STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND PUBLIC PROTECTION POLICE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING COUNCIL CONNECTICUT POLICE ACADEMY

ONNECTICAL

GENERAL NOTICE 14-01

(Reference General Notice 10-03)

TO:

Chief Law Enforcement Officers

Internal Affairs Investigators/Supervisors/Divisions

Protective Services Resident Troopers

From:

Thomas E. Flaherty

Police Academy Administrator

Date:

November 13, 2014

Subject:

Model "Garrity" Warnings Form for Use in Administrative/Internal Investigations

<u>Background:</u> Throughout the past several years, the Police Officer Standards and Training Council's (hereinafter Council) Certification Division has reviewed referrals from numerous departmental Internal Affairs Investigations and Loudermill Hearing Testimonials to determine if the officer(s) for whom the sustained charges of either Perjury CGS 53a-156 or Making a False Statement (Report) in the 2nd degree CGS 53a-157b have also violated Section 7-294d(c)(2)(I), CGS allowing the Council to initiate the process of revocation of the officer's Connecticut police officer certification.

To sustain the revocation of an officer's police officer certification, the Council must find by "clear and convincing evidence" that the officer named in the complaint has been found by his or her law enforcement unit, pursuant to procedures established by such unit, to have committed any act that would constitute Perjury in violation CGS 53a-156, or False Statement in the 2nd degree in violation of CGS 53a-157b. For Perjury, the Council must find that the officer intentionally, under oath, makes a false statement, swears, affirms, or testifies falsely, to a material statement which s/he does not believe to be true. For a False Statement, the Council must find that the officer intentionally made a false written statement under oath or pursuant to a form bearing notice, authorized by law, to the effect that false statements made therein are punishable, which s/he does not believe to be true and which statement is intended to mislead a public servant in the performance of his/her official function.

The Certification Division determined the reason for the many rejections is primarily the result of reliance on the standard "Garrity Warnings" or "Garrity Rights" form signed by the officer under investigation prior to being questioned by the department during an administrative investigation to determine if violations of departmental rules and regulations have occurred and, if so, whether disciplinary action is warranted.

These "warnings" or "rights" contain standard language that enable police supervisors to question an employee and require they respond, while protecting the employee's constitutional rights against self-incrimination under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. During the questioning, even if the employee discloses information which indicates s/he may be guilty of criminal wrongdoing in the matter, neither the employee's self-incriminating statements, nor the fruits of the statements, can be used against them in any criminal proceeding. Statements made by the officers under investigation can; however, be used as the basis for administrative discipline and, if they meet the requirements set forth in CGS 7-294d(c)(2)(I), may form the basis for an officer's decertification.

The standard Garrity Warnings or Rights form utilized by many police departments and law enforcement agencies, by itself, is <u>NOT</u> considered sworn or pursuant to a form bearing notice, authorized by law, to the effect that false statements made therein are punishable.

On November 13, 2014, after consultation with the State Attorney General's Office, the Council has approved the attached recommended modified Model Garrity Warnings (Rights) Form, which if adopted, contains the required language which would make the execution of this form compliant with the requirements of CGS 7-294d(c)(2)(I). Administering a sworn oath to the officer before his/her oral testimony during the internal affairs interview(s) would also be compliant with CGS 7-294d(c)(2)(I).

Should you have any questions regarding this form or the revocation of police officer certification process, please contact Compliance Officer William E. Klein, Certification Division at (203) 427-2606 or William.Klein@ct.gov



POLICE OFFICER STANDARDS & TRAINING COUNCIL

MODEL GARRITY "PLUS"WARNING FOR USE IN ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS

(Compliant with the Requirements of Conn. Gen. Stat. § 7-294d(c)(2)(I))

WARNING

answer each of the questions pos rights and privileges guaranteed b	s and/or your fitness for office. You a ed truthfully and completely. You are the law and the Constitution of the Un	entitled to all of the ited States, including
by state law or contractual agreer any. You are advised that, if you re official duties and/or your fitness charges carrying the penalty of dis you choose to answer questions written statement, nor any informat written statement, may be used ag However, such testimony or state administrative disciplinary proceed regulations, policies or orders of	riminate yourself, as well as any addition nent between your employer and your efuse to answer questions related to the for office, you will be subject to adminimissal or termination from employment ruthfully and completely, neither you tion or evidence gained by reason of subsequent ments may be used against you in this ding as evidence of misconduct or your employer pertaining to your jot ected pursuant to the provisions of C	labor organization, if performance of your nistrative disciplinary twith this agency. If ir oral testimony nor uch oral testimony or criminal proceeding, s or any subsequent iolation of the rules, o performance, or in
294d(c)(2)(I). ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I,		fully understand my
given, or written statement made,	orth above. I further swear or affirm the concerning the case now in question, is bject to the pains and penalties of perjur	s the truth, the whole
(Signature)	(Date)	(Time)