

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
State Innovation Model
Practice Transformation Task Force

Meeting Summary
May 29, 2018

Meeting Location: Webinar and Conference Call

Members Present: Lesley Bennett; Mary Boudreau; Leigh Dubnicka; Heather Gates; Shirley Girouard; Anne Klee; Douglas Olson; H. Andrew Selinger; Anita Soutier; Elsa Stone; Randy Trowbridge; Mark Vanacore; Jesse White-Frese

Members Absent: Susan Adams; Grace Damio; Maria Dwyer; Garrett Fecteau; M. Alex Geertsma; Rebecca Kaplan; Edmund Kim; Alta Lash; Kate McEvoy; Rowena Rosenblum-Bergmans; Eileen Smith

Other Participants: Kevin Galvin; Theanvy Kuoch; Jenna Lupi; Terry Nowakowski; Mark Schaefer; Victoria Veltri

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m. Elsa Stone chaired the meeting. Attendance was taken by roll call. Members and other participants introduced themselves.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Review and Approval of Meeting Summary

Approval of the meeting was deferred to the next Practice Transformation Taskforce meeting.

House rules refresh

Dr. Stone reviewed the house rules.

Purpose of Today's Meeting

Dr. Stone reviewed the purpose of today's meeting. There will be a discussion of the revised Advisory Process diagram for the Primary Care Modernization (PCM) Model Design and the revised "Response to Comments" regarding the Primary Care Payment Reform Report.

Advisory Process

Dr. Schaefer provided the overview of the advisory process and the response to public comments. He reviewed what was different from earlier discussions and comments. He also reviewed the updates to the Advisory Process diagram and how the advisory process would work. It was noted that there will be information sharing between the groups. The members discussed the revised Advisory Process diagram. Several members commented that this is a much-improved revision.

There was a question regarding what happened to the Workforce Group. It was mentioned that for

CHW workforce questions and issues, there is the CHW Advisory Committee. Later in the design phase, there will be a better idea of what workforce development activities will be necessary. There was question of whether another design group to focus on the needs of workforce would be needed. There was a suggestion to coordinate with a state body with respect to workforce strategy. The Taskforce discussed who the right partner would be.

The Taskforce continued to discuss the Advisory Process diagram. There was a suggestion to have healthcare providers, IT, and other professionals at the table. It was suggested to research workforce capacity work that is done outside of physicians and add them to the group. It was mentioned that the advisory groups on the right side are groups that are already established. The groups on the left side are groups that need to be established. There was a suggestion to add the inter-professional healthcare group on the left side of the diagram. The Taskforce talked about listing the Department of Labor on the right side. It was mentioned that they have a contract with the workforce investment board.

Discussion of Response to Comments

Dr. Stone invited comments about the revised Response to Public Comments. The Taskforce discussed the Response to Comments. It was mentioned that the changes reflect the general spirit of the conversations that PTTF have had.

It was noted that the changes to the Advisory Process diagram discussed during today's meeting will be included. It will be sent out to PTTF to review the changes. Materials as approved by the Taskforce will go to the Steering Committee for review and approval.

Motion: to accept the Response to Comments: Lesley Bennett; seconded by Andy Selinger

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote: *All in favor.*

Abstain: Shirley Girouard – Dr. Girouard said she didn't hear part of the conversation and wasn't sure if they went far enough to address concerns.

Review of Next Steps and Adjournment

The next PTTF in-person meeting will be June 26th in Rocky Hill.

Motion: to adjourn – Leslie Bennett; seconded by Andy Selinger

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote: *All in favor.*

The meeting adjourned 6:58 p.m.