

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
State Innovation Model
Healthcare Innovation Steering Committee

Meeting Summary
August 9, 2018

Meeting Location: Webinar

Members Present: LG Nancy Wyman; Patricia Baker; Mary Bradley; Tony Crowe (for James Michel); Andrea Duarte (for Cmr Miriam Delphin-Rittmon); Anne Foley; Sharon Langer; Kate McEvoy (for Cmr Roderick Bremby); Joseph Quaranta; Robin Lamott-Sparks; Kristina Stevens; Elsa Stone; Jan VanTassel; Thomas Woodruff

Members Absent: Catherine Abercrombie; Jeffrey Beadle; Patrick Charmel; Terry Gerratana; Suzanne Lagarde; Alta Lash; Bruce Liang; Robert McLean; Frances Padilla; Raul Pino; Katherine Wade; Deremius Williams

Other Participants: Jenna Lupi; Kim Martone; Victoria Veltri

Call to Order and Introductions

LG Nancy Wyman, called the meeting to order at 3:04 p.m.
Attendance was taken by roll call.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Minutes

Motion: *to approve the July 12, 2018 Healthcare Innovation Steering Committee meeting summary – Anne Foley; seconded by Sharon Langer.*

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote: *All in favor.*

Payment Reform Council and Composition

Linda Greene presented on the Payment Reform Council Charter and Composition ([see meeting presentation here](#)). The Committee discussed the Payment Reform Council's composition. There was a question as to why only one employer and why only one self-insured. There was also a question as to why there is only one provider. It was suggested that if another provider is added, it would be to service both adults and children and reflect both populations. There was a question regarding what is meant by having consumers with experience in alternate payment models. It was mentioned that it may be difficult to find consumers with this qualification. The goal is to reach consumers regardless of where they are. There was a suggestion to remove experience in alternative payment models for consumers. It was noted that there are consumers serving in a member advisory capacity within ACOs', PCMH+ participating entities, and from an advisory standpoint on the Practice Transformation Task Force. The Committee agreed it would be better to describe the need for consumers with this experience.

The Committee discussed and agreed to the need to have more than one provider on the Payment Reform Council. It was noted that the perspective would be helpful with whatever payment model is being discussed. The Committees also discussed and agreed on amending the composition to include another employer.

Motion: *to amend the Payment Reform Council's composition to include another provider and another employer. – Patricia Baker; seconded by Jan VanTassel.*

Discussion: Ms. Veltri asked for clarification around the employer that they are seeking. She asked whether they are looking for an employer that is fully insured, self-insured, small employer, etc. Ms. Bradley suggested leaving it open to employer membership and removing self-insured.

Motion Amendment: *to amend the Payment Reform Council's composition to add another provider and another employer but remove the word "self-insured" in the qualifications.*

Discussion: There was no further discussion or amendments.

Vote taken by saying I: *All in favor.*

Motion: *to approve the charter and composition of the Payment Reform Council.*

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote taken by saying I: *All in favor.*

Value Based Insurance Design Updated Templates

Jenna Lupi presented on the Value Based Insurance Design updated templates. The Committee discussed the updated templates. There was a question regarding alerting the prescribing provider about generic substitutions and whether it's practical. Members discussed the interpretations of the recommendation from the template. It was mentioned that there are numerous services that report generic conversion opportunities to prescribers. It is not a uniform standard nor is it implemented across all networks. It was suggested that there may be an opportunity to put alert notifications into the plan design.

The Committee discussed the piece about having precision copay assistance. There was also a discussion about the copay accumulator program. There was a suggestion to remove this piece. It was noted that this component of the templates was strongly recommended by the consumers. The Committee decided to retain this piece for the public comment period to have a sense of how realistic it would be to include it. After public comment it will be reassessed by HISC before making a final decision.

It was noted that the vote is not to approve the updated templates but to move forward with the information by putting the templates out for public comment.

Motion: *to put the Value Based Insurance Design updated templates out for public comment – Anne Foley; seconded Jan VanTassel.*

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote: *All in favor.*

Adjourn

The next Healthcare Innovation Steering Committee meeting is scheduled for September 13, 2018.

Motion: *to adjourn the meeting –Anne Foley; seconded by Pat Baker.*

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote: *All in favor.*

The meeting adjourned at 3:54 p.m.