# Comparative Analysis of Probation, Parole and Incarceration: Connecticut, the United States and Other States - 1996 to 2006 
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## Summary

This document provides a comparative analysis of rates and numbers of probation, parole and sentenced prison populations for Connecticut, the United States and a cohort of other Northeast states - Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. The purpose of this document is to provide a relative measurement of increasing or decreasing rates and numbers of those statistics, by comparison, to those same geographic areas.

- Probationers are criminal offenders who have been sentenced to a period of correctional supervision in the community in lieu of incarceration.
- Parole is defined as a period of conditional supervised release following a prison term.
- Sentenced prisoners are defined as those offenders incarcerated with a sentence greater than 1 year.

This data is collected by Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) in their Annual Probation and Parole Survey and their National Prisoner Statistics (NPS) program. BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation of: State Departments of Corrections and the Federal Bureau of Prisons for NPS data; and State central reporters and separate State, county, and court agencies for probation and parole data. For more about the data and disclaimers please see Appendix I.

- Nationally, the probation population grew by 70,266 during 2006. This was the largest growth since 2002.
- During 2006 the parole population grew by 17,586 . Arkansas had the highest rate of parole supervision (863 per 100,000), surpassing Pennsylvania which had the highest rate of parole supervision since 1997. Maine had the lowest rate of parole supervision in 2006 (3 per 100,000).
- During 2006 the sentenced prison population increased by $2.7 \%$ or 39,313 prisoners, accounting for $92 \%$ of the overall increase in the jurisdiction prison population. Louisiana had the highest rate of incarceration for sentenced prisoners at year end 2006 ( 846 per 100,000 residents), followed by Texas (683 per 100,000 ). Texas ( 162,193 inmates) has the second highest number of sentenced prisoners after California with 173,942 inmates. Maine had the lowest rate of incarceration in 2006 (151 per 100,000).


## Connecticut Rankings

States are ranked from 1 to 50 . Higher numbers mean that the State has a lower ranking, whereas lower numbers mean a higher ranking. Below is a summary of rankings for Connecticut:

- Over the past ten years, Connecticut's probation rate has been relatively stable and consistently higher than that of the United States and other adjacent Northeast states.
- Connecticut's parole rate rank remained relatively stable at 38 in 2004 to 39 in 2006. Overall, Connecticut's parole rate has been consistently lower than that of the United States and other
 adjacent Northeast states.
- Among the States, the incarceration rate for Connecticut ranks near the midpoint, but compared to other adjacent Northeast states it has the highest rate.

| Type | 2006 <br> Ranking | 2005 <br> Ranking | 2004 <br> Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probation <br> Rate | 12 | 13 | 16 |
| Number of <br> Probationers | 22 | 19 | 21 |
| Parole Rate | 39 | 40 | 38 |
| Number of <br> Parolees | 37 | 35 | 34 |
| Incarceration <br> Rate | 28 | 28 | 29 |
| Number of <br> Sentenced <br> Prisoners | 27 | 29 | 28 |

## Probation Rate

## Probation Rate

Definition: The probation rate is defined as the number of persons reported as being on probation (via state submission of its Annual Probation Survey) divided by the estimated adult state population (18 years and older) times 100,000.

TABLE 1 - Probation Rate: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

2006

| Rank | State | Probation <br> Rate per $100,000$ <br> Residents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Georgia | 6,059 |
| 2 | Idaho | 4,482 |
| 3 | Massachusetts | 3,396 |
| 4 | Minnesota | 3,243 |
| 5 | Rhode Island | 3,142 |
| 6 | Ohio | 2,799 |
| 7 | Delaware | 2,592 |
| 8 | Indiana | 2,533 |
| 9 | Texas | 2,515 |
| 10 | Michigan | 2,398 |
| 11 | Washington | 2,202 |
| 12 | Connecticut | 2,027 |
| 13 | New Jersey | 1,995 |
| 14 | Florida | 1,925 |
| 15 | Hawaii | 1,870 |
| 16 | Pennsylvania | 1,784 |
| 17 | Maryland | 1,773 |
| 18 | Colorado | 1,743 |
| 19 | North Carolina | 1,632 |
| 20 | Alabama | 1,592 |
| 21 | Arizona | 1,591 |
| 22 | Oregon | 1,580 |
| 23 | Vermont | 1,554 |
| 24 | California | 1,486 |
| 25 | Arkansas | 1,478 |
| 26 | Illinois | 1,461 |
| 27 | Nebraska | 1,410 |
| 28 | Wyoming | 1,319 |
| 29 | Wisconsin | 1,311 |
| 30 | Kentucky | 1,279 |
| 31 | Alaska | 1,239 |
| 32 | Missouri | 1,237 |
| 33 | Montana | 1,201 |
| 34 | Louisiana | 1,186 |
| 35 | South Carolina | 1,160 |
| 36 | Tennessee | 1,136 |
| 37 | New Mexico | 1,131 |
| 38 | Mississippi | 1,116 |
| 39 | Oklahoma | 1,016 |
| 40 | Iowa | 993 |
| 41 | South Dakota | 959 |
| 42 | North Dakota | 875 |
| 43 | New York | 834 |
| 44 | Virginia | 820 |
| 45 | Maine | 760 |
| 46 | Kansas | 748 |
| 47 | Nevada | 699 |
| 48 | Utah | 586 |
| 49 | West Virginia | 536 |
| 50 | New Hampshire | 450 |

2005

| Rank | State | Probation <br> Rate per $100,000$ <br> Residents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Georgia | 5,124 |
| 2 | Massachusetts | 3,350 |
| 3 | Idaho | 3,263 |
| 4 | Rhode Island | 3,091 |
| 5 | Minnesota | 2,988 |
| 6 | Delaware | 2,828 |
| 7 | Ohio | 2,745 |
| 8 | Indiana | 2,583 |
| 9 | Texas | 2,580 |
| 10 | Michigan | 2,350 |
| 11 | Washington | 2,155 |
| 12 | New Jersey | 2,117 |
| 13 | Connecticut | 2,092 |
| 14 | Florida | 2,002 |
| 15 | Vermont | 1,820 |
| 16 | Maryland | 1,793 |
| 17 | Pennsylvania | 1,741 |
| 18 | Hawaii | 1,693 |
| 19 | North Carolina | 1,693 |
| 20 | Colorado | 1,613 |
| 21 | Arizona | 1,606 |
| 22 | Oregon | 1,597 |
| 23 | Illinois | 1,500 |
| 24 | California | 1,462 |
| 25 | Arkansas | 1,431 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 1,387 |
| 27 | Wisconsin | 1,298 |
| 28 | New Mexico | 1,287 |
| 29 | Wyoming | 1,216 |
| 30 | South Carolina | 1,212 |
| 31 | Missouri | 1,208 |
| 32 | Alaska | 1,182 |
| 33 | Louisiana | 1,133 |
| 34 | Alabama | 1,121 |
| 35 | Montana | 1,121 |
| 36 | Kentucky | 1,100 |
| 37 | Mississippi | 1,096 |
| 38 | Tennessee | 1,072 |
| 39 | Oklahoma | 1,065 |
| 40 | lowa | 1,018 |
| 41 | South Dakota | 899 |
| 42 | New York | 810 |
| 43 | North Dakota | 791 |
| 44 | Virginia | 788 |
| 45 | Maine | 776 |
| 46 | Kansas | 723 |
| 47 | Nevada | 709 |
| 48 | Utah | 578 |
| 49 | West Virginia | 533 |
| 50 | New Hampshire | 457 |

2004

| Rank | State | Probation Rate per 100,000 Residents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Georgia | 5,124 |
| 2 | Massachusetts | 3,301 |
| 3 | Idaho | 3,263 |
| 4 | Rhode Island | 3,117 |
| 5 | Minnesota | 2,959 |
| 6 | Delaware | 2,940 |
| 7 | Washington | 2,654 |
| 8 | Texas | 2,643 |
| 9 | Ohio | 2,626 |
| 10 | Indiana | 2,511 |
| 11 | Michigan | 2,323 |
| 12 | Hawaii | 2,224 |
| 13 | New Jersey | 2,190 |
| 14 | Florida | 2,099 |
| 15 | Vermont | 2,000 |
| 16 | Connecticut | 1,955 |
| 17 | Maryland | 1,842 |
| 18 | Pennsylvania | 1,747 |
| 19 | North Carolina | 1,737 |
| 20 | Colorado | 1,698 |
| 21 | Arizona | 1,652 |
| 22 | Oregon | 1,620 |
| 23 | Illinois | 1,518 |
| 24 | California | 1,463 |
| 25 | Arkansas | 1,403 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 1,371 |
| 27 | Wisconsin | 1,308 |
| 28 | New Mexico | 1,256 |
| 29 | Missouri | 1,232 |
| 30 | South Carolina | 1,224 |
| 31 | Alaska | 1,187 |
| 32 | Louisiana | 1,148 |
| 33 | Wyoming | 1,134 |
| 34 | Alabama | 1,071 |
| 35 | Oklahoma | 1,068 |
| 36 | Kentucky | 1,051 |
| 37 | Tennessee | 1,051 |
| 38 | Montana | 1,005 |
| 39 | lowa | 1,004 |
| 40 | Mississippi | 990 |
| 41 | South Dakota | 926 |
| 42 | Maine | 901 |
| 43 | New York | 833 |
| 44 | Virginia | 769 |
| 45 | North Dakota | 744 |
| 46 | Nevada | 723 |
| 47 | Kansas | 697 |
| 48 | Utah | 621 |
| 49 | West Virginia | 488 |
| 50 | New Hampshire | 431 |

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm
Data Note: This data is collected by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) in their Annual Probation Survey and their National Prisoner Statistics (NPS) program. BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I .

## Probation Rate Trend

## Probation Rate Trend

Definition: The probation rate is calculated as the number of persons reported as being on probation (via state submission of its Annual Probation Survey) divided by the estimated adult state population (18 years and older) times 100,000.
Connecticut's probation rate is at the midpoint of other adjacent Northeast States, except for Massachusetts and Rhode Island.


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm

Connecticut's probation rate has been relatively stable over the past ten years and consistently higher than the U.S. and other Northeast States.

CHART 1b - Probation Rate in Connecticut, the Northeast and the US, 1996-2006
(Rate per 100,000 adult resident population)


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bis/pandp.htm
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## Number of Probationers

## Number of Probationers

Definition: The number of persons reported as being on probation, as per state submission of its annual probation survey (e.g. all probationers sentenced as an adult, who were conditionally released to probation supervision).

TABLE 2 - Number of Probationers: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Number of Probationers | Rank | State | Number of Probationers | Rank | State | Number of Probationers |
| 1 | Texas | 431,967 | 1 | Texas | 430,312 | 1 | Texas | 428,773 |
| 2 | Georgia | 422,790 | 2 | Georgia | 422,848 | 2 | Georgia | 419,350 |
| 3 | California | 401,707 | 3 | California | 388,260 | 3 | California | 384,852 |
| 4 | Florida | 272,977 | 4 | Florida | 277,831 | 4 | Florida | 281,170 |
| 5 | Ohio | 243,956 | 5 | Ohio | 239,036 | 5 | Ohio | 227,891 |
| 6 | Michigan | 182,650 | 6 | Michigan | 178,609 | 6 | Michigan | 176,083 |
| 7 | Pennsylvania | 172,184 | 7 | Pennsylvania | 167,561 | 7 | Pennsylvania | 167,180 |
| 8 | Massachusetts | 169,522 | 8 | Massachusetts | 165,365 | 8 | Massachusetts | 163,471 |
| 9 | Illinois | 141,000 | 9 | Illinois | 143,136 | 9 | Illinois | 143,871 |
| 10 | New Jersey | 132,636 | 10 | New Jersey | 139,091 | 10 | New Jersey | 143,315 |
| 11 | Minnesota | 127,289 | 11 | Indiana | 121,014 | 11 | Washington | 125,222 |
| 12 | New York | 123,418 | 12 | New York | 119,025 | 12 | New York | 122,027 |
| 13 | Indiana | 120,421 | 13 | Minnesota | 117,073 | 13 | Indiana | 116,431 |
| 14 | North Carolina | 110,419 | 14 | North Carolina | 111,626 | 14 | Minnesota | 114,226 |
| 15 | Washington | 108,076 | 15 | Washington | 104,293 | 15 | North Carolina | 111,537 |
| 16 | Maryland | 75,698 | 16 | Maryland | 75,593 | 16 | Maryland | 76,676 |
| 17 | Arizona | 73,265 | 17 | Arizona | 71,138 | 17 | Arizona | 69,343 |
| 18 | Colorado | 63,032 | 18 | Colorado | 56,623 | 18 | Colorado | 58,108 |
| 19 | Wisconsin | 55,806 | 19 | Connecticut | 56,072 | 19 | Wisconsin | 54,970 |
| 20 | Alabama | 55,766 | 20 | Wisconsin | 55,175 | 20 | Missouri | 53,832 |
| 21 | Missouri | 54,963 | 21 | Missouri | 53,614 | 21 | Connecticut | 52,092 |
| 22 | Connecticut | 54,511 | 22 | Tennessee | 49,302 | 22 | Tennessee | 47,392 |
| 23 | Tennessee | 52,558 | 23 | Virginia | 45,589 | 23 | Idaho | 44,580 |
| 24 | Idaho | 48,609 | 24 | Oregon | 44,853 | 24 | Oregon | 44,435 |
| 25 | Virginia | 48,144 | 25 | Idaho | 43,712 | 25 | Virginia | 43,470 |
| 26 | Oregon | 45,250 | 26 | South Carolina | 39,349 | 26 | South Carolina | 38,856 |
| 27 | Kentucky | 41,162 | 27 | Alabama | 38,995 | 27 | Louisiana | 38,470 |
| 28 | South Carolina | 38,353 | 28 | Louisiana | 38,308 | 28 | Alabama | 36,795 |
| 29 | Louisiana | 38,057 | 29 | Kentucky | 35,230 | 29 | Kentucky | 33,286 |
| 30 | Arkansas | 31,508 | 30 | Arkansas | 30,248 | 30 | Arkansas | 29,128 |
| 31 | Oklahoma | 27,415 | 31 | Oklahoma | 28,865 | 31 | Oklahoma | 28,435 |
| 32 | Rhode Island | 26,017 | 32 | Rhode Island | 25,613 | 32 | Rhode Island | 26,085 |
| 33 | Mississippi | 24,107 | 33 | Mississippi | 23,864 | 33 | lowa | 22,832 |
| 34 | lowa | 22,622 | 34 | lowa | 23,404 | 34 | Hawaii | 21,446 |
| 35 | Nebraska | 18,731 | 35 | New Mexico | 18,706 | 35 | Mississippi | 21,324 |
| 36 | Hawaii | 18,598 | 36 | Nebraska | 18,468 | 36 | Delaware | 18,725 |
| 37 | Delaware | 16,958 | 37 | Delaware | 18,462 | 37 | Nebraska | 17,994 |
| 38 | New Mexico | 16,493 | 38 | Hawaii | 16,825 | 38 | New Mexico | 17,725 |
| 39 | Kansas | 15,518 | 39 | Kansas | 15,010 | 39 | Kansas | 14,309 |
| 40 | Nevada | 13,208 | 40 | Nevada | 12,931 | 40 | Nevada | 12,521 |
| 41 | Utah | 10,426 | 41 | Utah | 10,079 | 41 | Utah | 10,244 |
| 42 | Montana | 8,770 | 42 | Vermont | 8,934 | 42 | Vermont | 9,731 |
| 43 | Maine | 7,919 | 43 | Montana | 8,233 | 43 | Maine | 9,322 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 7,668 | 44 | Maine | 8,120 | 44 | Montana | 7,221 |
| 45 | Vermont | 7,631 | 45 | West Virginia | 7,646 | 45 | West Virginia | 6,977 |
| 46 | Alaska | 6,095 | 46 | Alaska | 5,680 | 46 | Alaska | 5,547 |
| 47 | South Dakota | 5,661 | 47 | South Dakota | 5,308 | 47 | South Dakota | 5,372 |
| 48 | Wyoming | 5,225 | 48 | Wyoming | 4,826 | 48 | Wyoming | 4,418 |
| 49 | New Hampshire | 4,590 | 49 | New Hampshire | 4,615 | 49 | New Hampshire | 4,285 |
| 50 | North Dakota | 4,303 | 50 | North Dakota | 3,960 | 50 | North Dakota | 3,687 |

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm
Data Note: Probation data is collected via the Annual Probation Survey administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix $I$.

## Number of Probationers Trend

## Number of Probationers Trend

Definition: The number of persons reported as being on probation, as per state submission of its annual probation survey (e.g. all probationers sentenced as an adult, who were conditionally released to probation supervision).

Connecticut's number of probationers is consistently lower than that of other adjacent Northeast States, except for Rhode Island. In 2006 Pennsylvania had the highest number of probationers followed by Massachusetts.

CHART 2a - Number of Probationers in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm
Connecticut's number of probationers has been relatively stable over the past ten years and below the U.S. and Northeast averages.

CHART 2 b - Number of Probationers in Connecticut, the Northeast Average and the US Average, 1996-2006


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bis/pandp.htm. Northeast and United States Averages calculated by OPM Division of Criminal Justice Policy and Planning

## Parole Rate

## Parole Rate

Definition: The parole rate is defined/calculated as the number of persons reported as being on parole (via state submission of its Annual Parole Survey) divided by the estimated adult state population (18 years and older) times 100,000.

TABLE 3 - Parole Rate: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Parole Rate per 100,000 Adult Residents | Rank | State | Parole Rate per 100,000 Adult Residents | Rank | State | Parole Rate per 100,000 Adult Residents |
| 1 | Arkansas | 863 | 1 | Pennsylvania | 787 | 1 | Pennsylvania | 806 |
| 2 | Pennsylvania | 791 | 2 | Arkansas | 782 | 2 | Oregon | 761 |
| 3 | Oregon | 782 | 3 | Oregon | 766 | 3 | Louisiana | 728 |
| 4 | Louisiana | 769 | 4 | Louisiana | 712 | 4 | Arkansas | 715 |
| 5 | Texas | 583 | 5 | Texas | 611 | 5 | Texas | 629 |
| 6 | South Dakota | 469 | 6 | California | 421 | 6 | California | 419 |
| 7 | California | 439 | 7 | South Dakota | 414 | 7 | Missouri | 398 |
| 8 | Missouri | 429 | 8 | Missouri | 414 | 8 | South Dakota | 382 |
| 9 | Wisconsin | 381 | 9 | Wisconsin | 365 | 9 | New York | 372 |
| 10 | Kentucky | 369 | 10 | New York | 364 | 10 | Illinois | 362 |
| 11 | Illinois | 362 | 11 | Illinois | 362 | 11 | Georgia | 359 |
| 12 | New York | 358 | 12 | Maryland | 339 | 12 | Maryland | 345 |
| 13 | Maryland | 336 | 13 | Georgia | 338 | 13 | Wisconsin | 330 |
| 14 | Georgia | 329 | 14 | Kentucky | 298 | 14 | Michigan | 276 |
| 15 | Colorado | 264 | 15 | Michigan | 263 | 15 | Kentucky | 253 |
| 16 | Washington | 257 | 16 | Washington | 239 | 16 | Hawaii | 238 |
| 17 | Idaho | 252 | 17 | Colorado | 234 | 17 | Idaho | 232 |
| 18 | Alabama | 247 | 18 | Idaho | 233 | 18 | Alabama | 225 |
| 19 | Michigan | 243 | 19 | Kansas | 225 | 19 | Kansas | 221 |
| 20 | Kansas | 235 | 20 | Ohio | 224 | 20 | Ohio | 218 |
| 21 | Hawaii | 233 | 21 | Nevada | 217 | 21 | New Jersey | 217 |
| 22 | New Jersey | 217 | 22 | Vermont | 216 | 22 | Colorado | 216 |
| 23 | Alaska | 212 | 23 | Hawaii | 213 | 23 | Nevada | 209 |
| 24 | Tennessee | 210 | 24 | New Jersey | 211 | 24 | Alaska | 204 |
| 25 | Nevada | 202 | 25 | Alabama | 208 | 25 | Utah | 201 |
| 26 | Ohio | 202 | 26 | Alaska | 202 | 26 | Vermont | 190 |
| 27 | New Mexico | 200 | 27 | New Mexico | 197 | 27 | New Mexico | 190 |
| 28 | Vermont | 197 | 28 | Tennessee | 190 | 28 | Tennessee | 186 |
| 29 | Utah | 190 | 29 | Utah | 188 | 29 | Oklahoma | 163 |
| 30 | Wyoming | 170 | 30 | Indiana | 156 | 30 | Indiana | 162 |
| 31 | Indiana | 167 | 31 | lowa | 155 | 31 | lowa | 146 |
| 32 | New Hampshire | 159 | 32 | Wyoming | 153 | 32 | Wyoming | 145 |
| 33 | lowa | 157 | 33 | Oklahoma | 148 | 33 | Arizona | 135 |
| 34 | Arizona | 140 | 34 | New Hampshire | 139 | 34 | New Hampshire | 122 |
| 35 | Montana | 116 | 35 | Arizona | 138 | 35 | Montana | 113 |
| 36 | Oklahoma | 114 | 36 | Montana | 114 | 36 | South Carolina | 104 |
| 37 | Minnesota | 113 | 37 | Minnesota | 101 | 37 | Minnesota | 100 |
| 38 | West Virginia | 107 | 38 | West Virginia | 99 | 38 | Connecticut | 96 |
| 39 | Connecticut | 95 | 39 | South Carolina | 97 | 39 | Mississippi | 92 |
| 40 | Mississippi | 88 | 40 | Connecticut | 96 | 40 | West Virginia | 85 |
| 41 | Delaware | 83 | 41 | Delaware | 92 | 41 | Delaware | 85 |
| 42 | South Carolina | 83 | 42 | Mississippi | 90 | 42 | Virginia | 78 |
| 43 | North Dakota | 75 | 43 | Virginia | 78 | 43 | Massachusetts | 78 |
| 44 | Virginia | 68 | 44 | Massachusetts | 73 | 44 | Nebraska | 61 |
| 45 | Massachusetts | 65 | 45 | North Dakota | 57 | 45 | North Dakota | 48 |
| 46 | Nebraska | 60 | 46 | Nebraska | 50 | 46 | North Carolina | 45 |
| 47 | North Carolina | 48 | 47 | North Carolina | 47 | 47 | Rhode Island | 44 |
| 48 | Rhode Island | 44 | 48 | Rhode Island | 41 | 48 | Florida | 36 |
| 49 | Florida | 34 | 49 | Florida | 34 | 49 | Washington | 3 |
| 50 | Maine | 3 | 50 | Maine | 3 | 50 | Maine | 3 |

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm
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## Parole Rate Trend

## Parole Rate Trend

Definition: The parole rate is defined/calculated as the number of persons reported as being on parole (via state submission of its Annual Parole Survey) divided by the estimated adult state population (18 years and older) times 100,000.
Connecticut's parole rate is consistently lower than that of other adjacent Northeast States, except for Massachusetts and Rhode Island. Over the past ten years, Pennsylvania has had the highest parole rate, which has been significantly higher than other adjacent Northeast States.

CHART 3a - Parole Rate in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006
(Rate per 100,000 adult resident population)


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm

Although Connecticut's parole rate has shown a slight increase over the past ten years, it is consistently lower than the U.S. and other Northeast States.


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm
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## Number of Parolees

Definition: The number of persons reported as being on parole, as per state submission of its annual parole survey (e.g. all parolees sentenced as an adult, who were conditionally released to parole supervision, whether by parole board decision or by mandatory conditional release).

TABLE 4 - Number of Parolees: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Number of Parolees | Rank | State | Number of Parolees | Rank | State | Number of Parolees |
| 1 | California | 118,592 | 1 | California | 111,743 | 1 | California | 110,261 |
| 2 | Texas | 100,053 | 2 | Texas | 101,916 | 2 | Texas | 102,072 |
| 3 | Pennsylvania | 76,386 | 3 | Pennsylvania | 75,732 | 3 | Pennsylvania | 77,175 |
| 4 | New York | 53,001 | 4 | New York | 53,533 | 4 | New York | 54,524 |
| 5 | Illinois | 34,900 | 5 | Illinois | 34,576 | 5 | Illinois | 34,277 |
| 6 | Louisiana | 24,663 | 6 | Louisiana | 24,072 | 6 | Louisiana | 24,387 |
| 7 | Georgia | 22,958 | 7 | Georgia | 22,851 | 7 | Georgia | 23,344 |
| 8 | Oregon | 22,396 | 8 | Oregon | 21,499 | 8 | Michigan | 20,924 |
| 9 | Missouri | 19,063 | 9 | Michigan | 19,978 | 9 | Oregon | 20,858 |
| 10 | Michigan | 18,486 | 10 | Ohio | 19,512 | 10 | Ohio | 18,882 |
| 11 | Arkansas | 18,405 | 11 | Missouri | 18,374 | 11 | Missouri | 17,400 |
| 12 | Ohio | 17,603 | 12 | Arkansas | 16,531 | 12 | Arkansas | 14,844 |
| 13 | Wisconsin | 16,206 | 13 | Wisconsin | 15,505 | 13 | Maryland | 14,351 |
| 14 | New Jersey | 14,405 | 14 | Maryland | 14,271 | 14 | New Jersey | 14,180 |
| 15 | Maryland | 14,351 | 15 | New Jersey | 13,874 | 15 | Wisconsin | 13,883 |
| 16 | Washington | 12,611 | 16 | Washington | 11,568 | 16 | Tennessee | 8,410 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 11,867 | 17 | Kentucky | 9,562 | 17 | Kentucky | 8,006 |
| 18 | Tennessee | 9,702 | 18 | Tennessee | 8,721 | 18 | Alabama | 7,745 |
| 19 | Colorado | 9,551 | 19 | Colorado | 8,196 | 19 | Indiana | 7,499 |
| 20 | Alabama | 8,658 | 20 | Indiana | 7,295 | 20 | Colorado | 7,383 |
| 21 | Indiana | 7,950 | 21 | Alabama | 7,252 | 21 | Arizona | 5,671 |
| 22 | Arizona | 6,463 | 22 | Arizona | 6,108 | 22 | Florida | 4,888 |
| 23 | Kansas | 4,886 | 23 | Florida | 4,785 | 23 | Kansas | 4,525 |
| 24 | Florida | 4,790 | 24 | Kansas | 4,666 | 24 | Virginia | 4,392 |
| 25 | Minnesota | 4,431 | 25 | Virginia | 4,499 | 25 | Oklahoma | 4,329 |
| 26 | Virginia | 3,978 | 26 | Oklahoma | 4,017 | 26 | Minnesota | 3,872 |
| 27 | Nevada | 3,824 | 27 | Minnesota | 3,966 | 27 | Massachusetts | 3,854 |
| 28 | lowa | 3,578 | 28 | Nevada | 3,965 | 28 | Nevada | 3,610 |
| 29 | Utah | 3,374 | 29 | Massachusetts | 3,579 | 29 | lowa | 3,317 |
| 30 | North Carolina | 3,236 | 30 | lowa | 3,560 | 30 | Utah | 3,312 |
| 31 | Massachusetts | 3,223 | 31 | Utah | 3,277 | 31 | South Carolina | 3,292 |
| 32 | Oklahoma | 3,072 | 32 | South Carolina | 3,155 | 32 | North Carolina | 2,882 |
| 33 | New Mexico | 2,922 | 33 | North Carolina | 3,101 | 33 | New Mexico | 2,676 |
| 34 | South Dakota | 2,767 | 34 | New Mexico | 2,866 | 34 | Connecticut | 2,552 |
| 35 | South Carolina | 2,735 | 35 | Connecticut | 2,571 | 35 | Idaho | 2,370 |
| 36 | Idaho | 2,732 | 36 | Idaho | 2,482 | 36 | Hawaii | 2,296 |
| 37 | Connecticut | 2,567 | 37 | South Dakota | 2,444 | 37 | South Dakota | 2,217 |
| 38 | Hawaii | 2,316 | 38 | Hawaii | 2,119 | 38 | Mississippi | 1,979 |
| 39 | Mississippi | 1,899 | 39 | Mississippi | 1,970 | 39 | West Virginia | 1,216 |
| 40 | New Hampshire | 1,621 | 40 | West Virginia | 1,416 | 40 | New Hampshire | 1,212 |
| 41 | West Virginia | 1,523 | 41 | New Hampshire | 1,402 | 41 | Alaska | 951 |
| 42 | Alaska | 1,044 | 42 | Vermont | 1,059 | 42 | Vermont | 922 |
| 43 | Vermont | 965 | 43 | Alaska | 973 | 43 | Montana | 810 |
| 44 | Montana | 844 | 44 | Montana | 835 | 44 | Nebraska | 805 |
| 45 | Nebraska | 797 | 45 | Nebraska | 667 | 45 | Wyoming | 563 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 674 | 46 | Wyoming | 609 | 46 | Delaware | 539 |
| 47 | Delaware | 544 | 47 | Delaware | 600 | 47 | Rhode Island | 368 |
| 48 | North Dakota | 370 | 48 | Rhode Island | 338 | 48 | North Dakota | 239 |
| 49 | Rhode Island | 364 | 49 | North Dakota | 287 | 49 | Washington | 120 |
| 50 | Maine | 31 | 50 | Maine | 32 | 50 | Maine | 32 |

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm
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## Number of Parolees Trend

## Number of Parolees Trend

Definition: The number of persons reported as being on parole, as per state submission of its annual parole survey (e.g. all parolees sentenced as an adult, who were conditionally released to parole supervision, whether by parole board decision or by mandatory conditional release).
Connecticut's number of parolees is consistently lower than that of other adjacent Northeast States, except for Rhode Island. Over the past ten years, Pennsylvania has consistently had the highest number of parolees compared to other adjacent Northeast States.

CHART 4a - Number of Parolees in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm

Although Connecticut's number of parolees has been slightly increasing over the past ten years, they are far below the U.S. and Northeast averages.

CHART 4b - Number of Parolees in Connecticut, the Northeast Average and the US Average, 1996-2006


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Probation and Parole in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/pandp.htm Northeast and United States Averages calculated by OPM Division of Criminal Justice Policy and Planning

Data Note: Parole data is collected via the Annual Parole Survey administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

Incarceration Rate

## Incarceration Rate

Definition: The incarceration rate is defined/calculated as the number of prisoners by jurisdiction sentenced to more than 1 year divided by the estimated total state resident population, times 100,000.

TABLE 5 - Incarceration Rate: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Incarceration Rate per 100,000 Residents | Rank | State | Incarceration Rate per 100,000 Residents | Rank | State | Incarceration Rate per 100,000 Residents |
| 1 | Louisiana | 846 | 1 | Louisiana | 797 | 1 | Louisiana | 816 |
| 2 | Texas | 683 | 2 | Texas | 691 | 2 | Texas | 694 |
| 3 | Oklahoma | 664 | 3 | Mississippi | 660 | 3 | Mississippi | 669 |
| 4 | Mississippi | 658 | 4 | Oklahoma | 652 | 4 | Oklahoma | 649 |
| 5 | Alabama | 595 | 5 | Alabama | 591 | 5 | Georgia | 574 |
| 6 | Georgia | 558 | 6 | Georgia | 533 | 6 | Alabama | 556 |
| 7 | South Carolina | 525 | 7 | Missouri | 529 | 7 | South Carolina | 539 |
| 8 | Missouri | 514 | 8 | South Carolina | 525 | 8 | Missouri | 538 |
| 9 | Michigan | 511 | 9 | Arizona | 521 | 9 | Arizona | 534 |
| 10 | Arizona | 509 | 10 | Florida | 499 | 10 | Arkansas | 495 |
| 11 | Florida | 509 | 11 | Michigan | 489 | 11 | Delaware | 488 |
| 12 | Nevada | 503 | 12 | Arkansas | 479 | 12 | Florida | 486 |
| 13 | Delaware | 488 | 13 | Nevada | 474 | 13 | Michigan | 483 |
| 14 | Arkansas | 485 | 14 | Idaho | 472 | 14 | Nevada | 474 |
| 15 | Idaho | 480 | 15 | Delaware | 467 | 15 | Virginia | 473 |
| 16 | Virginia | 477 | 16 | California | 466 | 16 | California | 456 |
| 17 | California | 475 | 17 | Virginia | 464 | 17 | Idaho | 454 |
| 18 | Colorado | 469 | 18 | Kentucky | 459 | 18 | Colorado | 438 |
| 19 | Alaska | 462 | 19 | Colorado | 457 | 19 | Tennessee | 437 |
| 20 | Kentucky | 462 | 20 | South Dakota | 443 | 20 | Montana | 416 |
| 21 | Ohio | 428 | 21 | Tennessee | 440 | 21 | Kentucky | 412 |
| 22 | South Dakota | 426 | 22 | Alaska | 414 | 22 | Maryland | 406 |
| 23 | Tennessee | 423 | 23 | Ohio | 400 | 23 | South Dakota | 399 |
| 24 | Indiana | 411 | 24 | Wyoming | 400 | 24 | Alaska | 398 |
| 25 | Wyoming | 408 | 25 | Maryland | 394 | 25 | Ohio | 391 |
| 26 | Maryland | 396 | 26 | Indiana | 388 | 26 | Wisconsin | 390 |
| 27 | Wisconsin | 393 | 27 | Wisconsin | 380 | 27 | Wyoming | 389 |
| 28 | Connecticut | 392 | 28 | Connecticut | 373 | 28 | Indiana | 383 |
| 29 | Montana | 374 | 29 | Montana | 373 | 29 | Connecticut | 377 |
| 30 | Oregon | 367 | 30 | Oregon | 365 | 30 | Oregon | 365 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 360 | 31 | North Carolina | 360 | 31 | North Carolina | 357 |
| 32 | Pennsylvania | 353 | 32 | Illinois | 351 | 32 | Illinois | 346 |
| 33 | Illinois | 350 | 33 | Pennsylvania | 340 | 33 | New York | 331 |
| 34 | Hawaii | 338 | 34 | Hawaii | 340 | 34 | Pennsylvania | 329 |
| 35 | New York | 326 | 35 | Kansas | 330 | 35 | Hawaii | 329 |
| 36 | New Mexico | 323 | 36 | New York | 326 | 36 | Kansas | 327 |
| 37 | Kansas | 318 | 37 | New Mexico | 323 | 37 | New Mexico | 318 |
| 38 | West Virginia | 314 | 38 | New Jersey | 313 | 38 | New Jersey | 306 |
| 39 | New Jersey | 313 | 39 | lowa | 294 | 39 | lowa | 288 |
| 40 | lowa | 296 | 40 | West Virginia | 291 | 40 | West Virginia | 277 |
| 41 | Washington | 271 | 41 | Washington | 273 | 41 | Washington | 264 |
| 42 | Vermont | 262 | 42 | Utah | 252 | 42 | Utah | 246 |
| 43 | Utah | 246 | 43 | Vermont | 247 | 43 | Vermont | 233 |
| 44 | Massachusetts | 243 | 44 | Nebraska | 245 | 44 | Massachusetts | 232 |
| 45 | Nebraska | 237 | 45 | Massachusetts | 239 | 45 | Nebraska | 230 |
| 46 | North Dakota | 214 | 46 | North Dakota | 208 | 46 | North Dakota | 195 |
| 47 | New Hampshire | 207 | 47 | New Hampshire | 192 | 47 | New Hampshire | 187 |
| 48 | Rhode Island | 202 | 48 | Rhode Island | 189 | 48 | Rhode Island | 175 |
| 49 | Minnesota | 176 | 49 | Minnesota | 180 | 49 | Minnesota | 171 |
| 50 | Maine | 151 | 50 | Maine | 144 | 50 | Maine | 148 |

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Prisoners in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/prisons.htm
Data Note: Prisoner data is collected by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) via the National Prisoner Statistics (NPS) program. BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

## Incarceration Rate Trend

## Incarceration Rate Trend

Definition: The incarceration rate is defined/calculated as the number of prisoners by jurisdiction sentenced to more than 1 year divided by the estimated total state resident population, times 100,000.
Connecticut's incarceration rate has remained fairly stable since 1997, but it has been consistently higher than that of other adjacent Northeast States since 2000.

CHART 5a - Incarceration Rate in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006
(Rate per 100,000 resident population)


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Prisoners in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/prisons.htm

Overall, Connecticut's incarceration rate remains below the national incarceration rate. Connecticut's incarceration rate has remained fairly stable since 1997, but higher than that of other Northeast States.

CHART 5b - Incarceration Rate in Connecticut, the Northeast and the US, 1996-2006
(Rate per 100,000 resident population)


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Prisoners in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/prisons.htm this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

## Number of Sentenced Prisoners

Definition: The number of prisoners by jurisdiction with a sentence of more than 1 year per 100,000 persons in the resident population.

TABLE 6 - Number of Sentenced Prisoners: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Number of Sentenced Prisoners | Rank | State | Number of Sentenced Prisoners | Rank | State | Number of Sentenced Prisoners |
| 1 | California | 173,942 | 1 | California | 168,982 | 1 | California | 164,933 |
| 2 | Texas | 162,193 | 2 | Texas | 159,255 | 2 | Texas | 157,617 |
| 3 | Florida | 92,874 | 3 | Florida | 89,766 | 3 | Florida | 85,530 |
| 4 | New York | 62,974 | 4 | New York | 62,485 | 4 | New York | 63,751 |
| 5 | Georgia | 52,781 | 5 | Michigan | 49,546 | 5 | Georgia | 51,089 |
| 6 | Michigan | 51,577 | 6 | Georgia | 48,741 | 6 | Michigan | 48,883 |
| 7 | Ohio | 49,166 | 7 | Ohio | 45,854 | 7 | Ohio | 44,806 |
| 8 | Illinois | 45,106 | 8 | Illinois | 44,919 | 8 | Illinois | 44,054 |
| 9 | Pennsylvania | 43,998 | 9 | Pennsylvania | 42,345 | 9 | Pennsylvania | 40,931 |
| 10 | Virginia | 36,688 | 10 | Louisiana | 36,083 | 10 | Louisiana | 36,939 |
| 11 | Louisiana | 36,376 | 11 | Virginia | 35,344 | 11 | Virginia | 35,564 |
| 12 | North Carolina | 32,219 | 12 | North Carolina | 31,522 | 12 | Arizona | 31,106 |
| 13 | Arizona | 31,830 | 13 | Arizona | 31,411 | 13 | Missouri | 31,061 |
| 14 | Missouri | 30,146 | 14 | Missouri | 30,803 | 14 | North Carolina | 30,683 |
| 15 | Alabama | 27,526 | 15 | New Jersey | 27,359 | 15 | New Jersey | 26,757 |
| 16 | New Jersey | 27,371 | 16 | Alabama | 27,003 | 16 | Tennessee | 25,884 |
| 17 | Indiana | 26,055 | 17 | Tennessee | 26,369 | 17 | Alabama | 25,257 |
| 18 | Tennessee | 25,745 | 18 | Indiana | 24,416 | 18 | Indiana | 23,939 |
| 19 | Oklahoma | 23,889 | 19 | Oklahoma | 24,414 | 19 | Oklahoma | 22,913 |
| 20 | South Carolina | 22,861 | 20 | South Carolina | 22,464 | 20 | South Carolina | 22,730 |
| 21 | Colorado | 22,481 | 21 | Maryland | 22,143 | 21 | Maryland | 22,696 |
| 22 | Maryland | 22,316 | 22 | Wisconsin | 21,927 | 22 | Wisconsin | 22,189 |
| 23 | Wisconsin | 21,881 | 23 | Colorado | 21,456 | 23 | Colorado | 20,293 |
| 24 | Kentucky | 19,514 | 24 | Mississippi | 19,335 | 24 | Mississippi | 19,469 |
| 25 | Mississippi | 19,219 | 25 | Kentucky | 19,215 | 25 | Kentucky | 17,140 |
| 26 | Washington | 17,483 | 26 | Washington | 17,320 | 26 | Washington | 16,503 |
| 27 | Connecticut | 13,746 | 27 | Oregon | 13,390 | 27 | Arkansas | 13,668 |
| 28 | Arkansas | 13,713 | 28 | Arkansas | 13,383 | 28 | Connecticut | 13,240 |
| 29 | Oregon | 13,667 | 29 | Connecticut | 13,121 | 29 | Oregon | 13,167 |
| 30 | Nevada | 12,753 | 30 | Nevada | 11,644 | 30 | Nevada | 11,280 |
| 31 | Massachusetts | 9,472 | 31 | Minnesota | 9,281 | 31 | Kansas | 8,966 |
| 32 | Minnesota | 9,108 | 32 | Massachusetts | 9,081 | 32 | Minnesota | 8,758 |
| 33 | lowa | 8,838 | 33 | Kansas | 9,068 | 33 | Massachusetts | 8,688 |
| 34 | Kansas | 8,816 | 34 | lowa | 8,737 | 34 | lowa | 8,525 |
| 35 | Idaho | 7,124 | 35 | Idaho | 6,818 | 35 | Idaho | 6,375 |
| 36 | New Mexico | 6,361 | 36 | New Mexico | 6,292 | 36 | New Mexico | 6,111 |
| 37 | Utah | 6,339 | 37 | Utah | 6,275 | 37 | Utah | 5,915 |
| 38 | West Virginia | 5,719 | 38 | West Virginia | 5,292 | 38 | West Virginia | 5,026 |
| 39 | Hawaii | 4,373 | 39 | Hawaii | 4,422 | 39 | Hawaii | 4,174 |
| 40 | Nebraska | 4,204 | 40 | Nebraska | 4,330 | 40 | Delaware | 4,087 |
| 41 | Delaware | 4,195 | 41 | Delaware | 3,972 | 41 | Nebraska | 4,038 |
| 42 | Montana | 3,547 | 42 | Montana | 3,509 | 42 | Montana | 3,164 |
| 43 | South Dakota | 3,350 | 43 | South Dakota | 3,454 | 43 | South Dakota | 3,088 |
| 44 | Alaska | 3,116 | 44 | Alaska | 2,781 | 44 | Alaska | 2,632 |
| 45 | New Hampshire | 2,737 | 45 | New Hampshire | 2,520 | 45 | New Hampshire | 2,448 |
| 46 | Rhode Island | 2,149 | 46 | Wyoming | 2,047 | 46 | Wyoming | 1,980 |
| 47 | Wyoming | 2,114 | 47 | Rhode Island | 2,025 | 47 | Maine | 1,961 |
| 48 | Maine | 1,997 | 48 | Maine | 1,905 | 48 | Rhode Island | 1,894 |
| 49 | Vermont | 1,634 | 49 | Vermont | 1,542 | 49 | Vermont | 1,451 |
| 50 | North Dakota | 1,363 | 50 | North Dakota | 1,327 | 50 | North Dakota | 1,238 |

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Prisoners in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/prisons.htm
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## Number of Sentenced Prisoners Trend

## Number of Sentenced Prisoners Trend

Definition: The number of prisoners by jurisdiction with a sentence of more than 1 year per 100,000 persons in the resident population
Although Connecticut's rate of incarceration is higher per capita, the actual number of sentenced prisoners is lower than that of other adjacent Northeast States, except for Rhode Island and Massachusetts. Over the past ten years, New York has had the highest number of sentenced prisoners compared to that of other adjacent Northeast States.

CHART 6a - Sentenced Prisoners in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006


Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics Prisoners in the United States, 2004-2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/prisons.htm

Although Connecticut's number of sentenced prisoners steadily increased over the past ten years, it is still lower than the U.S. and Northeast averages.

CHART 6b - Sentenced Prisoners in Connecticut, the Northeast Average and the US Average, 1996-2006


## Appendix I

The data developed for this report is derived from two standard annual data series prepared by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS):
(1) Prisoners in 2006, 2004 and 2005
(2) Probation and Parole in the United States 2006, 2005 and 2004
Where necessary, data were imputed (estimated) by BJS for non-reporting agencies using a variety of methods, depending on data availability. Population estimates for both publications were provided by the U.S. Census Bureau.

## Methodology: Prisoners in 2006

This publication reports the number of persons in State and Federal prisons at year end, compares the increase in the prison population with previous years, and gives the prison growth rates over a 5 year time period. Prisoner data is collected via the National Prisoner Statistics (NPS) program that collects statistics on prisoners at midyear and year end. The Census Bureau serves as the data collection agent for BJS. BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation of State Departments of Corrections and the Federal Bureau of Prisons for NPS data.

Jody Barry of the Department of Correction's MIS and Research Unit is responsible for providing the prisoner data for these annual surveys. The NPS data collection instruments can be found at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/correct.h tm\#Programs
The NPS distinguishes between prisoners in custody and prisoners under jurisdiction. To have custody of a prisoner, a State or the Federal system must hold that prisoner in one of its facilities, including prisons, penitentiaries, correctional facilities, halfway houses, boot camps, farms, training/treatment centers, and hospitals. To have jurisdiction over a prisoner, a State or the Federal system must have legal authority over the prisoner. Some States are unable to provide both custody and jurisdiction counts.
The NPS custody counts include all inmates held within a responding jurisdiction's facilities, including inmates housed for other
jurisdictions. The custody counts
exclude inmates held in local jails and in other jurisdictions. With a few exceptions for several responding jurisdictions, the NPS custody counts exclude inmates held in privately operated facilities.
The NPS counts also include all inmates in State-operated facilities in Alaska, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Rhode Island, and Vermont which have combined jail-prison systems.

## Methodology: Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006

This publication presents the number of persons on probation and parole at year end by State, and compares the national totals to counts with previous years. Beginning in 1980 the Annual Probation Survey and Annual Parole Survey collected data on the total number of persons supervised in the community on January 1 and December 31 of each year and on counts of the number persons entering and exiting supervision during the year. These surveys cover the Federal System, all 50 States, and the District of Columbia.
BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation of the State central reporters and the separate State, county, and court agencies for the annual probation and parole data. Jody Barry of the Department of Correction's MIS and Research Unit is responsible for providing the data for the annual parole surveys. An example of a Parole Survey data collection instrument can be found at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bis/pub/pdf/ ci7 05.pdf
Brian Hill and Susan Glass of the Connecticut Judicial Branch, Court Support Services Division (CSSD) are responsible for providing the data for the annual probation surveys collected by BJS for Connecticut. An example of a Probation Survey data collection instrument can be found at: http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bis/pub/pdf/ ci8 05.pdf
The U.S. Census Bureau serves as the BJS collection agent, except for the Federal system. Federal system data were provided directly to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program which obtained data from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

Because many States update their population counts, the January 1, 2006, numbers may differ from those previously published for December 31, 2005.

## Probation Rate Calculation

Probation rates are computed by dividing the number of probationers reported to BJS by the population and multiplying by 100,000. The result is an expression of the number of probationers occurring in a population of 100,000 people, allowing a comparison between jurisdictions of differing populations.
Parole Rate $=($ Number of
Parolees/Adult Population) x 100,000

## Parole Rate Calculation

Parole rates are computed by dividing the number of parolees reported to BJS by the population and multiplying by 100,000 . The result is an expression of the number of parolees occurring in a population of 100,000 people, allowing a comparison between jurisdictions of differing populations.
Parole Rate $=($ Number of Parolees x 100,000)/Population

## Incarceration Rate Calculation

Incarceration rates are computed by dividing the number of sentenced prisoners incarcerated reported to BJS by the population and multiplying by 100,000 . The result is an expression of the number of sentenced prisoners occurring in a population of 100,000 people, allowing a comparison between jurisdictions of differing populations.
Incarceration Rate $=$ (Number of Sentenced Prisoners
Incarcerated/Adult Population) x 100,000

## Appendix II - Supplemental Information

## Summary of Appendix II

The data for this section of the report is derived from the preceding information and intended only as a gross comparison of the overall probation and parole populations versus the sentenced prison population. The result is an expression of the number of probationers or parolees as a percentage of the sentenced prison population, allowing a relative comparison between jurisdictions of differing criminal justice system population sizes.
Calculation: Probationers as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners

The number of probationers as a percentage of sentenced prisoners is calculated by dividing the probation population (found in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006, 2005 and 2004) by the number of prisoners incarcerated with a sentence greater than one year (found in Prisoners in 2006, 2005 and 2004).
Probationers as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners = (Number of Probationers/Number of Sentenced Prisoners Incarcerated)

## Calculation: Parolees as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners

The number of parolees as a percentage of sentenced prisoners is calculated by dividing the parole population (found in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006, 2005 and 2004) by the number of prisoners incarcerated with a sentence greater than one year (found in Prisoners in 2006, 2005 and 2004).
Parolees as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners = (Number of Parolees/Number of Sentenced Prisoners Incarcerated)

## Connecticut Data Cautions

For sentenced offenders, the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) uses a baseline which begins at the point of sentences of one year or more. In Connecticut, offenders must be serving sentences greater than two years to be considered for Parole, which may be different from many states. Furthermore, the Connecticut Department of Correction has the discretionary release authority for those offenders sentenced less than two years.

Connecticut's comparative numbers would be significantly increased if those offenders released between the one and two year mark were included in the BJS analysis. Those offenders generally, have higher rates of community release approvals. Under the BJS data collection methodology for sentenced offenders, they are not included in Connecticut's data because they are not eligible for Parole. However, these offenders are eligible for a variety of transitional supervision programs under the auspices of the Connecticut Department of Correction.

We are mindful of not wanting to create additional confusion by mixing our methodology for calculating these numbers with the BJS methodology for sentenced offenders. In order to achieve a relative sense of Connecticut's ranking vis-à-vis the other 50 states, we have presented Connecticut's numbers based upon the BJS definition of sentenced offenders.

## Connecticut Rankings

States are ranked from 1 to 50 . Higher numbers mean that the State has a lower ranking, whereas lower numbers mean a higher ranking.

- Nationally, Massachusetts ranked number 1 in 2006 and had the greatest number of probationers as a percentage of sentenced prisoners at $1,790 \%$. This means that the number of probationers in their State is 1,790 times greater than the number of sentenced prisoners incarcerated.
- Connecticut ranked relatively high in 2006 at 14 or $397 \%$ for its number of probationers as a

| Type | 2006 <br> Ranking | 2005 <br> Ranking | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ <br> Ranking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probationers as a Percent of <br> Sentenced Prisoners | 14 | 12 | 16 |
| Parolees as a Percent of Sentenced <br> Prisoners | 41 | 39 | 40 | percentage of sentenced prisoners. Nevada had the lowest percentage at $104 \%$ in 2006.

- Connecticut's probationer versus incarcerated population is consistently lower than most other adjacent Northeast States, except for New York and Pennsylvania which were the lowest. Over the past ten years, Connecticut's probationer versus incarcerated population has been relatively stable and consistently higher than that of the United States.
- In 2006, Pennsylvania ranked number 1 for the tenth year and had the highest parole versus incarcerated percentage in the nation at $174 \%$. This means that the number of parolees in Pennsylvania is 174 times greater than the number of sentenced prisoners incarcerated.
- Connecticut's parolee versus incarcerated population remained relatively stable and consistently low at 19\% and ranking 41 out of 50 in 2006. Maine had the lowest percentage at 2\% in 2006.
- Except for Rhode Island and Maine, Connecticut has been consistently lower than that of the United States and other adjacent Northeast States.


## Probationers as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners

## Probationers as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners

Definition: The number of probationers as a percentage of sentenced prisoners is defined/calculated as the probation population (found in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006, 2005 and 2004) divided by the number of prisoners incarcerated with a sentence greater than 1 year (found in Prisoners in 2006, 2005 and 2004).

TABLE 7 - Probationers as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Probationers as \% of Sentenced Prisoners | Rank | State | Probationers as \% of Sentenced Prisoners | Rank | State | Probationers as \% of Sentenced Prisoners |
| 1 | Massachusetts | 1790\% | 1 | Massachusetts | 1821\% | 1 | Massachusetts | 1882\% |
| 2 | Minnesota | 1398\% | 2 | Rhode Island | 1265\% | 2 | Rhode Island | 1377\% |
| 3 | Rhode Island | 1211\% | 3 | Minnesota | 1261\% | 3 | Minnesota | 1304\% |
| 4 | Georgia | 801\% | 4 | Georgia | 868\% | 4 | Georgia | 821\% |
| 5 | Idaho | 682\% | 5 | Idaho | 641\% | 5 | Washington | 759\% |
| 6 | Washington | 618\% | 6 | Washington | 602\% | 6 | Idaho | 699\% |
| 7 | Ohio | 496\% | 7 | Vermont | 579\% | 7 | Vermont | 671\% |
| 8 | New Jersey | 485\% | 8 | Ohio | 521\% | 8 | New Jersey | 536\% |
| 9 | Vermont | 467\% | 9 | New Jersey | 508\% | 9 | Hawaii | 514\% |
| 10 | Indiana | 462\% | 10 | Indiana | 496\% | 10 | Ohio | 509\% |
| 11 | Nebraska | 446\% | 11 | Delaware | 465\% | 11 | Indiana | 486\% |
| 12 | Hawaii | 425\% | 12 | Connecticut | 427\% | 12 | Maine | 475\% |
| 13 | Delaware | 404\% | 13 | Nebraska | 427\% | 13 | Delaware | 458\% |
| 14 | Connecticut | 397\% | 14 | Maine | 426\% | 14 | Nebraska | 446\% |
| 15 | Maine | 397\% | 15 | Pennsylvania | 396\% | 15 | Pennsylvania | 408\% |
| 16 | Pennsylvania | 391\% | 16 | Hawaii | 380\% | 16 | Connecticut | 393\% |
| 17 | Michigan | 354\% | 17 | Michigan | 360\% | 17 | North Carolina | 364\% |
| 18 | North Carolina | 343\% | 18 | North Carolina | 354\% | 18 | Michigan | 360\% |
| 19 | Maryland | 339\% | 19 | Maryland | 341\% | 19 | Maryland | 338\% |
| 20 | Oregon | 331\% | 20 | Oregon | 335\% | 20 | Oregon | 337\% |
| 21 | North Dakota | 316\% | 21 | Illinois | 319\% | 21 | Florida | 329\% |
| 22 | Illinois | 313\% | 22 | Florida | 310\% | 22 | Illinois | 327\% |
| 23 | Florida | 294\% | 23 | North Dakota | 298\% | 23 | North Dakota | 298\% |
| 24 | Colorado | 280\% | 24 | New Mexico | 297\% | 24 | New Mexico | 290\% |
| 25 | Texas | 266\% | 25 | Texas | 270\% | 25 | Colorado | 286\% |
| 26 | New Mexico | 259\% | 26 | Iowa | 268\% | 26 | Texas | 272\% |
| 27 | lowa | 256\% | 27 | Colorado | 264\% | 27 | lowa | 268\% |
| 28 | Wisconsin | 255\% | 28 | Wisconsin | 252\% | 28 | Wisconsin | 248\% |
| 29 | Montana | 247\% | 29 | Wyoming | 236\% | 29 | California | 233\% |
| 30 | Wyoming | 247\% | 30 | Montana | 235\% | 30 | Montana | 228\% |
| 31 | California | 231\% | 31 | California | 230\% | 31 | Wyoming | 223\% |
| 32 | Arizona | 230\% | 32 | Arizona | 226\% | 32 | Arizona | 223\% |
| 33 | Arkansas | 230\% | 33 | Arkansas | 226\% | 33 | Arkansas | 213\% |
| 34 | Kentucky | 211\% | 34 | Alaska | 204\% | 34 | Alaska | 211\% |
| 35 | Tennessee | 204\% | 35 | New York | 190\% | 35 | Kentucky | 194\% |
| 36 | Alabama | 203\% | 36 | Tennessee | 187\% | 36 | New York | 191\% |
| 37 | New York | 196\% | 37 | Kentucky | 183\% | 37 | Tennessee | 183\% |
| 38 | Alaska | 196\% | 38 | New Hampshire | 183\% | 38 | New Hampshire | 175\% |
| 39 | Missouri | 182\% | 39 | South Carolina | 175\% | 39 | South Dakota | 174\% |
| 40 | Kansas | 176\% | 40 | Missouri | 174\% | 40 | Missouri | 173\% |
| 41 | South Dakota | 169\% | 41 | Kansas | 166\% | 41 | Utah | 173\% |
| 42 | South Carolina | 168\% | 42 | Utah | 161\% | 42 | South Carolina | 171\% |
| 43 | New Hampshire | 168\% | 43 | South Dakota | 154\% | 43 | Kansas | 160\% |
| 44 | Utah | 164\% | 44 | West Virginia | 144\% | 44 | Alabama | 146\% |
| 45 | West Virginia | 134\% | 45 | Alabama | 144\% | 45 | West Virginia | 139\% |
| 46 | Virginia | 131\% | 46 | Virginia | 129\% | 46 | Oklahoma | 124\% |
| 47 | Mississippi | 125\% | 47 | Mississippi | 123\% | 47 | Virginia | 122\% |
| 48 | Oklahoma | 115\% | 48 | Oklahoma | 118\% | 48 | Nevada | 111\% |
| 49 | Louisiana | 105\% | 49 | Nevada | 111\% | 49 | Mississippi | 110\% |
| 50 | Nevada | 104\% | 50 | Louisiana | 106\% | 50 | Louisiana | 104\% |

Source: Calculated by the Office of Policy and Management, Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division from Bureau of Justice Statistics Data

## Probationers as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners Trend

## Probationers as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners Trend

Definition: The number of probationers as a percentage of sentenced prisoners is calculated based on the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) figures for the number of probationers divided by the number of prisoners incarcerated with a sentence greater than 1 year.
Connecticut's probationer versus incarcerated population is consistently lower than most other adjacent Northeast States, except for New York and Pennsylvania which were the lowest. In 2006, Massachusetts had the highest probation versus incarcerated percentage, which before 2002 had been much lower.

CHART 7a - Probationers as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006


Source: Calculated by the Office of Policy and Management, Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division from Bureau of Justice Statistics Data

Over the past ten years, Connecticut's probationer versus incarcerated population has been relatively stable and consistently higher than that of the United States. Although in 2006, Connecticut's percentage fell lower than that in other Northeast States.

CHART 7b - Probationers as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners in Connecticut, the Northeast and the US, 1996-2006
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## Parolees as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners

## Parolees as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners

Definition: The number of parolees as a percentage of sentenced prisoners is defined/calculated as the parole population (found in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2006, 2005 and 2004) divided by the number of prisoners incarcerated by jurisdiction with a sentence greater than 1 year (found in Prisoners in 2006, 2005 and 2004).

TABLE 8 - Parolees as a Percentage of Sentenced Prisoners: 50 State Ranking 2004-2006

| 2006 |  |  | 2005 |  |  | 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | State | Parolees as \% of Sentenced Prisoners | Rank | State | Parolees as \% of Sentenced Prisoners | Rank | State | Parolees as \% of Sentenced Prisoners |
| 1 | Pennsylvania | 174\% | 1 | Pennsylvania | 179\% | 1 | Pennsylvania | 189\% |
| 2 | Oregon | 164\% | 2 | Oregon | 161\% | 2 | Oregon | 158\% |
| 3 | Arkansas | 134\% | 3 | Arkansas | 124\% | 3 | Arkansas | 109\% |
| 4 | New York | 84\% | 4 | New York | 86\% | 4 | New York | 86\% |
| 5 | South Dakota | 83\% | 5 | Illinois | 77\% | 5 | Illinois | 78\% |
| 6 | Illinois | 77\% | 6 | South Dakota | 71\% | 6 | South Dakota | 72\% |
| 7 | Wisconsin | 74\% | 7 | Wisconsin | 71\% | 7 | California | 67\% |
| 8 | Washington | 72\% | 8 | Vermont | 69\% | 8 | Louisiana | 66\% |
| 9 | California | 68\% | 9 | Washington | 67\% | 9 | Texas | 65\% |
| 10 | Louisiana | 68\% | 10 | Louisiana | 67\% | 10 | Vermont | 64\% |
| 11 | Maryland | 64\% | 11 | California | 66\% | 11 | Maryland | 63\% |
| 12 | Missouri | 63\% | 12 | Maryland | 64\% | 12 | Wisconsin | 63\% |
| 13 | Texas | 62\% | 13 | Texas | 64\% | 13 | Missouri | 56\% |
| 14 | Kentucky | 61\% | 14 | Missouri | 60\% | 14 | Utah | 56\% |
| 15 | New Hampshire | 59\% | 15 | New Hampshire | 56\% | 15 | Hawaii | 55\% |
| 16 | Vermont | 59\% | 16 | Utah | 52\% | 16 | New Jersey | 53\% |
| 17 | Kansas | 55\% | 17 | Kansas | 51\% | 17 | Kansas | 50\% |
| 18 | Utah | 53\% | 18 | New Jersey | 51\% | 18 | New Hampshire | 50\% |
| 19 | Hawaii | 53\% | 19 | Kentucky | 50\% | 19 | Kentucky | 47\% |
| 20 | New Jersey | 53\% | 20 | Hawaii | 48\% | 20 | Georgia | 46\% |
| 21 | Minnesota | 49\% | 21 | Georgia | 47\% | 21 | Massachusetts | 44\% |
| 22 | New Mexico | 46\% | 22 | New Mexico | 46\% | 22 | Minnesota | 44\% |
| 23 | Georgia | 43\% | 23 | Minnesota | 43\% | 23 | New Mexico | 44\% |
| 24 | Colorado | 42\% | 24 | Ohio | 43\% | 24 | Michigan | 43\% |
| 25 | Iowa | 40\% | 25 | lowa | 41\% | 25 | Ohio | 42\% |
| 26 | Idaho | 38\% | 26 | Michigan | 40\% | 26 | lowa | 39\% |
| 27 | Tennessee | 38\% | 27 | Massachusetts | 39\% | 27 | Idaho | 37\% |
| 28 | Michigan | 36\% | 28 | Colorado | 38\% | 28 | Colorado | 36\% |
| 29 | Ohio | 36\% | 29 | Idaho | 36\% | 29 | Alaska | 36\% |
| 30 | Massachusetts | 34\% | 30 | Alaska | 35\% | 30 | Tennessee | 32\% |
| 31 | Alaska | 34\% | 31 | Nevada | 34\% | 31 | Nevada | 32\% |
| 32 | Wyoming | 32\% | 32 | Tennessee | 33\% | 32 | Indiana | 31\% |
| 33 | Alabama | 31\% | 33 | Indiana | 30\% | 33 | Alabama | 31\% |
| 34 | Indiana | 31\% | 34 | Wyoming | 30\% | 34 | Wyoming | 28\% |
| 35 | Nevada | 30\% | 35 | Alabama | 27\% | 35 | Montana | 26\% |
| 36 | North Dakota | 27\% | 36 | West Virginia | 27\% | 36 | West Virginia | 24\% |
| 37 | West Virginia | 27\% | 37 | Montana | 24\% | 37 | Nebraska | 20\% |
| 38 | Montana | 24\% | 38 | North Dakota | 22\% | 38 | Rhode Island | 19\% |
| 39 | Arizona | 20\% | 39 | Connecticut | 20\% | 39 | North Dakota | 19\% |
| 40 | Nebraska | 19\% | 40 | Arizona | 19\% | 40 | Connecticut | 19\% |
| 41 | Connecticut | 19\% | 41 | Rhode Island | 17\% | 41 | Oklahoma | 19\% |
| 42 | Rhode Island | 17\% | 42 | Oklahoma | 16\% | 42 | Arizona | 18\% |
| 43 | Delaware | 13\% | 43 | Nebraska | 15\% | 43 | South Carolina | 14\% |
| 44 | Oklahoma | 13\% | 44 | Delaware | 15\% | 44 | Delaware | 13\% |
| 45 | South Carolina | 12\% | 45 | South Carolina | 14\% | 45 | Virginia | 12\% |
| 46 | Virginia | 11\% | 46 | Virginia | 13\% | 46 | Mississippi | 10\% |
| 47 | North Carolina | 10\% | 47 | Mississippi | 10\% | 47 | North Carolina | 9\% |
| 48 | Mississippi | 10\% | 48 | North Carolina | 10\% | 48 | Florida | 6\% |
| 49 | Florida | 5\% | 49 | Florida | 5\% | 49 | Maine | 2\% |
| 50 | Maine | 2\% | 50 | Maine | 2\% | 50 | Washington | 1\% |

## Parolees as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners Trend

## Parolees as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners Trend

Definition: The number of parolees as a percentage of sentenced prisoners is calculated based on the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) figures for the number of parolees divided by the number of prisoners incarcerated by jurisdiction with a sentence greater than 1 year.
Connecticut's parolee versus incarcerated population is consistently lower than that of other adjacent Northeast States, except for Rhode Island. In 2006, Pennsylvania for the tenth year has had the highest parole versus incarcerated percentage, which has been significantly higher than other adjacent Northeast States.

CHART 8a - Parolees as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners in Connecticut and Adjacent States, 1996-2006


Source: Calculated by the Office of Policy and Management, Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division from Bureau of Justice Statistics Data

Connecticut's parolee versus incarcerated population is consistently lower than the U.S. and other Northeast States, although it has shown a slight incline over the past ten years.

CHART 8b - Parolees as a Percent of Sentenced Prisoners in Connecticut, the Northeast and the US, 1996-2006


[^6]
[^0]:    Data Note: This data is collected by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) in their Annual Probation Survey and their National Prisoner Statistics (NPS) program. BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

[^1]:    Data Note: Parole data is collected via the Annual Parole Survey administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

[^2]:    Data Note: Parole data is collected via the Annual Parole Survey administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix 1 .

[^3]:    Data Note: Parole data is collected via the Annual Parole Survey administered by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

[^4]:    Data Note: Prisoner data is collected by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) via the National Prisoner Statistics (NPS) program. BJS depends entirely upon the voluntary participation for this data; some data is estimated for incomplete reporters. For more about the data and disclaimers and who is included and excluded please see Appendix I.

[^5]:    Source: Calculated by the Office of Policy and Management, Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division from Bureau of Justice Statistics Data

[^6]:    Source: Calculated by the Office of Policy and Management, Criminal Justice Policy and Planning Division from Bureau of Justice Statistics Data

