant David Miner, Sergeant Frank Koshes	
Sergeant Tracy Dunne, Lieutenant Donald Allen	

Chapter 7	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.7.1	In Compliance
2.7.2	In Compliance
2.7.3	In Compliance
2.7.4	In Compliance
2.7.5	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	5
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 8	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.8.1	In Compliance
2.8.2	In Compliance
2.8.3	In Compliance
2.8.4	In Compliance
2.8.5	In Compliance
2.8.6	In Compliance
2.8.7	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	7
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 9	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.9.1	In Compliance
2.9.2	In Compliance
2.9.3	In Compliance
2.9.4	In Compliance
2.9.5	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	5
Percent in Compliance	100%

Chapter 10	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.10.1	Not Applicable by Function
2.10.2	Not Applicable by Function
2.10.3	Not Applicable by Function
2.10.4	Not Applicable by Function
2.10.5	Not Applicable by Function
2.10.6	Not Applicable by Function
Standards in Compliance	0
Percent in Compliance	#DIV/0!

Chapter 11	
Standard	Compliance Status
2.11.1	In Compliance
2.11.2	In Compliance
2.11.3	In Compliance
2.11.4	In Compliance
2.11.5	In Compliance
2.11.6	In Compliance
2.11.7	In Compliance
Standards in Compliance	7
Percent in Compliance	100%

POST Staff Approval: _____

[Signature]

Agency Staff Acceptance: _____

[Signature]

POST Staff Printed Name: _____

Jessica Bunn 11/21/23

Agency Staff Printed Name: _____

John Kelley 11/20/23