

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.6**

# Data Classification Methodology

Version 1.6

Document Approval and Revision Control

|                              |  |                  |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Author:                      | QRO  | Date : 34-7-421; |
| Title:                       | Data Classification Methodology Version 1.6                                  |                  |
| Signature:                   |  |                  |
| Approved by:                 | Iqj p"Xkwpgt   | Date : 34/7/423; |
| Title:                       | Director."K/Rqrk{ "cpf "Rrppkpi  |                  |
| Signature:                   |  |                  |
| "<br>Reason for<br>Revision: | Eliminated references to the Department of Information<br>Technology (DOIT). |                  |

**Data Classification Methodology  
Version 1.4**

**Table of Contents**

|                     |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|
| <b>Section I</b>    | <b>Purpose of Data Classification</b>  | <b>Page 3</b>  |
| <b>Section II</b>   | <b>Role in the System Development Life cycle</b>   | <b>Page 4</b>  |
| <b>Section III</b>  | <b>Linking Data Classification Levels to Minimum Security Control Levels</b>                                   | <b>Page 4</b>  |
| <b>Section IV</b>   | <b>Data Classification Methodology</b>   | <b>Page 4</b>  |
| <b>Section V</b>    | <b>Data Classification Process</b>   | <b>Page 6</b>  |
|                     | <b>Example One</b><br><b>Example Two</b><br><b>Example Three</b><br><b>Example Four</b><br><b>Example Five</b> | <b>Page 6</b><br><b>Page 8</b><br><b>Page 10</b><br><b>Page 11</b><br><b>Page 14</b> |
| <b>Appendix A-1</b> | <b>Security Categorization of Management and Support Information</b>   | <b>Page 16</b>   |
| <b>Appendix A-2</b> | <b>Security Categorization of Mission Based Information</b>  | <b>Page 18</b>   |
| <b>Appendix B</b>   | <b>Data Classification Methodology References</b>  | <b>Page 21</b>   |
|                     |  |  |

# Data Classification Methodology

## Version 1.4

### Section I

**Purpose of Data Classification** - To establish protection profiles and assign control element settings for each category of data for which an agency is responsible. Security categorization is the basis for identifying an initial baseline set of security controls for the information and information systems.

Security categorization provides a vital step in integrating security into the state agency's business and information technology management functions, and establishes the foundation for security standardization amongst its information and information systems. Security categorization starts with the identification of what information and information systems support which government lines of business, as defined by the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA). Subsequent steps focus on the evaluation of the need for security in terms of confidentiality, integrity, and availability. The result is strong linkage between missions, information, and information systems with cost effective information security.

The results of system security categorization can and should be used by, or made available to, appropriate agency personnel to support agency activities including:

- **Business Impact Analysis (BIA)**: Agency personnel should consider the cross-utilization of security categorization and BIA information in the performance of each activity. The common objectives shared by security categorization and business impact analysis initiatives provide opportunities for agencies to provide checks and balances to ensure consistency and accuracy of analytical results for information and each information system.  
Conflicting information and anomalous conditions, such as a low availability impact and a BIA three-hour recovery time objective, should trigger a reevaluation by the mission and data owners.
- **Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) and Enterprise Architecture (EA)**:. The security categorization that begins the security life cycle is a business-enabling activity directly feeding the enterprise architecture and CPIC processes for new investments, as well as migration and upgrade decisions. Specifically, the security categorization can provide a firm basis for justifying certain capital expenditures, and can also provide analytical input to avoid unnecessary investments.
- **System Design**: Understanding and designing the system architecture with varying information sensitivity levels in mind may assist in achieving economies of scale with security services and protection through common security zones within the enterprise. For example, an information system containing privacy information may be located in one security zone with other information systems containing similar sensitive information. Each zone may have varying levels of security. For instance, the more critical zones may require 3-factor authentication where the open area may only require normal access controls. This type of approach requires a solid understanding of an agency's information and data types gained through the security categorization process.
- **Contingency and Disaster Recovery Planning**: Contingency and disaster recovery planning personnel should review information systems that have multiple data types of varying impact levels, and consider grouping applications with similar information impact levels with sufficiently protected infrastructures. This approach ensures efficient application of the correct contingency and disaster protection security controls and avoids the over protection of lower impact information systems.
- **Information Sharing and System Interconnection Agreements**: Agency personnel should

## **Data Classification Methodology**

### **Version 1.4**

utilize aggregated and individual security categorization information when assessing interagency connections. For example, knowing that information processed on a high impact information system is flowing to another agency's moderate impact information system should cause both agencies to evaluate the security categorization information, the implemented or resulting security controls, and the risk associated with interconnecting systems.

#### **Section II**

**Role in the System Development Lifecycle** - An initial security categorization should occur early in the agency's system development lifecycle (SDLC). The resulting security categorization would feed into security requirements identification (later to evolve into security controls) and other related activities such as privacy impact analysis or critical infrastructure analysis. Ultimately, the identified security requirements and selected security controls are introduced to the standard systems engineering process to effectively integrate the security controls with the information systems functional and operational requirements, as well as other pertinent system requirements (e.g., reliability, maintainability, supportability).

#### **Section III**

**Linking Data Classification Levels to Minimum Security Control Levels** -NIST Special Publication 800-53 associates recommended minimum security controls with FIPS 199 low-impact, moderate-impact, and high-impact security categories. For each information system, the recommendation for minimum security controls from Special Publication 800-53 is intended to be used as a starting point for and input to the organization's risk analysis process. The risk analysis results are used to supplement the tailored baseline resulting in a set of agreed-upon controls documented in the security plan for the information system. While the FIPS 199 security categorization associates the operation of the information system with the potential impact on an organization's operations, assets, or individuals, the incorporation of refined threat and vulnerability information during the risk analysis facilitates supplementing the tailored baseline security controls to address organizational needs and tolerance for risk. The final, agreed-upon set of security controls are then documented with appropriate rationale in the security plan for the information system.

#### **Section IV**

**Data Classification Methodology** - The methodology presented here is adapted from the Federal Government's FISMA (Federal Information Security Management Act) information security framework and supporting FIPS (Federal Information Processing Standard) and NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) guides and publications.

#### **Data is Classified on the Basis of Confidentiality, Integrity and Availability Impact Levels**

As reflected in Table 1, FISMA and FIPS 199 define three security objectives for information and information systems.

## Data Classification Methodology Version 1.4

**Table 1: Information and Information System Security Objectives**

| Security Objectives    | FISMA Definition [44 U.S.C., Sec. 3542]   | FIPS 199 Definition  |
|------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Confidentiality</b> | “Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information...” | A loss of <i>confidentiality</i> is the unauthorized disclosure of information.                              |
| <b>Integrity</b>       | “Guarding against improper information modification or destruction, and includes ensuring information non-repudiation and authenticity...”                | A loss of <i>integrity</i> is the unauthorized modification or destruction of information.                   |
| <b>Availability</b>    | “Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information...”  | A loss of <i>availability</i> is the disruption of access to or use of information or an information system. |

FIPS 199 defines three levels of *potential impact* on organizations or individuals in the event of a breach of security (i.e., a loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability). The application of these definitions must take place within the context of each organization. Table 2 below provides FIPS 199 potential impact definitions.

**Table 2: Potential Impact Levels**

| Potential Impact | Definitions  |
|------------------|--|
| <b>Low</b>       | The potential impact is <b>low</b> if—The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have a <b>limited</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. A limited adverse effect means that, for example, the loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability might: (i) cause a degradation in mission capability to an extent and duration that the organization is able to perform its primary functions, but the effectiveness of the functions is noticeably reduced; (ii) result in minor damage to organizational assets; (iii) result in minor financial loss; or (iv) result in minor harm to individuals.   |
| <b>Moderate</b>  | The potential impact is <b>moderate</b> if—The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have a <b>serious</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. A serious adverse effect means that, for example, the loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability might: (i) cause a significant degradation in mission capability to an extent and duration that the organization is able to perform its primary functions, but the effectiveness of the functions is significantly reduced; (ii) result in significant damage to organizational assets; (iii) result in significant financial loss; or (iv) result in significant harm to individuals that does not involve loss of life or serious life threatening injuries. |
| <b>High</b>      | The potential impact is <b>high</b> if—The loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability could be expected to have a <b>severe or catastrophic</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals. A severe or catastrophic adverse effect means that, for example, the loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability might: (i) cause a severe degradation in or loss of mission capability to an extent and duration that the organization is not able to perform one or more of its primary functions; (ii) result in major damage to organizational assets; (iii) result in major financial loss; or (iv) result in severe or catastrophic harm to individuals involving loss of life or serious life threatening injuries.                            |

The next table provides impact level definitions used in FISMA based data classification initiatives.

**Table 3: Data Classification Impact Level Definitions**

| SECURITY OBJECTIVE | POTENTIAL IMPACT |          |      |
|--------------------|------------------|----------|------|
|                    | LOW              | MODERATE | HIGH |
|                    |                  |          |      |

## Data Classification Methodology Version 1.4

|  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Confidentiality</b> Preserving authorized restrictions on information access and disclosure, including means for protecting personal privacy and proprietary information.</p>            | <p>The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a <b>limited</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p>                                 | <p>The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a <b>serious</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p>                                 | <p>The unauthorized disclosure of information could be expected to have a <b>severe or catastrophic</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p>                                 |
| <p><b>Integrity</b><br/>Guarding against improper information modification or destruction, and includes ensuring information non- repudiation and authenticity.<br/>[44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]</p> | <p>The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a <b>limited</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p>                | <p>The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a <b>serious</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p>                | <p>The unauthorized modification or destruction of information could be expected to have a <b>severe or catastrophic</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p>                |
| <p><b>Availability</b><br/>Ensuring timely and reliable access to and use of information.<br/>[44 U.S.C., SEC. 3542]</p>   | <p>The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a <b>limited</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p> | <p>The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a <b>serious</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p> | <p>The disruption of access to or use of information or an information system could be expected to have a <b>severe or catastrophic</b> adverse effect on organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals.</p> |

**Data Classification Process** – FISMA-based data classification has been streamlined by the publication of NIST 800-60 Volume 2 (from this point on referred to as Vol. 2). Data classification is a relatively straightforward process for users of this guide to locate specific pre-defined data classification categories that align with their information systems data types. Please see Appendix “A” for the detailed pre-defined data classification tables extracted from NIST 800-60. These tables cover most government information types and are separated into Management & Support and Mission based data types respectively.

The process consists of the following steps;

- 1) Information system owners review the pre-defined categories in Appendix A to locate matches for all information system data for which they are responsible.
- 2) They then review the detailed classification information in Vol. 2 for the particular data category to ensure their definition of the data matches the same definition in Vol. 2. The steps above are repeated for each identifiable type of data within the information system. If any data type within the system does not appear to fit into a pre-defined category then DOIT’s IT Security Division will work with the information system owner to complete an analysis and classification of the data based on FIPS and NIST standards.
- 3) The data category is officially recorded for each data type processed or stored by the information system.
- 4) When all data types constituting the information system have been classified, then the security categorization of the information system will be determined based on the most sensitive or critical information received by, processed in, stored in, and/or generated by the system under review. The Step 4 activities include the following: (i) review identified security categorizations for the aggregate of information types; (ii) determine the system security categorization by identifying the high water mark for each of the security objectives (confidentiality, integrity, availability) based on the aggregate of the information types; (iii) assign the overall information system impact level based on the highest impact level for the system security objectives; and (iv) document all security categorization determinations and decisions.

## Data Classification Methodology Version 1.4

The following fictitious case studies provide complete examples of the data classification process described above:

### Example One

An information system supporting the provision of electrical energy to the DOIT Data Centre contains the following data types:

- a) Detailed electrical energy monitoring information
- b) Inventory data related to backup electrical generating, UPS systems and related infrastructure devices

**Step 1)** The information owner reviews the predefined data categories in Appendix A and selects as a potential match. For data type (a) Detailed electrical energy monitoring information = Energy Supply (highlighted in Appendix A table A-2). For data type (b) Inventory data related to backup electrical generating, UPS systems and related infrastructure devices = Inventory Control (highlighted in Appendix A table A-1).

**Step 2)** The detailed classification information for the “Energy Supply” data type is accessed from Vol. 2, and reviewed to ensure that it properly describes the actual data type in the information system. The definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Energy Supply” is as follows;

*D.7.1 Energy Supply Information Type*

*Energy Supply involves all activities devoted to ensuring the availability of an adequate supply of energy for the United States and its citizens. Energy Supply includes the sale and transportation of commodity fuels such as coal, oil, natural gas, and radioactive materials. This function also includes distributing and transferring power, electric generation, and/or storage located near the point of use.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

For data type (b) the definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Inventory Control” is as follows;

*C.3.4.2 Inventory Control Information Type*

*Inventory control refers to the tracking of information related to procured assets and resources with regards to quantity, quality, and location..*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

**Step 3) and Step 4)** consist of completing the table below:

|  |  |                         |                            |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Information System Name: Power Safe System - DOIT</b>   |  |                         |                            |
| <b>Business and Mission Supported:</b> The Power Safe system provides real- time control and information supporting all backup electrical devices supporting the DOIT Data Center. |  |                         |                            |
| <b>Information Types</b>   |  |                         |                            |
| Energy Supply  | Sensor data monitoring backup power for the DOIT Data Center. This function includes control of distribution and transfer of power. The remote control capabilities can take action such as initiating necessary switching actions to alleviate an overloading power condition. The impacts to this information and the system may affect the installation’s critical infrastructures. |                         |                            |
| Inventory Control  | The Power Safe information system processes routine inventory information on all energy production, storage and monitoring devices.  |                         |                            |
| <b>Identify Information Types</b>  | <b>Confidentiality Impact</b>  | <b>Integrity Impact</b> | <b>Availability Impact</b> |
|  |  |                         |                            |

**Data Classification Methodology  
Version 1.4**

|                                     |   |  |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Energy Supply                       | L / L   | L / M  | L / M   |
|                                     | Disclosure of sensor information may impact the Data Center if indications & warnings of overall capability are provided to an unfriendly party.  | Significant impacts or consequences may occur if unauthorized modification of information results in incorrect power system regulation or control actions. | Due to loss of availability, severe impact to the DOIT Data Center may result and may in-turn have overall catastrophic consequences for the facility's critical infrastructures. |
| Inventory Control                   | L   | L  | L   |
|                                     | Regardless of the <i>moderate</i> or <i>high</i> impact associated with unauthorized disclosure of some inventory control information, the provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for inventory control information is <i>low</i> . | The provisional integrity impact level recommended for inventory control information is <i>low</i> .   | The provisional availability impact level recommended for inventory control information is <i>low</i> .   |
| <b>Final System Categorization:</b> | <b>Low</b>  | <b>Moderate</b>  | <b>Moderate</b>   |
|                                     | <b>Overall Information System Impact: Moderate</b>  |  |   |

**Example Two**

An information system supporting the provision of Public Safety - Policing Services and contains the following data types:

- a) Information regarding arrest warrants
- b) Data related to current investigations

**Step 1)** The information owner reviews the predefined data categories in Appendix A and selects “Criminal Apprehension” as a potential match. For data type (a) in Appendix A table A-2. For data type (b) “Criminal Investigation and Surveillance” is selected as a potential match.

**Step 2)** The detailed classification information for the data type is accessed from Vol. 2, and reviewed to ensure that it properly describes the actual data types in the information system. The definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Criminal Apprehension” is as follows;

*D.16.1 Criminal Apprehension Information Type*

*Criminal apprehension supports activities associated with the tracking and capture of groups or individuals believed to be responsible for committing Federal crimes.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

For data type (b) the definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Criminal Investigation and Surveillance” is as follows:

*D.16.2 Criminal Investigation and Surveillance Information Type*

*Criminal investigation and surveillance includes the collection of evidence required to determine responsibility for a crime and the monitoring and questioning of affected parties.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

**Step 3) and Step 4)** consist of completing the table below:



**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.34**

|  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| <b>Information System Name: Public Safety - Policing Services</b>  |   |  |  |
| <b>Business and Mission Supported:</b> The Public Safety - Policing Services systems provides intelligence support to law enforcement agencies across the State of Connecticut |   |  |  |
| <b>Information Types</b>   |   |  |  |
| Criminal Apprehension  | The system provides details on outstanding arrest warrants, as well as historical demographic information on individuals  |  |  |
| Criminal Investigation and Surveillance  | All information related to current investigations is available. Summary information of past investigations is also accessible.  |  |  |
| <b>Identify Information Types</b>  | <b>Confidentiality Impact</b>   | <b>Integrity Impact</b>  | <b>Availability Impact</b>   |
|  | L   | L  | M  |
| Criminal Apprehension  | For most Federal law enforcement systems that support criminal apprehension activities, the harm that results from unauthorized disclosure will be limited. Therefore, the provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for criminal apprehension information is <i>low</i> . | For most Federal law enforcement systems that support criminal apprehension activities, the harm that results from unauthorized modification or destruction will be limited. Therefore, the provisional integrity impact level recommended for criminal apprehension information is <i>low</i> . | The provisional availability impact level recommended for most criminal apprehension information is <i>moderate</i>                |
| Criminal Investigation and Surveillance  | M   | M  | M  |
| Criminal Investigation and Surveillance  | The provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for criminal investigation and surveillance information is <i>moderate</i> .   | The provisional integrity impact level recommended for criminal investigation and surveillance information is <i>moderate</i> .  | The provisional availability impact level recommended for criminal investigation and surveillance information is <i>moderate</i> . |
| <b>Final System Categorization:</b>  | <b>Moderate</b>   | <b>Moderate</b>  | <b>Moderate</b>  |
|  | <b>Overall Information System Impact: Moderate</b>  |  |  |

## Data Classification Methodology Version 1.4

### Example Three

An information system supporting criminal justice administration contains the following data type:

- a) Scheduling of court rooms and other related resources in support of judicial hearings

**Step 1)** The information owner reviews the predefined data categories in Appendix A and selects “Judicial Hearings” as a potential match for data type (a) above “The scheduling of court rooms and other related resources...”

**Step 2)** The detailed classification information for the “Judicial Hearings” data type is accessed from Vol. 2 and reviewed to ensure that it properly describes the actual data types in the information system. The definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Judicial Hearings” is as follows:

*D.17.1 Judicial Hearings Information Type*

*Judicial hearings include activities associated with conducting a hearing in a court of law to settle a dispute.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

**Step 3) and Step 4)** consist of completing the table below:

| Information System Name: Judicial Scheduling System   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <b>Business and Mission Supported:</b> The Judicial Scheduling System supports the provisioning and scheduling of all resources required for judicial hearings. |  |  |  |
| Information Types   |  |  |  |
| Judicial Hearings   | The system provides details on the scheduling of court rooms and other related personnel and required resources.   |  |  |
| Identify Information Types  | Confidentiality Impact   | Integrity Impact   | Availability Impact  |
|   | M  | L  | L  |
| Judicial Hearings   | Given the consequences of unauthorized disclosure, the provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for judicial hearings information is <i>moderate</i> . | Recommended Integrity Impact Level: The provisional integrity impact level recommended for judicial hearings information is <i>low</i> . | Recommended Availability Impact Level: The provisional availability impact level recommended for judicial hearings information is <i>low</i> . |
| Final System Categorization:  | M  | L  | L  |
|   | <b>Overall Information System Impact: Moderate</b>   |  |  |

## Data Classification Methodology

### Version 1.4

#### **Example Four**

An information system supporting the provision of patient medical care and billing at a State Administered Hospital contains the following data types:

- a) Patient Medical Records
- b) Patient Billing Records
- c) Inventory data related to routine hospital operations

**Step 1)** The information owner reviews the predefined data categories in Appendix A, and selects “Health Care Delivery Services” as a potential match for data type (a). For data type b) “Health Care Administration” is selected as a potential match. For data type (c) “Inventory Control” is selected as a potential match.

**Step 2)** The detailed classification information for data type (a) is accessed from Vol. 2, and reviewed to ensure that it properly describes the actual data types in the information system. The definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Health Care Delivery Services” is as follows:

***D.14.4 Health Care Delivery Services Information Type***

*Health Care Delivery Services provide and support the delivery of health care to its beneficiaries. The support includes assessing health status; planning health services; ensuring quality of services and continuity of care; and managing clinical information and documentation.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

For data type (b) the definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Health Care Administration” is as follows:

***D.14.3 Health Care Administration Information Type***

*Health Care Administration assures that federal health care resources are expended effectively to ensure quality, safety, and efficiency. This includes managing health care quality, cost, workload, utilization, and fraud/abuse efforts.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

For data type c) the definition provided by Vol. 2 for “Inventory Control” is as follows:

***C.3.4.2 Inventory Control Information Type***

*Inventory control refers to the tracking of information related to procured assets and resources with regard to quantity, quality, and location.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

**Step 3) and Step 4)** consist of completing the table below:

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.4**

| Information System Name: Hospital Administration System  |   |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| <b>Business and Mission Supported:</b> The Hospital Administration System supports the provision of medical services to patients, as well as Hospital financial and administrative services. |   |  |   |
| Information Types  |   |  |   |
| Health Care Delivery Services  | Complete medical record information for all current and former patients.  |  |   |
| Health Care Administration   | Provides billing and accounting services in support of all hospital activities.   |  |   |
| Inventory Control  | Tracks all tangible hospital assets from acquisition to disposal.   |  |   |
| Identify Information Types   | Confidentiality Impact  | Integrity Impact   | Availability Impact   |
|  | L   | H  | L   |
| Health Care Delivery Services  | The provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for disclosure of health care delivery services information is <b>low</b> .  | Because of the potential for the loss of human life, the provisional integrity impact level recommended for health care delivery services information is <b>high</b> . | The provisional availability impact level recommended for health care delivery services information is <b>low</b> . |
| Health Care Administration   | L   | M  | L   |
| Health Care Administration   | The provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for disclosure of Health Care Administration information is <b>low</b> .   | The provisional integrity impact level recommended for Health Care Administration information is <b>Moderate</b> .   | The provisional availability impact level recommended for Health Care Administration information is <b>low</b> .    |
| Inventory Control  | L   | L  | L   |
| Inventory Control  | Regardless of the <b>moderate</b> or <b>high</b> impact associated with unauthorized disclosure of some inventory control information, the provisional confidentiality impact level recommended for inventory control information is <b>low</b> . | The provisional integrity impact level recommended for inventory control information is <b>low</b> .   | The provisional availability impact level recommended for inventory control information is <b>low</b> .             |
| Final System Categorization:   | L   | H  | L   |
|  | <b>Overall Information System Impact: High</b>  |  |   |

## Data Classification Methodology Version 1.4

### Example Five

A word document consisting of a list of retired employees contains the following data types:

- d) Employee Name
- e) Employee Address
- f) Monetary retirement benefits received to date

For the purposes of this example, we will assume the data contained in the document was exported from another system that is the system of record for this data.

**Step 1)** The data owner reviews the predefined data categories in Appendix A, and selects “General Retirement and Disability” as a potential match for data types a, b, and c.

**Step 2)** The detailed classification information for the data type is accessed from Vol. 2, and reviewed to ensure that it properly describes the actual data types in the document. The definition provided by Vol. 2 for “General Retirement and Disability” is as follows:

*D.15.1 General Retirement and Disability Information Type*

*General Retirement and Disability involves the development and management of retirement benefits, pensions, and income security for those who are retired or disabled.*

This definition is deemed to be an accurate match.

**Step 3) and Step 4)** consist of completing the table below:

|  |   |                         |                     |
|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Information System Name: Document Containing Retirement Benefit</b>   |   |                         |                     |
| <b>Business and Mission Supported:</b> This document supports the provision and reporting of retirement benefits |   |                         |                     |
| <b>Information Types</b>   |   |                         |                     |
| General Retirement and Disability  | Retirement benefit information for retired employees is contained in this document. |                         |                     |
| <b>Identify Information Types</b>  | <b>Confidentiality Impact</b>   | <b>Integrity Impact</b> | <b>Availability</b> |
|  | General Retirement  | M                       | M                   |

**Data Classification Methodology  
Version 1.4**

|                                 |   |   |   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| and Disability                  | The confidentiality impact recommended for general retirement and disability information is <i>moderate</i> . | The provisional integrity impact level recommended for general retirement and disability information is <i>moderate</i> . | The provisional availability impact level recommended for general retirement and disability information is <i>moderate</i> . But, because this is not the authoritative source of this information, and the information can be readily retrieved from the system of record, the availability impact is likely, in reality, <i>low</i> |
| <b>Final<br/>Categorization</b> | <b>M</b>  | <b>M</b>  | <b>L</b>  |
| <b>Overall Impact: Moderate</b> |   |   |   |

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.4**

**Appendix A**

**Table Appendix A-I Security Categorization of Management and Support Information**

|  | Confidentiality        | Integrity        | Availability        |
|--|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Controls and Oversight</i>                  |                        |                  |                     |
| Corrective Action (Policy/Regulation)          | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Program Evaluation                             | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Program Monitoring                             | Low <sup>3</sup>       | Low              | Low                 |
| <i>Regulatory Development</i>                  |                        |                  |                     |
| Policy and Guidance Development                | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Public Comment Tracking                        | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Regulatory Creation                            | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Rule Publication                               | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| <i>Planning and Budgeting</i>                  |                        |                  |                     |
| Budget Formulation                             | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Capital Planning                               | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Enterprise Architecture                        | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Strategic Planning                             | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Budget Execution                               | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Workforce Planning                             | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Management Improvement                         | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Budgeting & Performance Integration            | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Tax and Fiscal Policy                          | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| <i>Internal Risk Management and Mitigation</i> |                        |                  |                     |
| Contingency Planning                           | Moderate               | Moderate         | Moderate            |
| Continuity of Operations                       | Moderate               | Moderate         | Moderate            |
|  | <b>Confidentiality</b> | <b>Integrity</b> | <b>Availability</b> |
| Service Recovery                               | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Revenue Collection                             |                        |                  |                     |
| Debt Collection                                | Moderate               | Low              | Low                 |
| User Fee Collection                            | Low                    | Low              | Moderate            |
| Federal Asset Sales                            | Low                    | Moderate         | Low                 |
| Public Affairs                                 |                        |                  |                     |
| Customer Services                              | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Official Information Dissemination             | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Product Outreach                               | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Public Relations                               | Low                    | Low              | Low                 |
| Legislative Relations                          |                        |                  |                     |

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.4**

|   |                  |                  |                  |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Legislation Tracking                        | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Legislation Testimony                       | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Proposal Development                        | Moderate         | Low              | Low              |
| Congressional Liason Operations             | Moderate         | Low              | Low              |
| General Government                          |                  |                  |                  |
| Central Fiscal Operations <sup>4</sup>      | Moderate         | Low              | Low              |
| Legislative Functions                       | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Executive Functions <sup>5</sup>            | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Central Property Management                 | Low <sup>6</sup> | Low              | Low <sup>7</sup> |
| Central Personnel Management                | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Taxation Management                         | Moderate         | Low              | Low              |
| Central Records and Statistics Management   | Moderate         | Low              | Low              |
| Income Information                          | Moderate         | Moderate         | Moderate         |
| Personal Identity and Authentication        | Moderate         | Moderate         | Moderate         |
| Entitlement Event Information               | Moderate         | Moderate         | Moderate         |
| Representative Payee Information            | Moderate         | Moderate         | Moderate         |
| General Information                         | Low              | Low              | Low              |
|   | Confidentiality  | Integrity        | Availability     |
| Administrative Management                   |                  |                  |                  |
| Facilities, Fleet, and Equipment Mgmt       | Low <sup>6</sup> | Low <sup>7</sup> | Low <sup>7</sup> |
| Help Desk Services                          | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Security Management                         | Moderate         | Moderate         | Low              |
| Travel                                      | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Workplace Policy Development and Management | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Financial Management                        |                  |                  |                  |
| Asset and Liability Management              | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Reporting and Information                   | Low              | Moderate         | Low              |
| Funds Control                               | Moderate         | Moderate         | Low              |
| Accounting                                  | Low              | Moderate         | Low              |
| Payments                                    | Low              | Moderate         | Low              |
| Collections and Receivables                 | Low              | Moderate         | Low              |
| Cost Accounting/ Performance Measurement    | Low              | Moderate         | Low              |
| Human Resource Management                   |                  |                  |                  |
| HR Strategy                                 | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Staff Acquisition                           | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Organization and Position Management        | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Compensation Management                     | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Benefits Management                         | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Employee Performance Management             | Low              | Low              | Low              |
| Employee Relations                          | Low              | Low              | Low              |



**Data Classification Methodology  
Version 1.4**

|                                     |                 |           |              |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Labor Relations                     | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Separation Management               | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Human Resources Development         | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Supply Chain Management             |                 |           |              |
| Goods Acquisition                   | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Inventory Control                   | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Logistics Management                | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Services Acquisition                | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Information & Technology Management |                 |           |              |
| System Development                  | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| Lifecycle/Change Management         | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| System Maintenance                  | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| IT Infrastructure Maintenance I O   | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Information System Security         | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
|                                     | Confidentiality | Integrity | Availability |
| Record Retention                    | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Information Management I I          | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| System and Network Monitoring       | Moderate        | Moderate  | Low          |
| Information Sharing                 | N/A             | N/A       | N/A          |

**Table Appendix A-2: Security Categorization of Mission Based Information**

|  | Confidentiality       | Integrity             | Availability          |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>Defense &amp; National Security</i>           | <b>Nat'l Security</b> | <b>Nat'l Security</b> | <b>Nat'l Security</b> |
| <i>Homeland Security</i>                         |                       |                       |                       |
| Border Control and Transportation Security       | Moderate              | Moderate              | Moderate              |
| Key Asset and Critical Infrastructure Protection | High                  | High                  | High                  |
| Catastrophic Defense                             | High                  | High                  | High                  |
| Executive Functions of the EO P23                | High                  | Moderate              | High                  |
| <i>Intelligence Operations</i> <sup>24</sup>     | High                  | High                  | High                  |
| <i>Disaster Management</i>                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Disaster Monitoring and Prediction               | Low                   | High                  | High                  |
| Disaster Preparedness and Planning               | Low                   | Low                   | Low                   |
| Disaster Repair and Restoration                  | Low                   | Low                   | Low                   |
| Emergency Response                               | Low                   | High                  | High                  |
|  | Confidentiality       | Integrity             | Availability          |
| <i>International Affairs and Commerce</i>        |                       |                       |                       |
| Foreign Affairs                                  | High                  | High                  | Moderate              |

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.4**

|   |                 |                 |                 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| International Development and Humanitarian Aid  | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Global Trade                                    | <b>High</b>     | <b>High</b>     | <b>High</b>     |
| <i>Natural Resources</i>                        |                 |                 |                 |
| Water Resource Management                       | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Conservation, Marine, and Land Management       | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Recreational Resource Management and Tourism    | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Agricultural Innovation and Services            | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| <i>Energy</i>                                   |                 |                 |                 |
| Energy Supply                                   | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Moderate</b> |
| Energy Conservation and Preparedness            | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Energy Resource Management                      | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Energy Production                               | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| <i>Environmental Management</i>                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Environmental Monitoring/ Forecasting           | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      |
| Environmental Remediation                       | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Pollution Prevention And Control                | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| <i>Economic Development</i>                     |                 |                 |                 |
| Business and Industry Development               | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Intellectual Property Protection                | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Financial Sector Oversight                      | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Industry Sector Income Stabilization            | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| <i>Community and Social Services</i>            |                 |                 |                 |
| Homeownership Promotion                         | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Community and Regional Development              | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Social Services                                 | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Postal Services                                 | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Moderate</b> |
| <i>Transportation</i>                           |                 |                 |                 |
| Ground Transportation                           | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Water Transportation                            | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Air Transportation                              | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Space Operations                                | <b>Low</b>      | <b>High</b>     | <b>High</b>     |
| <i>Education</i>                                |                 |                 |                 |
| Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Education | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Higher Education                                | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Cultural & Historic Preservation                | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Cultural & Historic Exhibition                  | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| <i>Workforce Management</i>                     |                 |                 |                 |
|   | Confidentiality | Integrity       | Availability    |
| Training and Employment                         | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Labor Rights Management                         | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| Worker Safety                                   | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Low</b>      |
| <i>Health</i>                                   |                 |                 |                 |
| Access to Care                                  | <b>Low</b>      | <b>Moderate</b> | <b>Low</b>      |

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.4**

|  |                 |           |              |
|--|-----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Population Health Management and Consumer Safety     | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| Health Care Administration                           | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| Health Care Delivery Services                        | Low             | High      | Low          |
| Health Care Research and Practitioner Education      | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| <i>Income Security</i>                               |                 |           |              |
| General Retirement and Disability                    | Moderate        | Moderate  | Moderate     |
| Unemployment Compensation                            | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Housing Assistance                                   | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Food and Nutrition Assistance                        | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Survivor Compensation                                | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| <i>Law Enforcement</i>                               |                 |           |              |
| Criminal Apprehension                                | Low             | Low       | Moderate     |
| Criminal Investigation and Surveillance              | Moderate        | Moderate  | Moderate     |
| Citizen Protection                                   | Moderate        | Moderate  | Moderate     |
| Leadership Protection                                | Moderate        | Low       | Low          |
| Property Protection                                  | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Substance Control                                    | Moderate        | Moderate  | Moderate     |
| Crime Prevention                                     | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Trade Law Enforcement <sup>27</sup>                  | Moderate        | Moderate  | Moderate     |
| <i>Litigation and Judicial Activities</i>            |                 |           |              |
| Judicial Hearings                                    | Moderate        | Low       | Low          |
| Legal Defense  | Moderate        | High      | Low          |
| Legal Investigation                                  | Moderate        | Moderate  | Moderate     |
| Legal Prosecution and Litigation                     | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| Resolution Facilitation                              | Moderate        | Low       | Low          |
| <i>Federal Correctional Activities</i>               |                 |           |              |
| Criminal Incarceration                               | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| Criminal Rehabilitation                              | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| <i>General Science and Innovation</i>                |                 |           |              |
| Scientific and Technological Research and Innovation | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| Space Exploration and Innovation                     | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| <i>Knowledge Creation and Management</i>             |                 |           |              |
| Research and Development                             | Low             | Moderate  | Low          |
| General Purpose Data and Statistics                  | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Advising and Consulting                              | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Knowledge Dissemination                              | Low             | Low       | Low          |
|  | Confidentiality | Integrity | Availability |
| <i>Regulatory Compliance and Enforcement</i>         |                 |           |              |
| Inspections and Auditing                             | Moderate        | Moderate  | Low          |
| Standards Setting/ Reporting Guideline Development   | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Permits and Licensing                                | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| <i>Public Goods Creation and Management</i>          |                 |           |              |
| Manufacturing  | Low             | Low       | Low          |
| Construction   | Low             | Low       | Low          |

**Data Classification Methodology**  
Version 1.4

|   |          |     |     |
|---|----------|-----|-----|
| Public Resources, Facility, and Infrastructure Management | Low      | Low | Low |
| Information Infrastructure Management                     | Low      | Low | Low |
| <i>Federal Financial Assistance</i>                       |          |     |     |
| Federal Grants (Non-State)                                | Low      | Low | Low |
| Direct Transfers to Individuals                           | Low      | Low | Low |
| Subsidies   | Low      | Low | Low |
| Tax Credits   | Moderate | Low | Low |
| <i>Credits and Insurance</i>                              |          |     |     |
| Direct Loans  | Low      | Low | Low |
| Loan Guarantees   | Low      | Low | Low |
| General Insurance   | Low      | Low | Low |
| <i>Transfers to State/Local Governments</i>               |          |     |     |
| Formula Grants  | Low      | Low | Low |
| Project/Competitive Grants                                | Low      | Low | Low |
| Earmarked Grants  | Low      | Low | Low |
| State Loans   | Low      | Low | Low |
| <i>Direct Services for Citizens</i>                       |          |     |     |
| Military Operations <sup>28</sup>                         | N/A      | N/A | N/A |
| Civilian Operations <sup>28</sup>                         | N/A      | N/A | N/A |

**Data Classification Methodology**  
**Version 1.4**

**Appendix B**

**Data Classification Methodology References**

The following documents were utilized as original source material for this guide:

- ~ FIPS Publication 199, Standards for Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips199/FIPS-PUB-199-final.pdf>
- ~ FIPS Publication 200, Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips200/FIPS-200-final-march.pdf>
- ~ NIST SP 800-30, Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-30/sp800-30.pdf>
- ~ NIST Draft SP 800-39, Managing Risk from Information Systems: An Organization Perspective: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-39/SP800-39-spd-sz.pdf>
- ~ NIST SP 800-53, Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems Rev. 3: <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-53-Rev3/sp800-53-rev3-final-errata.pdf>
- ~ NIST SP 800-60 Volume 1, Guide for Mapping Types of Information and Information Systems to Security Categories: [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-60-rev1/SP800-60\\_Vol1-Rev1.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-60-rev1/SP800-60_Vol1-Rev1.pdf)
- ~ NIST SP 800-60 Volume 2, Appendices to Guide for Mapping Types of Information and Information Systems to Security Categories: [http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-60-rev1/SP800-60\\_Vol2-Rev1.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-60-rev1/SP800-60_Vol2-Rev1.pdf)