CERTIFIED COPY

1 STATE OF CONNECTICUT 2 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH 3 OFFICE OF HEALTH STRATEGY 4 5 LIFT PATHWAYS TO RENEWAL, LLC (22-32602-CON)) 6 7 8 Certificate of Need Application 9 10 EVIDENTIARY HEARING (9:30 a.m.) and 11 PUBLIC COMMENT HEARING (3 p.m.) 12 13 HELD BEFORE: DANIEL CSUKA, ESQ., THE HEARING OFFICER 14 15 16 DATE: May 24, 2023 17 9:31 A.M. TIME: 18 PLACE: (Held Via Teleconference) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Reporter: Robert G. Dixon, N.P., CVR-M #857

1	APPEARANCES
2	REPRESENTING LIFT PATHWAYS TO RENEWAL, LLC (Applicant):
3	REID & RIEGE PC
4	755 Main Street, 21st floor
5	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
6	By: Adam Carter Rose
7	ARose@rrlawpc.com
8	860.240.1065
9	
10	OHS Staff:
11	STEVEN LAZARUS,
12	CON Program Supervisor
13	
14	JESSICA RIVAL,
15	Healthcare Analyst
16	
17	YADIRA McLAUGHLIN,
18	Planning Analyst
19	
20	FAY FENTIS,
21	Case Manager
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Begin: 9:31 a.m.)
2	
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Good morning,
4	everyone. Thank you all for being here. I am
5	going to start by departing a little bit from my
6	usual instructions, because I think there is just
7	something important that I need to clarify for the
8	benefit of the public, and that is the name of the
9	Applicant.
10	So counsel, I just wanted you to identify
11	yourself for the record?
12	MR. ROSE: Adam Rose.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
14	So prior submissions have at various times
15	referred to the Applicant as being Lift Wellness
16	Group, Mary Dobson or Dennis Dobson.
17	So OHS in turn has labeled certain documents
18	with those names, but I understand from a letter
19	you submitted a couple days ago that the name of
20	the Applicant is in fact Lift Pathways to Renewal,
21	LLC. Is that right?
22	MR. ROSE: That is correct.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. So now that we
24	have that taken care of, I'm going to sort of jump
25	back into my usual instructions, but I did think

that that was important to get out of the way for the benefit of the public.

So Lift Pathways to Renewal, LLC -- that's the applicant in this matter -- seeks a certificate of need for its proposed establishment of a new healthcare facility pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section 19a-638, Sub A, Sub 1. Specifically, it seeks to add certain mental health services, specifically partial hospitalization and intensive outpatient programming -- that's PHP and IOP -- at its existing facility in Westport, Connecticut.

Today is May 24, 2023. My name is Daniel
Csuka. Dr. Deidre S. Gifford, the Executive
Director of the Office of Health Strategy
designated me to serve as the Hearing Officer for
this matter, to rule on all motions and to
recommend findings of fact and conclusions of law
upon completion of the hearing.

Public Act Number 21-2, as amended by Public Act Number 22-3, also authorizes an agency to hold a public hearing by means of electronic equipment. In accordance with this legislation, any person who participates orally in an electronic meeting shall make a good-faith effort to state his, her

or their name and title at the outset of each occasion that such person participates orally during an uninterrupted dialogue or series of questions and answers.

We ask that all members of the public mute the device that they are using to access the hearing, and silence any additional devices that are around them.

This public hearing is being held pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section 19a-639a, Sub F, Sub 2. Although this does not constitute a contested case under the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act, the manner in which OHS conducts these proceedings will be guided by the UAPA provisions and the regulations of Connecticut state agencies.

I do have some OHS staff with me here today to assist in gathering facts related to this application, and we will be asking the applicant witnesses questions.

I'm going to ask each staff person assisting with questions today to identify themselves with their name, spelling of their last name, and OHS title. And I'm going to begin with Steve Lazarus.

MR. LAZARUS: Hi, good morning. Steven Lazarus,

L-a-z-a-r-u-s. I am the Certificate of Need
Program Supervisor.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Now, Jessica Rival.

MS. RIVAL: Good morning. Jessica Rival, R-i-v-a-l, and I'm a healthcare analyst with the Office of Health Strategy.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And Ms. McLaughlin?

MS. McLAUGHLIN: Good morning, Yadira McLaughlin,

M-c-L-a-u-g-h-l-i-n, and I'm a planning analyst

with the Office of Health Strategy.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Also present, sort of in the background is Faye Fentis. That's spelled

F-e-n-t-i-s. She's a paralegal with our agency.

She will be assisting with the hearing logistics and will also gather the names for public comment later on.

The certificate of need process is a regulatory process, and as such, the highest level of respect will be accorded to the Applicant, members of the public, and our staff. Our priority is the integrity and transparency of this process. Accordingly, decorum must be maintained by all present during these proceedings.

This hearing is being transcribed and recorded, and the video will also be made

available on the OHS website and its YouTube account. All documents related to this hearing that have been or will be submitted to OHS are available for review through our certificate of need portal, which is accessible on the OHS CON webpage.

In making my decision I will consider and make written findings in accordance with Section 19a-639 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Lastly, as Zoom notified you in the course of entering this hearing, I wish to point out that by appearing on camera in this virtual hearing, you are consenting to being filmed. If you wish to revoke your consent, please do so by leaving the meeting at this time.

So the CON portal contains the pre-hearing table of record in this case. At the time of filing yesterday exhibits were identified in the table from Exhibit A to Q.

Mr. Lazarus, Ms. Rival, Ms. McLaughlin, and Ms. Fentis, do any of you have any additional exhibits that you wish to enter into the record at this time on behalf of the agency?

MS. RIVAL: I do not.

MR. LAZARUS: Not at this time.

1 MS. McLAUGHLIN: I do not.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And Ms. Fentis?

3 MS. FENTIS: I do not.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.

The Applicant is hereby noticed that I am taking administrative notice of the following documents; the statewide healthcare facilities and services plan, the facilities and services inventory, the OHS acute care hospital discharge database, the all-payer claims database claims data, and the hospital reporting system financial and utilization data.

I am also going to be taking administrative notice of the following dockets -- and Attorney Rose, if you need me to slow down just let me know.

22-32513-CON, that's actually an application that you asked me to take administrative notice of, I believe, in your response to the issue responses that's regarding Norwalk Hospital.

Docket Number 19-32305-CON, that's CT YA

Services, doing business as Newport Academy. And
that again was referenced in one of the

Applicant's submissions, not by docket, but by
name.

1 17-32197-CON, that is the application by
2 Discovery Practice Management, d/b/a, Center for
3 Discovery, Greenwich. And then, finally is
4 15-32042-CON --

MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that number again? 15-04?

THE HEARING OFFICER: 15-32042. That's Center for Discovery, Southport.

So, starting with the exhibits as they are

identified in the table of record, and also all of those records that I just mentioned that I was taking administrative notice of, Attorney Rose, do you have any objections to any of those documents?

MR. ROSE: Well, if it's for our benefit, I don't need the agency to take administrative notices of all the dockets except for Norwalk Hospital. The other ones, if you need to in considering the application, take administrative notice then I won't object, but if it's for our benefit I would ask that those be removed.

And I think we would rather that you limit your review to the materials that we submitted, but then also include the pending application for Norwalk Hospital.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I think that given some of

the statements that were made in some of the submissions, that looking at those may actually be of some benefit to us.

MR. ROSE: Okay.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Just because there's some information in there in terms of what Newport Academy was expecting in terms of utilization and the types of services that they were planning to offer, and how those may interweave with what is being applied for here.

And yeah, my preference would just be to take administrative notice of those, and if we don't end up -- if I don't end up relying on them, then I won't end up relying on them, but I do think that some of the information in there could be helpful for this one.

MR. ROSE: Okay. Now, one other thing? Exhibit G has public comments, and you indicated that that exhibit will be updated as more public -- written public comments are received.

Currently, there have been some individuals who have sent us letters that we understood would have been submitted to the agency as comments, and we've tried to just sort of redirect them.

I have a question. If -- if we have letters

in our possession; A, if we continue to try to redirect individuals to submit them via email to the CONcomment@ct.gov, how much time do they have to do so?

And if for any reason we can't do that, can we submit those letters that we have in our possession that are directed to you as the Hearing Officer in this proceeding -- would we be able to upload them to the portal and have them either; A, be considered comments; or if not, B, letters of support?

THE HEARING OFFICER: So the way we have been doing this recently -- and for anyone else who happens to frequent these CON hearings, it's probably to their benefit to know this as well -- we've been separating out public comment that has been submitted prior to the hearing versus public comment that has been submitted after the hearing, or during the hearing.

So we will consider everything that is being submitted, and in this particular instance we're going to allow written public comment to be submitted up to seven days following the hearing. The only reason for separating it is because when I speak with counsel and I say, do you have any

objection to anything that's been put in the record? I want it to just be clear what has existed prior to the hearing and what is after the hearing. So yes, you can continue to submit things up to seven days following the adjournment of the hearing.

And the other thing that I wanted to ask you was, so are you saying that some of the comments that have been submitted either by you or your client haven't been added to that Exhibit G yet?

MR. ROSE: So there are letters that were shared with us where somebody says, here you go. Here's a letter directed to the Hearing Officer in the proceeding, and these are letters that are in support.

For a good number of them we've been able to get back to that individual and have them then resend directly to the agency, and they have made their way to Exhibit G. Some of them have not.

And so, these are busy people, honestly. You know, we are so grateful to the people that took the time to write these letters, and I just want to make sure that, you know, if somebody's schedule doesn't afford them even five minutes to pay attention, to email it to the CON, and it's in

1	our possession, whether we could just upload it to
2	the portal ourselves?
3	Because these are letters signed by them
4	directed to you.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: You you can do that. My
6	suggestion, though, would be to forward those
7	letters to CONcomment@CT.gov instead.
8	MR. ROSE: Got it.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Just so that we can organize them
10	however we think we need to. But yeah, anything
11	submitted after right now will be considered up to
12	seven days following the hearing.
13	MR. ROSE: Much appreciated.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: So given that, all identified and
15	marked exhibits are going to be entered as full
16	exhibits at this time.
17	
18	(Department Exhibit Letters A through Q,
19	entered as full exhibits.)
20	
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Attorney Rose, do you have any
22	additional exhibits that you wish to enter at this
23	time?
24	MR. ROSE: Not at this time. Thank you.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Then we will proceed in

the order established in the agenda for today's hearing.

I would like to advise the Applicant that we may ask questions related to your application that you feel have already been addressed. We will do this for the purpose of ensuring that the public has knowledge about your proposal and for the purpose of clarification.

I want to reassure you that we have all reviewed your application, the completeness responses, and the pre-filed testimony, and we will do so again before issuing any decision in this matter.

As this hearing is being held virtually, we ask that all participants to the extent possible enable the use of video cameras when testifying or commenting during the proceedings. All participants should mute their devices and should disable their cameras when we go off the record or take a break.

Please be advised that although we will try
to shut off the hearing recording during the
breaks, it may continue. And even if the
recording shuts off, the video and audio may still
continue as well. If the recording is on, any

audio or video that has not been disabled will be accessible to the participants.

Public comment taken during the hearing will likely go in the order established by OHS during the registration process, and right now we have designated the hours of 2 p.m. to 3 p.m. as the public comment sign-up period. Although I may allow public officials to testify out of order, that will probably be the only ones who I allow to testify out of order today.

I or OHS staff will call each individual by name when it is his or her turn to speak.

Registration for public comment, as I just mentioned, will be from 2 to 3 p.m. today. If the technical portion of this hearing has not been completed by 3 p.m., public comment may be postponed until the technical portion is complete.

Moreover, if we end the technical portion
early, we cannot take comment earlier, given the
way in which the hearings have been noticed. So
there will be a break between the technical
component and the public comment component.
Regardless of when it is, the Applicant's
witnesses should be available after the public
comment, as OHS may have additional follow-up

questions based on the public comment itself.

Attorney Rose, are there any other housekeeping matters or procedural issues you wanted to address?

Or any questions you had at this time?

MR. ROSE: A couple notes about Dr. Dr. Alyssa Bennett,

who will be testifying on behalf of the Applicant

and has submitted pre-filed testimony. The first

is that I've been warned that there may be times

during the proceeding where she may breastfeed her

baby and would like to turn off her camera, even

if she's fielding a question. We don't expect

that to line up time-wise.

And so in terms of just having her camera on, if you want us to defer a question if her camera is off and she's going to be the appropriate individual to answer a question, then you could either wait or she could just respond via audio only -- if that's acceptable?

THE HEARING OFFICER: That's acceptable. And I see her in the Zoom, and her name is very clearly there.

So if she is testifying, I think it will be pretty clear who's speaking. So that's fine, even if her video is off.

MR. ROSE: Yeah, thank you for that. And also,

Dr. Bennett has, as you can imagine, she has a pretty packed clinical schedule, and we appreciate that she's taking the time.

And I've advised her that she needs to be here for the technical portion of this, and I'm confident that the rest of the Applicant's staff will be able to field any questions should they arise after the public commentary.

So I just wanted to clarify whether it would be acceptable if Dr. Bennett not have to hang around and field any questions after public commentary?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, that's totally fine with me.

MR. ROSE: Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, so we're going to move on to the technical component now. Counsel, do you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. ROSE: So I'm going to try to make this brief, because you're going to find that Mary Dobson is incredibly passionate about this project.

And it's almost comical trying to work with her on her opening statements in this, because there's so much that she wants to say and I have to explain to her that the purpose of the proceeding is to make sure that there's enough information submitted to the agency to satisfy the statutory criteria so that we may responsibly obtain approval to move forward.

And that's something where I, in coming into this application, I review the application. I work with the Applicant. And one of the first things that strikes me in this particular instance is that the clear public need for additional mental health services, specifically at the IOP and PHP level is extraordinary.

And I am saying that from my observation of the statistical data that has been compiled and submitted to the agency. I also note that there's been a lot of diligence, particularly in response to the hearing issues, of analyzing the providers that exist to service that need, and more specifically, the lack of providers to service that need.

So in my estimation there's a dire need for this proposal, and then the fact that it's grown organically out of an existing practice that's run by the clinician who's actually sitting down with the patients and understanding the needs and feeling the frustrations of trying to move beyond

a group practice setting to find IOP and PHP care for these individuals, and facing the exact challenges.

So from that perspective, I hope that there is an appreciation that this is a special application where you're not looking at, you know, a national chain or any other type of entity setting that, you know, is just looking to profit.

Because ordinarily I represent non-profit behavioral health providers by and large, and I appreciate that there are exemptions to the certificate and need process for those non-profit entities, particularly those with the state contract supported by DMHAS. But this particular applicant, while being for-profit, is really a passion project of an individual clinician.

So this is not in, you know, an institutional entity looking to enter the State. This is an individual who you'll see from the pre-filed testimony began her journey with actually suffering from the type of ailment that she currently helps other people overcome.

So as I said, you're going to see a lot of passion, but I hope that you also understand that that passion does not get in the way of Mary

Dobson's current practice that she runs with her husband, which is incredibly successful. And I think you'll see from the public letters of support, I mean, she is so plugged in and everybody appreciates what they do. They are financially responsible, and they are coordinated with other providers as shown by that support.

So I think in terms of the State feeling like there is a need for these services to alleviate pressure on inpatient facilities and residential facilities, and be able to have a safe place to step down patients, reduce cost of care, increase quality and comfort for patients. I mean, this -- this couldn't be a better setting and application.

So what I'd like to do today is keep my client focused as much as possible on answering your questions, to be able to laser in on giving you whatever information you as the agency feel is necessary to responsibly approve the application.

And with that, I'll turn it over to Mary.

MARY DOBSON: Thank you, Adam.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Before we start with you,

Ms. Dobson, I do need to swear you in.

And Attorney Rose, so you have Ms. Dobson.
You have Dr. Bennett.

Are there any other individuals you plan to have provide testimony today?

MR. ROSE: Yes, I do. So the Applicant currently utilizes an external consultant to help with this project. And while we didn't need him to provide affirmative testimony, he is an individual that may be necessary at times to help us respond to questions related to the application. His name is Tim Davis -- and he's waving.

And in addition, if necessary, Dennis Dobson is here in the room with Mary and I currently, and he will serve in the same capacity that if there is a question that we feel pertains to the application, not any testimony, that he would be appropriate to answer, we'd like him to be able to do so.

And so if you want those individuals to get sworn in -- I'm going to zoom out.

Oops. I'm going to try to zoom out.

There's Dennis.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So since you two, Mr. and

Ms. Dobson are in the same room, I'm just going to

swear you in at the same time.

And then if Dr. Bennett or Mr. Davis need to provide testimony at any point, I'll swear them in

1 separately since they're in different locations. MARY DOBSON, 2 DENNIS DOBSON, 3 4 called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by the 5 THE HEARING OFFICER, were examined and testified under oath as follows: 6 7 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 9 So Ms. Dobson, I understand you were planning 10 to make some preliminary comments. Do you adopt 11 your pre-filed testimony? 12 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I do. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 14 And you can begin whenever you're ready now. 15 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Okay. Thank you, Daniel. 16 Thank you, everyone, for -- for being here 17 today. And I just want to start by saying I'm 18 tremendously honored and humbled to appear before 19 you today to personally present my application for 20 the certificate of need. 21 Shall I start my pre-file? 22 MR. ROSE: Oh, I'm sorry. You wanted your pre-file. 23 Dennis? 24 THE WITNESS (D. Dobson): Yes. 25 MR. ROSE: Can you pass me the piece of paper that's

1 underneath that paper, the written opening 2 statement that Mary wrote -- oh, no. You just 3 have to say I hereby adopt my pre-filed exhibit. 4 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Oh, okay. 5 MR. ROSE: And then this whole thing that you submitted 6 is done. And then --THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Oh, I don't read it. 7 8 MR. ROSE: That's correct. 9 You don't have to read the whole thing. THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Okay. 10 11 MR. ROSE: You just say, I hereby adopt it. 12 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Oh, okay. 13 MR. ROSE: And then you can give any other statements 14 that you have prepared to be able to summarize 15 what's in the application and why you think it 16 should be approved. 17 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Okay. I read the whole 18 thing. 19 Okay. So just to introduce myself, my name 20 is Mary Dobson. I'm a licensed marital and family 21 therapist here in Connecticut. I've been in 22 practice for about 15 years. And I'm also a 23 certified eating disorder specialist. I have a

practice called Lift Wellness Group, which is a

community counseling practice local to my area.

24

25

And what I'm here to talk about today is improving community access to care by offering quality and accessible intensive outpatient and partial hospitalization services for individuals in the state through the introduction of my new organization, Lift Pathways to Renewal. I'm here on behalf of my clients. I'm here on behalf of the area clinicians that I work with every day, and I represent them today when I ask for this application to be approved.

I am a working clinician within my company.

I see around 20 clients a week, in addition to overseeing leadership and aspects of programming at -- at Lift Wellness Group. And I believe that the addition of IOP/PHP services that we propose today will be a cost-saving measure for the State, and also bring a tremendous amount of value to the area providers who are strained in working with these acute cases, and also be appreciated by the local community.

And I'm very thankful for all the letters of support that have been submitted to the State, and I believe that there are more of those to come.

So thank you very much for being here, and I appreciate your time.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Dobson.

Attorney Rose, did you have anyone else who you wanted to make some preliminary statements?

MR. ROSE: Yes. So Dr. Bennett is going to make a preliminary statement.

And then to reiterate, Dr. Bennett, you need only say, I hereby adopt my pre-filed testimony.

Okay.

DR. ALYSSA S. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. My
name is Dr. Alyssa Bennett. I'm an adolescent
medicine physician at Connecticut Children's. I
hereby adopt my pre-filed testimony.

I'm here today really to answer any questions you have in my capacity as the designated medical director of Lift Pathways to Renewal, LLC. As we've discussed already, there we feel there is an urgent need for additional mental health and eating disorder treatment for teens and young adults in Connecticut, and I urge you to approve this application to help improve access to such care.

And again, thank you all for having me today.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Doctor.

Attorney Rose, anyone else?

MR. ROSE: No, that would be it.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So we're going to keep 2 moving forward. And we're going to move on to the 3 question by OHS staff. So let's see. 4 Before we do that, though, I did just have 5 one question that I wanted to ask. And I may 6 interject here and there with my own questions. 7 We just try to have this be as organic a process 8 as possible. So if I need further clarification 9 on something, then I'll jump in. 10 At some point in your application, it just 11 says Lift Wellness has been operating in Westport 12 since 2009. Has it actually been in operation 13 since 2009? 14 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Thank you for that question. 15 I -- I noticed that in review of the application 16 last night, the Lift Wellness group has been in 17 operation since 2009, but it was previously not in 18 Westport. 19 We have been in our Westport location since 20 2020, 2021. Yeah, so that was a misprint. 21 It should have been 2021 in Westport. 22 MR. ROSE: In Westport. 23 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): In Westport. 24 THE WITNESS (D. Dobson): We serve Westport. 25

I think --

MR. ROSE:

1 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): So to simplify, I want to 2 clarify the question. So you simply -- your 3 question doesn't pertain to geography. You just 4 want to know, has Lift Wellness Group been 5 providing services since 2009? 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Correct. 7 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yes. 8 THE WITNESS (D. Dobson): Yes. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: So the reason I ask that is, in 10 trying to sort out the corporate structure and the 11 corporate names, I did a Connecticut Business 12 Search. And Lift, according to Connecticut 13 Business Search, has existed since January of 14 2017. 15 So I'm just trying to get some clarification on the 2009 and 2017 differentiation. 16 17 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): The Woodland Psychotherapy Group was the original name of Lift Wellness 18 19 Group. We deviated as Lift Wellness Group, and 20 then we eventually changed our name to Lift 21 Wellness Group. 22 So the original name of my practice --23 initially it was just me. I was a sole provider. 24 So I was a sole provider, and then we established

ourselves as Woodland Psychotherapy Group.

25

then, then changed our name to Lift Wellness Group when we re-branded our -- our marketing materials.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): So they're back a few.

THE HEARING OFFICER: That helps me. Thank you. So that's the only preliminary question I have.

I'm going to now turn it over to Jess,
Yadira, and Steve to ask you some questions. So
Ms. Rival, Ms. McLaughlin, I don't know who's
starting, but whenever you're ready.

MS. RIVAL: Good morning.

BY MS. RIVAL:

- Q. (Rival) Could you please describe the current services offered by Lift, and the need for these services in the primary service area?
- A. (Dobson) Yes. So Lift Wellness Group is an outpatient community mental health practice, and we treat the full range of mood disorders and community mental health.

Lift Pathways to Renewal, the Applicant, is intended to be an intensive outpatient and partial hospitalization program which treats mood, anxiety, and eating disorders.

Q. (Rival) Okay. Can you describe how expansion into IOP and PHP services will benefit the

identified population?

A. (Dobson) Yes. I have been working in
Westport, overseeing my practice, Lift
Wellness Group, in addition to working in the
field of eating disorders for some time, and
in mental health in Connecticut for some
time.

Our current population at Lift Wellness
Group frequently is too acute for our
outpatient facility. And when we have
clients who are no longer a fit for our level
of care and we wish to refer them, we have
issues finding quality, accepting, IOP/PHP
services that are relevant to the services
that they are -- for the diagnoses that
they're looking to treat.

And this has been an issue for our practice. We internally have clients who require a higher level of care that we cannot accommodate. We also get a lot of calls or referrals that, you know, when we do an admissions conversation, we learn that they are -- they are needing a higher level of care, and they're not able to be accommodated.

So that's the -- those are -- that's the -- the data that I speak from.

MS. RIVAL: Thank you.

MR. LAZARUS: If I can just do a quick follow up on that? This is Steve Lazarus.

BY MR. LAZARUS:

- Q. (Lazarus) So where are you referring the patients, or where are they receiving their services currently?
- A. (M. Dobson) So we have -- well, I guess there's two separate questions there. Right?
- Q. (Lazarus) Uh-huh.
- A. (M. Dobson) So where are we referring our patients? Our patients, what we often will do because we treat eating disorders and mood disorders, and when we have an internal client who requires a higher level of care, the family will often request that, you know, we flex our services and offer an additional individual session a week or an additional family therapy session a week, as opposed to utilizing the existing options that are present in Connecticut at this time, mostly due to clinical relevance and milieu, appropriateness of fit.

And also continuity of care with their existing treatment team, because we have relationships with clients that there's a disruption in care when there's a referral to a higher level of care, and then another disruption when they're stepping back down.

- Q. (Lazarus) And what percentage of your patient population typically uses that avenue?
- A. (M. Dobson) We've estimated around 30 percent of our current patient population has requested additional services with our existing treatment team.
- Q. (Lazarus) And does that satisfy the patient's need for -- that typically would receive at a IOP or PHP?
- A. (M. Dobson) It -- it doesn't. It -- it

 doesn't satisfy. It's -- it's well -
 IOP/PHP programs can be very focused on group

 work, but also provide a supervisory

 component, and that that's good structure.

The clinical satisfaction is -- is met sometimes by having additional clinical services, but the supervisory structure and the ability to scaffold the family by having a place where the family is able to bring the

child or -- or to go and to have
uninterrupted supervision and support, that's
not able to happen.

And so that's a missing component

from -- we're able to accommodate clinically

somewhat the -- the need, but it's not -
it's not sufficient in the way of supervision

and scaffolding that a day program provides.

And also, it's -- it's cost prohibitive, and that's the primary purpose for my request for IOP/PHP licensure, is to be able to become credentialed with insurance so it's not cost prohibitive.

MR. LAZARUS: Thank you.

BY MS. RIVAL:

Q. (Rival) Exhibit A, page 44, table 11 shows that the Center for Discovery in Southport is closed. However, the website still shows that the center is operational.

Can you explain this?

A. (M. Dobson) The Center for Discovery in

Southport was shuttered for a period of time.

I believe it was shuttered while we wrote the application and submitted it in November.

And I --

1	MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. I was looking for the page.
2	And in the application, it was indicated
3	that all right. Well, tell me what you know.
4	THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah.
5	MR. ROSE: She's saying it is closing. I don't
6	THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I have it on good authority
7	that it is closing. It hasn't I don't believe
8	it's been made public yet.
9	MR. ROSE: Oh, well. We've made it public in our
10	application.
11	THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Well, it was shuttered, but
12	now it's actually
13	MR. ROSE: Oh, okay. But all right.
14	THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): It it hasn't been
15	performing well, and they've been doing virtual
16	services as opposed to in-person.
17	BY MS. RIVAL:
18	Q. (Rival) Okay. So just to clarify, they
19	shuttered their services for a period of time
20	while you were writing the application. They
21	have since reinstated services it sounds
22	like virtual services.
23	But they are still planning to close.
24	Is that correct?
25	A. (M. Dobson) The the therapeutic

1 community -- is -- is has been informed that 2 there's -- it appears as though they are 3 closing. 4 (Rival) Okay. Q. 5 Α. (M. Dobson) But that's their announcement to 6 make. 7 MS. RIVAL: Understood. Can you --8 MR. ROSE: Can I interject? Oh, sorry. I'm sorry to 9 interrupt you. I just want to get clarity on how 10 to refer to the page numbers. I was having 11 difficulty because there's a page number at the lower left that shows, like, a tiny page number. 12 13 And then there's a page number at the lower right 14 that's a much different number. 15 I've been going on the lower left numbers and -- but when I refer to page 44 of Exhibit A, 16 17 I'm looking at an affidavit. TIM DAVIS: May I jump in? 18 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm pulling it up right now. 20 TIM DAVIS: Adam, it's on page 30 on the lower left. MR. ROSE: Thirty, lower left. Okay. So, we are using 21 22 the lower left page numbers just so we're 23 coordinating -- so we're flipping around. Got it. 24 MS. RIVAL: Okay. 25 MR. ROSE: Thank you. Sorry to interrupt.

MS. RIVAL: No problem.

BY MS. RIVAL:

- Q. (Rival) Can you describe what intensive outpatient psychiatric services and partial hospital services would look like and compare them with the current services at Lift?
- A. (M. Dobson) Yes. So, Lift does not offer intensive outpatient partial hospitalization services. We are an outpatient practice.

We, as I mentioned, sometimes will add in additional outpatient therapy sessions for a client who is dealing with high acuity.

And what -- what I propose to do with Lift Pathways to Renewal is a traditional IOP/PHP program.

We have several licenses that we're pursuing, 10 to 18 and 18 plus. We also have been in conversation with DCF regarding DCF licensure for 10 to 18. We'll be pursuing that as well. So we -- we plan to pursue all of the appropriate regulatory standards for IOP/PHP for the higher level of care.

- Q. (Rival) Could you explain exactly what PHP and IOP services are?
- A. (M. Dobson) Yeah.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

- Q. (Rival) And what the difference is between them?
- A. (M. Dobson) Yeah. So, IOP services for mood and anxiety and for eating disorders constitute three to four days per week, three to four hours at a time, two groups, two to three groups per day, partial hospitalization, four to five groups per day.

And the IOP level of care for what we're proposing in Lift Pathways to Renewal would be one to two individual sessions per week, one to two family sessions per week, one to -- one to two nutrition sessions per week, medical monitoring, psychiatric care.

I mentioned group therapy.

And so, the IOP/PHP is -- is largely defined by the amount of hours that someone spends at the facility.

- Q. (Rival) Thank you. Will there be more than one IOP or PHP program?
- A. (M. Dobson) Right now, we're just looking at licensing Lift Pathways to Renewal, which will be in our existing space in Westport.
- Q. (Rival) Uh-huh?
- A. (M. Dobson) We operate out of a historic home

building called Richard's House. It's on the main road in Westport on Myrtle Avenue.

It's -- it's, you know, a home that was -- actually used to be a school for boys, and we've renovated it.

And the second floor is where Lift
Wellness Group is. We have access to the
first floor as well. I'm getting into a
triple net lease on the building with -- with
the building owner. And so our plan is to
complete renovations on the first floor and
to have the first floor be the location for
our IOP/PHP program.

MR. ROSE: Is there going to be different programs for adults and children?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): There are two. Yes, that
we're pursuing the IOP. I think it's -- it's, you
know, it's in the application, but we're pursuing
the -- the licensure for -- for children 10 to 18,
and then we're also pursuing licensure for adults
18 plus for IOP and partial.

BY MS. RIVAL:

- Q. (Rival) And are there any plans at this time to expand the services?
- A. (M. Dobson) At this stage, my focus is on

1 making Lift Pathways to Renewal the best that 2 it can be. And utilizing the team that we 3 have, myself and some of the folks that are 4 sort of passionate parts of my existing 5 outpatient program, my leadership team, who 6 share my passion for starting IOP/PHP level 7 of care. 8 MR. ROSE: So when she has a question that can be 9 answered yes or no, if you take a moment -- and 10 then if the answer is no, we're not going to 11 expand it. 12 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Right. 13 MR. ROSE: Then you can leave it there. 14 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah. 15 MR. ROSE: So just to make sure she gets her answer 16 when it's a yes or no question. 17 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Oh, I see. 18 MR. ROSE: If you just say, are you planning on 19 expanding further beyond IOP/PHP? If the answer 20 is no, just go ahead and give her a no. 21 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah, I would love to if --

if the -- our primary location is -- is worthy of

replicating.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Thank you.

22

23

24

25

BY MS. RIVAL:

Q. (Rival) Exhibit A, page 10, reads, Lift has begun providing longer programming group therapy in multi-hour sessions during the day for acute clients in need. However, these clients need true IOP or PHP programming and would also benefit from a licensed program that could accept payment from commercial insurance or Medicare -- Medicaid programs, excuse me, not just cash pay.

Just to clarify, are patients currently paying out of pocket when they get those extended treatment services?

A. (M. Dobson) Correct. Yes. Yeah, we are -we are a self-pay practice. We are a
self-pay outpatient practice. And we -- if
we are going to pursue licensure for IOP/PHP,
we wish to make it accessible for families to
be able to utilize.

And so it -- it will be absolutely necessary to be in network with all of the insurances.

- Q. (Rival) So that's your plan, is to become in network with Connecticut's main insurers?
- A. (M. Dobson) Yes.

1 Q. (Rival) Okay. Yes. Thank you. How are patients going to be notified of the new 2 3 outpatient treatment programs that will be available? 5 Α. (M. Dobson) We have a newsletter, which we utilize to update current and former clients 7 of any new information. We would also 8 utilize local marketing. And I have very 9 strong relationships with all of the area 10 pediatricians, physicians, psychiatrists. 11 And so those folks have already 12 expressed the strong need for a higher level 13 of care, specifically provided by my team 14 which has been deemed, you know, a pretty 15 exceptional team. 16 And -- and so they've expressed their 17 support and their encouragement to pursue 18 this licensure, and that they would refer. 19 MS. RIVAL: Okay. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you expect there to be any 21 outreach to some of the local hospitals, Norwalk 22 Hospital, Bridgeport, St. Vincent's? 23 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Absolutely. Yeah,

relationships with those hospitals will be

critical because we will be accepting step-down

24

clients.

BY MS. RIVAL:

Q. (Rival) Page 5 of Exhibit I states that we estimate that Lift turns away four to six clients a week in need of more acute care than they're able to provide.

What are these?

Well, you explained the patients are currently receiving extended services through you even though that's not sufficient, but do you keep a record of these requests for services for something that would be equal to IOP or PHP?

- A. (M. Dobson) Not really, no.
- Q. (Rival) Do you have any estimates on about how many people you have to turn away for services because you don't have the appropriate service for them, the appropriate level of care?
- A. (M. Dobson) I think it would be hard to answer that question with a numeric. It's -- anecdotally, it's the weekly we have people that we have to turn away. It's variable, but it's -- it's a consistent theme.
- Q. (Rival) Okay. Exhibit A, page 18 states that

1 we will look at contracting with Medicaid and 2 becoming an in-network provider. 3 Has or will Lift committed to becoming a Medicaid provider? 5 (M. Dobson) Lift is to renewal. We'll be Α. 6 pursuing credentialing with all the 7 insurances, including Medicaid. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: So I have a follow up on that. 9 And Ms. Rival, you may ask -- or you may have been 10 planning to ask this anyway, but there's a 11 difference between becoming a provider for 12 Medicaid and actually servicing the Medicaid 13 populations. 14 So is Lift able to commit to a certain 15 percentage of its IOP or PHP patients being 16 Medicaid patients? 17 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I would imagine so, yeah. 18 Lift's pathways to renewal will -- will -- would 19 be able to commit to taking a certain percentage 20 of Medicaid patients, yeah. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: And this sort of relates to a 22 question that was asked earlier in terms of 23 outreach. What sort of efforts will be made to 24 ensure that you try to service the Medicaid 25 populations as best as possible in your area?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I would imagine having the information clearly stated on the website, putting a newsletter, you know, announcement out.

We also have tremendous collaboration with the area physicians and pediatricians who treat a number of Medicaid patients within their population. So they would definitely be referring those patients our way.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. RIVAL:

Q. (Rival) Exhibit A, page 18, states that
Lift's charity care policy will, A, provide
free care for two individuals per year from
local and underprivileged communities; and B,
provide a 20 percent discount on the standard
hourly fee for IOP and PHP individuals who
are 50 percent below the medic the median
income of Westport.

How many patients have utilized the charity care policy in the last three years?

- A. (Rival) So we, we take two per -- I believe it's two per quarter at -- you're referring to Lift Wellness Group?
- Q. (Rival) Yeah.
- A. (M. Dobson) so that's -- that would just be a

1	calculation. It's it's always utilized.
2	So two, two per quarter per year.
3	Q. (Rival) Per quarter, as opposed to per year?
4	A. (M. Dobson) I I believe it's per quarter.
5	Yeah. Yeah. It's and it's on our
6	website, so.
7	Q. (Rival) Okay. Have any patients ever been
8	denied services due to their inability to
9	pay? And if so, how many?
10	A. (M. Dobson) Well, we've never denied a
11	patient services but I I'm sure that there
12	have been. Patients who didn't find us to be
13	the right fit for them because of cost. And
14	that's one of the reasons why we're seeking
15	to open an in-network program.
16	Q. (Rival) Uh-huh. And is there an appeal
17	process when it comes to your charity care
18	policy?
19	A. (M. Dobson) We don't have an existing appeal
20	process, but we've never needed to utilize
21	it.
22	MS. RIVAL: Okay. Thank you. That concludes my
23	questions. Yadira?
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: I wanted to do another followup
25	on that. I recall reading that you offer

1 scholarship treatments at some point to indigent populations -- actually, you know what? 2 3 Nevermind. I feel like your answers and the 4 submissions have offered enough clarity on that. 5 So Ms. McLaughlin, you can ask your question. 6 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you. Good morning again. 7 What is the average daily cost of treatment 8 for a client in the IOP program? And then just so 9 you know, I'm also going to ask the same question 10 for the PHP program. 11 So what is the average daily cost of 12 treatment for clients in the IOP program, and in 13 the PHP program? 14 TIM DAVIS: May I take this one, ma'am? 15 This is Tim Davis. 16 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Sure, yes. 17 TIM DAVIS: Sure. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, before you start, 19 Mr. Davis, since you are going to be testifying, I 20 do need to swear you in. TIM DAVIS: Of course. 21 22 TIM DAVIS, 23 called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by the 24 THE HEARING OFFICER, were examined and testified 25 under oath as follows:

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Davis.

You can proceed.

THE WITNESS (Davis): Yeah. So for the projections in

the materials we've submitted, we estimated \$125
an hour per both IOP and PHP. The difference
being IOP is three hours a day, for about three
days a week -- it can go up to five. And PHP goes
four to six hours a day, normally five days a
week. So that \$125 an hour was kind of the base
estimate that we used to build all of those
financial metrics.

A little bit more color; insurance, it's a little bit more wide ranging, but that's the cost to the insurer more than the family in terms of what they actually pay reimbursement.

MS. McLAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. McLAUGHLIN:

Q. (McLaughlin) And please discuss your responses to OHS's tables three and four on page 19 of Exhibit A, the application.

So we're looking at page 19 of Exhibit

Α.

A. (Davis) Gotcha. And these are the average costs per self-pay patient and for commercially insured patients?

Q. (McLaughlin) Correct.

A. (Davis) Okay. Yeah, and again, we -- so we use the same estimates and these numbers were, you know, for the projection for the year. These were done in November, not knowing when we might be able to open. So these we've updated a little bit in some of the new materials.

But again, we use the 125 an hour per self-pay patient. That would be for three hours of IOP, or four to six hours per day of PHP.

For a commercially insured patient,
again, we use the same estimate because it's
a pretty good median range for what insurance
reimbursement is for those levels of care.

Q. (McLaughlin) And describe the referral process -- thank you, Tim. Describe the referral process for the two new services.

How will patients be appropriate for these services -- how will patients appropriate for these services access care?

A. (M. Dobson) So we have a very strong referral base in the community at Lift Wellness Group, the outpatient practice, given that we are

opening an insurance-based -- or we seek to open an insurance-based IOP/PHP program with Pathways to Renewal.

The referral streams that we have will likely remain consistent, accessibility will just increase.

- Q. (McLaughlin) Okay. Thank you. And does Lift have any referral agreements with local hospitals, any other local providers for IOP and PHP levels of care?
- A. (M. Dobson) When you say, referral agreements, what does that mean?
- Q. (McLaughlin) So have you pretty much cemented any agreements or anything with any hospitals once they discharge a patient? Do you have anything in writing that they will agree to --
- A. (Davis) May I take -- may I take that one again?
- Q. (McLaughlin) Yes.
- A. (Davis) The hospitals can't sign anything in writing until we're a licensed provider for those services.

But Dr. Bennett is here to testify about the need that she sees in her hospital and

1 the good relationship they have with Mary, 2 that they already refer patients for lower 3 levels of care. So we expect the trend to 4 continue once we're licensed and able to get 5 those documents. 6 (McLaughlin) Okay. Thank you. Q. 7 And how about any transfer agreements? 8 Does Lift have any transfer agreements with 9 hospitals in the proposed service area for emergency treatments? 10 11 (M. Dobson) We don't. Α. 12 MR. ROSE: If you want to say --13 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): We plan to pursue transfer 14 agreements with all of the, you know, area's 15 hospitals. 16 BY MS. McLAUGHLIN: 17 (McLaughlin) Thank you --0. 18 (M. Dobson) -- and Lift Pathways to Renewal. Α. 19 (McLaughlin) Okay. Thank you. And can you Q. 20 explain Lift's treatment model and its 21 connection, if any, to any national or 22 industry-wide standard or practice 23 quidelines? (M. Dobson) When you say, Lift's treatment 24 Α. 25 model, are you referring to Lift Wellness

Group, or Lift Pathways to Renewal, the Applicant?

- Q. (McLaughlin) The Applicant.
- A. (M. Dobson) And when you say, treatment model, do you mean to compare and contrast what we're proposing to do compared to what's out there right now?
- Q. (McLaughlin) Correct.
- A. (M. Dobson) Okay. So the reason why I am proposing Lift Pathways to Renewal is because I'm a licensed marital and family therapist.

 LMFTs believe in systems theory, that people are the product of their -- their family environments and the societies that they live in, and that those environments shape the individual.

So eating disorders and mood disorders are, of course, biologically based, and the data for that is established, but the family services component is a missing component from the majority of our competitors.

The existing treatment options out there do very little in the way of family services, and what we propose to do is provide family services, family-based services, which is

sometimes called the Maudsley Method. It's a particular form of eating disorder treatment. And also utilize various modalities of family therapy in intervention with patients. For example, narrative family therapy, psychodrama, family systems, or structural strategic family therapy.

The rationale for that is that when an individual is identified as the individual -the identified patient, but the family system isn't taken into consideration, there generally isn't a lot of preparation or parent coaching on how the family can assist the client in their recovery while they're at IOP/PHP, and when they step down.

So the family piece is really integral, the family intervention service component that's going to be a differentiator between Lift Pathways to Renewal and the other programs that are out there.

Another thing is that I think that

IOP/PHPs that are freestanding are very

invested in getting people better and

returning them to their home environments,

and utilizing community supports and natural

1 environmental supports. 2 Whereas, IOP/PHP programs that are 3 attached to residential centers may not be as invested in returning individuals to their 5 natural community support and keeping recidivism at a low. 6 7 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you. 8 MR. LAZARUS: I just have a follow-up to that. BY MR. LAZARUS: 9 10 (Lazarus) Do you plan for Lift Pathways 0. 11 Renewal to join some, in some sort of a 12 national accreditation body for quality 13 purposes? 14 (M. Dobson) Yes, we'll be pursuing joint Α. accreditation, joint commission 15 16 accreditation, yeah. 17 MR. LAZARUS: All right. Thank you. 18 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you. 19 BY MS. McLAUGHLIN: 20 (McLaughlin) And describe any care Q. coordination services that will ensure 21 22 patients remain connected to appropriate 23 services from intake to discharge, including 24 any follow-up care? 25 Α. (M. Dobson) So we -- we have a lot of

existing relationships within the community, because we have an established outpatient community mental health practice with existing relationships to the Town of Westport Human Services, the area schools.

We spend a lot of time in all of the area schools providing psycho-education and other resources. We have an admissions team that assesses and provides assessments at intake and then again during treatment. And so with discharge plans for Lift Pathways to Renewal, I would imagine that some of the individuals who are being discharged from Pathways may be able to be seen at the Lift Wellness Group.

But we have a lot of relationships with area providers, many of which have written their letters of support of this facility today who are able to accommodate outpatient clients from us.

Q. (McLaughlin) Thank you. And my last question is Exhibit O, the financial workbook; please explain and provide more detail on the total operating revenue and total operating expenses amounts shown between 2023 through

2026.

A. (Davis) Yeah, I can jump in here again. So you know, for the total operating revenue, we're again using that 125 per hour. We use the utilization table provided in the new submission that shows our projected number of clients.

For these revenue numbers we went conservative, and we estimated that the whole adolescent population would just be IOP. And you see the splits in that utilization table for both adult PHP and IOP. We kept the PHP numbers very low. They tend to be your highest revenue generating clients, but we wanted to be conservative on the estimates.

But the numbers for total revenue match the utilization that we expect month to month and year to year for growth in those programs. And again, we think those numbers are a little bit conservative just because the demand we're hearing about from providers like Dr. Bennett and other local people, it may be higher -- but that's how we got to the revenue.

And then for the expenses down there,

1 the largest expenses you're going to find are definitely in the salaries section. And it's 3 having a therapist for each program. then as the program scale, making sure that 5 you can provide aids to support the therapist, and once they pass a certain 7 threshold to add additional therapists, the contract cost that you'll see on there are -is for the psychiatrist and/or physician to 10 come by and see the patients maybe once a 11 week or every other week. 12 And then there's the normal, you know, 13 office supplies and stuff that goes into 14 running a practice and making sure that the 15 stuff is there every day just to run a 16 business and take care of clients. 17

So if you have any other specific questions, I'm happy to tackle them on there.

MS. McLAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you.

That concludes my question.

- 21 | THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Thank you, Yadira.
- 22 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Lazarus, do you have any
- 23 questions?

18

19

- 24 MR. LAZARUS: No, I'm all set. Thank you.
- 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I do have some of my own

questions. I just want to take a short break.

We've been going for a little while. I want to
look through my questions to see if I really need
to ask any of all of them.

So let's come back in about ten minutes.

That will be 10:52. So we'll do my questions, any followup by Attorney Rose, and then we'll probably adjourn briefly, and then we'll come back later this afternoon for public comment.

So I'll see everybody back in about 10 minutes.

(Pause: 10:42 a.m. to 10:52 a.m.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: So welcome back. This is the hearing regarding Lift Pathways to Renewal, LLC, Docket Number 22-32602-CON.

We had most of the technical portion of the hearing this morning. We just finished up with OHS questions from the analysts, and now I have a couple questions that I want to ask of my own.

But before I get into that, I did want to first, Dr. Bennett, I don't think I swore you in earlier.

1 S. ALYSSA BENNETT, called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by the 2 3 THE HEARING OFFICER, were examined and testified 4 under oath as follows: 5 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. And I'm sorry 7 to do that out of order, but I wanted to make sure 8 I did it. 9 And while I have you, I did just want to ask you one question because there was a reference to 10 11 your connections and how those will help with the referral -- the referrals that Lift is expecting. 12 13 I know your current position is with 14 Connecticut Children's. 15 Where are you located exactly? 16 THE WITNESS (Bennett): Our clinic is in Farmington. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you have any other 18 clinical privileges elsewhere in the state? 19 THE WITNESS (Bennett): No, not for me. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you come down to this 21 part of the state at all -- I should say, first of 22 all, I'm located in Fairfield. So I was going to 23 ask, do you come down to this part of the state at 24 all, or do you primarily stay up in the Farmington

25

area?

THE WITNESS (Bennett): No, our only clinic is in the Farmington area, but we serve a population across the entire -- entire state of Connecticut, including Fairfield County.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So you feel confident given your own experience that despite the geographical difference, there still wouldn't be any concerns about referring patients to Lift?

THE WITNESS (Bennett): Correct. We already do in our current practice frequently.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you, Doctor.

I'm going to probably turn my attention back to Ms. Dobson now. I'm going to ask some questions about cost and financial feasibility, first of all.

The first one I have is on page 10 of the application. It says, Lift has extra space in its office suite that can be repurposed. I'm just curious, what is that space currently being used for, and will whatever is in that space be relocated within the building?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): So we have more space than we need in the building. We have the -- the majority of the -- the historic home, about a good percentage of the historic home. So we have

1 offices that we're not using, and we plan to take on more offices in the building. 2 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And if I recall correctly, 4 it's stated in the application that there was no 5 capital expenditure expected. So I just wanted to 6 clarify that re-purposing those rooms, that won't 7 involve any sort of significant use of funds. 8 Is that correct? 9 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): When we -- correct. When 10 we -- the way that we saw the application as 11 phrasing capital expenditure was machinery or 12 instruments, or expensive equipment and things 13 like that. 14 But the renovation of the downstairs is -- is 15 something that we actually plan to do anyway, and 16 it's -- it's part of our plan. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you have firm plans in place 18 for the renovation at this point? 19 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): No. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: So you don't have any expectation 21 as to what that might cost right now? 22 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Well, the -- the renovation 23 of the upstairs cost about \$20,000. I would imagine the renovation of the downstairs would 24 25 cost the same just painting and, you know,

1 updating the space, perhaps some new furnishings. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And how would you expect to pay for that 20,000 to 40,000 dollars? 3 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): With -- with our own funds. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Another question I had, so 5 6 on page 43 of the application you state that you 7 plan to establish commercial provider agreements, 8 but you cannot do so until you're accredited by 9 the joint commission. 10 I had never heard of that, and I was just 11 curious what evidence you have that you can't 12 establish commercial provider agreements until 13 you're accredited by JCAHO? 14 THE WITNESS (Davis): Can I step in there, sir? 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 16 THE WITNESS (Davis): Sorry, I work with a lot of 17 national and local providers across the country. 18 In some instances you can be -- go, go in 19 network, but I, as a consultant recommendation, 20 your reimbursement rate will be much lower with 21 anyone you contract with if you are not accredited 22 by Joint Commission, or CARF, or another 23 accrediting body, so. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: And approximately, if you know, 25

how long does JCAHO accreditation typically take

for behavioral health services?

THE WITNESS (Davis): They like to see you treat two clients. So they -- you apply while you're in your state licensure process, and you tell them that you're going through the process and you want to be accredited. Then you send them your state license once you get it.

And then they generally want to see you admit and at least go through most of treatment with two clients. And that way they can see your chart notes, what type of treatment and therapy you're providing when they come out and do their site inspection before they then grant you accreditation.

So generally, I would say four to six weeks after you get your state license is kind of typical.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And how quickly would you expect after that four to six weeks to be able to get these provider agreements established?

THE WITNESS (Davis): Provider agreements take a while.

They're not quick on their end. They take anywhere from 30 days to a year, just depending on the provider and how quickly they're processing it.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Would Lift be willing to -- well, let me ask you a different question first. 2 3 Is there a way once you've entered one 4 provider agreement to sort of revise it after you've received your JCAHO accreditation? 5 6 THE WITNESS (Davis): Yes, but I would say it's more of 7 a hassle to do that than to just wait the four to 8 six weeks and then get -- go through the provider 9 credentialing, because it goes back to that same 10 re-timeline again, to redo it. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I see what you're saying. 12 THE WITNESS (Davis): Yeah. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for that clarification, 14 by the way. These next questions that I have are 15 regarding need and access. 16 So Ms. Dobson, you testified earlier that you 17 know, through your own experiences, that Center 18 for Discovery Southport is going to be closing, or 19 probably closing? THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): That's -- that's what I --20 21 that's what I heard, yes. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you happen to know why? 23 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I -- I believe it's due to 24 staffing issues. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So my follow-up question 25

to that was going to be, if they weren't successful, how do you know you're going to be successful in this venture that you're proposing?

But if it's due to staffing issues, then it sounds like it's not a matter of getting people in the door. It's a matter of other administrative issues. So thank you for that.

On page 30 of the application, you say that only one of the providers, Newport Academy is offering adolescent PHP, slash, IOP for depression and anxiety, and they cannot service the need of all the local communities.

What do you base that statement on?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I'm fairly familiar with the various nationwide treatment centers and their philosophies, having been -- existed in the world of eating disorder treatment for a while. And Newport Academy is a primary -- their primary focus is substance.

And so our primary focus would be mood and anxiety, and eating disorders. So it's a different -- a different population, focused population.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So you don't have any usage data for Newport Academy specifically?

1 I don't know why you would have that because 2 you're an entirely different provider, but I figured I would ask the question anyway. 3 4 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah -- no. THE HEARING OFFICER: 5 Okay. 6 THE WITNESS (Davis): May I give anecdotal evidence? 7 So I was the in-house head of strategy and 8 development for both Newport Academy for several 9 years, as well as Center for Discovery for several 10 years prior. So I opened up those facilities for 11 those groups. 12 And I can tell you that the waitlist for 13 Newport Academy is extensive and multi-months long 14 for both the residential and outpatient programs. 15 And they do treat a slightly different clientele 16 than what Mary's looking to treat, so. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. This ties into 18 what we were just talking about on page 32 of the 19 application. 20 It says that the waiting list to be enrolled 21 in residential treatment in the tri-state area at 22 the time of writing is such, on average six weeks, 23 that high-risk clients require step-up services 24 from outpatient while awaiting a bed in RTC.

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Correct.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So I was just wondering where that figure came from, the six-week figure.

Is that in writing somewhere?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): One could get it in writing.

We personally service clients. We would, as part

of their care, call various treatment centers.

And we also utilize a treatment placement

consultant who -- whose job it is to identify open

beds throughout the country.

And it was common knowledge that at the time of the writing of the application there was a six-week waiting list which our patients personally experienced. And as a result we had to send several patients all the way to California to receive residential treatment because of the lack of bed availability in this area.

But if one were to ask a treatment consultant or a placement specialist, I'm sure that they would put that in writing for you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: It's been about six months since the application was filed.

Do you happen to know if it's -THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I'm sorry. Could -THE HEARING OFFICER: It's been about six months since

the application was filed. Do you know if it's

still on average about six weeks of the wait period?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): I -- I can't say that six

weeks is -- if six weeks is exactly where it is,

because I didn't check this morning. And I, you

know, took an oath to speak what I know.

So I -- I can't say that for a fact, but if I were to verify it, I can. We can put a late file in if you'd like for me to check?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I would appreciate that.

So I will take a note.

MR. ROSE: Yeah, I was putting a note that we would like to avoid any late files, because we don't have any yet. So I wrote her a note that said, avoid late files.

She looked down and she's, like, oh, I'll give you the late file. Because honestly the goal here is -- and I was going to save this to the end, but I'll just say it very quickly -- is we do want to get this done as fast as we can.

You've seen numbers where we've already included 2023, you know, financials and I've talked to the consultant about this and I told him about the timeframes for approval with the agency, especially, you know, in the past couple of years.

So we're looking to fast track the best we can, and so far I was really excited that we didn't have any late files yet. So I'm going to ask the agency to consider whether we can get away with her testimony about it being experiential without a late file?

Or maybe get to the end and see if there's anything else you need?

THE HEARING OFFICER: When we were offline there were a couple other things that came up. That being said, I don't think it's anything that will take a very long time for you to cobble together. I think maybe a few days, but I do understand your interest in moving this forward -- but we will sort of get to those towards the end of my questions.

And it sounds like Ms. Dobson can probably get this information relatively quickly. It sounds like she said just now that she can check something. I'm not sure what the something is that she would check.

MR. ROSE: It was with other -- see, the problem is I think she referenced that there are some type of specialists -- would you call them?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Well, back in November we

1 were utilizing a treatment specialist who does placements for beds in the -- the country. I -- I 2 3 actually -- we don't currently utilize her anymore 4 because she went back to school to become a 5 therapist. And so she's no longer doing what she 6 used to do. 7 But that was the person that I would 8 generally call to get assistance with placing

MR. ROSE: Yeah, I think she was contemplating going back to this person, or perhaps another similar type of person, which means we're relying on some

other person --

people in beds.

9

10

11

12

13

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah.

15 MR. ROSE: -- to say, yes, this is still my experience.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So it's not sort of --

17 | THE WITNESS (Bennett): Can I jump in?

18 | THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah.

19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.

THE WITNESS (Bennett): Yeah, so in -- so in my
experience when I'm -- when my colleagues and I
are trying to get a patient into residential
treatment, either from my outpatient clinic or
from patients admitted medically to Connecticut
Children's, I would say the wait more recently has

1 been two to three months. That's for adolescents. 2 So for, you know, for under 18, I didn't -- I don't have any updated information in terms of the 3 4 18 plus for residential treatment, but those six 5 weeks I would say is actually pretty good. 6 And my experience has actually been a little 7 bit longer for residential treatment. 8 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah. And just for point of 9 clarification? Six weeks is not an unusually long 10 length of time for a residential bed. Six weeks 11 is -- has been fairly standard. It was much 12 longer during COVID. 13 Six weeks is -- is not a bad number. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 15 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): It's not good when you're the 16 patient, but it's -- it's not an unusually high 17 number. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right. I don't think 18 19 we need a late file on that then, between what 20 you're telling me and what Dr. Bennett is telling 21 me. So we can move past that. 22 I think your statements are enough. 23 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: My last question was just 25 concerning something that was written in Exhibit

I, which is the responses to the public hearing issues.

On page 22 as part of Lift's long-term strategic plan it states that in winter/spring of 2024 it plans to track cities of admission for clientele and determine if there is a need for additional sites outside of Fairfield. I was just wondering where that reference to Fairfield came from?

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Well, I was born and raised in -- in Fairfield, and I live in Easton with my family.

We operate out of Westport. So I would imagine that when we wrote Fairfield we were sort of thinking Fairfield County, Fairfield as sort of the, you know, epicenter of our lives. So looking at additional towns where there, there might be a benefit for this kind of service in Connecticut, you know, would be something that would be wise to do I think around -- around that time.

But not Fairfield because we have -- we would have a Westport facility. So Fairfield wouldn't be a logical choice for a second facility. If I were to think about a smart choice for a second facility it would probably be fairly far from the

1 Westport facility. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 3 MR. ROSE: Yeah. And to clarify -- I mean, I read that 4 as they would start tracking where their patients 5 are coming from. One of the things that I think 6 you'll see in the other data is that given the 7 specialized services and the extraordinary need 8 there may be an expectation that people might come 9 from all over Connecticut. 10 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Right. 11 MR. ROSE: And so this, my understanding of that, of 12 this is that they're going to start tracking, not 13 necessarily that they're going to do anything with 14 that, but -- but it is something that I think they 15 want to take note of in terms of, okay. 16 Where are the patients coming from? 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 18 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah, we do have patients 19 that come from a pretty wide catch pool in 20 Connecticut at our current program. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: So Attorney Rose, that concludes 22 my questions. I do want to discuss possible late 23 files. 24 But Attorney Rose, before we did that I did

want to give you an opportunity to do any followup

with your client, if you wanted to do that?

MR. ROSE: You mean, closing remarks? Or -
THE HEARING OFFICER: Just redirect with your own

client on any of the questions that were asked by

OHS, if you wanted to clarify anything further.

I feel like you sort of jumped in where you felt you had to, but if there are additional questions that you wanted to ask I wanted to give you an opportunity to do that, to ask those at this point.

MR. ROSE: Yeah. I really appreciate that and the way that the proceeding unfolded I think, you know, the questions that were asked and the answers that were given hopefully will give the agency the information that you guys need -- which is absolutely what I'm focused on, is getting you -- because I'll be candid.

I've noticed that most of the applications have been resolved through agreed settlements.

And in those agreed settlements there's recitations of, you know, this statutory criteria is met, is met, is met, is met, you know, not applicable.

And so I wanted to make sure that whatever we did today we would give the agency the information

necessary to do the is-met, is-met, is-met. And then we could very quickly hopefully come to any conditions that you felt were necessary that are consistent with what we've seen recently.

Because again I'm just cutting to the quick, because if that's going to result in an expedited resolution of the application -- because I understand a final decision takes much more time to draft, and they're typically longer, more exhaustive documents.

Certainly we would accept a quick final decision that is an approval of our application, but I did want to make sure that since we have this opportunity to collectively row in the same direction and have a broad discussion amongst us, I wanted you to have the right information in front of you to, in good faith, say that all the criteria has been met.

And I wanted you also to sort of plug with us -- which I think you already have when you touched upon the Medicaid population, and you talked about the difference between enrollment and actually accepting Medicaid patients in the U.S., Whether there's a certain percentage and that type of thing.

So I think you've already touched upon things that I -- I would have expected you to, but I do want to again reiterate that our goal would be to come out of this proceeding to get an approval one way or another as quickly as we can so they could start putting the pieces in place.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And trust me when I say, it's our goal to move things forward as quickly as possible, too -- but it's not always possible.

So with that I did want to just bring up a couple late files that I think the analysts would prefer to have. And feel free to jump in Yadira, Jess with any comments you may have.

But I think you were looking at either -- so you're looking at a charity care policy for the existing Lift Wellness Group, as well as the new group. Is that correct?

MS. RIVAL: Yes.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So I guess two different charity care policies if -- if there, if there are two different ones. Or if it's just one charity care policy that would apply across both, then a hard copy of that policy.

THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): We can turn that around very

1	quickly.
2	MR. ROSE: Yeah, so.
3	
4	(Late-Filed Exhibit Number 1, marked for
5	identification and noted in index.)
6	
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And I think the other one
8	that was mentioned was some sort of document or
9	data that that substantiates the access you have
10	provided to charity care over the past few years
11	at Lift Wellness Group.
12	MR. ROSE: So that's the two in order?
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Without patient identifying
14	information, obviously.
15	Ms. Rival, Ms. McLaughlin, was there anything
16	else that you had mentioned?
17	MS. RIVAL: No.
18	MS. McLAUGHLIN: No.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So that, that second one,
20	Attorney Rose, is that something your client would
21	be able to provide?
22	MR. ROSE: Yeah.
23	
24	(Late-Filed Exhibit Number 2, marked for
25	identification and noted in index.)

1 MR. ROSE: Do you mind if I take a break, just a quick confer? I'm just going to shut off the camera, 2 3 and the mute, and make sure that we get an idea of 4 what we might be able to present just to make sure 5 we have clarity, but --6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. 7 MR. ROSE: Because I'm interested in what we have. 8 then so I'll pop back on in a second. 9 Is that okay? 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, that's fine. And also 11 discuss how long you think you might need in order to turn those two items around to us. 12 13 MR. ROSE: Yeah, absolutely. 14 So I expect to be on in two minutes. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So I'm going to say we can 16 stop the recording -- actually, is it okay, 17 Attorney Rose, if we keep the recording going? MR. ROSE: Yeah. Yeah, I think that's fine. 18 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then you can just come 20 back whenever you're ready. MR. ROSE: And if I fail to unmute, though, please tell 21 22 me. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right. So this is 24 just a reminder to everyone else that anything you 25

happen to say or do will be probably -- it will be

recorded for the next two minutes, or until whenever Attorney Rose and the client comes back, so.

(Pause: 11:22 a.m. to 11:41 a.m.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: It looks like we have everyone back. This is in the hearing for Lift Pathways to Renewal, LLC, Docket Number 22-32602-CON.

We are nearing the end of the technical portion of today's hearing. We just took a break for counsel to confer with his client on the late files that we have.

Attorney Rose, do you have any further information in that regard?

MR. ROSE: I do. So we'd like to correct the record with respect to an answer that Ms. Dobson gave. So they have two components to their charity care program and the practice currently. And one is a sliding fee discount and the other is entitled, scholarship, and the scholarship is articulated as being free.

And Mary, you can speak to this and I'll have her affirm, but I think she conflated the two -- and the answer is that the scholars, that she's

unaware of the scholarship program actually being used but the discounts, the sliding fee discount, they have abundant evidence of. And that is frequently utilized. And so we can talk about providing evidence of that.

BY MR. ROSE:

Q. (Rose) So A, we need to correct the record to reflect that the current scholarship program, the two per quarter 100 percent discounted care, to their knowledge is not utilized.

But again the other component is frequently utilized.

And Mary do you want to affirm or speak to that?

A. (M. Dobson) Yeah. I -- I spoke with Dennis and we looked at what -- what we have listed on the website. We have two different entities. There's a sliding scale program which is what I was referring to when you were asking me questions about sliding scale and scholarship.

The scholarship, the scholarship
guidelines are referring to free programming
and the sliding scale framework is referring
to the discount of -- for individuals within

zero to 25,000 dollars, a discounted rate of 50 dollars; and 25' to 50,000 dollars, a discount of 7 -- 75 dollars; and 50 to a hundred dollars, a discount of ninety dollars.

So that's -- that's the question that I was answering.

MR. ROSE: Yeah. So we apologize for that and we want to give you an opportunity with that information to ask any follow-up questions that you may have.

Because I think it was Jessica.

Jessica was that your question -- Ms. Rival?
MS. RIVAL: Yes.

MR. ROSE: Yeah. Okay. So now, now that the answer, I think, more specifically related to the scholarship program is it hasn't been utilized, but also understanding the discount has, do you have any other follow-up questions for Ms. Dobson.

BY MS. RIVAL:

- Q. (Rival) Yes. Why has the scholarship program piece never been utilized before?
- A. (M. Dobson) You know, it's -- it's listed on our paperwork and it's on our website.
 It's -- it's, you know, it's accessible but it's not something that has -- a client has

1 not specifically asked for a scholarship. 2 So it's -- it has not been utilized. (Rival) Okay. So that's the only reason it's 3 Q. 4 never been utilized, is no one's ever asked 5 to use it? (M. Dobson) Yes. 6 Α. 7 MS. RIVAL: Thank you. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: And Attorney Rose, is your client able to provide any data attesting to the usage of 9 10 the sliding scale? 11 MR. ROSE: Yes --12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Or are we just going to use her 13 statement? Okay. 14 MR. ROSE: Well, that there's what I understand to be a 15 significant volume of patients. So my impression 16 in speaking to Dennis and Mary on the break is 17 that, that these are frequently accessed programs that provide the discounts. And so the question 18 19 is, what volume of evidence? I mean, certainly if there's -- and what type 20 21 of evidence you're looking for, because I think we 22 did discuss it's on the website and we were going 23 to deliver this to you. But the website indicates

based on various financial guidelines that there's

discounted rates that are offered. And so we can

24

1 show you billing invoices with individuals that would fit within the discounted categories. 2 3 But the question is, given that this is 4 actually a frequently used aspect of the practice, what volume of data and type are you looking for? 5 6 But if you're willing to rely on the 7 statement, we are confident that that statement is 8 true. And if you'll accept it as testimony, that 9 we'd prefer that, but we are absolutely willing to 10 give you whatever evidence you're looking for. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: I think I'm going to confer with 12 the analysts and Mr. Lazarus. 13 And just before we do that, I wanted to 14 clarify so the policy that's currently in effect 15 at Lift Wellness Group, that would carry over to 16 Pathways. Is that correct? 17 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): So that -- the policy at Lift 18 Wellness Group of the sliding scale? 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Well no, because this 20 21 slide -- we would be accepting third-party 22 reimbursement, yeah. 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah. 24

MR. ROSE: So we will give you as part of Late-File

1 Number 1, as I understand it, the charity care policy for Lift Pathways to Renewal. 2 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 4 MR. ROSE: Wasn't that correct, that we were going to 5 give policies? 6 THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah. 7 MR. ROSE: So yeah. So we'll give this for the 8 practice, and then we'll give them the written 9 policy for Lift Pathways to Renewal. THE HEARING OFFICER: Perfect. Okay. We're just going 10 11 to take a couple-minute break. We will stop the 12 recording. I'm going to meet with the analysts 13 and we'll be back momentarily. 14 MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you. 15 THE REPORTER: Attorney Rose, a quick question? 16 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. 17 THE REPORTER: It's with Reid & Riege. 18 Is that correct? 19 MR. ROSE: Yes, it is. Wow. You, I think -- the first 20 person to pronounce it correctly. THE REPORTER: Not my first rodeo. And we've heard of 21 22 you guys -- well, I have. Anyway would you like a 23 transcript? MR. ROSE: That would be wonderful. 24

THE REPORTER: Ten business, regular delivery all

```
1
         right?
 2
    MR. ROSE: Ten business days is fine, yeah.
 3
 4
                  (Pause: 11:48 a.m. to 11:54 a.m.)
5
6
    THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, if we can have
7
         everyone back, that would be good.
8
              So I conferred with the analysts and with
9
         Mr. Lazarus. It sounds like if you're able to
10
         provide data on utilization of the sliding fee
11
         scale for the past year, that would be sufficient.
12
         We don't need invoices or anything along those
13
         lines.
14
              So would something like that be possible to
15
         pull together?
16
    THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah, we can pull that
17
         together quickly.
18
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
19
    MR. ROSE: Dennis?
20
    THE WITNESS (D. Dobson): Yeah.
21
    MR. ROSE: How quickly?
22
    THE WITNESS (D. Dobson): The next couple of days.
23
    THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Like, one or two days. Yeah.
24
         I mean -- or do you want to?
25
    MR. ROSE: All right. No, I mean, that's fine.
```

ask -- I know they're short-staffed at the moment in terms of the individual who would typically pull that type of data.

So I just want to make sure that whatever we commit to timeframe-wise on a late file, then we're absolutely going to hit that. So if you say -- today is Wednesday, will you be able to get it to me Friday morning so I could spot-check it and then upload it to the portal?

THE WITNESS (D. Dobson): Yes.

- THE WITNESS (M. Dobson): Yeah. Our -- our biller is overseas until the end of the week. So Dennis has been stepping in. So the person who does all of our billing and financials is -- she's been out of town. So he's been covering for her for the past month.
- MR. ROSE: Okay. So it sounds like we can get you the complete late file by the end of this week. And again, we're doing that because we want to expedite the process the best we can. And so the quicker you close the record, I think the better for us.
- THE HEARING OFFICER: Understood. Okay. I think that addresses all the outstanding issues at this time. Since we finished early, but we still need to

allow for public comment at the scheduled time, we're going to adjourn for now until 3 p.m., this afternoon.

Signup for public comment will go from two to three today. I may log in at two just to say something real quick. It won't be on the record, but I'll just be sort of alerting the public to the fact that now is the time to put their names in the public comment section.

And so basically what the public will do is they will write their name in the Zoom chat, or during that hour they can send an e-mail to CONcomment@ct.gov to let us know that they want to participate orally. And then at three o'clock we will take whatever comments we happen to get.

Oh, as I mentioned earlier, OHS staff may have some additional questions after we hear from the public. We already addressed the late files, and I will put that in writing probably either this afternoon or tomorrow morning, what those are and when they're due, which will be by close of business on Friday.

And after public comment has concluded,

Attorney Rose, if you have any closing statements
that you would like to make, we will take a

1 closing statement at that time. 2 Okay. Thank you. MR. ROSE: 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: So with that, we will adjourn for 4 now, and I will see everybody back at three 5 o'clock, with the exception of Dr. Bennett. 6 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 7 8 11:58 a.m. to 3:01 p.m.) (Pause: 9 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Welcome back, and good afternoon. 11 For those just joining us, this is the second 12 portion of today's hearing concerning a CON 13 application filed by Lift Pathways to Renewal, 14 LLC, docketed as 22-32602-CON. 15 We had the technical portion this morning. 16 And normally at this time we would have public 17 comment, but we didn't have any signups over the break between 2 and 3 p.m. And it doesn't appear 18 19 as though we have anyone else in the Zoom 20 conference room who may be interested in providing 21 public comment. 22 If that's not accurate, please speak up right 23 now and I'm happy to have you address us. 24 25 (No response.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: Hearing none, I'm going to move forward and just wrap up the hearing.

Attorney Rose, do you have anything that you would like to address at this time?

MR. ROSE: Yes. Thank you. I wanted to note that we discussed during the break your question about when Lift Wellness Group was established -- in the statement, in the application that it was established in 2009.

So in clarifying with my client, it appears that the Woodland Psychotherapy Group was the original name of Lift Wellness Group which was still established, I think, in the 2016 or 2017 time period. And that the reference going back to 2009 was actually making reference to Mary Dobson's private practice that she operated as a sole proprietor from the time of 2009 in establishing what was first named Woodland Psychotherapy.

So I just wanted to clarify that for you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I think that that

came through for the most part in what Ms. Dobson

was saying earlier, but I do appreciate the added

clarification.

MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I do wish to note that we just
2	had someone join us. So I'm going to ask again,
3	is there anyone in this conference room who would
4	like to provide public comment at this time?
5	Ms. Lehrman?
6	RANDI LEHRMAN: Yes, hi. This is Randi Lehrman.
7	And
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
9	RANDI LEHRMAN: Yeah, I heard about this meeting and I
10	came in to, you know, read a letter that I wrote
11	in support of Mary Dobson and what she intends to
12	create.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So we're happy to hear
14	from you. If you would like to make any
15	additional comments you are free to do so.
16	We normally limit people to about three
17	minutes, but since you're the only one who has
18	signed up today, you could go a little bit longer
19	than that if you'd like.
20	RANDI LEHRMAN: That's okay. I'm a practicing lawyer
21	with two law firms, so I'm all over the place.
22	But I want I really wanted to make a
23	concerted effort. I actually got off a deposition
24	to say a few words.
25	You know, I Mary Dobson has been

instrumental in helping my son emerge from a very bad disorder, like so many individuals in our community.

I've lived in Fairfield County for over 25
years and have seen countless children,
adolescents and parents struggle with debilitating
and life-threatening eating disorders. I myself
struggled with one many years ago as a teenager.
So I know firsthand how instrumental getting in
there early when the kids are impressionable is,
in terms of overall recovery.

Had I had Mary's programs available to me I probably would have emerged a lot quicker from the depths of despair. So many of my friends have reached out to me to talk to their teens and to kind of talk to them about what I went through. And many of them are just paralyzed as to what to do to help their children and teenagers who are falling prey to these deadly diseases. I was fortunate enough because someone had put me in touch with Mary early on in my son's journey.

Thus, our need for structured outpatient programs is huge. Having a place for individuals to step down to from partial hospitalization and intense outpatient would save many of my friends

and their families from the competitive and
continual cycles of emergency room visits,
hospital visits, going home, spiraling because so
many triggers are back in the home place, and it
just keeps going in a circle.
You know, it is really imperative in my
opinion that Mary and her team be permitted the

You know, it is really imperative in my opinion that Mary and her team be permitted the opportunity to save our children and our community. These eating disorders are insidious and they are so pervasive and it is -- I can't even explain to you how many times people have called me because I'm out front and center.

Because she helped my son, and now he's thriving. He's working. I mean, I can't -- I will never be able to repay her.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Lehrman. And can you just spell your name? I don't think we have it written down anywhere and I want to make sure it matches what we have in the zoom.

RANDI LEHRMAN: Sure. It's Randi with an "i"; Lehrman,
L-e-h-r-m-a-n.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.

RANDI LEHRMAN: Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Based on the comments made by

Ms. Lehrman, Mr. Lazarus, Ms. McLaughlin or

1 Ms. Rival, do any of you have any additional 2 questions for the Applicant? 3 MR. LAZARUS: No. 4 MS. McLAUGHLIN: I do not. 5 MS. RIVAL: I do not. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. 7 Now, Attorney Rose, did you wish to make a 8 closing statement? MR. ROSE: Yes, and very brief. I really appreciate 9 10 the way the agency has conducted this hearing and 11 I'm really looking forward to giving you the late files. 12 13 And I appreciate that when I said, you know, 14 we're looking to get this off the ground quickly, 15 you said, so are we. And I just -- I really want 16 to say thank you for that sentiment. 17 And I just want to clarify, if the record is 18 going to stay open for another seven days to 19 receive written commentary, then correct me if I'm 20 wrong, but we might as well make -- tie the late 21 file deadline to the same. 22 I think we'll probably get it to you much,

much sooner, but I just wanted to clarify that.
Well, if you're going to leave the record open
then we might as well have until then to submit

23

24

1 our late files. 2 Would you agree that that's probably 3 appropriate? 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, that's a good point. 5 hadn't thought of that, and I do appreciate you 6 bringing that up. 7 So as is usually the case we normally leave 8 the record open for at least seven days for the 9 public comment. So I'm limiting that to seven 10 days, and if you want to have until next Wednesday 11 to submit the late file that's fine with me. 12 MR. ROSE: Okay. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: And just to clarify something 14 that you just said, you know that the agency tries 15 to move through things as expeditiously as 16 possible and that that doesn't necessarily mean 17 that we're going to approve this. So I mean, I 18 didn't want you to think that. 19 MR. ROSE: I appreciate that, yeah. Well, you know we're not looking for a quick denial -- but yes, I 20 21 get it. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 23 MR. ROSE: I understood you guys have to go through the 24 record and you have to take the time necessary.

And I just hope that with what we've presented to

24

25

you, you will feel comfortable approving this.

And should you come to that conclusion sooner than later, just know that we're here to accept that approval and get the programs going.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Rose.

> With that, as I mentioned earlier, I'll be issuing a written order after this hearing adjourns. You'll probably receive that tomorrow morning, but I have nothing further and it sounds like the OHS staff has nothing further.

> So thank you all for attending today. Thank you to Dr. Bennett as well. I neglected to thank her for her participation earlier.

And a reminder again to the members of the public, that they can continue to submit written public comment to CONcomment@ct.gov up to seven days after today.

This hearing is hereby adjourned, but the record will remain open until closed by OHS. So thank you very much and have a good afternoon.

> 3:12 p.m.) (End:

1 STATE OF CONNECTICUT 2 I, ROBERT G. DIXON, a Certified Verbatim Reporter within and for the State of Connecticut, do 3 hereby certify that I took the above 93 pages of proceedings in the STATE OF CONNECTICUT, DEPARTMENT OF 4 PUBLIC HEALTH, OFFICE OF HEALTH STRATEGY, EVIDENTIARY AND PUBLIC HEARING, In Re: LIFT PATHWAYS TO RENEWAL, 5 LLC, Docket No. 22-32602-CON; CERTIFICATE OF NEED APPLICATION, held before: DANIEL CSUKA, ESQ., THE HEARING OFFICER, on May 24, 2023, (via teleconference). 6 I further certify that the within testimony 7 was taken by me stenographically and reduced to typewritten form under my direction by means of 8 computer assisted transcription; and I further certify that said deposition is a true record of the testimony 9 given in these proceedings. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to 10 the action in which this proceeding was taken; and 11 further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 12 the action. 13 WITNESS my hand and seal the 7th day of June, 14 2023. 15 16 17 18 19

Robert G. Dixon, N.P., CVR-M No. 857

My Commission Expires 6/30/2025

23

20

21

22

24

1	INDEX
2	WITNESSES PAGE
3	Mary Dobson Dennis Dobson 22
4	Tim Davis 45
5	Dr. Alyssa S. Bennett 57
6	EXAMINERS (The Hearing Officer) 22, 26, 40, 42
7	Ms. Rival 28, 32, 41, 43 Mr. Lazarus 30, 52
8	Ms. McLaughlin 45, 52
9	The Hearing Officer 57 Mr. Rose 78
10	
11	LATE-FILED EXHIBITS NUMBER PAGE
12	1 Existing charity/sliding scale policies 75 2 Care provided documentation 75
13	_ Galo Flotlada dodamendaden ,c
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	