

**Document Name**: (1) 2021 Legislative Proposal - DAS Access to DDS Abuse and Neglect

Registry 9-1-20

State Agency: Department of Developmental Services (DDS)

**Liaison:** Krista Ostaszewski **Phone:** (860) 418-6066

E-mail: Krista.Ostaszewski@ct.gov

Lead agency division requesting this proposal: Commissioner's Office

Agency Analyst/Drafter of Proposal: Rod O'Connor, (860) 418-6130, Rod.Oconnor@ct.gov

Title of Proposal: An Act Concerning Department of Developmental Services' Abuse and

**Neglect Registry** 

**Statutory Reference:** 17a-247b (c) Establishment and maintenance of registry.

## **Proposal Summary:**

Sec 17a-247b explicitly outlines which entities the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) may share DDS abuse and neglect registry information. Currently, the statute details that the department may make registry information available to the Departments of Children and Families (DCF), Mental Health and Addiction Services (DMHAS), and Social Services (DSS), for the purpose of determining whether an applicant for employment appears on the registry. As Human Resources has moved toward centralization under the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) pursuant to Executive Order No. 2, DAS now oversees the hiring of DCF, DMHAS, DSS and DDS employees. DDS would therefore need to make registry information available to DAS for the purposes of determining whether an applicant for employment at the above referenced human services agencies appears on the DDS abuse and neglect registry.

#### **PROPOSAL BACKGROUND**

#### ♦ Reason for Proposal

As the Human Resources hiring process is centralized under DAS, this legislation allows the hiring body for the state to access information from the DDS abuse and neglect registry, when determining whether an applicant for employment at DCF, DMHAS, DSS and DDS appears on the DDS registry. It is imperative for DAS to have access to this information so an appropriate decision regarding the hiring of an applicant in these four state human services agencies can be made. DDS maintains this abuse and neglect registry of former employees who have been terminated or separated from employment as a result of substantiated abuse or neglect of an individual with intellectual disability.



| ♦ Origin of Prop     | oosal 🛛 New Proposal   | ☐ Resubmission   |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROPOSAL IMPACT      |  |  |  |  |  |
| ♦ AGENCIES AFI       | FECTED (please list for each affected ag                                       | gency)   |  |  |  |
|                      | artment of Administrative Service me, title, phone): Lee Ross, Lee 19/20       |  |  |  |  |
| Approve of Proposal  | I ⊠ YES □ NO □ Talks   | s Ongoing  |  |  |  |
| -                    | ed Agency's Comments seed with DCF, DMHAS and DS                               | SS the recommended changes in this   |  |  |  |
| Will there need to b | e further negotiation?   YES   | ⊠NO  |  |  |  |
|                      | CT (please include the proposal section labeled any municipal mandate that can | on that causes the fiscal impact and the anticipated impact be found within legislation) |  |  |  |
| State<br>None        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal              |  |  |  |  |  |
| None                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional notes on  | ı fiscal impact  |  |  |  |  |
| ♦ POLICY and P       | ROGRAMMATIC IMPACTS (Plea  | se specify the proposal section associated with the impac                                |  |  |  |
|                      | •  | atute with Governor Lamont's Executive   |  |  |  |



#### **♦ EVIDENCE BASE**

What data will be used to track the impact of this proposal over time, and what measurable outcome do you anticipate? Is that data currently available or must it be developed? Please provide information on the measurement and evaluation plan. Where possible, those plans should include process and outcome components. Pew MacArthur Results First evidence definitions can help you to establish the evidence-base for your program and their Clearinghouse allows for easy access to information about the evidence base for a variety of programs.

N/A

## **Insert fully drafted bill here**

Subsection (c) of section 17a-247b of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):

(c) The department shall make information in the registry available only to: (1) Authorized agencies, for the purpose of protective service determinations; (2) employers who employ employees to provide services to an individual who receives services or funding from the department; (3) the Departments of Children and Families, Mental Health and Addiction Services, and Social Services, for the purpose of determining whether an applicant for employment appears on the registry and the Department of Administrative Services, for the purpose of determining whether an applicant for employment with the Departments of Children and Families, Developmental Services, Mental Health and Addiction Services, and Social Services appears on the registry; or (4) charitable organizations that recruit volunteers to support programs for persons with intellectual disability or autism spectrum disorder, upon application to and approval by the commissioner, for purposes of conducting background checks on such volunteers.



**Document Name**: (2) 2021 Legislative Proposal- DDS Authorization of Necessary Medical Treatment for Individuals Under the Direction of the Commissioner of Developmental Services 9-1-20

(If submitting electronically, please label with date, agency, and title of proposal - 092620\_SDE\_TechRevisions)

State Agency: Department of Developmental Services (DDS)

**Liaison:** Krista Ostaszewski **Phone:** (860) 418-6066

E-mail: Krista.Ostaszewski@ct.gov

Lead agency division requesting this proposal: Health and Clinical Services

Agency Analyst/Drafter of Proposal: Rod O'Connor, 860-418-6130, Rod.Oconnor@ct.gov

**Title of Proposal:** Authorizing Necessary Treatment for Individuals Under the Direction of the Commissioner of Developmental Services

**Statutory Reference:** 17a-238 (f) Rights of persons under supervision of Commissioner of Developmental Services.

#### **Proposal Summary:**

This proposal would allow the DDS Commissioner or a DDS Regional or Training School Director to provide consent for an individual's emergency medical treatment when the individual's legal representative is unavailable or unable to give such consent. The provisions for this consent for emergency medical treatments are the same as what is currently in statute for the Commissioner's or Regional Director's consent for an individual's emergency surgery.

#### PROPOSAL BACKGROUND

#### ♦ Reason for Proposal

DDS has the statutory authority to "authorize necessary surgery for such person where, in the opinion of the person's attending physician, the surgery is of an emergency nature and there is insufficient time to obtain the required written consent..." There are circumstances; however, when an individual under the department's care is in need of emergency medical treatment, other than emergency surgery and there is insufficient time to obtain a required consent, but an individual under the department's care is in need of such emergency medical treatment. In these situations, the department currently is unable to provide consent for such emergency treatment. With advances in medical treatment that require less invasive treatments than surgery, DDS believes that allowing the Commissioner and his designees to consent to emergency treatments for individuals, when a legal representative is not available to consent, will allow persons with



|                     | ectual disability to access appropriate medical care while maintaining their independence in ommunity.   |     |
|---------------------|--|-----|
| <b>◊</b>            | Origin of Proposal ☐ Resubmission  | ]   |
| N/A                 |  |     |
| <b>◊</b>            | PROPOSAL IMPACT  AGENCIES AFFECTED (please list for each affected agency)  |     |
| Agen                | cy Name: None cy Contact (name, title, phone): Click here to enter text. Contacted: Click here to enter text.  |     |
| Appro               | ove of Proposal 🔲 YES 🗆 NO 🗆 Talks Ongoing   |     |
|                     | mary of Affected Agency's Comments nere to enter text.   | -   |
| Will t              | here need to be further negotiation?   YES   NO  | _   |
| <b>◊</b>            | FISCAL IMPACT (please include the proposal section that causes the fiscal impact and the anticipated i | act |
| <b>Muni</b><br>None | icipal (please include any municipal mandate that can be found within legislation)   |     |
| State<br>None       |  |     |
| Fede<br>None        |  |     |
| There               | tional notes on fiscal impact e could be some indeterminate savings from having individuals treated promptly in gency medical situations.  |     |



## **POLICY and PROGRAMMATIC IMPACTS** (Please specify the proposal section associated with the impact)

If enacted, this statutory change would allow certain individuals access to emergency medical treatment with less delay, which in turn would promote better outcomes for individuals we serve.

#### **♦ EVIDENCE BASE**

N/A

## Insert fully drafted bill here

Subsection (f) of section 17a-238 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):

(f) The Commissioner of Developmental Services shall require the attending physician of any person placed or treated in a residential facility under the direction of the commissioner to obtain informed written consent from the following persons prior to authorizing any [surgical procedure or any] medical treatment or surgical procedure, excluding routine medical treatment which is necessary to maintain the general health of the person or to prevent the spread of any communicable disease: (1) The person if such person is eighteen years of age or over or is legally emancipated and competent to give such consent; (2) the parent of a person under eighteen years of age who is not legally emancipated; or (3) the legal representative of a person of any age who is adjudicated unable to make informed decisions about matters relating to such person's medical care. The person whose consent is required shall be informed of the nature and consequences of the particular medical treatment or surgical procedure, the reasonable risks, benefits and purpose of such treatment or [surgical] procedure and any alternative treatment or surgical [procedures] procedure which [are] is available. The consent of any person or of any parent or legal representative of any person may be withdrawn at any time prior to the commencement of the <u>medical</u> treatment or surgical procedure. The regional or training school director having custody and control of a person living in a residential facility may authorize necessary [surgery] medical treatment or surgical procedure for such person where, in the opinion of the person's attending physician, the [surgery] medical treatment or surgical procedure is of an emergency nature and there is insufficient time to obtain the required written consent provided for in this section. The attending physician shall prepare a report describing the nature of the emergency which necessitated such [surgery] medical treatment or surgical procedure and shall file a copy of such report in the patient's record.



**Document Name**: (3) 2021 Legislative Proposal- DDS Access to Abuse and Neglect Evaluation

Reports by Legal Representatives 9-1-20

**Revised 10-19-20** Subsection (e) of 46a-11c CGS

State Agency: Department of Developmental Services (DDS)

**Liaison:** Krista Ostaszewski **Phone:** (860) 418-6066

E-mail: Krista.Ostaszewski@ct.gov

Lead agency division requesting this proposal: Investigations Division

Agency Analyst/Drafter of Proposal: Rod O'Connor, (860) 418-6130, Rod.Oconnor@ct.gov

Title of Proposal: Access to Abuse and Neglect Evaluation Reports by Legal Representatives

**Statutory Reference:** 46a-11c Initial determination and evaluation of report. Investigation of certain deaths. Electronic copy of reports.

## **Proposal Summary:**

The bill would restrict access to an abuse and neglect evaluation report or the original report of alleged abuse or neglect by an individual's legal representative, if the legal representative was the alleged or substantiated perpetrator of the abuse or neglect or if the legal representative lived with the alleged or substantiated perpetrator.

#### PROPOSAL BACKGROUND

#### ♦ Reason for Proposal

Statute currently prohibits DDS from notifying and sharing any documents regarding a report of abuse or neglect that warrants an investigation, when an individual's legal representative is the alleged perpetrator of such abuse or neglect or is residing with the alleged perpetrator. To ensure ongoing protection of individuals with intellectual disability, DDS is requesting to expand this statute to prohibit DDS from sharing the original report of abuse or neglect and the evaluation report (also known as the final report) with a legal representative who has been found to be the perpetrator of abuse or neglect or is residing with the substantiated perpetrator.

|   | <b>◊</b> | Origin of Proposal | New Proposal | ☐ Resubmission |
|---|----------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1 | N/A      |                    |              |                |



#### ♦ **AGENCIES AFFECTED** (please list for each affected agency)

| Agency Name: Agency Contact (name, title, phone):  |
|--|
| Date Contacted:  |
| Approve of Proposal  |
| Summary of Affected Agency's Comments  |
| Will there need to be further negotiation? ☐ YES ⊠NO   |
| ♦ <b>FISCAL IMPACT</b> (please include the proposal section that causes the fiscal impact and the anticipated impact |
| Municipal (please include any municipal mandate that can be found within legislation) None                           |
| State  |
| None   |
| Federal  |
| None   |
| Additional notes on fiscal impact  |
| None   |
| Additional notes on fiscal impact None   |

## **POLICY and PROGRAMMATIC IMPACTS** (*Please specify the proposal section associated with the impact*)

If enacted, this statutory change would add protections for individuals with intellectual disability by prohibiting the sharing of the final evaluation report of alleged abuse or neglect with a legal representative, who has been found to be the alleged or substantiated perpetrator of the abuse or neglect, or who is residing with the alleged or substantiated perpetrator.

#### **♦ EVIDENCE BASE**

What data will be used to track the impact of this proposal over time, and what measurable outcome do you anticipate? Is that data currently available or must it be developed? Please provide information on the measurement and evaluation plan. Where possible, those plans should include process and outcome components. Pew MacArthur Results First evidence definitions can



help you to establish the evidence-base for your program and their <u>Clearinghouse</u> allows for easy access to information about the evidence base for a variety of programs.

N/A

## Insert fully drafted bill here

Section 46a-11c of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective upon passage*):

Sec. 46a-11c. (Formerly Sec. 19a-458b). Initial determination and evaluation of report. Investigation of certain deaths. Electronic copy of reports. (a) The commissioner, upon receiving a report that a person with intellectual disability allegedly is being or has been abused or neglected, shall make an initial determination whether such person has intellectual disability, shall determine if the report warrants investigation and shall cause, in cases that so warrant, a prompt, thorough evaluation to be made to determine whether the person has intellectual disability and has been abused or neglected. For the purposes of sections 46a-11a to 46a-11g, inclusive, the determination of intellectual disability may be made by means of a review of records and shall not require the commissioner to conduct a full psychological examination of the person. Any delay in making such determination of intellectual disability shall not delay the investigation of abuse or neglect or recommendation of provision of protective services. The evaluation shall include a visit to the named person with intellectual disability and consultation with those individuals having knowledge of the facts of the particular case. All state, local and private agencies shall have a duty to cooperate with any investigation conducted by the Department of Developmental Services under this section, including the release of complete records of the named person for review, inspection and copying, except where the person with intellectual disability refuses to permit such records to be released. The commissioner shall have subpoen powers to compel any information related to such investigation. All records of the named person shall be kept confidential by said department. Upon completion of the evaluation of each case, written findings shall be prepared which shall include a determination of whether abuse or neglect has occurred and recommendations as to whether protective services are needed. The commissioner, except in cases where the legal representative is the alleged or substantiated perpetrator of abuse or neglect or is residing with the alleged or substantiated perpetrator, shall notify the legal representative, if any, of the person with intellectual disability if a report of abuse or neglect is made which the commissioner determines warrants investigation. The commissioner, except in cases where the legal representative is the alleged or substantiated perpetrator of abuse or neglect or is residing with the alleged or substantiated perpetrator, shall provide the legal representative who the commissioner determines is entitled to such information with further information upon request. The person filing the report of abuse or neglect shall be notified of the findings upon such person's request.

(b) The commissioner, upon receiving a report that a person who receives services from the Department of Social Services' Division of Autism Spectrum Disorder Services, allegedly is being or has been abused or neglected, shall make an initial determination whether such person receives funding or services from said division, shall determine if the report warrants investigation and shall cause, in cases that so warrant, a prompt, thorough evaluation, as described in subsection (b) of section



17a-247f, to be made by the Department of Developmental Services to determine whether the person has been abused or neglected.

- (c) In cases where there is a death of a person with intellectual disability for whom the Department of Developmental Services has direct or oversight responsibility for medical care, and there is reasonable cause to suspect or believe that such death may be due to abuse or neglect, the commissioner shall conduct an investigation to determine whether abuse or neglect occurred, except as may be otherwise required by court order. The commissioner shall establish protocols for conducting such investigations.
- (d) The commissioner shall maintain an electronic copy of the reports received of alleged abuse or neglect and all evaluation reports.
- (e) Neither the original report of alleged abuse or neglect nor the evaluation report of the investigator which includes findings and recommendations shall be deemed a public record for purposes of section 1-210. The name of the person making the original report shall not be disclosed to any person unless the person making the original report consents to such disclosure or unless a judicial proceeding results therefrom. The original report of alleged abuse or neglect or the evaluation report of the investigator shall not be provided to a legal representative who is the alleged or substantiated perpetrator of abuse or neglect or a legal representative who resides with the alleged or substantiated perpetrator, except as may be otherwise required by court order.



| <b>Document Name</b> : (4) 2021 Legislative Proposal - DDS Camp Harkness Advisory Committee Appointments 9-1-20  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
| State Agency: Department of Developmental Services (DDS)   |  |  |
| Liaison: Krista Ostaszewski  |  |  |
| <b>Phone:</b> (860) 418-6066   |  |  |
| E-mail: Krista.Ostaszewski@ct.gov  |  |  |
| Lead agency division requesting this proposal: Commissioner's Office   |  |  |
| Agency Analyst/Drafter of Proposal: Rod O'Connor, (860) 418-6130, Rod.Oconnor@ct.gov   |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Title of Proposal: Camp Harkness Advisory Committee Appointments   |  |  |
| Statutory Reference: Sec. 17a-217a. Camp Harkness Advisory Committee   |  |  |
| Proposal Summary: This bill updates the appointments to the Camp Harkness Advisory Committee to reflect changes to the names of entities from which members are appointed and replaces certain appointed entities that have ceased to exist with criteria to appoint new members to better reflect the individuals who use the camp. |  |  |
| PROPOSAL BACKGROUND  |  |  |
| ♦ Reason for Proposal  |  |  |
| To update the appointments to the Camp Harkness Advisory Committee to reflect changes to the names of the entities appointed and update the criteria for two appointments.   |  |  |
| ♦ Origin of Proposal ☑ New Proposal ☐ Resubmission   |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## **PROPOSAL IMPACT**

♦ **AGENCIES AFFECTED** (please list for each affected agency)



| Agency Name: None   |
|---|
| Agency Contact (name, title, phone):  Date Contacted:   |
| Date Contacted:   |
| Approve of Proposal   |
| Summary of Affected Agency's Comments   |
| Will there need to be further negotiation? ☐ YES ☐ NO   |
| ♦ FISCAL IMPACT (please include the proposal section that causes the fiscal impact and the anticipated impact   |
| Municipal (please include any municipal mandate that can be found within legislation)   |
| None  |
|   |
| State   |
| None  |
| Federal   |
| None  |
|   |
| Additional notes on fiscal impact   |
| None  |
|   |
| POLICY and PROGRAMMATIC IMPACTS (Please specify the proposal section associated with the impact that the impact of the proposal section associated with the impact of the proposal section as the proposal se |
| This bill will update the appointments to the Camp Harkness Advisory Committee to reflect changes to the names of the entities appointed and replaces certain appointed entities that have  |
| ceased to exist with criteria to appoint new members.   |
|   |
|   |
| ♦ EVIDENCE BASE  What data will be used to track the impact of this proposal over time, and what measurable outcome do you anticipate? Is that  |
| data currently available or must it be developed? Please provide information on the measurement and evaluation plan. Where  |
| possible, those plans should include process and outcome components. Pew MacArthur Results First <u>evidence definitions</u> can  |
| help you to establish the evidence-base for your program and their <u>Clearinghouse</u> allows for easy access to information about the evidence base for a variety of programs.  |
| the evidence base for a variety of programs.  |
| N/A   |



## Insert fully drafted bill here

Subsection (a) of section 17a-217a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective upon passage*):

(a) There shall be a Camp Harkness Advisory Committee to advise the Commissioner of Developmental Services with respect to issues concerning the health and safety of persons who attend and utilize the facilities at Camp Harkness. The advisory committee shall be composed of twelve members as follows: (1) Six members appointed by the Governor, one of whom shall be the director of Camp Harkness, who shall serve ex officio, one of whom shall represent [Southeastern Connecticut Association for Developmental Disabilities] a mental health organization that uses the camp, one of whom shall represent the Southbury Training School, one of whom shall represent the Arc [of New London County Eastern Connecticut, one of whom shall be a person who uses the camp on a residential basis and one of whom shall be a relative or guardian of a person who uses the camp; and (2) six members appointed by the General Assembly, one of whom shall be a relative or guardian of a person who uses the camp, who shall be appointed by the president pro tempore of the Senate; one of whom shall be [a member of the Family Support Council established pursuant to section 17a-219c and represent persons who use the camp on a day basis] a special education director, who shall be appointed by the speaker of the House of Representatives; one of whom shall represent the board of selectmen of the town of Waterford, who shall be appointed by the majority leader of the House of Representatives; one of whom shall represent a private nonprofit corporation that is: (A) Tax exempt under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or any subsequent internal revenue code of the United States, as amended from time to time, and (B) established to promote and support Camp Harkness and its camping programs, who shall be appointed by the majority leader of the Senate; one of whom shall represent the Connecticut Institute for the Blind and the Oak Hill School, who shall be appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives; and one of whom shall represent [the United Cerebral Palsy Association] Sunrise Northeast Inc., who shall be appointed by the minority leader of the Senate.



**Document Name**: (5) 2021 Legislative Proposal - DDS's Eligibility Determinations for Probate Court Reassessments of the Appointment of a Guardian 9-1-20

(If submitting electronically, please label with date, agency, and title of proposal - 092620\_SDE\_TechRevisions)

State Agency: Department of Developmental Services (DDS)

**Liaison:** Krista Ostaszewski **Phone:** (860) 418-6066

E-mail: Krista.Ostaszewski@ct.gov

Lead agency division requesting this proposal: Legal and Government Affairs

Agency Analyst/Drafter of Proposal: Rod O'Connor, (860) 418-6130, Rod.Oconnor@ct.gov

**Title of Proposal:** Department of Developmental Services' Eligibility Determinations for Probate Court Reassessments of the Appointment of a Guardian

**Statutory Reference:** Sec. 45a-681 (a) Review of guardianship by court.

#### **Proposal Summary:**

During the initial Probate Court hearing for the appointment of a guardian, the DDS assessment team is required to evaluate and submit a written report or testimony to the Court regarding the severity of the individual's intellectual disability. Public Act No. 18-32 specified that such written report or testimony by the DDS assessment team is not required for the hearing for appointment of a guardian, when such individual has been determined ineligible for DDS services, provided that such eligibility is based on the determination that the individual does not have intellectual disability, as defined by section 1-1g CGS. In these situations, DDS is permitted to submit a copy of the eligibility determination letter indicating that the person is ineligible based on the absence of intellectual disability. Along with the original hearing to appoint a guardian, the Probate Court also is required, as defined in section 45a-681 CGS, to hold triennial assessments to continue, modify, or terminate the order for guardianship. Because the language in PA 18-32 only addressed the original hearing to appoint a guardian, the ability to submit a copy of the eligibility determination letter from DDS indicating the individual is ineligible based on the absence of intellectual disability, is not extended to triennial assessments. This means when the Probate Court judge reviews guardianship at least once every three years, the Department's assessment team, is required to evaluate the individual and submit a written report or testimony to the court, even though the individual already has been determined ineligible for DDS services based on the absence of intellectual disability. DDS is requesting that the ability to submit a copy of the eligibility determination letter from DDS when an individual has been determined ineligible be extended to the Court's triennial reviews. This proposal will not limit the ability to appeal or request a reconsideration of the department's determination that an individual is ineligible based on the absence of intellectual disability.



| CANTEN  |
|---|
|   |
|   |
| PROPOSAL BACKGROUND   |
| ♦ Reason for Proposal   |
| This proposal would allow DDS to submit a person's denial of eligibility letter, in lieu of a reassessment for appointment of a guardian (also known as the triennial review). Statute allows for this process to occur as part of the original appointment for guardianship but was not extended to the reassessment process through Probate Court.  |
| ♦ Origin of Proposal     ☑ New Proposal     ☐ Resubmission       N/A  |
| PROPOSAL IMPACT  ♦ AGENCIES AFFECTED (please list for each affected agency)   |
| Agency Name: Probate Court Agency Contact (name, title, phone): Melissa Riley, Manager of Communications & Intergovernmental Relations Office: 860.231.2442 ext 332  Date Contacted: 9/14/20 *Probate Court approved proposal last session but because bill did not pass and the proposal was redrafted we have resent to Probate for their review.  Approve of Proposal  YES  NO  Talks Ongoing; |
| Summary of Affected Agency's Comments   |
| Will there need to be further negotiation? ☐ YES ☐ NO   |
| ♦ FISCAL IMPACT (please include the proposal section that causes the fiscal impact and the anticipated im   |
| Municipal (please include any municipal mandate that can be found within legislation) None  |
| State<br>None   |



| Federal<br>None                           |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| Additional notes on fiscal impact<br>None |  |

#### POLICY and PROGRAMMATIC IMPACTS (Please specify the proposal section associated with the impact)

If enacted, this legislation would align the requirements for DDS's response to the Probate Court in cases where either the appointment or the reappointment of a guardian for a person who has not been found eligible for DDS funding or services based on the determination that such person does not have intellectual disability is being sought. With this change DDS would be able to submit a person's denial of eligibility letter for either the original appointment of a guardian or in place of the reassessment for the appointment of a guardian.

#### **♦ EVIDENCE BASE**

What data will be used to track the impact of this proposal over time, and what measurable outcome do you anticipate? Is that data currently available or must it be developed? Please provide information on the measurement and evaluation plan. Where possible, those plans should include process and outcome components. Pew MacArthur Results First evidence definitions can help you to establish the evidence-base for your program and their Clearinghouse allows for easy access to information about the evidence base for a variety of programs.

N/A

# **Insert fully drafted bill here**

Subsection (b) of section 45a-674 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective upon passage*):

(b) The written report or testimony by the assessment team shall not be required for a hearing on the appointment of a plenary guardian or limited guardian if the individual has been determined ineligible for services of the Department of Developmental Services by the commissioner or his or her designee, provided such denial of eligibility is based on the determination that the individual does not have intellectual disability as defined in section 1-1g. A copy of the eligibility determination letter indicating that the basis of ineligibility is the absence of intellectual disability, as defined in section 1-1g, shall be provided to the Probate Court in lieu of a report by the assessment team and no further assessment by the team, including any subsequent assessment requested pursuant to subsection (a) of section 45a-681 of the general statutes, shall be required.

