STATE OF CONNECTICUT State Innovation Model Quality Council

Meeting Summary September 2, 2015

Location: CT Behavioral Health Partnership, 500 Enterprise Drive, Rocky Hill

Members Present: Aileen Broderick; Mehul Dalal; Steve Frayne; Daniela Giordano; Karin Haberlin; Elizabeth Krause; Arlene Murphy; Robert Nardino; Marla Pantano; Tiffany Pierce; Andrew Selinger; Steve Wolfson; Thomas Woodruff; Robert Zavoski

Members Absent: Rohit Bhalla; Mark DeFrancesco; Amy Gagliardi; Kathleen Harding; Kathy Lavorgna; Steve Levine; Donna O'Shea; Meryl Price; Jean Rexford; Rebecca Santiago; Todd Varricchio

Other Participants: Debbie Amato; Rita Berkstrom; Sean Bradbury; Steve Colangelo; Sandra Czunas; Faina Dookh; Kathy Henchey; Kevin Kappel; Jane McNichol; Mark Schaefer

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6 p.m. Mehul Dalal served as meeting chair.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Approval of Minutes

Motion to approve the minutes from the meeting of August 12, 2015 – Steve Wolfson; seconded by Elizabeth Krause.

There was no discussion.

Vote: all in favor.

Care Coordination Measures

Mark Schaefer said the PMO would convene a special Quality Council meeting to talk through issues involving care coordination and to review any additional information received. He reviewed the schedule for the next month. He also reviewed the PMO's discussion with Delaware regarding their common scorecard. There will be follow up to understand how they measure their progress (27% alignment amongst payers).

The Care Experience Design Group met with Dr. Paul Cleary of Yale regarding the redesign of the CAHPS survey (Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems). Dr. Cleary is proposing including of items from the ECHO behavioral health survey tool. It was noted that what

Quality Council 9/2/2015 Page | 1

they are pursing is aligned with work nationally. There are also concerns about overcoming barriers to completing the surveys, particularly with more vulnerable populations.

Consideration of Supplemental Medicaid Measures

Robert Zavoski and Steve Colangelo presented on behalf of the Department of Social Services. Dr. Zavoski described the Medicaid measure review process and the protocol that DSS must follow in implementing the Medicaid Quality Improvement and Shared Savings Program (MQISSP) (see Protocol for Work in Support of the State Innovation Model Medicaid Quality Improvement and Shared Savings Program here; DSS Overarching Goals and MQISSP Measure Selection Criteria here and MQISSP Proposed Measures here). He said that as time goes on they will move towards EHR based measures. The Council discussed the MQISSP proposed measures.

Level 3 Culling - Acute and Chronic Care measures, other measures as time permits

The Council reviewed the results from the Survey Monkey poll (see results here). The Council decided to include the top 7 measures in the survey and begin reviewing at the 8th ranked measure: Diabetes Foot Exam.

Measure	Discussion	Consensus Decision
Diabetes Foot Exam	The measure is important in	The Council opted to keep this
	terms of health equity	measure.
	disparities but it is an EMR	
	based measure, proving	
	difficult to stand up.	
CAD: Persistence of Beta	There were concerns about	The Council opted to move this
blocker therapy after a heart	base rate issues and	to specialty care.
attack	opportunity for improvement.	
Avoidance of antibiotics for	It was noted this measure is	The Council opted to keep this
acute bronchitis	part of Choosing Wisely and is	measure.
	important from a public health	
	standpoint	
Ischemic vascular disease:	It was noted that this was a	The Council opted not to retain
use of aspirin or another anti	self-reported measure	the measure.
thrombotic	involving a nonprescription	
	medication, leading to doubt in	
	the reporting accuracy.	
Heart Failure: Beta-blocker	It was noted that the base rates	The Council opted not to retain
therapy for left ventricular	were too low for primary care.	the measure.
systolic dysfunction		
Use of spirometry testing in	It was noted the base rates	The Council opted not to retain
the assessment and diagnosis	were too low and it was	the measure.
of COPD	difficult to get good claims	
	capture due to difficulty	
	distinguishing the initial	
	diagnosis.	
Disease modifying anti-	It was noted the measure was	The Council opted not to retain
rheumatoid arthritis	specialty specific.	the measure.
Cardiac stress imaging:	There was discussion as to	The Council decided to revisit

Quality Council 9/2/2015 Page | 2

testing in asymptomatic low	whether stress testing or EKGs	the measures and determine
risk patients; and	had a higher cost associated. It	whether to stand them up as
preoperative evaluation in	was noted these are	reporting only.
low risk surgery patients	measurable at the ACO level.	
Coronary Artery Disease:	The measure lost its NQF	The Council opted not to retain
Medication adherence	endorsement and is a challenge	the measure.
	to program. It also lacks	
	performance data.	

Next Steps

Next steps were discussed at the beginning of the meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

Quality Council 9/2/2015 Page | 3